Analysis of Machine Learning models trained on abstracts from scientific papers

Balaji Balasubramanian (20182628)

1. Introduction

Text Classification is one of the fundamental problems of Machine Learning. In this problem, we have a dataset of abstracts from 15 categories of scientific papers from ArXiv. ArXiv is a website that contains open-sourced pre-print scientific papers. In this paper, several preprocessing steps like tf-idf and count vectorizer, and machine learning models like Multinomial Naive Bayes and Logistic Regression have been studied and comparative analysis of their performance on the dataset has been described.

1.1 Dataset

The dataset contains a total of 7500 training samples of scientific papers from the ArXiv website. This dataset is used for multiclass classification and there are a total of 15 categories of labels and each training sample can be classified into one of the categories with each category containing an equal number of training samples of 500.

1.2 Machine Learning Models

First, a Multinomial Naive Bayes model was built from scratch using NumPy. The value of alpha is used as 1. After that a few machine learning models like Logistic Regression, Multinomial Naive Bayes and Complement Naive Bayes were built using the Scikit-Learn library.

2. Feature Design

2.1 Preprocessing

The various preprocessing steps are:-

- Converting the words from upper case to lower case characters.
- Removing non-alphabetical characters.
- Removing stop words- Stop words are very common words that occur in every training sample and they are removed because they correspond to noise and don't provide information that will help make the classification.
- Tokenization- The sentences are converted into words because it is usually easier for the algorithm to learn from individual words than complete sentences.

2.2 Feature Transformation

I. Bag of Words

In this method, we ignore the order of the words and assume the words are independent of each other. We count the number of times each word occurs in each category.

II. TF-IDF

TF-IDF (Term Frequency–Inverse Document Frequency) is a method in which the score is assigned to each word which is directly proportional to the number of times the word occurs in the documents and inversely proportional to the number of documents it occurs in, which is done to reduce the score given to the common words that occur in all the documents.

III. Word Embedding

GloVe Embeddings were used which were pretrained on Wikipedia and Gigaword datasets.

3. Algorithms

Different algorithms have been used on the dataset.

I. Naive Bayes Classifier

A Multinomial Naive Bayes was implemented from scratch using NumPy. In the first step data preprocessing was done in which the characters were lowercased and all the words except alphanumerics were removed. This data was then passed to the bag of words representation after which it was fed to the Multinomial Naive Bayes classifier model with the Laplace Smoothing as 1. This managed to clear the Random Classifier and BernoulliNB baseline.

In the part where Scikit-Learn was allowed, preprocessing techniques used were count vectorizer and tf-idf. The models used were multinomial naive bayes and complement naive bayes. Various values of alpha were tested to find a suitable one. For multinomial naive bayes alpha of 0.5 gives the best performance and for Complement naive bayes 0.7.

II. Logistic Regression

Logistic Regression is a simple Machine Learning model and it provides a good performance in simple datasets with few training samples. In this problem, it performs better than Deep Learning.

Count vectorizer and tf-idf were used for preprocessing the data. The regularizer used in this model is the L2 regularizer. In Scikit-Learn terms, C hyperparameter is used to decide the amount of regularization. C is inversely proportional to regularization strength. If the value of C is small, the model is simple and if the value of C is large, the model is complex. The highest validation accuracy is achieved when the value of C is equal to 0.08.

III. Deep Learning

The Deep Learning model consisted of Glove Embedding, LSTM, dense layer and softmax layer and it was built using Keras. The GloVe Embedding had 200 dimensions and was pretrained on Wikipedia and Gigaword. The GloVe embedding was regularized using Dropout. The output of the embedding was sent to the LSTM layer. The output of the LSTM layer was sent to the global max-pooling layer and then to the dense layer with the Rectified Linear Units activation function which was regularized using dropouts. The output of this was sent to the softmax layer which provided the prediction result. This model suffered from overfitting due to the small dataset size.

IV. Ensemble Methods

The ensemble was built using a voting classifier that consisted of Multinomial Naive Bayes, Complement Naive Bayes and Logistic Regression. Voting Classifier has two types of voting, hard and soft. In hard voting, majority voting of predicted class labels is given as the final prediction. In soft voting, the argmax of the sum of predicted probabilities is given as the final prediction.

4. Methodology

Various techniques were used such as k-fold cross-validation, regularization, hyperparameter tuning and ensembling

I. K-Fold Cross-Validation

K-fold Cross Validation removes the selection bias which was caused by manual selection of the validation set. The value of k was set as 5, and here 4 folds were used as the training set, and 1 fold was used as the validation set. Five iterations were done and in each iteration, there was a different validation set.

II. Regularization

During the training of the deep learning model, the regularization technique dropout was used to reduce the complexity of the model. For Logistic Regression L2 regularization was used.

III. Hyperparameter tuning

While training Multinomial Naive Bayes and Complement Naive Bayes, the value of alpha was tuned. While training the logistic regression, the value of C was tuned.

IV. Ensembling

Voting Classifier was used for creating an ensemble of Complement Naive Bayes, Multinomial Naive Bayes, and Logistic Regression. Voting Classifier has two types of voting, hard and soft. In hard voting, majority voting of predicted class labels is given as the final prediction. In soft voting, the argmax of the sum of predicted probabilities is given as the final prediction.


5. Results

The graphs show the accuracy of Multinomial Naive Bayes, Complement Naive Bayes and Logistic Regression models. In the data preprocessing step, data cleaning is performed in which the characters are converted to lowercase and sentences are converted to words(tokenization). This data is fed to Count Vectorizer which builds a bag of words representation.TF-IDF can also be used and it provides comparable accuracy to Count Vectoriser. For the graph which represents hyperparameter tuning, we have used Count Vectoriser. Both Naive Bayes and Logistic Regression have the same data preprocessing, tokenization and Count Vectoriser step.


I. Naive Bayes Classifier

For Multinomial Naive Bayes and Complement Naive Bayes, the alpha hyperparameter is tuned which represents Laplace Smoothing. For Multinomial Naive Bayes, the value of alpha as 0.5

provides the best validation accuracy and for Complement Naive Bayes, it is 0.7. The validation accuracy is over 0.79 for both Complement Naive Bayes and Multinomial Naive Bayes.



Multinomial Naive Bayes




Complement Naive Bayes

II. Logistic Regression

For Logistic Regression, the regularizer used is the L2 regularizer. C hyperparameter is used to decide the amount of regularization. C is inversely proportional to regularization strength. The

highest validation accuracy is achieved when the value of C is equal to 0.08. The validation accuracy is over 0.78 and is slightly less than that of the Naive Bayes Algorithm.



Logistic Regression

III. Deep Learning

GloVe Embedding was used for feature transformation. LSTM and fully connected layers were used on top of the Embedding's output to learn the patterns in data. But, the model suffered from overfitting and had a bad validation error.

IV. Ensemble Methods

The ensemble was built using a voting classifier that consisted of Multinomial Naive Bayes, Complement Naive Bayes and Logistic Regression. Voting Classifier has two types of voting, hard and soft. Soft Voting provided a slightly better accuracy than hard voting.

V. Table of Results

Model	Validation Accuracy	Public Leaderboa rd Score	Private Leaderboa rd Score
Deep Learning	0.70	0.60	0.61
TF-IDF + Multinomial NB	0.789	0.799	0.790
TF-IDF + Complement NB	0.786	0.794	0.790
TF-IDF + Logistic Regression	0.780	0.774	0.770
Count Vectorizer + Multinomial NB	0.792	0.802	0.792
Count Vectorizer + Complement NB	0.790	0.795	0.784
Count Vectorizer + Logistic Regression	0.780	0.766	0.762
TF_IDF + Hard Voting Classifier MNB +CNB +LR	0.792	0.799	0.794
TF_IDF + Soft Voting Classifier MNB +CNB +LR	0.785	0.801	0.794
Count Vec + Hard Voting Classifier MNB +CNB +LR	0.804	0.807	0.796
Count Vec + Soft Voting Classifier MNB +CNB +LR	0.797	0.808	0.796

6. Discussion

A multinomial naive bayes model with alpha equal to 1 was built from scratch and was it successful in crossing the random classifier and BernoulliNB(Numpy only) baselines. It was found that Machine Learning models such as Naive Bayes and Logistic Regression performed better than the Deep Learning model. Using Bert instead of GloVe could improve the accuracy of the Deep Learning model and could be tried in future experiments. An Ensemble of Multinomial Naive Bayes, Complement Naive Bayes and Logistic Regression performed well and provided the accuracy required to cross the TA best baseline. Other ensemble methods like Random Forest and boosting methods can be tried to improve the accuracy. Scikit Learn was used to build all the machine learning models and libraries like Xgboost can be used to improve the performance. Better Data processing methods like improved data cleaning, Stemming and Lemmatization can also be tried.

7. References

- GloVe Embedding- https://nlp.stanford.edu/projects/glove/
- 2. My old Github code used to build the Deep Learning model using Kerashttps://github.com/balajibalasubramanian/Kaggle-Toxic-Comments-Challenge

- 3. Scikit-Learn Logistic Regressionhttps://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.linear_model.LogisticRegression.html
- 4. Scikit-Learn Voting Classifierhttps://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.ensemble.VotingClassifier.html
- 5. Medium Post- Naïve Bayes from Scratch using Python onlyhttps://towardsdatascience.com/na%C3%AFve-bayes-from-scratch-using-python-only-no-fancy-frameworks-a1904b37222d