### Doe7, John7 (A90029)

Patient MRN: 108270919 | DOB: MAR-08-1950 | Gender: Male

Diagnosis: Colorectal carcinoma (CRC) | Test Number 2



Therapy Finder Page

#### REPORTING

Original Report Date: FEB-24-2018
Addendum Date: OCT-29-2018
Receipt Date: FEB-17-2018
Collection Date: FEB-15-2018

Specimen: Blood

Status: ADDENDUM

#### **PHYSICIAN**

### SQAPortalPhysician O'SQAPortalPhysician

Account: SQA None-NY Test Practice

Address: 123 GHSQA Test Drive, Suite 2000, Redwood City, CA, 94063, United States Ph: (650) 123-4567 | Fax: (888) 974-3986

Additional Recipient: N/A



Complete Tumor Response Map on page 2

# **Summary of Somatic Alterations & Associated Treatment Options**

Alteration	% cfDNA or Amplification	Associated FDA-approved therapies	Clinical trial availability (see page 3)
<i>TP53</i> R273C	0.2%	None	Yes

### Variants of Uncertain Significance

NF1 S1834L (0.2%)

The functional consequences and clinical significance of alterations are unknown. Relevance of therapies targeting these alterations is uncertain.

**Tumor Biology Page** 

### Guardant360 Tumor Response Map

The Guardant360 Tumor Response Map illustrates the variant allele fraction (% cfDNA) of observed somatic variants at each sample submission time point. Amplifications are not plotted, and only the first and last five test dates are plotted. Please see the Physician Portal (portal.guardanthealth.com) for the Tumor Response Map with all test dates.



Alteration	% cfDNA or Amp	Alteration Tre	end	
<i>TP53</i> R273C	0.2%			
// 33 1 E / 33	0.270	oND	0.2%	
<i>NF1</i> S1834L	0.2%			Variant of Uncertain
		o ND	0.2%	Significance §
IDH1 R132C	ND			
		0.3%	• ND	

The table above annotates the variant allele fraction (% cfDNA) detected in this sample, listed in descending order. § See definitions section for more detail DOB: MAR-08-1950 | Test Number 2



## Available Clinical Trials (within the same state as the ordering physician)

There may be additional trials not listed here. Visit: <u>portal.guardanthealth.com</u> or email <u>clientservices@guardanthealth.com</u> with A90029 in the subject line of the email, for additional trials.

Alteration	Trial ID / Contact	Title	Phase	Site(s)
<i>TP53</i> R273C	Visit portal.guardanthealth.com for	or trials not within the same state as the	e physician's office	

More clinical trial options available at portal.guardanthealth.com

### Doe7, John7 (A90029)

DOB: MAR-08-1950 | Test Number 2



### **Definitions**

Somatic Alterations Not Detected (ND): Somatic alterations may be present that are below the limit of detection of this test. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced analytic sensitivity. The absence of detectable somatic alterations in circulating cell-free DNA does not preclude the presence of somatic alterations in the tumor.

Variant of Uncertain Significance: The functional consequences and clinical significance of alterations are unknown. Relevance of therapies targeting these alterations is uncertain.

#### Comments

None

### Interpretation

Somatic alterations were detected in the circulating cell-free DNA isolated from this patient's blood specimen. These genomic alterations are cancer-associated somatic variants, some of which have been associated with either increased or reduced clinical response to specific treatments. The percentage of altered cell-free DNA circulating (% cfDNA) in blood is related to the unique tumor biology of each patient. Factors that may affect the % cfDNA of detected somatic alterations include tumor growth, turn over, size, heterogeneity, vascularization, disease progression, and treatment.



### **Method and Limitations**

Guardant360 sequences 73 cancer-associated genes to identify somatic alterations. Cell-free DNA (cfDNA) is extracted from plasma, enriched for targeted regions, and sequenced using the Illumina platform and hg19 as the reference genome. All exons are sequenced in some genes; only clinically significant exons are sequenced in other genes. The types of genomic alterations detected by Guardant360 include single nucleotide variants, gene amplifications, fusions, short insertions/deletions, and splice site-disrupting events (see Table 1). Microsatellite Instability (MSI) is assessed for all cancer types by evaluating somatic changes in the length of repetitive sequences on the Guardant360 panel. A "Not Detected" result does not preclude MSI-High status in tissue. MSI status is currently not reported for specimens originating from New York State or for earlier panel versions. This version of the Guardant360 test is not validated for the detection of other types of genomic alterations, such as complex rearrangements or gene deletions. Certain sample or variant characteristics, such as low cfDNA concentration, may result in reduced analytic sensitivity. Guardant360 cannot discern the source of circulating cfDNA, and for some variants in the range of ~40 to 60% cfDNA, the test cannot easily distinguish germline variants from somatic alterations. Guardant360 is not validated for the detection of germline or de novo variants that are associated with hereditary cancer risk. Tissue genotyping should be considered when plasma genotyping is negative, if clinically appropriate.

Table 1: Genes on the Guardant360 Panel

Guardant360 reports single nucleotide variants and splice site mutations in all clinically relevant exons in 73 genes and reports other variant types in select genes as indicated below.

AKT1 BRCA2 <sup>\Omega</sup> DDR2 GATA3 <sup>\Omega</sup> JAK3 MPL NTRK3 RHOA VHL \(\Omega\$	ALK # CCND1 † EGFR †Ω GNA11 KIT †Ω MTOR Ω PDGFRA †Ω RIT1	APC <sup>\Omega</sup> CCND2 <sup>†</sup> ERBB2 <sup>†\Omega</sup> GNAQ KRAS <sup>†</sup> MYC <sup>†</sup> PIK3CA <sup>†</sup> ROS1 <sup>#</sup>	AR† CCNE1† ESR1 GNAS MAP2K1 NF1 \(^{\Omega}\) PTEN \(^{\Omega}\) SMAD4 \(^{\Omega}\)	ARAF CDH1 <sup>\Omega</sup> EZH2 HNF1A MAP2K2 NFE2L2 PTPN11 SMO	ARID1A <sup>\Omega</sup> CDK4 <sup>†</sup> FBXW7 HRAS MAPK1 NOTCH1 RAF1 <sup>†</sup> STK11 <sup>\Omega</sup>	ATM <sup>\Omega</sup> CDK6 <sup>†</sup> FGFR1 <sup>†</sup> IDH1 MAPK3 NPM1 RB1 <sup>\Omega</sup> TERT <sup>‡</sup>	BRAF† CDKN2A \(^\) FGFR2 †# IDH2 MET †\(^\) NRAS RET # TP53 \(^\)	BRCA1 $^{\Omega}$ CTNNB1 FGFR3 $^{\#}$ JAK2 MLH1 $^{\Omega}$ NTRK1 $^{\#}$ RHEB	
--	--	---	--	---	--	--	---	---	--

 $<sup>\</sup>Omega$  Guardant360 reports insertion and deletion variants (indels) in this gene.

‡ Guardant360 reports alterations in the promoter region of this gene.

#### About the Test

The Guardant360 assay was developed and its performance characteristics were determined by Guardant Health, Inc. This test has not been cleared or approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The FDA has determined that such clearance or approval is not necessary. This test may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as investigational or for research only. Guardant Health's clinical reference laboratory is certified under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA) as qualified to perform high complexity clinical laboratory testing. The laboratory report should be interpreted in the context of other clinical information and laboratory, pathology, and imaging studies by a qualified medical professional prior to initiating or changing a patient's treatment plan. The selection of any, all, or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) is entirely at the discretion of the treating medical professional. Drug and trial information are based on the diagnosis written on the submitted test request form; this information is not based on any supplemental information provided by the requesting medical professional, including pathology reports or other molecular studies. Some drugs listed in this report may not be approved or cleared by the FDA for the indicated use. Guardant Health makes no endorsement, express or implied, of any product, physician, or procedure contained in this report. This report makes no promises or quarantees that a particular medication will affect (or not affect) the clinical outcome of any patient.

Testing performed at: Guardant Health

Laboratory Director: Arthur Baca, MD PhD | CLIA ID: 05D2070300 | CAP #: 8765297 | 505 Penobscot Drive, Redwood City, CA, 94063, United States

# Additional information is available

Any therapeutic annotations are based on publicly available information. This information is described in the "Detailed Therapy Results" and "Relevance of Detected Alterations" sections.

Visit portal.guardanthealth.com or email clientservices@guardanthealth.com with A90029 in the subject line of the email for:

- Additional clinical trials

- Relevance of Detected Alterations

- Detailed Therapy Results

- References

If you would like to receive this additional information with every Guardant360 report, please call client services at 855.698.8887 to opt-in.

<sup>#</sup> Guardant360 reports fusion events involving this gene for all known gene partners. † Guardant360 reports amplifications of this gene.



Additional information begins on the next page.



# List of Available Clinical Trials

Alteration	Trial ID / Contact	Title	Phase	Site (number in parenthesis is count of trial sites)
<i>TP53</i> NCT02503709 R273C		Onalespib and CDKI AT7519 in Treating Patients With Solid Tumors That Are Metastatic or Cannot Be Removed by Surgery	Phase 1	Columbus, OH; Bethesda, MD (2); Boston, MA (2)
	NCT02898207	Olaparib and Onalespib in Treating Patients With Solid Tumors That Are Metastatic or Cannot Be Removed by Surgery or Recurrent Ovarian, Fallopian Tube, Primary Peritoneal, or Triple-Negative Breast Cancer		Rochester, MN; Scottsdale, AZ; Jacksonville, FL; Boston, MA (4)



### Additional Information

# **Detailed Therapy Results**

Alteration	Drug	Trade Name	Target	Current Status
<i>TP53</i> R273C	AT13387		Small molecule inhibitor of Hsp90.	Phase 1 (Solid Tumor) Phase 2 (GIST (Gastrointestinal stromal tumor), Lymphoma, Non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), Prostate carcinoma, Lung cancer, Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL))
	Ganetespib		Small molecule inhibitor of Hsp90, also may inhibit Kit/Egfr/Bcr-Abl.	Phase 2 (Colorectal carcinoma (CRC)) Phase 3 (Non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), Acute myelocytic leukemia (AML), Lung cancer, Myelodysplastic Syndrome (MDS))
	Luminespib		Hsp90 inhibitor.	Phase 1 (Colorectal carcinoma (CRC)) Phase 2 (GIST (Gastrointestinal stromal tumor), Pancreatic carcinoma, Nonsmall cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), Breast carcinoma)
	PU-H71		Hsp90 inhibitor.	Phase 1 (Colorectal carcinoma (CRC)) Phase 1 (Lymphoma, Solid Tumor, Non- Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL))
	SNX-5422		Hsp90 inhibitor.	Phase 2 (Solid Tumor) Phase 1 (Neuroendocrine carcinoma, Lymphoma, Non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), Hematologic malignancies, Chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), Lung cancer)



### Additional Information

# **Relevance of Detected Alterations**

Alteration	Role in Disease	Effect on Drug Sensitivity	Effect on Drug Resistance
<i>TP53</i> R273C	Loss of tumor suppressor p53, which is encoded by the TP53 gene, is common in aggressive advanced cancers (1). Carriers of a germline mutation in TP53 have Li-Fraumeni Syndrome, an inherited cancer syndrome resulting in multiple tumors in early adulthood, including breast cancer, brain tumors, and leukemias (2-4). Expression of p53 in normal cells is low; however, TP53 alterations, including those that result in loss of p53 tumor suppressor function, may lead to stabilization and increased expression of p53, particularly in the nucleus, and several studies have shown that it may have oncogenic gain-offunction effects (5-9). TP53 mutations have been associated with distal tumor location, microsatellite stability (MSS), and high levels of chromosomal instability in studies of colorectal carcinoma (CRC) (10-14). Additionally, p53 expression in CRC has been positively correlated with distal tumor location, advanced TNM stage, and high Ki67 expression (15-20).	Some studies suggest that Hsp90 inhibitors may be effective in tumors with oncogenic TP53 alterations (21-23).	Mutations in TP53 may increase resistance to ionizing radiation therapy (24,25).
<i>NF1</i> S1834L	Neurofibromin acts as a tumor suppressor by depressing Ras signaling, and NF1 mutations have been identified across the entire gene (26). Tumors with mutations in NF1 have therefore been predicted to have activation of Ras and downstream pathways, including the Ras-MAPK pathway and the mTOR pathway (27). Germline mutations of NF1, which are associated with neurofibromatosis type 1, have been found to be associated with increased frequency of several tumor types, including sarcoma, glioma, breast carcinoma, gastric cancer, neuroendocrine tumors, and hematological neoplasms (28-32). NF1 alterations have been reported to be associated with microsatellite instability resulting from mismatch repair (MMR) defects, which are often associated with hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer (HNPCC); these data suggest that NF1 may be a mutational target in MMR deficient cells (33-35).	Loss of neurofibromin function may result in increased signaling through the Ras pathway and downstream MAPK and mTOR pathways (36). Tumors bearing NF1 mutations may therefore be sensitive to mTOR and MAPK inhibitors. The mTOR inhibitors everolimus and temsirolimus have been approved by the FDA for some indications and are currently in clinical trials for solid tumors (37,38). Several inhibitors designed to target both the mTORC1/Raptor and mTORC2/Rictor complexes are being tested in early phase clinical trials for advanced solid tumors (39). The MEK inhibitors trametinib and cobimetinib (in combination with vemurafenib) have been FDA-approved for BRAF V600E- and V600K-mutant melanoma and are under investigation in clinical trials (40,41). In the case of this uncharacterized variant, the relevance of any available therapeutic approaches is unknown.	

#### Additional Information

#### References

- 1. Brown C, Lain S, Verma C, Fersht A, Lane D "Awakening guardian angels: drugging the p53 pathway." Nature reviews. Cancer (2009): 862-73
- 2. Malkin D, Li F, Strong L, Fraumeni J, Nelson C, Kim D, Kassel J, Gryka M, Bischoff F, Tainsky M "Germ line p53 mutations in a familial syndrome of breast cancer, sarcomas, and other neoplasms." Science (New York, N.Y.) (1990): 1233-8
- Srivastava S, Zou Z, Pirollo K, Blattner W, Chang E "Germ-line transmission of a mutated p53 gene in a cancer-prone family with Li-Fraumeni syndrome." Nature (1991): 747-9
- Santibáñez-Koref M, Birch J, Hartley A, Jones P, Craft A, Eden T, Crowther D, Kelsey A, Harris M "p53 germline mutations in Li-Fraumeni syndrome." Lancet (1991): 1490-1
- Wang Y, Lin R, Tan Y, Chen J, Chen C, Wang Y "Wild-type p53 overexpression and its correlation with MDM2 and p14ARF alterations: an alternative pathway to non-small-cell lung cancer." Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (2005): 154-64
- Koga T, Hashimoto S, Sugio K, Yoshino I, Nakagawa K, Yonemitsu Y, Sugimachi K, Sueishi K "Heterogeneous distribution of P53 immunoreactivity in human lung adenocarcinoma correlates with MDM2 protein expression, rather than with P53 gene mutation." International journal of cancer. Journal international du cancer (2001): 222-9
- 7. Kato S, Han S, Liu W, Otsuka K, Shibata H, Kanamaru R, Ishioka C "Understanding the function-structure and function-mutation relationships of p53 tumor suppressor protein by high-resolution missense mutation analysis." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America (2003): 8424-9
- 8. Houben R, Hesbacher S, Schmid C, Kauczok C, Flohr U, Haferkamp S, Müller C, Schrama D, Wischhusen J, Becker J "High-level expression of wild-type p53 in melanoma cells is frequently associated with inactivity in p53 reporter gene assays." PloS one (2011): e22096
- 9. Olivier M, Petitjean A, Marcel V, Pétré A, Mounawar M, Plymoth A, de Fromentel C, Hainaut P "Recent advances in p53 research: an interdisciplinary perspective." Cancer gene therapy (2009): 1-12
- 10. Takahashi Y, Sugai T, Habano W, Ishida K, Eizuka M, Otsuka K, Sasaki A, Takayuki Matsumoto , Morikawa T, Unno M, Suzuki H "Molecular differences in the microsatellite stable phenotype between left-sided and right-sided colorectal cancer." International journal of cancer (2016): 2493-501
- 11. Lee D, Han S, Cha Y, Bae J, Kim H, Lyu J, Han H, Kim H, Jang H, Bang D, Huh I, Park T, Won J, Jeong S, Park K, Kang G, Kim T "Association between mutations of critical pathway genes and survival outcomes according to the tumor location in colorectal cancer." Cancer (2017): [Epub ahead of print]
- 12. Lin E, Tseng L, Gocke C, Reil S, Le D, Azad N, Eshleman J "Mutational profiling of colorectal cancers with microsatellite instability." Oncotarget (2015): 42334-44
- 13. van den Broek E, Krijgsman O, Sie D, Tijssen M, Mongera S, van de Wiel M, Belt E, den Uil S, Bril H, Stockmann H, Ylstra B, Carvalho B, Meijer G, Fijneman R "Genomic profiling of stage II and III colon cancers reveals APC mutations to be associated with survival in stage III colon cancer patients." Oncotarget (2016): 73876-73887
- 14. Sugai T, Eizuka M, Takahashi Y, Fukagawa T, Habano W, Yamamoto E, Akasaka R, Otuska K, Matsumoto T, Suzuki H "Molecular subtypes of colorectal cancers determined by PCR-based analysis." Cancer science (2017): 427-434
- 15. Melling N, Kowitz C, Simon R, Bokemeyer C, Terracciano L, Sauter G, Izbicki J, Marx A "High Ki67 expression is an independent good prognostic marker in colorectal cancer." Journal of clinical pathology (2016): 209-14
- McGregor M, Fadhil W, Wharton R, Yanagisawa Y, Presz M, Pritchard A, Womack C, Dutton S, Kerr R, Kerr D, Johnstone E, Ilyas M "Aberrant P53 expression lacks prognostic or predictive significance in colorectal cancer: results from the VICTOR trial." Anticancer research (2015): 1641-5
- 17. Ines C, Donia O, Rahma B, Ben Ammar A, Sameh A, Khalfallah T, Abdelmajid B, Sabeh M, Saadia B "Implication of K-ras and p53 in colorectal cancer carcinogenesis in Tunisian population cohort." Tumour biology: the journal of the International Society for Oncodevelopmental Biology and Medicine (2014): 7163-75
- 18. Cao D, Ou X, Yu T "The association of p53 expression levels with clinicopathological features and prognosis of patients with colon cancer following surgery." Oncology letters (2017): 3538-3546
- 19. Wang P, Liang J, Wang Z, Hou H, Shi L, Zhou Z "The prognostic value of p53 positive in colorectal cancer: A retrospective cohort study." Tumour biology: the journal of the International Society for Oncodevelopmental Biology and Medicine (2017): 1010428317703651
- 20. Abe S, Kawai K, Ishihara S, Nozawa H, Hata K, Kiyomatsu T, Tanaka T, Nishikawa T, Ootani K, Yasuda K, Murono K, Watanabe T "Prognostic Value of Pre- and Postoperative Anti-p53 Antibody Levels in Colorectal Cancer Patients: A Retrospective Study." Oncology (2017): 31-38
- 21. Alexandrova E, Yallowitz A, Li D, Xu S, Schulz R, Proia D, Lozano G, Dobbelstein M, Moll U "Improving survival by exploiting tumour dependence on stabilized mutant p53 for treatment." Nature (2015):
- 22. Lin K, Rockliffe N, Johnson G, Sherrington P, Pettitt A "Hsp90 inhibition has opposing effects on wild-type and mutant p53 and induces p21 expression and cytotoxicity irrespective of p53/ATM status in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia cells." Oncogene (2008): 2445-55
- 23. Li D, Marchenko N, Schulz R, Fischer V, Velasco-Hernandez T, Talos F, Moll U "Functional inactivation of endogenous MDM2 and CHIP by HSP90 causes aberrant stabilization of mutant p53 in human cancer cells." Molecular cancer research: MCR (2011): 577-88
- 24. El-Deiry W "The role of p53 in chemosensitivity and radiosensitivity." Oncogene (2003): 7486-95
- 25. Miyasaka A, Oda K, Ikeda Y, Sone K, Fukuda T, Inaba K, Makii C, Enomoto A, Hosoya N, Tanikawa M, Uehara Y, Arimoto T, Kuramoto H, Wada-Hiraike O, Miyagawa K, Yano T, Kawana K, Osuga Y, Fujii T "PI3K/mTOR pathway inhibition overcomes radioresistance via suppression of the HIF1-/VEGF pathway in endometrial cancer." Gynecologic oncology (2015):
- 26. Morcos P, Thapar N, Tusneem N, Stacey D, Tamanoi F "Identification of neurofibromin mutants that exhibit allele specificity or increased Ras affinity resulting in suppression of activated ras alleles." Molecular and cellular biology (1996): 2496-503
- 27. Brems H, Beert E, de Ravel T, Legius E "Mechanisms in the pathogenesis of malignant tumours in neurofibromatosis type 1." The lancet oncology (2009): 508-15
- 28. Patil S, Chamberlain R "Neoplasms associated with germline and somatic NF1 gene mutations." The oncologist (2012): 101-16
- 29. Laycock-van Spyk S, Thomas N, Cooper D, Upadhyaya M "Neurofibromatosis type 1-associated tumours: their somatic mutational spectrum and pathogenesis." Human genomics (2011): 623-90
- 30. Seminog O, Goldacre M "Risk of benign tumours of nervous system, and of malignant neoplasms, in people with neurofibromatosis: population-based record-linkage study." British journal of cancer (2013): 193-8
- 31. Seminog O, Goldacre M "Age-specific risk of breast cancer in women with neurofibromatosis type 1." British journal of cancer (2015): 1546-8
- 32. Jett K, Friedman J "Clinical and genetic aspects of neurofibromatosis 1." Genetics in medicine: official journal of the American College of Medical Genetics (2010): 1-11
- 33. Bertholon J, Wang Q, Galmarini C, Puisieux A "Mutational targets in colorectal cancer cells with microsatellite instability." Familial cancer (2006): 29-34

Continue to next page...

DOB: MAR-08-1950 | Test Number 2



#### Additional Information

#### References

- 34. Wang Q, Montmain G, Ruano E, Upadhyaya M, Dudley S, Liskay R, Thibodeau S, Puisieux A "Neurofibromatosis type 1 gene as a mutational target in a mismatch repair-deficient cell type." Human genetics (2003): 117-23
- 35. Ahlquist T, Bottillo I, Danielsen S, Meling G, Rognum T, Lind G, Dallapiccola B, Lothe R "RAS signaling in colorectal carcinomas through alteration of RAS, RAF, NF1, and/or RASSF1A." Neoplasia (New York, N.Y.) (2008): 680-6, 2 p following 686
- 36. Lodish M, Stratakis C "Endocrine tumours in neurofibromatosis type 1, tuberous sclerosis and related syndromes." Best practice & research. Clinical endocrinology & metabolism (2010): 439-49
- 37. Motzer R, Escudier B, Oudard S, Hutson T, Porta C, Bracarda S, Grünwald V, Thompson J, Figlin R, Hollaender N, Kay A, Ravaud A "Phase 3 trial of everolimus for metastatic renal cell carcinoma: final results and analysis of prognostic factors." Cancer (2010): 4256-65
- 38. Hudes G, Carducci M, Tomczak P, Dutcher J, Figlin R, Kapoor A, Staroslawska E, Sosman J, McDermott D, Bodrogi I, Kovacevic Z, Lesovoy V, Schmidt-Wolf I, Barbarash O, Gokmen E, O'Toole T, Lustgarten S, Moore L, Motzer R "Temsirolimus, interferon alfa, or both for advanced renal-cell carcinoma." The New England journal of medicine (2007): 2271-81
- 39. Grunt T, Mariani G "Novel approaches for molecular targeted therapy of breast cancer: interfering with PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling." Current cancer drug targets (2013): 188-204
- 40. Flaherty K, Robert C, Hersey P, Nathan P, Garbe C, Milhem M, Demidov L, Hassel J, Rutkowski P, Mohr P, Dummer R, Trefzer U, Larkin J, Utikal J, Dreno B, Nyakas M, Middleton M, Becker J, Casey M, Sherman L, Wu F, Ouellet D, Martin A, Patel K, Schadendorf D "Improved survival with MEK inhibition in BRAF-mutated melanoma." The New England journal of medicine (2012): 107-14
- 41. Larkin J, Ascierto P, Dréno B, Atkinson V, Liszkay G, Maio M, Mandalà M, Demidov L, Stroyakovskiy D, Thomas L, de la Cruz-Merino L, Dutriaux C, Garbe C, Sovak M, Chang I, Choong N, Hack S, McArthur G, Ribas A "Combined vemurafenib and cobimetinib in BRAF-mutated melanoma." The New England journal of medicine (2014): 1867-76