## Finding Frequent Itemsets (Chapter 6)

Anna Farzindar University of Southern California

# Frequent Itemsets and Association Rules

- **◆** Family of techniques for characterizing data: discovery of frequent itemsets
  - ➤ E.g. Identify sets of items that are frequently purchased together
    - hamburger and ketchup



#### Frequent Itemsets and Association Rules

High dim.

Locality sensitive hashing

Clustering

Dimensional ity reduction

Graph data

PageRank, SimRank

Network Analysis

Spam
Detection

Infinite data

Filtering data streams

Web advertising

Queries on streams

Machine learning

**SVM** 

Decision Trees

Perceptron, kNN

**Apps** 

Recommen der systems

Association Rules

Duplicate document detection

#### **Outline**

- ◆Introduce market-basket model of data
- ◆Define frequent itemsets
- ◆Discover association rules
  - Confidence and interest of rules
- ◆A-Priori Algorithm and variations.

# THE MARKET-BASKET MODEL

#### **Association Rule Discovery**

#### **Supermarket shelf management – Market-basket model:**

- ◆ Goal: Identify items that are bought together by <u>sufficiently</u> <u>many customers</u>
- ◆ **Approach:** Process the sales data to find dependencies among items
  - > Brick and mortar stores: data collected with barcode scanners
  - > Online retailers: transaction records for sales

#### **◆** A classic rule:

- ➤ If someone buys <u>diaper and milk</u>, then he/she is likely to buy <u>beer</u>
- > Don't be surprised if you find six-packs next to diapers!

#### The Market-Basket Model

- ◆ A large set of items
  - > e.g., things sold in a supermarket
- ◆ A large set of baskets
- Each basket is a small subset of items
  - > e.g., the things one customer buys on one day

#### **Input:**

TID	Items
1	Bread, Coke, Milk
2	Beer, Bread
3	Beer, Coke, Diaper, Milk
4	Beer, Bread, Diaper, Milk
5	Coke, Diaper, Milk

#### **Output:**

#### **Rules Discovered:**

{Milk} --> {Coke} {Diaper, Milk} --> {Beer}

- **♦** Want to discover Association Rules
  - $\triangleright$  People who bought  $\{x,y,z\}$  tend to buy  $\{v,w\}$ 
    - Brick and mortar stores: Influences setting of prices, what to put on sale when, product placement on store shelves
    - Recommender systems: Amazon, Netflix, etc.

#### **Market-Baskets**

- ◆ Really a **general many-many mapping** (association) between two kinds of things: <u>items</u> and baskets
  - ➤ But we ask about <u>connections among "items,"</u> not "baskets."
- ◆ The technology focuses on common events, not rare events
  - ➤ Don't need to focus on identifying \*all\* association rules
  - Want to focus on common events, <u>focus pricing</u> <u>strategies or product recommendations</u> on those items or association rules.

## Market Basket Applications: Identify items bought together

- ◆ Items = products
- ◆ Baskets = sets of products someone bought in one trip to the store
- ◆ Real market baskets: Stores (Walmart, Target, Ralphs, etc.) keep terabytes of data about what items customers buy together
  - > Tells how typical customers navigate stores
  - Lets them position tempting items
  - > Suggests tie-in "tricks", e.g., run sale on diapers and raise the price of beer
  - > Need the rule to occur <u>frequently</u>, or no profits!
- lacktriangle Amazon's people who bought X also bought Y
  - Recommendation Systems.

# Market Basket Applications: Plagiarism detection

- **♦** Baskets = sentences
- ◆ items = documents containing those sentences
  - ➤ Item/document is "in" a basket if sentence is in the document
  - May seem backward, but relationship between baskets and items is many-to-many
- ◆ Look for items that appear together in several baskets
  - Multiple documents share sentence(s)
- ◆ Items (documents) that appear together too often could represent plagiarism.

# Market Basket Applications: Identify related concepts in web documents

- **♦** Baskets = Web pages
- ♦ items = words
- ◆ Baskets/documents contain items/words in the document
- ◆ Look for sets of words that appear together in many documents
- ◆ Ignore most common words
- ◆ Unusual words appearing together in a large number of documents, e.g., "World" and "Cup," may indicate an interesting relationship or joint concept.

# Market Basket Applications: Drug interactions

- **♦ Baskets** = patients
- ◆ items = drugs and side effects
- ◆ Has been used to detect combinations of drugs that result in particular side-effects
- ◆ But requires extension: Absence of an item needs to be observed as well as presence!!

# Data Mining Helps in Hypothesis Formation?

- Background:
  - ➤ A single-malt scotch whisky is a product of a single distillery
  - A blended whisky is a product that contains a mix of barrel-aged malt and grain whiskies (can be from a single or multiple distilleries)
- Observation (Hypothesis):
  - it is a popular belief that single-malt whiskies taste better than blend whiskies







- Eight volunteers, blindfolded and given a glass of each of six whiskies
- The whiskies included three malts and three blends
- Each subject tasted each whisky six times
- They were asked whether the whisky was malt or blended, whether they could identify the distillery, and whether they liked it (ranked on a nine-point scale)
- > Test to see if results are statistically significant

Chadwick, S. J., & Dudley, H. A. (1983). Can malt whisky be discriminated from blended whisky? The proof. A modification of Sir Ronald Fisher's hypothetical tea tasting experiment. British medical journal, 287(6409), 1912.

#### Scale of the Problem

- ◆ WalMart sells 100,000 items and can store billions of baskets.
- ◆ The Web has billions of words and many billions of pages.

## DEFINE FREQUENT ITEMSETS

### Support

- ◆ Simplest question: Find sets of items that appear "frequently" in the baskets
- Support for itemset I = the number of baskets containing all items in I
  - > Sometimes given as a percentage
- ◆ Given a *support threshold s*, sets of items that appear in at least *s* baskets are called *frequent itemsets*.

### **Example:** Frequent Itemsets

- ◆ Items={milk, coke, pepsi, beer, juice}.
- **♦ Support** = **3 baskets**.

$$B_{1} = \{m, c, b\}$$

$$B_{2} = \{m, p, j\}$$

$$B_{3} = \{m, b\}$$

$$B_{4} = \{c, j\}$$

$$B_{5} = \{m, p, b\}$$

$$B_{6} = \{m, c, b, j\}$$

$$B_{7} = \{c, b, j\}$$

$$B_{8} = \{b, c\}$$

Frequent itemsets of size 1: {m}, {c}, {b}, {j}

{m,b}, {b,c}, {c,j}.

## **ASSOCIATION RULES**

#### **Association Rules**

- **◆** If-then rules about the contents of baskets
- lacktriangle Basket *I* contains  $\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_k\}$
- ◆ Rule  $\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_k\}$  → j means: "if a basket contains all of  $i_1, ..., i_k$  then it is *likely* to contain j."
- Confidence of this association rule is the probability of j given  $i_1,...,i_k$ 
  - > Ratio of support for I U {j} with support for I
  - > Support for *I*: number of baskets containing *I*.

$$conf(I \rightarrow j) = \frac{support(I \cup j)}{support(I)}$$

### **Example: Confidence**

+ 
$$B_1 = \{m, c, b\}$$
  
-  $B_3 = \{m, b\}$   
-  $B_5 = \{m, p, b\}$   
-  $B_5 = \{m, p, b\}$   
-  $B_6 = \{m, c, b, j\}$   
-  $B_7 = \{c, b, j\}$   
-  $B_8 = \{b, c\}$ 

- lacktriangle An association rule:  $\{m, b\} \rightarrow c$ 
  - **➤** Confidence: Ratio of support for I U {j} with support for I
  - ➤ Ratio of support for {m,b} U {c} to support for {m,b}
  - $\triangleright$  Confidence = 2/4 = 50%
- > Want to identify association rules with high confidence.

### **Interesting Association Rules**

- **♦** Not all high-confidence rules are interesting
  - The rule  $X \to milk$  may have high confidence for many itemsets X because milk is just purchased very often (independent of X)
- **◆** Interest of an association rule  $I \rightarrow j$ : difference between its confidence and the fraction of baskets that contain j

$$Interest(I \rightarrow j) = conf(I \rightarrow j) - Pr[j]$$

- ➤ Interesting rules are those with high positive or negative interest values (usually above 0.5)
- ➤ High **positive/negative** interest means presence of *I* encourages or discourages presence of *j*
- Example: {coke} -> pepsi should have high negative interest.

### **Example: Confidence and Interest**

$$B_1 = \{m, c, b\}$$
  $B_2 = \{m, p, j\}$   
 $B_3 = \{m, b\}$   $B_4 = \{c, j\}$   
 $B_5 = \{m, p, b\}$   $B_6 = \{m, c, b, j\}$   
 $B_7 = \{c, b, j\}$   $B_8 = \{b, c\}$ 

- lack Association rule:  $\{m, b\} \rightarrow c$ 
  - Confidence: Ratio of support for I U {j} with support for I
  - **Confidence** = 2/4 = 0.5
  - $\triangleright$  Interest: Interest $(I \rightarrow j) = \text{conf}(I \rightarrow j) \text{Pr}[j]$
  - ➤ Difference between its confidence and the fraction of baskets that contain *j*
  - ightharpoonup Interest = |0.5 5/8| = 1/8
    - Item c appears in 5/8 of the baskets
    - Rule is not very interesting!

### **Finding Useful Association Rules**

- **♦** Question: "find all association rules with support  $\geq s$  and confidence  $\geq c$ "
  - Note: "support" of an association rule is the support of the set of items on the left
- **◆ Hard part: finding the frequent itemsets** 
  - Note: if  $\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_k\} \rightarrow j$  has high support and confidence, then both  $\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_k\}$  and  $\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_k, j\}$  will be "frequent"
- **◆** Assume: not too many frequent itemsets or candidates for high support, high confidence association rules
  - ➤ Not so many that they can't be acted upon
  - > Adjust support threshold to avoid too many frequent itemsets.

# Example: Find Association Rules with support $\geq s$ and confidence $\geq c$

$$B_1 = \{m, c, b\}$$

$$B_2 = \{m, p, j\}$$

$$B_3 = \{m, c, b, n\}$$

$$B_4 = \{c, j\}$$

$$B_5 = \{m, p, b\}$$

$$B_6 = \{m, c, b, j\}$$

$$B_7 = \{c, b, j\}$$

$$B_8 = \{b, c\}$$

- Support threshold s = 3, confidence c = 0.75
- **◆ 1) Frequent itemsets:** 
  - $\rightarrow$  {b} {c} {j} {m} {b,m} {b,c} {c,m} {c,j} {m,c,b}
- ◆ 2) Generate rules:

$$conf(I \rightarrow j) = \frac{support(I \cup j)}{support(I)}$$

# Example: Find Association Rules with support $\geq s$ and confidence $\geq c$

$$B_1 = \{m, c, b\}$$
  $B_2 = \{m, p, j\}$   
 $B_3 = \{m, c, b, n\}$   $B_4 = \{c, j\}$   
 $B_5 = \{m, p, b\}$   $B_6 = \{m, c, b, j\}$   
 $B_7 = \{c, b, j\}$   $B_8 = \{b, c\}$ 

- Support threshold s = 3, confidence c = 0.75
- **♦** 1) Frequent itemsets:
  - $\triangleright$  {b} {c} {j} {m} {b,m} {b,c} {c,m} {c,j} {m,c,b}
- ◆ 2) Generate rules:

$$conf(I \rightarrow j) = \frac{support(I \cup j)}{support(I)}$$

**Difficult** part is identifying frequent itemsets: algorithms to find them are the focus of this chapter

## FIND FREQUENT ITEMSETS

### **Computation Model**

- ◆ Typically, market basket data are kept in **flat files** rather than in a database system
  - > Stored on disk because they are very large files
  - > Stored basket-by-basket
  - ➤ Goal: Expand baskets into pairs, triples, etc. as you read baskets
    - Use *k* nested loops to generate all sets of size *k*.

#### File Organization

Item Etc.

Basket 1

Basket 2

Basket 3

Example: items are positive integers, and boundaries between baskets are -1

Note: We want to **find frequent**itemsets To find them, we have

**itemsets.** To find them, we have to **count them**. To count them, we

have to **generate them**.

28

### **Computation Model – (2)**

◆ The true cost of mining disk-resident data is usually the number of disk I/O's

◆ In practice, association-rule algorithms read the data in *passes* — all baskets read in turn

◆ Thus, we measure the cost by the **number of passes** an algorithm takes.

#### **Main-Memory Bottleneck**

- **◆** For many frequent-itemset algorithms, main memory is the critical resource
  - ➤ As we read baskets, we need to count something, e.g., occurrences of pairs
  - ➤ The number of different things we can count is limited by main memory
  - > Swapping counts in/out is a disaster
  - > Algorithms are designed so that counts can fit into main memory.

### **Finding Frequent Pairs**

- **♦** The hardest problem often turns out to be finding the frequent pairs
  - ➤ Why? Often frequent pairs are common, frequent triples are rare
    - Why? Probability of being frequent drops exponentially with size; number of sets grows more slowly with size
- **◆** We'll concentrate on pairs, then extend to larger itemsets.

b

### Naïve Algorithm

- **◆** Read file once, counting in main memory the occurrences of each pair
  - Number of pairs in a basket of n items: n choose 2

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$$

- From each basket of n items, generate its n\*(n-1)/2 pairs using two nested loops, add to the count for each pair
- $\triangleright$  First basket: (a,b), (a,c), (a,y), (b,c), (b,y), (c,y)
- $\triangleright$  Second basket: (a,b), (a,x), (a,y), (a,z), (b,x), (b,y), (b,z), ...
- ➤ Total possible number of pairs in all baskets: (#items)(#items -1)/2
- **◆** Fails if (#items)² exceeds main memory
  - Remember: #items can be 100K (Wal-Mart) or 10B (Web pages).

### **Example: Counting Pairs**

- ◆ Suppose 10<sup>5</sup> items
- Suppose counts are 4-byte integers
- Number of pairs of items:  $10^5(10^5-1)/2 = 5*10^9$  (approximately)
- ◆ Therefore, 2\*10<sup>10</sup> (20 gigabytes) of main memory needed.

### **Details of Main-Memory Counting**

- **♦** Two approaches:
- ➤ Method (1) Count all pairs, using a triangular matrix
  - ➤ Requires only 4 bytes/pair, but requires a count for each pair

Note: assume integers are 4 bytes.

#### **Triangular Matrix**

A[i,j] i<j

```
a11
      a12
           a13 a14
                       a15
           (a23
     a22
               a24
                     a25
a21
     a32
           a33`
                 a34
a31
                       a35
     a42 a43
                       a45
a41
                 a44
. . .
```


### **Details of Main-Memory Counting**


- Two approaches:
- > Method (1) Count all pairs, using a triangular matrix
- Method (2) Keep a table of triples [i, j, c] = "the count of the pair of items  $\{i, j\}$  is c"
- (1) requires only 4 bytes/pair, but requires a count for each pair

Note: assume integers are 4 bytes

(2) requires 12 bytes, but only for those pairs with count > 0

Plus some additional overhead for a hashtable.





Method (1)

Method (2)

## Triangular-Matrix Approach – (1)

- $\bullet$  **n** = total number of items
- Order each pair of items  $\{i, j\}$  so that i < j
- ◆ Keep pair counts in lexicographic order:
  - $\geq$  {1,2}, {1,3},..., {1,n}, {2,3}, {2,4},...,{2,n}, {3,4},...
- ◆ Pair  $\{i,j\}$  is at position (i-1)(n-i/2)+j-i
  - > Every time you see a pair {i,j} from a basket, increment the count at the corresponding position in triangular matrix
- ◆ Total number of pairs n(n-1)/2; total bytes=  $2n^2$
- ◆ Triangular Matrix requires 4 bytes per pair.

#### Comparing the two approaches

- **◆ Approach 1: Triangular Matrix** 
  - $\triangleright$  **n** = total number items
  - $\triangleright$  Count pair of items  $\{i, j\}$  only if i < j
  - > Keep pair counts in lexicographic order:
    - $\{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \dots, \{1,n\}, \{2,3\}, \{2,4\}, \dots, \{2,n\}, \{3,4\}, \dots$
  - $\triangleright$  Pair  $\{i, j\}$  is at position (i-1)(n-i/2)+j-i
  - ightharpoonup Total number of pairs n(n-1)/2; total bytes=  $2n^2$
  - > Triangular Matrix requires 4 bytes per pair
- ◆ Approach 2 uses 12 bytes per occurring pair (but only for pairs with count > 0)
  - ➤ Beats Approach 1 if fewer than 1/3 of possible pairs actually occur in the market basket data.

#### Comparing the two approaches

- **◆ Approach 1: Triangular Matrix** 
  - $\triangleright$  **n** = total number items

  - Problem is if we have
  - too many items so the
    - pairs
      - do not fit into memory.
  - Can we do better?


possible pairs actually occur

#### **A-Priori Algorithm**

#### A-Priori Algorithm – (1)

- ◆ A two-pass approach called A-Priori limits the need for main memory
- **♦** Key idea: *monotonicity* 
  - ➤ If a set of items *I* appears at least *s* times, so does every **subset** *J* of *I*
- ◆ Contrapositive for pairs:

  If item *i* does not appear in *s* baskets, then no pair including *i* can appear in *s* baskets
- ◆ So, how does A-Priori find freq. pairs?




#### **A-Priori Algorithm**

- **◆** Pass 1: Read baskets and count in main memory the occurrences of each item
  - > Requires only memory proportional to #items
- **◆** Items that appear at least s times are the *frequent* items
  - ➤ At the end of pass 1, after the complete input file has been processed, check the count for each item
  - ➤ If count > s, then that item is frequent: saved for the next pass
- **◆** Pass 1 identifies frequent itemsets of size 1.

#### **A-Priori Algorithm**


- ◆ Pass 2: Read baskets again and count in main memory only those pairs of items where both were found in Pass 1 to be frequent
- > Requires:
  - ➤ Memory proportional to square of *frequent* items only (to hold counts of pairs)
  - List of the frequent items from the first pass (so you know what must be counted)
- **◆** Pairs of items that appear at least *s* times are the *frequent pairs* 
  - > At the end of pass 2, check the count for each pair
  - $\triangleright$  If count > s, then that pair is frequent
- **◆** Pass 2 identifies frequent pairs: itemsets of size 2.

#### Main-Memory: Picture of A-Priori




#### **Detail for A-Priori**

- **◆** You can use the triangular matrix method with *n* = number of frequent items
  - May save space compared with storing triples
- ◆ Trick: re-number frequent items 1,2,... and keep a table relating new numbers to original item numbers.



# What About Larger Frequent Itemsets? Frequent Triples, Etc.

- ◆ For each k, we construct two sets of k-tuples (sets of size k):
  - $C_k$  = candidate k-tuples = those that might be frequent sets (support  $\geq$  s) based on information from the pass for k-1
  - $ightharpoonup L_k$  = the set of truly frequent k-tuples



#### Recall: Example

$$B_1 = \{m, c, b\}$$
 $B_3 = \{m, c, b, n\}$ 
 $B_5 = \{m, p, b\}$ 
 $B_7 = \{c, b, j\}$ 

$$B_2 = \{m, p, j\}$$
  
 $B_4 = \{c, j\}$   
 $B_6 = \{m, c, b, j\}$   
 $B_8 = \{b, c\}$ 

#### ◆ Frequent itemsets (s=3):

- $\triangleright$  {b}, {c}, {j}, {m}
- $\triangleright$  {b,m} {b,c} {c,m} {c,j}
- $\geq$  {m,c,b}

## Example (cont.)

## Hypothetical steps of the A-Priori algorithm

- $ightharpoonup C_1 = \{ \{b\} \{c\} \{j\} \{m\} \{n\} \{p\} \} :$ 
  - all candidate items
- $\triangleright$  Count the support of itemsets in  $C_1$
- ► Prune non-frequent:  $L_1 = \{ b, c, j, m \}$
- ► Generate  $C_2 = \{ \{b,c\} \{b,j\} \{b,m\} \{c,j\} \{c,m\} \{j,m\} \}$
- $\triangleright$  Count the support of itemsets in  $C_2$
- ► Prune non-frequent:  $L_2 = \{ \{b,m\} \{b,c\} \{c,m\} \{c,j\} \}$
- $\triangleright$  Generate  $C_3 = \{ \{b,c,m\} \}$
- $\triangleright$  Count the support of itemsets in  $C_3$
- Prune non-frequent:  $L_3 = \{ \{b,c,m\} \}$   $C_1 \longrightarrow \text{Filter} \longrightarrow L_1 \longrightarrow \text{Construct} \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow \text{Filter} \longrightarrow L_2 \longrightarrow \text{Construct} \longrightarrow C_3 \longrightarrow C_3 \longrightarrow C_4$

$$B_1 = \{m, c, b\} B_2 = \{m, p, j\} B_3 = \{m, c, b, n\} B_4 = \{c, j\} B_5 = \{m, p, b\} B_6 = \{m, c, b, j\} B_7 = \{c, b, j\} B_8 = \{b, c\}$$

#### Frequent itemsets (s=3):

- {b}, {c}, {j}, {m}
- $\{b,m\}$   $\{b,c\}$   $\{c,m\}$   $\{c,j\}$
- $\{m,c,b\}$

#### Example (cont.)

#### **Hypothetical steps of the A-Priori algorithm**

- C₁ = { {b} {c} {j} {m} {n} {p} }: all candidate items
  Count the support of itemsets in C₁
  Prune non-frequent: L₁ = { b, c, j, m }
  Generate C₂ = { {b,c} {b,j} {b,m} {c,j} {c,m} {j,m} }
  Count the support of itemsets in C₂
  Prune non-frequent: L₂ = { {b,m} {b,c} {c,m} {c,j} }
  Generate C₃ = { {b,c,m} }
  Count the support of itemsets in C₃
  Prune non-frequent: L₃ = { {b,c,m} }
- Note: here we generate new candidates by generating C<sub>k</sub> from L<sub>k-1</sub> and L<sub>1</sub>.

But that one can be more careful with candidate generation. For example, in  $C_3$  we know  $\{b,m,j\}$  cannot be frequent since  $\{m,j\}$  is not frequent

## **A-Priori for All Frequent Itemsets**

- lacktriangle One pass for each k (itemset size)
- ◆ Needs room in main memory to count each candidate *k*—tuple
- For typical market-basket data and reasonable support (e.g., 1%), k = 2 requires the most memory.

#### Summary

- ◆ *Market-basket model:* A large set of **items**, A large set of **baskets**, Each basket is a small subset of items
  - ➤ Goal: to discover Association Rules
- ◆ An *association rule* has two parts, an antecedent (if) and a consequent (then)-> if/then patterns
  - An antecedent is an item found in the basket. A consequent is an item that is found in combination with the antecedent.
- ◆ *Frequent itemsets:* Find sets of items that appear "frequently" in the baskets
  - > Support is an indication of how frequently the items appear in the baskets,
  - > Confidence indicates the number of times the if/then statements have been found to be true,
  - > Interest: Positive or negative,
- ◆ *Finding Frequent Itemsets:* triangular matrix, table of triples, A-Priori Algorithm, ... Next lecture.