

Discussion 4

Discussion 4 - Thursday, July 10th

Reminders

1. Quiz 4 on **July 15th**. All usual rules apply, logistics post soon
2. Project 4 released, due **Wednesday, July 16th @ 11:59pm**.

Operational Semantics

Problem 1:

Using the rules below, show: $1 + (2 + 3) \Rightarrow 6$

$$\frac{}{n \Rightarrow n} \quad \frac{e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad e_2 \Rightarrow n_2 \quad n_3 \text{ is } n_1 + n_2}{e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow n_3}$$

Problem 2:

Using the rules given below, show: $A; \text{let } y = 1 \text{ in let } x = 2 \text{ in } x \Rightarrow 2$

$$\frac{}{A; n \Rightarrow n} \quad \frac{A(x) = v}{A; x \Rightarrow v} \quad \frac{A; e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad A, x : v_1; e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{A; \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \Rightarrow v_2} \quad \frac{A; e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad A; e_2 \Rightarrow n_2 \quad n_3 \text{ is } n_1 + n_2}{A; e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow n_3}$$

Problem 3:

Using the rules given below, show: $A; \text{let } x = 3 \text{ in let } x = x + 6 \text{ in } x \Rightarrow 9$

$$\frac{}{A; n \Rightarrow n} \quad \frac{A(x) = v}{A; x \Rightarrow v} \quad \frac{A; e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad A, x : v_1; e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{A; \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \Rightarrow v_2} \quad \frac{A; e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad A; e_2 \Rightarrow n_2 \quad n_3 \text{ is } n_1 + n_2}{A; e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow n_3}$$

Problem 4:

Note: This problem takes a long time, I recommend completing in your own time!

Using the rules given below, show:

$A; \text{let } x = 2 \text{ in let } y = 3 \text{ in let } x = x + 2 \text{ in } x + y \Rightarrow 7$

$$\frac{}{A; n \Rightarrow n} \quad \frac{A(x) = v}{A; x \Rightarrow v} \quad \frac{A; e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad A, x: v_1; e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{A; \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \Rightarrow v_2} \quad \frac{A; e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad A; e_2 \Rightarrow n_2 \quad n_3 \text{ is } n_1 + n_2}{A; e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow n_3}$$

▼ Solutions!

Problem 1: Show $1 + (2 + 3) \Rightarrow 6$.

$$\frac{\frac{1 \Rightarrow 1}{1 \Rightarrow 1} \quad \frac{\frac{2 \Rightarrow 2 \quad 3 \Rightarrow 3}{5 \text{ is } 2+3}}{(2+3) \Rightarrow 5} \quad 6 \text{ is } 1+5}{1 + (2+3) \Rightarrow 6}$$

image

Problem 2: Show $A; \text{let } y=1 \text{ in let } x=2 \text{ in } x \Rightarrow 2$

$$\frac{\frac{A; 1 \Rightarrow 1}{A; 1 \Rightarrow 1} \quad \frac{\frac{A, y:1, x:2(x) = 2}{A, y:1, x:2; x \Rightarrow 2}}{A, y:1; \text{let } x=2 \text{ in } x \Rightarrow 2}}{A; \text{let } y=1 \text{ in let } x=2 \text{ in } x \Rightarrow 2}$$

A is our "environment"

image

Problem 3: Show $A; \text{let } x=3 \text{ in let } x=x+6 \text{ in } x \Rightarrow 9$

Take note of shadowing!

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{A, x:3(x)=3} \\
 \frac{}{A, x:3; x \Rightarrow 3} \quad \frac{}{A, x:3; 6 \Rightarrow 6} \quad \frac{}{9 \text{ is } 3+6} \quad \frac{}{A, x:3, x:9(x)=9} \\
 \frac{}{A, x:3; x+6 \Rightarrow 9} \quad \frac{}{A, x:3, x:9; x \Rightarrow 9} \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{A; 3 \Rightarrow 3} \quad \frac{}{A, x:3; \text{let } x=x+6 \text{ in } x \Rightarrow 9} \\
 \hline
 A; \text{let } x=3 \text{ in let } x=x+6 \text{ in } x \Rightarrow 9
 \end{array}$$

e_1 e_2

image

Problem 4: Show $A; \text{let } x=2 \text{ in let } y=3 \text{ in let } x=x+2 \text{ in } x+y=7$

Take note of shadowing here!

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{A, x:2, y:3(x)=2} \\
 \frac{}{A, x:2, y:3; x \Rightarrow 2} \quad \frac{}{A, x:2, y:3; 2 \Rightarrow 2} \quad \frac{}{4 \text{ is } 2+2} \quad \frac{}{A, x:2, y:3, x:4(x)=4} \quad \frac{}{A, x:2, y:3, x:4(y)=3} \\
 \frac{}{A, x:2, y:3; x+2 \Rightarrow 4} \quad \frac{}{A, x:2, y:3, x:4; x \Rightarrow 4} \quad \frac{}{A, x:2, y:3, x:4; y \Rightarrow 3} \quad \frac{}{7 \text{ is } 4+3} \\
 \frac{}{A, x:2, y:3; x+y \Rightarrow 7} \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{A, x:2; 3 \Rightarrow 3} \quad \frac{}{A, x:2, y:3; \text{let } x=x+2 \text{ in } x+y \Rightarrow 7} \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{A; 2 \Rightarrow 2} \quad \frac{}{A, x:2; \text{let } y=3 \text{ in let } x=x+2 \text{ in } x+y \Rightarrow 7} \\
 \hline
 A; \text{let } x=2 \text{ in let } y=3 \text{ in let } x=x+2 \text{ in } x+y \Rightarrow 7
 \end{array}$$

e_1 e_2

image

Type Checking

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{G \vdash x : G(x)} \quad \frac{}{G \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool}} \quad \frac{}{G \vdash \text{false} : \text{bool}} \quad \frac{}{G \vdash n : \text{int}} \\
 \frac{G \vdash e1 : t1 \quad G, x : t1 \vdash e2 : t2}{G \vdash \text{let } x = e1 \text{ in } e2 : t2} \quad \frac{G \vdash e1 : \text{bool} \quad G \vdash e2 : \text{bool}}{G \vdash e1 \text{ and } e2 : \text{bool}} \\
 \frac{G \vdash e : \text{int}}{G \vdash \text{eq0 } e : \text{bool}} \quad \frac{G \vdash e1 : \text{bool} \quad G \vdash e2 : t \quad G \vdash e3 : t}{G \vdash \text{if } e1 \text{ then } e2 \text{ else } e3 : t}
 \end{array}$$

Using the rules given above, show that the following statements are **well typed**: 1. `eq0 if true then 0 else 1` 2. `let x = 5 in eq0 x and false`

▼ Solutions!

1.

$$\frac{\frac{G \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool} \quad G \vdash 0 : \text{int} \quad G \vdash 1 : \text{int}}{G \vdash \text{if true then } 0 \text{ else } 1 : \text{int}}}{G \vdash \text{eq0 if true then } 0 \text{ else } 1 : \text{bool}}$$

image

2.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{G, x : \text{int} \vdash (x) = \text{int}}{G, x : \text{int} \vdash x : \text{int}} \quad \frac{G, x : \text{int} \vdash \text{eq0 } x : \text{bool} \quad G, x : \text{int} \vdash \text{false} : \text{bool}}{G, x : \text{int} \vdash \text{eq0 } x \text{ and false} : \text{bool}}}{G \vdash 5 : \text{int} \quad G, x : \text{int} \vdash \text{eq0 } x \text{ and false} : \text{bool}}}{G \vdash \text{let } x = 5 \text{ in eq0 } x \text{ and false} : \text{bool}}$$

image

Type Inference

There is 2 parts of type inference that we care about: - constraint construction - constraint solving

Constraint construction problems

$$\frac{}{G \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool} \rightarrow} \quad \frac{}{G \vdash \text{false} : \text{bool} \rightarrow} \quad \frac{}{G \vdash n : \text{int} \rightarrow}$$

$$\frac{G \vdash e_1 : t_1 \rightarrow C_1 \quad G \vdash e_2 : t_2 \rightarrow C_2}{G \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{int} \rightarrow t_1:t_2, t_1:\text{int} \cup C_1 \cup C_2}$$

$$\frac{G \vdash e_1 : t_1 \rightarrow C_1 \quad G \vdash e_2 : t_2 \rightarrow C_2}{G \vdash e_1 \&\& e_2 : \text{bool} \rightarrow t_1:t_2, t_1:\text{bool} \cup C_1 \cup C_2}$$

$$\frac{G \vdash e : t_1 \rightarrow C}{G \vdash \text{eq0 } e : \text{bool} \rightarrow t_1:\text{int} \cup C}$$

$$\frac{G \vdash e_1 : t_1 \rightarrow C_1 \quad G \vdash e_2 : t_2 \rightarrow C_2 \quad G \vdash e_3 : t_3 \rightarrow C_3}{G \vdash \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 : t_2 \rightarrow t_1:\text{bool}, t_2:t_3 \cup C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3}$$

?

Use the above rules to show the type inference proof for the following: 1. `true && eq0 5` 2. `true +`
 4 3. `if true then 1 + 2 else 5` 4. `if true && false then 1 + 2 else eq0 0`

▼ Solutions!

$$1. \quad \frac{\frac{}{G \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool} \rightarrow} \quad \frac{G \vdash 5 : \text{int} \rightarrow}{G \vdash \text{eq0 } 5 : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{int}:\text{int}}}{G \vdash \text{true} \&\& \text{eq0 } 5 : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}:\text{bool}, \text{bool}:\text{bool}, \text{int}:\text{int}}$$

◦ proof:

$$\frac{\frac{}{G \vdash \text{true} : t_1 \rightarrow} \quad \frac{G \vdash 5 : t_3 \rightarrow}{G \vdash \text{eq0 } 5 : t_2 \rightarrow t_3:\text{int}}}{G \vdash \text{true} \&\& \text{eq0 } 5 : \text{bool} \rightarrow t_1:\text{bool}, t_2:\text{bool}, t_3:\text{int}}$$

where

- $t_1 = \text{bool}$
- $t_2 = \text{bool}$
- $t_3 = \text{int}$

2. INVALID -

$$\frac{\frac{}{G \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool} \dashv} \quad \frac{}{G \vdash 4 : \text{int} \dashv}}{G \vdash \text{true} + 4 : \text{bool} \dashv \text{bool} : \text{int}}$$

◦ proof:

$$\frac{\frac{}{G \vdash \text{true} : t_1 \dashv} \quad \frac{\frac{}{G \vdash 5 : t_3 \dashv}}{G \vdash \text{eq0 } 5 : t_2 \dashv t_3 : \text{int}}}{G \dashv \text{true} \&\& \text{eq0 } 5 : \text{bool} \dashv t_1 : \text{bool}, t_2 : \text{bool}, t_3 : \text{int}}$$

where

- $t_1 = \text{bool}$
- $t_2 = \text{int}$
- $\text{bool} : \text{int}, \text{bool} : \text{int}$ is a contradiction, hence invalid

3.

$$\frac{\frac{}{G \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool} \dashv} \quad \frac{\frac{}{G \vdash 1 : \text{int} \dashv} \quad \frac{}{G \vdash 2 : \text{int} \dashv}}{G \vdash 1 + 2 : \text{int} \dashv \text{int} : \text{int}} \quad \frac{}{G \vdash 5 : \text{int} \dashv}}{G \vdash \text{if } \text{true} \text{ then } 1 + 2 \text{ else } 5 : \text{int} \dashv \text{int} : \text{int}, \text{bool} : \text{bool}}$$

◦ proof:

$$\frac{\frac{}{G \vdash \text{true} : t_1 \dashv} \quad \frac{\frac{}{G \vdash 1 : t_4 \dashv} \quad \frac{}{G \vdash 2 : t_5 \dashv}}{G \vdash 1 + 2 : t_2 \dashv t_4 : t_5, t_4 : \text{int}} \quad \frac{}{G \vdash 5 : t_3 \dashv}}{G \vdash \text{if } \text{true} \text{ then } 1 + 2 \text{ else } 5 : \text{int} \dashv t_1 : \text{bool}, t_2 : t_3, t_4 : t_5, t_4 : \text{int}}$$

where

- $t_1 = \text{bool}$
- $t_2 = \text{int}$
- $t_3 = \text{int}$
- $t_4 = \text{int}$
- $t_5 = \text{int}$

4. INVALID -

$$\frac{\frac{}{G \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool} \dashv} \quad \frac{}{G \vdash \text{false} : \text{bool} \dashv} \quad \frac{\frac{}{G \vdash 1 : \text{int} \dashv} \quad \frac{}{G \vdash 2 : \text{int} \dashv}}{G \vdash 1 + 2 : \text{int} \dashv \text{int} : \text{int}} \quad \frac{}{G \vdash 0 : \text{int} \dashv}}{G \vdash \text{true} \&\& \text{false} : \text{bool} \dashv \text{bool} : \text{bool} \quad G \vdash \text{eq0 } 0 : \text{bool} \dashv \text{int} : \text{int}}{G \vdash \text{if } \text{true} \&\& \text{false} \text{ then } 1 + 2 \text{ else } \text{eq0 } 0 : \text{int} \dashv \text{bool} : \text{bool}, \text{int} : \text{int}, \text{int} : \text{bool}}$$

- proof:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{G \vdash \text{true} : t_4 \dashv} \quad \overline{G \vdash \text{false} : t_5 \dashv}}{G \vdash \text{true} \&\& \text{false} : t_1 \dashv t_4 : t_5, t_4 : \text{bool}} \quad \frac{\overline{G \vdash 1 : t_6 \dashv} \quad \overline{G \vdash 2 : t_7 \dashv}}{G \vdash 1 + 2 : t_2 \dashv t_6 : t_7, t_6 : \text{int}} \quad \overline{G \vdash 0 : t_8 \dashv}}{G \vdash \text{eq0 } 0 : t_3 \dashv t_8 : \text{int}}$$

$$G \vdash \text{if true} \&\& \text{false then } 1 + 2 \text{ else eq0 } 0 : \text{int} \dashv t_1 : \text{bool}, t_2 : t_3, t_4 : t_5, t_4 : \text{bool}, t_6 : t_7, t_6 : \text{int}, t_8 : \text{int}$$

where

- $t_1 = \text{bool}$
- $t_2 = \text{int}$
- $t_3 = \text{bool}$
- $t_4 = \text{bool}$
- $t_5 = \text{bool}$
- $t_6 = \text{int}$
- $t_7 = \text{int}$
- $t_8 = \text{int}$
- $t_2 = t_2 = \text{int} \wedge t_3 = \text{bool} \wedge t_2 : t_3 \Rightarrow \text{bool} : \text{int}$ which is a contradiction

Now let's add variables and an unknown type: $\overline{G \vdash \text{read}() : t \dashv}$ (read returns some unknown type)

?

$$\frac{\overline{G \vdash x : G(x)}}{G \vdash x : G(x)} \quad \frac{G \vdash e_1 : t_1 \dashv C_1 \quad G, x : t_1 \vdash e_2 : t_2 \dashv C_2}{G \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : t_2 \dashv C_1 \cup C_2}$$

Note: this does not support shadowing of variables (behavior is more like binding a mutable variable)

1. `let x = read () in x + 1`
2. `let x = read () in let y = read () in x && y`
3. `let x = read () in if x then x else eq0 x`

▼ Solutions!

$$\frac{\overline{G \vdash \text{read}() : t \dashv} \quad \frac{\overline{G, x : t \vdash x : G, x : t(x) \dashv} \quad \overline{G, x : t \vdash 1 : \text{int} \dashv}}{G, x : t_0 \vdash x + 1 : \text{int} \dashv t : \text{int}, \text{int} : \text{int}}}{G \vdash \text{let } x = \text{read}() \text{ in } x + 1 : \text{int} \dashv t : \text{int}, \text{int} : \text{int}}$$

- proof:

$$\frac{\frac{}{G \vdash \text{read}(): t_1 \dashv} \quad \frac{\frac{}{G, x:t_1 \vdash x: G, x:t_1(x) \dashv} \quad \frac{}{G, x:t_1 \vdash 1:t_3 \dashv}}{G, x:t_1 \vdash x + 1: t_2 \dashv t_1: \text{int}, t_3: \text{int}}}{G \vdash \text{let } x = \text{read}() \text{ in } x + 1: t_2 \dashv t_1: \text{int}, t_3: \text{int}}$$

where

- t_1 = Unknown Type ('a')
- t_2 = int
- t_3 = int
- notice our constraints let us show that 'a = int!

$$\bullet \frac{\frac{}{G \vdash \text{read}(): t_1 \dashv} \quad \frac{\frac{}{G, x:t_1 \vdash \text{read}(): t_2 \dashv} \quad \frac{\frac{}{G, y:t_2 \vdash x: G, y:t_2(x) \dashv} \quad \frac{}{G, y:t_2 \vdash y: G, y:t_2(y) \dashv}}{G, y:t_2 \vdash x \&\& y: \text{bool} \dashv t_1: \text{bool}, t_2: \text{bool}}}{G, x:t_1 \vdash \text{let } y = \text{read}() \text{ in } x \&\& y: \text{bool} \dashv t_1: \text{bool}, t_2: \text{bool}}}{G \vdash \text{let } x = \text{read}() \text{ in let } y = \text{read}() \text{ in } x \&\& y: \text{bool} \dashv t_1: \text{bool}, t_2: \text{bool}}$$

◦ proof

$$\frac{\frac{}{G \vdash \text{read}(): t_1 \dashv} \quad \frac{\frac{}{G, x:t_1 \vdash \text{read}(): t_2 \dashv} \quad \frac{\frac{}{G, y:t_2 \vdash x: G, y:t_2(x) \dashv} \quad \frac{}{G, y:t_2 \vdash y: G, y:t_2(y) \dashv}}{G, y:t_2 \vdash x \&\& y: t_3 \dashv t_1: \text{bool}, t_2: \text{bool}}}{G, x:t_1 \vdash \text{let } y = \text{read}() \text{ in } x \&\& y: t_3 \dashv t_1: \text{bool}, t_2: \text{bool}}}{G \vdash \text{let } x = \text{read}() \text{ in let } y = \text{read}() \text{ in } x \&\& y: t_3 \dashv t_1: \text{bool}, t_2: \text{bool}}$$

where

- t_1 = Unknown Type ('a')
- t_2 = Unknown Type ('b')
- t_3 = bool
- notice our constraints let us show that 'a = bool, and 'b = bool'!

• INVALID -

$$\frac{\frac{}{G \vdash \text{read}(): t \dashv} \quad \frac{\frac{}{G, x:t \vdash x: G, x:t(x) \dashv} \quad \frac{}{G, x:t \vdash x: G, x:t(x) \dashv} \quad \frac{}{G, x:t \vdash \text{eq0 } x: \text{bool} \dashv t: \text{int}}}{G, x:t \vdash \text{if } x \text{ then } x \text{ else eq0 } x: t \dashv t: \text{int}, t: \text{bool}}}{G \vdash \text{let } x = \text{read}() \text{ in if } x \text{ then } x \text{ else eq0 } x: t \dashv t: \text{int}, t: \text{bool}}$$

◦ proof:

$$\frac{\frac{}{G \vdash \text{read}(): t_1 \dashv} \quad \frac{\frac{}{G, x:t_1 \vdash x: G, x:t_1(x) \dashv} \quad \frac{}{G, x:t_1 \vdash x: G, x:t_1(x) \dashv} \quad \frac{}{G, x:t_1 \vdash \text{eq0 } x: t_2 \dashv t_1: \text{int}}}{G, x:t_1 \vdash \text{if } x \text{ then } x \text{ else eq0 } x: t_1 \dashv t_1: \text{bool}, t_1: t_2, t_1: \text{int}}}{G \vdash \text{let } x = \text{read}() \text{ in if } x \text{ then } x \text{ else eq0 } x: t_1 \dashv t_1: \text{bool}, t_1: t_2, t_1: \text{int}}$$

where

- t_1 = Unknown Type ('a'))
- t_2 = bool (Notice our constraints show a contradiction: $t_1 : \text{bool} \wedge t_1 : \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{bool} : \text{int}$)

Constraint Solving

Indicate if each of the following constraint sets contain any contradictions. (Maybe show unification steps if needed. idk.)

1. {Int: Int}
2. {Bool: Int}
3. {Int: Int, Bool: Bool, Int: Int}
4. {Bool: Bool, Int: Bool}
5. {'a: Int}
6. {'a: Bool, 'a: 'a}
7. {'a: 'b, 'a: bool, 'b: bool}
8. {'a: 'b, 'a: bool, 'b: 'c}
9. {'a: 'b, 'b: 'c, 'a: 'd}
10. {'a: 'b, 'a: int, 'b: int, 'c: 'b, 'c: bool}
11. {'a: 'b, 'b: int, 'a: 'c, 'c: 'a, 'c: 'd, 'd: bool, 'c: int}



Unification steps

Go through the constraints, and take a constraint pair c and do the following - if c is $x:x$ (like int:int , or $'a:'a$), remove from constraints - if c is $x:\text{type}$ or $\text{type}:x$ (like $'a:\text{int}$ or $\text{int}:'a$), remove from constraints and edit all the other constraints in the set by replacing x with type - if c is $\text{type}_1:\text{type}_2$ (like int:bool), then stop and say contradiction, inference fails

Do the above until the set is empty or error occurs

▼ Solutions!

1. No contradictions
2. Yes, $\text{Bool} \neq \text{Int}$
3. No contradictions
4. Yes, $\text{Bool} \neq \text{Int}$
5. No contradictions
6. No contradictions
7. No contradictions
8. No contradictions
9. No contradictions
10. Yes, $'a = \text{int} \Rightarrow 'b = 'c = \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{Bool} = \text{Int}$
11. Yes

Subtyping

Definition:

If S is a subtype of T , written $S <: T$, then an S can be used anywhere a T is expected.

`int <: int` `int` is not a subtype of `bool`

In the context of subtyping records, we can take a more liberal view of record types, seeing a record as “the set of all records with *at least* a field [name] of type [type]”. This means that the “smaller” subtype is actually the one with more fields in the record.

`{x:int, y:int, z:bool} <: {x:int, y:int}` `{y:int} <: {}` `{x:int}` is not a subtype of `{y:int}`

Also, the types within the records can contain subtypes. A record A is a subtype of record B if all of the variables within A are subtypes of equivalently named variables in B .

`{x:{a:int, b:int}, y:{m:int}}` `<: {x:{a:int}, y}`

Properties of subtyping:

- Reflexivity: for any type A , $A <: A$
- Transitivity: if $A <: B$ $B <: C$, then $A <: C$
- Permutation: components of a record are not ordered
 - `{x:a, y:b} <: {x:b, y:a}`
 - `{x:b, y:a} <: {x:a, y:b}`



For more information about subtyping, take a look at the subtyping information from this [past 330 type checking project](https://github.com/cmssc330spring24/cmssc330spring24/blob/main/projects/project5.md) [↗](https://github.com/cmssc330spring24/cmssc330spring24/blob/main/projects/project5.md) [_](https://github.com/cmssc330spring24/cmssc330spring24/blob/main/projects/project5.md).

A different type of opsem problem

Another one like this is available on [Exam 2 Spring 2024](https://bakalian.cs.umd.edu/assets/past_assignments/spring24e2sols.pdf) [↗](https://bakalian.cs.umd.edu/assets/past_assignments/spring24e2sols.pdf) [_](https://bakalian.cs.umd.edu/assets/past_assignments/spring24e2sols.pdf), the one below is taken from [Exam 2 Fall 2024](https://bakalian.cs.umd.edu/assets/past_assignments/fall24e2solns.pdf) [↗](https://bakalian.cs.umd.edu/assets/past_assignments/fall24e2solns.pdf) [_](https://bakalian.cs.umd.edu/assets/past_assignments/fall24e2solns.pdf).

You are given two sets of rules for two different languages.

These rules are valid for both languages:

$$\frac{}{\text{true} \rightarrow \text{true}} \quad \frac{}{\text{false} \rightarrow \text{false}} \quad \frac{A(x) = v}{A; x \rightarrow v}$$

These two rules are valid *only for language 1*:

$$\frac{A; e_1 \rightarrow v_1 \quad A; e_2 \rightarrow v_2 \quad v_3 = \text{if } v_1 \text{ then not } v_2 \text{ else } v_2}{A; e_1 \ e_2 \text{ op1} \rightarrow v_3}$$

$$\frac{A; e_1 \rightarrow v_1 \quad A, x: v_1; e_2 \rightarrow v_2}{A; \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \rightarrow v_2}$$

These two rules are valid *only for language 2*:

$$\frac{A; e_1 \rightarrow v_1 \quad A; e_2 \rightarrow v_2 \quad v_3 = \text{if } v_1 \text{ then not } v_2 \text{ else } v_2}{A; \text{op2 } e_2 \ e_1 \rightarrow v_3}$$

$$\frac{A; e_2 \rightarrow v_1 \quad A, x: v_1; e_1 \rightarrow v_2}{A; (\text{fun } x \rightarrow e_1) \ e_2 \rightarrow v_2}$$



Convert the following Language 1 sentence to its language 2 counterpart

A; let x = true in (true x op1)

How do we approach this sort of problem?

First, figure out what the sentence *means* - what is it actually doing?

In this case, Language 1 says that **A; let x = true in true x op1** tells us to use this rule first, with **x = x**, **e1 = true**, and **e2 = true x op1**:

$$\frac{A; e_1 \rightarrow v_1 \quad A, x: v_1; e_2 \rightarrow v_2}{A; \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \rightarrow v_2}$$

Recall that the bottom half of this is just a representation of what the sentence looks like in the

language. The top half is what the language *means*.

Which rule in language 2 has the same *meaning* as that one? This one looks very similar...

$$\frac{A; e_2 \rightarrow v_1 \quad A, x: v_1; e_1 \rightarrow v_2}{A; (\text{fun } x \rightarrow e_1) e_2 \rightarrow v_2}$$

► So, can we just plug in e_1 for e_1 and e_2 for e_2 ?

Given that, we can convert like this:

$A; \text{let } x = \text{true in true } x \text{ op1}$

to

$A; (\text{fun } x \rightarrow \text{true } x \text{ op1}) \text{true} \rightarrow v_2$

Okay, now we're closer, but $\text{true } x \text{ op1}$ is still a Language 1 construct, so we need convert that part as well.

What does $\text{true } x \text{ op1}$ mean in Language 1?



$$\frac{A; e_1 \rightarrow v_1 \quad A; e_2 \rightarrow v_2 \quad v_3 = \text{if } v_1 \text{ then not } v_2 \text{ else } v_2}{A; e_1 e_2 \text{ op1} \rightarrow v_3}$$

With $e_1 = \text{true}$, $e_2 = x$. What's the matching Language 2 rule?

$$\frac{A; e_1 \rightarrow v_1 \quad A; e_2 \rightarrow v_2 \quad v_3 = \text{if } v_1 \text{ then not } v_2 \text{ else } v_2}{A; \text{op2 } e_2 e_1 \rightarrow v_3}$$







► Here, can we just plug in e_1 for e_1 and e_2 for e_2 ?

So Language 1's $\text{true } x \text{ op1}$ becomes Language 2's $\text{op2 } x \text{ true}$

Let's put it all together!

► Solution!

Additional Readings & Resources

- [Professor Mamat's Type Checking Slides](https://bakalian.cs.umd.edu/assets/slides/19-Typechecking.pdf)  (<https://bakalian.cs.umd.edu/assets/slides/19-Typechecking.pdf>)
- [Type Checker Problem Generator](https://bakalian.cs.umd.edu/330/practice/typechecker)  (<https://bakalian.cs.umd.edu/330/practice/typechecker>)
- [Subtyping Reference from TAPL](https://www.cs.umd.edu/class/spring2024/cmsc330-030X-040X/assets/slides/TAPL_Ch._15.pdf)  (https://www.cs.umd.edu/class/spring2024/cmsc330-030X-040X/assets/slides/TAPL_Ch._15.pdf)
- [Professor Mamat's Operational Semantics Slides](https://bakalian.cs.umd.edu/assets/slides/17-semantics.pdf)  (<https://bakalian.cs.umd.edu/assets/slides/17-semantics.pdf>)
- [Fall 2022 - Discussion 10 \(Operational Semantics\)](https://github.com/umd-cmsc330/fall2022/tree/main/discussions/discussion10#operational-semantics)  (<https://github.com/umd-cmsc330/fall2022/tree/main/discussions/discussion10#operational-semantics>)
- [OpSem Problem Generator](https://bakalian.cs.umd.edu/330/practice/opsem)  (<https://bakalian.cs.umd.edu/330/practice/opsem>)

