Vehicle Dynamics and Simulation

Ride Dynamics

Dr B Mason

Loughborough University

Lecture overview

- Excitation input
- Quarter car model
- Ride response
  - Active suspension
- Human perception

The Ride System

- The Ride System
  - Excitation
  - Response
  - Vibration
  - Perception

- Analyses in time or frequency domains



Excitation: Road Roughness

- The road surface is the most significant excitation source.



Excitation: Road Roughness

- A model for generating excitation input
- Generator source: random sequence
- Described using;

$$G_Z(\upsilon) = G_O\left[1 + (\upsilon_O/\upsilon)^2\right]/(2\pi\upsilon)^2 \qquad [1]$$

where;

$G_Z(\upsilon)$ = PSD amplitude (feet^2/cycle/foot)
υ = Wavenumber (cycles/foot)
G_O = Roughness magnitude parameter (1.25×10^5 for rough roads, 1.25×10^6 for smooth)
υ_O = Cutoff wavenumber (0.02 cycles/foot for rough roads, 0.05 cycles/foot for smooth)

Excitation: Road Roughness

- Simulated roads can be created using [1] or a random number sequence (coloured noise)
- Multiplying cycles/distance (cyc/ft, cyc/m) by vehicle speed gives frequency -> from which PSD can be plotted.



Frequency [cyc/s] = wave number [cyc/m] x speed [m/s]

Excitation: Secondary Effects

- Secondary effects include vibration
  - Driveline
  - Engine
  - Wheel/tyre
- Typically, at higher frequency than primary excitation sources
- Runout occurs due to deformation of the tyre. Imperfections result in different harmonics i.e., mode shapes



'Runout' due to tyre deformation



The Quarter Car Model

- The simplest ‘useful’ representation of vertical ride dynamics



$M = 300kg$
$m = 30kg$
$K_s = 20000$ N/m
$K_t = 160000$ N/m
$C_s = 1500$ Ns/m (also written as B_s below)

- More simple representations (for quick calcs) is possible considering different modes in isolation.



Body bounce



Wheel hop

Loughborough University

The Quarter Car Model: Body bounce

- Considering body bounce (springs acting in series);

$$K_{bb} = \frac{K_s K_t}{K_s + K_t}$$

- The natural frequency, ω_n;

$$\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{K_{bb}}{M}}$$

- The actual response is damped by the damping ratio, ζ (typically 0.2 – 0.4)

$$\omega_d = \omega_n \sqrt{1 - \zeta} \quad \text{with} \quad \zeta = \frac{B_s}{\sqrt{4 K_{bb} M}}$$

Loughborough University

The Quarter Car Model: Wheel hop

- For wheel hop;

$$K_{wh} = K_s + K_t$$

- So that the natural frequency, ω_n

$$\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{K_{wh}}{m}}$$

Ride Response

Input: from road



Modelled system



Body bounce

Wheel hop

Output: suspension response



High frequency attenuation

Loughborough University

Ride Response

- ω_n of the sprung mass can be changed by changing stiffness, K_{bb}.
- K_s and K_t act in series. K_t is significantly stiffer and therefore the response is dominated by K_s.
- Limited by;
  - Suspension travel
  - Handling performance
  - Nausea





Changes to K_s to change ω_n of the sprung mass.

Loughborough University

Ride Response

- By changing damping also, the peak body response can be reduced.
- There are other consequences though for the higher frequencies whose transmission to the body becomes greater.



Effectively ‘tied’ together body and wheel.

Loughborough University

Active Suspension





Less easy to manage wheel hop



Bounce and Pitch

- Quarter car model – good for body bounce analysis
- Half car model required for pitch and bounce analysis
- What you feel depends on where you are (centre vs one end or the other)
- Principle problem with pitch is the fore-aft motion it causes – nausea!



Loughborough University

Bounce and Pitch: Wheelbase Filtering

- Spacing of the front and rear suspensions can couple with road 'wavelength'.
- Very few roads are sinusoidal!



Bounce and Pitch: Ride Rates



- By making <u>front ride rate lower</u> it is possible to reduce the discomfort of pitching.
- As you hit a bump this induces pitch but resolves to bounce as the rear end ‘catches up’ with the front.

Human Perception

- We are interested in human perception
- Much like the vehicle the human body responds to different ’excitation’ frequencies in different ways.

Ride discomfort (lines of equal tolerance)



Loughborough University

Human Perception

- Fore-aft vibration lines of ‘equal comfort’
- Fore-aft tolerance no the same as vertical tolerance.



Loughborough University

Conclusions

- Excitation input
- Quarter car model
- Ride response
    - Active suspension
- Human perception