

# Annual Internal Audit Report

**Fiscal Year 2024**

Enterprise Risk Management & Compliance Assessment

# Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary .....	3
2. Risk Assessment Findings .....	5
3. Control Framework Evaluation .....	18
4. Compliance Review Results .....	32
5. Recommendations .....	45
6. Appendix: Audit Policies .....	50

# 1. Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the annual internal audit conducted for the fiscal year 2024. The audit focused on enterprise risk management, internal controls, and regulatory compliance across all business units.

## 1.1 Key Findings Overview

The audit identified 18 areas requiring management attention, including 5 high-priority items. Overall control effectiveness was rated as satisfactory with opportunities for improvement in operational efficiency and compliance monitoring processes. Detailed findings are presented in subsequent sections.

The audit identified 18 areas requiring management attention, including 6 high-priority items. Overall control effectiveness was rated as satisfactory with opportunities for improvement in operational efficiency and compliance monitoring processes. Detailed findings are presented in subsequent sections.

The audit identified 18 areas requiring management attention, including 7 high-priority items. Overall control effectiveness was rated as satisfactory with opportunities for improvement in operational efficiency and compliance monitoring processes. Detailed findings are presented in subsequent sections.

## 1.2 Key Findings Overview

The audit identified 21 areas requiring management attention, including 5 high-priority items. Overall control effectiveness was rated as satisfactory with opportunities for improvement in operational efficiency and compliance monitoring processes. Detailed findings are presented in subsequent sections.

The audit identified 21 areas requiring management attention, including 6 high-priority items. Overall control effectiveness was rated as satisfactory with opportunities for improvement in operational efficiency and compliance monitoring processes. Detailed findings are presented in subsequent sections.

The audit identified 21 areas requiring management attention, including 7 high-priority items. Overall control effectiveness was rated as satisfactory with opportunities for improvement in operational efficiency and compliance monitoring processes. Detailed findings are presented in subsequent sections.

## 1.3 Key Findings Overview

The audit identified 24 areas requiring management attention, including 5 high-priority items. Overall control effectiveness was rated as satisfactory with opportunities for improvement in operational efficiency and compliance monitoring processes. Detailed findings are presented in subsequent sections.

The audit identified 24 areas requiring management attention, including 6 high-priority items. Overall control effectiveness was rated as satisfactory with opportunities for improvement in operational efficiency and compliance monitoring processes. Detailed findings are presented in subsequent sections.

The audit identified 24 areas requiring management attention, including 7 high-priority items. Overall control effectiveness was rated as satisfactory with opportunities for improvement in operational efficiency and compliance monitoring processes. Detailed findings are presented in subsequent sections.

## 2. Risk Assessment Findings

The risk assessment evaluated inherent and residual risks across strategic, operational, financial, and compliance categories.

### 2.1 Strategic Risks

Risk ID	Description	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
R-101	Market volatility impact on revenue	High	Medium	High
R-102	Supply chain disruption risk	High	High	Critical
R-103	Talent retention challenges	Medium	Medium	Medium
R-104	Regulatory compliance gaps	High	Low	Medium
R-105	Cybersecurity threat exposure	Critical	Medium	High

Analysis of strategic risks reveals significant exposure in areas 1 through 3. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

Analysis of strategic risks reveals significant exposure in areas 2 through 4. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

Analysis of strategic risks reveals significant exposure in areas 3 through 5. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

Analysis of strategic risks reveals significant exposure in areas 4 through 6. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

## 2.2 Operational Risks

Risk ID	Description	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
R-201	Market volatility impact on revenue	High	Medium	High
R-202	Supply chain disruption risk	High	High	Critical
R-203	Talent retention challenges	Medium	Medium	Medium
R-204	Regulatory compliance gaps	High	Low	Medium
R-205	Cybersecurity threat exposure	Critical	Medium	High

Analysis of operational risks reveals significant exposure in areas 1 through 3. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

Analysis of operational risks reveals significant exposure in areas 2 through 4. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

Analysis of operational risks reveals significant exposure in areas 3 through 5. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

Analysis of operational risks reveals significant exposure in areas 4 through 6. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

## 2.3 Financial Risks

Risk ID	Description	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
R-301	Market volatility impact on revenue	High	Medium	High
R-302	Supply chain disruption risk	High	High	Critical
R-303	Talent retention challenges	Medium	Medium	Medium
R-304	Regulatory compliance gaps	High	Low	Medium
R-305	Cybersecurity threat exposure	Critical	Medium	High

Analysis of financial risks reveals significant exposure in areas 1 through 3. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

Analysis of financial risks reveals significant exposure in areas 2 through 4. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

Analysis of financial risks reveals significant exposure in areas 3 through 5. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

Analysis of financial risks reveals significant exposure in areas 4 through 6. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

## 2.4 Compliance Risks

Risk ID	Description	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
R-401	Market volatility impact on revenue	High	Medium	High
R-402	Supply chain disruption risk	High	High	Critical
R-403	Talent retention challenges	Medium	Medium	Medium
R-404	Regulatory compliance gaps	High	Low	Medium
R-405	Cybersecurity threat exposure	Critical	Medium	High

Analysis of compliance risks reveals significant exposure in areas 1 through 3. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

Analysis of compliance risks reveals significant exposure in areas 2 through 4. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

Analysis of compliance risks reveals significant exposure in areas 3 through 5. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

Analysis of compliance risks reveals significant exposure in areas 4 through 6. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

## 2.5 Technology Risks

Risk ID	Description	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
R-501	Market volatility impact on revenue	High	Medium	High
R-502	Supply chain disruption risk	High	High	Critical
R-503	Talent retention challenges	Medium	Medium	Medium
R-504	Regulatory compliance gaps	High	Low	Medium
R-505	Cybersecurity threat exposure	Critical	Medium	High

Analysis of technology risks reveals significant exposure in areas 1 through 3. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

Analysis of technology risks reveals significant exposure in areas 2 through 4. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

Analysis of technology risks reveals significant exposure in areas 3 through 5. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

Analysis of technology risks reveals significant exposure in areas 4 through 6. Current mitigation strategies are partially effective but require enhancement. Management has committed to implementing recommended controls within the next fiscal quarter. Ongoing monitoring will be essential to ensure risk levels remain within acceptable tolerances.

## 3. Control Framework Evaluation

The control framework assessment evaluated design effectiveness and operating effectiveness of key controls across all business processes.

### 3.1 Financial Controls

Control ID	Control Description	Test Result	Deficiencies
C-1001	Segregation of duties verification	Effective	None
C-1002	Authorization approval workflows	Partially Effective	2 Minor
C-1003	Reconciliation processes	Effective	None
C-1004	Access control reviews	Ineffective	1 Major
C-1005	Change management controls	Effective	None

Testing of financial controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 2 controls operating as designed. However, 1 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of financial controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 3 controls operating as designed. However, 2 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of financial controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 4 controls operating as designed. However, 3 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of financial controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 5 controls operating as designed. However, 4 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of financial controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 6 controls operating as designed. However, 5 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

## 3.2 IT General Controls

Control ID	Control Description	Test Result	Deficiencies
C-2001	Segregation of duties verification	Effective	None
C-2002	Authorization approval workflows	Partially Effective	2 Minor
C-2003	Reconciliation processes	Effective	None
C-2004	Access control reviews	Ineffective	1 Major
C-2005	Change management controls	Effective	None

Testing of IT general controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 2 controls operating as designed. However, 1 control deficiency was identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of IT general controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 3 controls operating as designed. However, 2 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of IT general controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 4 controls operating as designed. However, 3 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of IT general controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 5 controls operating as designed. However, 4 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of IT general controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 6 controls operating as designed. However, 5 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

### 3.3 Operations Controls

Control ID	Control Description	Test Result	Deficiencies
C-3001	Segregation of duties verification	Effective	None
C-3002	Authorization approval workflows	Partially Effective	2 Minor
C-3003	Reconciliation processes	Effective	None
C-3004	Access control reviews	Ineffective	1 Major
C-3005	Change management controls	Effective	None

Testing of operations controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 2 controls operating as designed. However, 1 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of operations controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 3 controls operating as designed. However, 2 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of operations controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 4 controls operating as designed. However, 3 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of operations controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 5 controls operating as designed. However, 4 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of operations controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 6 controls operating as designed. However, 5 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

### 3.4 Compliance Controls

Control ID	Control Description	Test Result	Deficiencies
C-4001	Segregation of duties verification	Effective	None
C-4002	Authorization approval workflows	Partially Effective	2 Minor
C-4003	Reconciliation processes	Effective	None
C-4004	Access control reviews	Ineffective	1 Major
C-4005	Change management controls	Effective	None

Testing of compliance controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 2 controls operating as designed. However, 1 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of compliance controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 3 controls operating as designed. However, 2 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of compliance controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 4 controls operating as designed. However, 3 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of compliance controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 5 controls operating as designed. However, 4 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

Testing of compliance controls demonstrated overall satisfactory performance with 6 controls operating as designed. However, 5 control deficiencies were identified requiring management remediation. Action plans have been developed with target completion dates within 90 days. Follow-up testing will validate remediation effectiveness.

## 4. Compliance Review Results

The compliance review assessed adherence to applicable laws, regulations, and internal policies across all operating jurisdictions.

### 4.1 Regulatory Compliance

Finding ID	Requirement	Status	Action Required
F-1001	SOX Section 404 controls	Compliant	None
F-1002	GDPR data subject rights	Non-Compliant	Remediation Plan
F-1003	Industry standard certifications	Compliant	None
F-1004	Environmental reporting	Compliant	None

The regulatory compliance assessment covered 5 regulatory requirements and identified 1 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

The regulatory compliance assessment covered 6 regulatory requirements and identified 2 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

The regulatory compliance assessment covered 7 regulatory requirements and identified 3 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

The regulatory compliance assessment covered 8 regulatory requirements and identified 4 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

The regulatory compliance assessment covered 9 regulatory requirements and identified 5 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

The regulatory compliance assessment covered 10 regulatory requirements and identified 6 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

## 4.2 Data Privacy Compliance

Finding ID	Requirement	Status	Action Required
F-2001	SOX Section 404 controls	Compliant	None
F-2002	GDPR data subject rights	Non-Compliant	Remediation Plan
F-2003	Industry standard certifications	Compliant	None
F-2004	Environmental reporting	Compliant	None

The data privacy compliance assessment covered 5 regulatory requirements and identified 1 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

The data privacy compliance assessment covered 6 regulatory requirements and identified 2 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

The data privacy compliance assessment covered 7 regulatory requirements and identified 3 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

The data privacy compliance assessment covered 8 regulatory requirements and identified 4 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

The data privacy compliance assessment covered 9 regulatory requirements and identified 5 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

The data privacy compliance assessment covered 10 regulatory requirements and identified 6 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

## 4.3 Environmental Compliance

Finding ID	Requirement	Status	Action Required
F-3001	SOX Section 404 controls	Compliant	None
F-3002	GDPR data subject rights	Non-Compliant	Remediation Plan
F-3003	Industry standard certifications	Compliant	None
F-3004	Environmental reporting	Compliant	None

The environmental compliance assessment covered 5 regulatory requirements and identified 1 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

The environmental compliance assessment covered 6 regulatory requirements and identified 2 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

The environmental compliance assessment covered 7 regulatory requirements and identified 3 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

The environmental compliance assessment covered 8 regulatory requirements and identified 4 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

The environmental compliance assessment covered 9 regulatory requirements and identified 5 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

The environmental compliance assessment covered 10 regulatory requirements and identified 6 areas requiring attention. Management has developed comprehensive remediation plans with dedicated resources assigned. Compliance monitoring processes have been enhanced to prevent recurrence. Ongoing assessments will track progress against commitments.

## **5. Recommendations**

Based on audit findings, the following recommendations are provided to strengthen the control environment and address identified deficiencies.

### **5.1 Recommendation #1**

Management should implement enhanced controls for risk area #1 by establishing clear accountability, defining measurable objectives, and allocating appropriate resources. This will improve overall risk posture and ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Implementation timeline: 90-120 days. Expected impact: High.

### **5.2 Recommendation #2**

Management should implement enhanced controls for risk area #2 by establishing clear accountability, defining measurable objectives, and allocating appropriate resources. This will improve overall risk posture and ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Implementation timeline: 90-120 days. Expected impact: High.

### **5.3 Recommendation #3**

Management should implement enhanced controls for risk area #3 by establishing clear accountability, defining measurable objectives, and allocating appropriate resources. This will improve overall risk posture and ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Implementation timeline: 90-120 days. Expected impact: High.

## **5.4 Recommendation #4**

Management should implement enhanced controls for risk area #4 by establishing clear accountability, defining measurable objectives, and allocating appropriate resources. This will improve overall risk posture and ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Implementation timeline: 90-120 days. Expected impact: High.

## **5.5 Recommendation #5**

Management should implement enhanced controls for risk area #5 by establishing clear accountability, defining measurable objectives, and allocating appropriate resources. This will improve overall risk posture and ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Implementation timeline: 90-120 days. Expected impact: High.

## **5.6 Recommendation #6**

Management should implement enhanced controls for risk area #6 by establishing clear accountability, defining measurable objectives, and allocating appropriate resources. This will improve overall risk posture and ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Implementation timeline: 90-120 days. Expected impact: High.

## **5.7 Recommendation #7**

Management should implement enhanced controls for risk area #7 by establishing clear accountability, defining measurable objectives, and allocating appropriate resources. This will improve overall risk posture and ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Implementation timeline: 90-120 days. Expected impact: High.

## **5.8 Recommendation #8**

Management should implement enhanced controls for risk area #8 by establishing clear accountability, defining measurable objectives, and allocating appropriate resources. This will improve overall risk posture and ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Implementation timeline: 90-120 days. Expected impact: High.

## **5.9 Recommendation #9**

Management should implement enhanced controls for risk area #9 by establishing clear accountability, defining measurable objectives, and allocating appropriate resources. This will improve overall risk posture and ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Implementation timeline: 90-120 days. Expected impact: High.

## **5.10 Recommendation #10**

Management should implement enhanced controls for risk area #10 by establishing clear accountability, defining measurable objectives, and allocating appropriate resources. This will improve overall risk posture and ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Implementation timeline: 90-120 days. Expected impact: High.

## **5.11 Recommendation #11**

Management should implement enhanced controls for risk area #11 by establishing clear accountability, defining measurable objectives, and allocating appropriate resources. This will improve overall risk posture and ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Implementation timeline: 90-120 days. Expected impact: High.

## **5.12 Recommendation #12**

Management should implement enhanced controls for risk area #12 by establishing clear accountability, defining measurable objectives, and allocating appropriate resources. This will improve overall risk posture and ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Implementation timeline: 90-120 days. Expected impact: High.

### **5.13 Recommendation #13**

Management should implement enhanced controls for risk area #13 by establishing clear accountability, defining measurable objectives, and allocating appropriate resources. This will improve overall risk posture and ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Implementation timeline: 90-120 days. Expected impact: High.

### **5.14 Recommendation #14**

Management should implement enhanced controls for risk area #14 by establishing clear accountability, defining measurable objectives, and allocating appropriate resources. This will improve overall risk posture and ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Implementation timeline: 90-120 days. Expected impact: High.

### **5.15 Recommendation #15**

Management should implement enhanced controls for risk area #15 by establishing clear accountability, defining measurable objectives, and allocating appropriate resources. This will improve overall risk posture and ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Implementation timeline: 90-120 days. Expected impact: High.

## **6. Appendix: Audit Policies**

The following audit policies and methodologies were applied during this assessment.

### **6.1 Risk Assessment Methodology**

The risk assessment methodology follows industry best practices and professional standards. Audit procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the effectiveness of controls and the accuracy of reported information. All findings are documented with sufficient evidence to support conclusions and recommendations. Independence and objectivity are maintained throughout the audit process.

The risk assessment methodology follows industry best practices and professional standards. Audit procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the effectiveness of controls and the accuracy of reported information. All findings are documented with sufficient evidence to support conclusions and recommendations. Independence and objectivity are maintained throughout the audit process.

The risk assessment methodology follows industry best practices and professional standards. Audit procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the effectiveness of controls and the accuracy of reported information. All findings are documented with sufficient evidence to support conclusions and recommendations. Independence and objectivity are maintained throughout the audit process.

## 6.2 Control Testing Standards

The control testing standards follows industry best practices and professional standards. Audit procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the effectiveness of controls and the accuracy of reported information. All findings are documented with sufficient evidence to support conclusions and recommendations. Independence and objectivity are maintained throughout the audit process.

The control testing standards follows industry best practices and professional standards. Audit procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the effectiveness of controls and the accuracy of reported information. All findings are documented with sufficient evidence to support conclusions and recommendations. Independence and objectivity are maintained throughout the audit process.

The control testing standards follows industry best practices and professional standards. Audit procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the effectiveness of controls and the accuracy of reported information. All findings are documented with sufficient evidence to support conclusions and recommendations. Independence and objectivity are maintained throughout the audit process.

## 6.3 Sampling Techniques

The sampling techniques follows industry best practices and professional standards. Audit procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the effectiveness of controls and the accuracy of reported information. All findings are documented with sufficient evidence to support conclusions and recommendations. Independence and objectivity are maintained throughout the audit process.

The sampling techniques follows industry best practices and professional standards. Audit procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the effectiveness of controls and the accuracy of reported information. All findings are documented with sufficient evidence to support conclusions and recommendations. Independence and objectivity are maintained throughout the audit process.

The sampling techniques follows industry best practices and professional standards. Audit procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the effectiveness of controls and the accuracy of reported information. All findings are documented with sufficient evidence to support conclusions and recommendations. Independence and objectivity are maintained throughout the audit process.

## 6.4 Documentation Requirements

The documentation requirements follows industry best practices and professional standards. Audit procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the effectiveness of controls and the accuracy of reported information. All findings are documented with sufficient evidence to support conclusions and recommendations. Independence and objectivity are maintained throughout the audit process.

The documentation requirements follows industry best practices and professional standards. Audit procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the effectiveness of controls and the accuracy of reported information. All findings are documented with sufficient evidence to support conclusions and recommendations. Independence and objectivity are maintained throughout the audit process.

The documentation requirements follows industry best practices and professional standards. Audit procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the effectiveness of controls and the accuracy of reported information. All findings are documented with sufficient evidence to support conclusions and recommendations. Independence and objectivity are maintained throughout the audit process.

## **6.5 Quality Assurance Procedures**

The quality assurance procedures follows industry best practices and professional standards. Audit procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the effectiveness of controls and the accuracy of reported information. All findings are documented with sufficient evidence to support conclusions and recommendations. Independence and objectivity are maintained throughout the audit process.

The quality assurance procedures follows industry best practices and professional standards. Audit procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the effectiveness of controls and the accuracy of reported information. All findings are documented with sufficient evidence to support conclusions and recommendations. Independence and objectivity are maintained throughout the audit process.

The quality assurance procedures follows industry best practices and professional standards. Audit procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the effectiveness of controls and the accuracy of reported information. All findings are documented with sufficient evidence to support conclusions and recommendations. Independence and objectivity are maintained throughout the audit process.