

Assigned: Friday 11:59 PM, October 25, 2024

Due: Friday 11:59 PM, November 1, 2024

1. [**20 points; A Human Compiler**]: A computer scientist must understand how algorithms work. Compile the following algorithm for the Partition-3-way subroutine of the QuickSort for the given input.

Algorithm 1 Partition-3-Way

Require: Array A and pivot element p

```

1: Exchange  $p$  with the last element in  $A$ 
2:  $i \leftarrow -1$ 
3: for  $j$  in range 0 to length of  $A - 1$  do
4:   if  $A[j] \leq p$  then
5:      $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
6:     Exchange  $A[i]$  with  $A[j]$ 
7:   end if
8: end for
9: Exchange  $p$  with  $A[i + 1]$ 
10: return  $A[0 \text{ to } i], A[i + 1], A[i + 1 \text{ till end}]$ 

```

Input Array $A = [2, 4, 7, 1, 3, 5, 6]$ and $p = 4$.

- (a) [**3 points**] First, show A just after line 2.
- (b) [**14 points**] Now demonstrate how A gets updated at the end of each iteration of the for loop using the following table.

Value of j	Value of i	Array
0		
1		
2		
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
6		

- (c) [**3 points**] Show A just after after line 9.
2. [**20 points; Bad-luck sorting**] We discussed Randomized Quicksort Algorithm in class, where we randomly pick a pivot element p in the input array A , and partition the array into left (consisting of elements $\leq p$), right (consisting of elements $> p$) and middle (with only p) sub-arrays. We then recursively sort the left and the right sub-arrays.
 - (a) [**3 points**] Assuming all elements of A are unique, picking which elements as the pivot leads to the worst partition of the input array A ? Why? If multiple elements lead to the worst partition, list them all.

- (b) **[7 points]** Let us assume we get unlucky and randomly pick the worst pivot in each recursive call. What is the resulting recurrence for the running time?
 - (c) **[10 points]** Solve the recurrence in (b) using the substitution method.
3. **[35 points; Solving recurrences]** We looked at recurrence relations when computing running times of divide-and-conquer algorithms. Let us determine a tight asymptotic bound for the recurrence $T(n) = 4T(n/2) + cn$ where c is a constant.
- (a) **[10 points]** Draw the recursion tree. Show the amount of work done at each level, the number of leaves, and the tree's height.
 - (b) **[10 points]** Using the tree you just drew, solve for $T(n)$.
 - (c) **[15 points]** Verify your solution by the substitution (induction) method.
4. **[25 points; Closest Pair of Points]** In this problem, we are going to design a divide-and-conquer algorithm for the Closest Pair of Points problem.

In this problem, we are given a set of n points in a 2D plane. We aim to find the closest pair of points (the pair with the minimum Euclidean distance between them). For example, if the given list of points is $P = [(2, 3), (12, 30), (40, 50), (5, 1), (12, 10), (3, 4)]$. Our algorithm should return the points (2,3) and (3,4).

- (a) **[5 points]** Design a naive $\Theta(n^2)$ algorithm, where n is the number of points. Justify the running time of your algorithm.
- (b) **[4 points]** Now, let us start by sorting the points by their y-axis and dividing the points into two groups Top and Bottom by drawing a horizontal line through (or near) the median y-axis value such that each half has $n/2$ points and recursively finding the minimum distance in each half: m_{top} and m_{bottom} . This is the divide step of the divide-and-conquer approach. Now, I propose taking the minimum between m_{top} and m_{bottom} as the solution for the conquer step. This algorithm is incorrect; give a counter-example to show that it returns the wrong pair of points. Draw your counter-example in a 2D plane.
- (c) **[5 points]** Solve for the running time of the incorrect algorithm in part (b).
- (d) **[5 points]** The above algorithm is erroneous as it misses points that are divided into top and bottom halves but have a shorter distance than $\min(m_{top}, m_{bottom})$. We can fix this by computing the minimum distance m_{mid} between points a and b such that a is in the top half and b is in the bottom half. Assuming we do this by iterating over all such points, write down the resulting recurrence relation and solve it.
- (e) **[5 points]** Now, we want to design an algorithm that is more efficient than the one in part (d). For the rest of the problem, let $m_{min} = \min(m_{top}, m_{bottom})$. It turns out that it is enough to check for pairs of points across the dividing line that might be closer than m_{min} . These points are within a horizontal strip of width $2 m_{min}$ centered on the dividing line. The points within the strip are sorted by their x-coordinates to efficiently check possible pairs within distance m_{min} . For any point in the strip, it is enough to check its distance against the next 7 points in the strip in sorted order. The smallest distance within the strip is m_{mid} . Now, we can return the minimum among m_{top} , m_{bottom} , and m_{mid} . Write down the pseudocode for the entire divide-and-conquer algorithm using this strategy.

- (f) [**1 point**] Instead of sorting the points at each layer, we can maintain a list of points sorted by the x-coordinate and the y-coordinate and use the merge subroutine to combine the list. This ensures that the work done at the level with n points is $\Theta(n)$. Using this information, write down the recurrence relation and give the final running time.