

Handout 11a - Towards distribution-freeness

1 Empirical processes indexed by functions

So far, we have seen that one can perform goodness-of-fit for univariate distributions considering the empirical process

$$v_n(x; F) = \sqrt{n}[F_n(x) - F(x)] \quad (1)$$

which can be seen as a process indexed by intervals of the type $(-\infty, x]$. Whereas, to test multivariate distributions, we rely on the (multivariate) empirical process

$$v_n(\mathbf{x}; F) = \sqrt{n}[F_n(\mathbf{x}) - F(\mathbf{x})], \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p) \quad (2)$$

which can be seen as a process indexed by the sets $(-\infty, x_1] \times (-\infty, x_2] \times \dots \times (-\infty, x_p]$. All of this can be further generalized by considering the empirical processes indexed by functions. For our purposes, we will consider functions $\phi \in \mathcal{L}(F) \subset L^2(F)$. Where $L^2(F)$ is the set of square integrable functions w.r.t. F , i.e.,

$$\phi: \int \underbrace{\phi^2(t) dF(t)}_{f(t) dt} = \langle \phi, \phi \rangle_F = E_F[\phi^2] < +\infty$$

whereas $\mathcal{L}(F)$ is a subset of $L^2(F)$ which collects functions which not only are square integrable w.r.t F but are also orthogonal to 1 or, in other words, have mean zero under F , i.e., Finally,

$$\int \phi(t) \cdot 1 dF(t) = \langle \phi, 1 \rangle_F = E_F[\phi] = 0$$

the empirical process indexed by $\phi \in \mathcal{L}(F)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(\phi; F) &= \int \phi(x) dV_n(x; F) && \text{STOCHASTIC INTEGRAL} \\ &= \int \phi(x) d\sqrt{n}[F_n(x) - F(x)] \\ &= \sqrt{n} \left[\int \phi(x) dF_n(x) - \int \phi(x) dF(x) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

→ = 0

→ $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(x_i)$

Let's rewrite the multivariate empirical process in equation 2 in this form:

$$V_n(\phi; F) = \sqrt{n} [F_n(x) - F(x)] \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\underbrace{\mathbb{1}_{\{x_i \leq x\}}}_{=\phi_x(x_i)} - F(x) \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_x(x_i) = V_n(\phi_x; F)$$

- What are its mean and covariance function?

$$E_F \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_x(x_i) \right] = \sqrt{n} E_F [\phi_x(x_1)] = \sqrt{n} \langle \phi_x, 1 \rangle_F = 0$$

↑
i.i.d

$$E_F \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_x(x_i) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i'=1}^n \phi_{x'}(x_{i'}) \right] = \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n E_F [\phi_x(x_i) \phi_{x'}(x_i)] + \right. \\ \left. + 2 \sum_{i' < i} E_F [\phi_x(x_i) \phi_{x'}(x_{i'})] \right\}$$

= 0

$$= \langle \phi_x, \phi_{x'} \rangle_F$$

$$= F(x \wedge x') - F(x)F(x') < +\infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_x \in \mathcal{L}(F)$$

- What is the limiting distribution of $v_n(\phi_x; F)$?

F -Brownian Bridge

which is a Gaussian process... and thus it is fully characterized by its mean and covariance $\langle \phi_x, 1 \rangle_F$ and $\langle \phi_x, \phi_{x'} \rangle_F$.

2 Constructing distribution free tests

All the consideration above hold for any arbitrary distribution function. To perform distribution-free goodness-of-fit we will consider a reference distribution Q , and the respective empirical process:

$$V_n(\psi_x; Q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_x(x_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\underbrace{\mathbb{1}_{\{x_i \leq x\}}}_{=\psi_x(x_i)} - Q(x) \right]$$

with mean $\langle \psi_x, 1 \rangle_Q = 0$

covariance $\langle \psi_x, \psi_{x'} \rangle_Q < +\infty_2$

$$\psi_x \in \mathcal{L}(Q)$$

But how do we use it? Instead of testing F directly by taking functionals of $v_n(\phi_x; F)$ (see Handout 11), we will construct another empirical process, namely $v_n(l\psi_x; F)$ (to be defined in a minute) which, under F , has the same limiting distribution of $v_n(\psi_x; Q)$, under Q . Our test statistics will correspond to functionals of $v_n(l\psi_x; F)$ and, under F , will have the same distribution as functionals of $v_n(\psi_x; Q)$, under Q . This can be done by exploiting the so-called *Khmaladze-2 (K-2) transform* and which consists of the following steps:

Step 1 - Map ψ_x into $L^2(F)$ via the isometry

$$\hat{L}(Q)$$

$$l(t) = \sqrt{\frac{q(t)}{f(t)}},$$

where q and f are the densities of Q and F , respectively. Obtain $l\psi_x \in L^2(F)$. To see that:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l\psi_x, l\psi_x \rangle_F &= \int \underbrace{q^2(t) \psi_x^2(t)}_{f(t)dt} dF(t) = \int \frac{q(t)}{f(t)} \psi_x^2(t) f(t) dt \\ &= \int \psi_x^2(t) q(t) dt = \int \psi_x^2(t) dQ(t) = \langle \psi_x, \psi_x \rangle_Q < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

$$l\psi_x \in L^2(F)$$

Do we have $l\psi_x \in \mathcal{R}(F)$?

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l\psi_x, 1 \rangle_F &= \int q(t) \psi_x(t) dF(t) \\ &= \int \sqrt{\frac{q(t)}{f(t)}} \psi_x(t) f(t) dt \\ &= \int \psi_x(t) \sqrt{f(t)q(t)} dt \neq 0 \\ \Rightarrow l\psi_x &\notin \mathcal{R}(F) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $v_n(Kl\psi_x; F)$ and $v_n(\psi_x; Q)$, converge to a Gaussian process $v(\psi_x; Q)$ with mean and covariance:

$$\text{MEAN} \quad \langle Kl\psi_x, 1 \rangle_F = \langle \psi_x, 1 \rangle_Q = 0$$

$$\text{COVARIANCE} \quad \langle Kl\psi_x, Kl\psi_{x'} \rangle_F = \langle \psi_x, \psi_{x'} \rangle_Q < +\infty$$

Hence we construct an entire family of test statistics for testing $H_0 : X \sim F$ versus $H_1 : X \not\sim F$, i.e.,

- **Kolmogorov's statistics:** $\sup |v_n(Kl\psi_x; F)| \xrightarrow{d} \sup |v(\psi_x; Q)|$.
- **Cramer von Mises statistics:** $\int |v_n(Kl\psi_x; F)|^2 dQ(x) \xrightarrow{d} \int |v(\psi_x; Q)|^2 dQ(x)$.
- **Anderson-Darling statistics:** $\int \left| \frac{v_n(Kl\psi_x; F)}{\sqrt{Q(x)(1-Q(x))}} \right|^2 dQ(x) \xrightarrow{d} \int \left| \frac{v(\psi_x; Q)}{\sqrt{Q(x)(1-Q(x))}} \right|^2 dQ(x)$.

where the convergence is intended as $n \rightarrow \infty$, under H_0 . So, for sufficiently large n , a valid testing procedure consists of simulating the distribution of the functionals of $v_n(\psi_x; Q)$ under Q and using it to assign significance to the values of the functionals of $v_n(Kl\psi_x; F)$ observed on the data. For instance, if we decide to use Kolmogorov's statistics, we simulate the distribution of $D_Q = \sup_{\psi_x} |v_n(\psi_x; Q)|$ under Q . This will give us our null distribution. We then evaluate $\underline{D_{obs} = \sup_{\psi_x} |v_n(Kl\psi_x; F)|}$ on the data observed. Our p-value is:

$$P(D_Q \geq D_{obs})$$