

11 OCTOBER 2018

Thm : (Euclid) There are infinitely many primes

Proof By contradiction.

② Assume there are finitely many primes labelled from p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k . Consider $N = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k + 1 \geq 2$. This forms a new number N which like all numbers, has a prime divisor, say p_j (for some $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$)

→ So $p_j | N = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k + 1$ and $p_j | 1 \rightarrow$ contradiction
 $\& \underbrace{p_j | p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k}$

If p_j divides N Co-set by 1 → aka $p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k + 1 = x p_j$ can't divide both p_{k+1} and p_k
~~then~~ p_j also divides $p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k$ men $p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k = y p_j$

Proof show that for every $+INT \ n \geq 2$ there is a prime p so that $p > n$

• consider $n!$; it has prime divisor say p

• if $p \leq n$ then $p | n!$; as $p | (n! + 1)$ then $p | 1$

so $p > n$ as desired

Remark For every $INT \ n \geq 2$, there is a prime $p: n < p \leq n! + 1$

{ In fact: there is a prime p so that $n < p \leq 2n$ }
 " Bertrand's principle

Prime No Theorem

Let $\pi(n) = \#$ of primes in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ then $\pi(n)/n$ is probab. of prime. $\frac{\pi(n)}{n}$ goes to 0 $\sim \frac{1}{\ln(n)}$ rate

Fact: For every $+INT \ n$, there exist n consecutive numbers which are composite.

Proof: Pick the following n consecutive numbers $[n+1]! + 2, [n+1]! + 3, [n+1]! + 4, \dots, [n+1]! + n+1$
 each $[n+1]! + k$ is divisible by k where $k = 2, \dots, n+1$

Euclid's lemma

Let p be a prime. If $p | ab$, then $p | a$ or $p | b$.

Note Not true for composite numbers [eg $8 | 4 \cdot 6$ but $8 \nmid 4$ or $8 \nmid 6$]

Cor 1

let p prime. If $p | a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n$, then $p | a_k$ for some k

Proof By :- Daring induction on k
Induction

Q2 Let p prime. If $p|a^k$ then $p|a$ [at least $p|a \cdot a \cdot a \cdot \dots$]

Thm Let p prime Then \sqrt{p} is irrational

Proof: Assume on the contrary that $\sqrt{p} \in \mathbb{Q}$ (rational)
By CONT

So $\sqrt{p} = \frac{a}{b}$ for it to be rational

[assume $a, b \neq 0$
 $a, b > 0$
 a smallest
simplified
 $\gcd = 1$]

$$\rightarrow a = b\sqrt{p} \rightarrow a^2 = b^2 p \rightarrow p|a^2 \xrightarrow{\text{cor}^2} p|a$$

so $a = pa_0$ ($a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$(pa_0)^2 = b^2 p \rightarrow p^2 a_0^2 = pb^2 \rightarrow b^2 = pa_0^2$$

So as above $p|b \Rightarrow b = pb_0$

but then not simplified as $\rightarrow \sqrt{p} = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{pa_0}{pb_0}$

$$\rightarrow \sqrt{p} = \frac{a_0}{b_0}$$

As ($a_0 < a$) contradiction of choice of a

Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Every possible integer > 2 is a product of prime numbers. Furthermore a factorization is unique upto reordering

$$\rightarrow 30 = 3 \cdot 10 = 3 \times 5 \times 2$$

$$\rightarrow 30 = 5 \cdot 6 = \underline{5 \times 2 \times 3}$$

Proof

By hypothesis, $p|ab$; assume $p \nmid b$, want to show $p|a$

\hookrightarrow consider a certain set of numbers $S = \{k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1 \text{ and } p|kb\}$

$\hookrightarrow S$ are non empty as $p \in S$ & $a \in S$

let a_0 is the smallest possible element in S

[Claim: a_0 divides every element of S]

\rightarrow once we know this, we find $\rightarrow \underline{a_0 | p}$ and $\underline{a_0 | a}$

[Note a_0 can't be 1 as if so $a_0 = 1 \rightarrow p|a_0 b \rightarrow p|b$ but $p \nmid b$]

So $\left. \begin{array}{l} a_0 = p \\ a_0 | a \end{array} \right\} p|a$ as desired