

Com S 311 Section B
Introduction to the Design and Analysis of
Algorithms
Lecture One for Week 12

Xiaoqiu (See-ow-chew) Huang

Iowa State University

April 13, 2021

NP-Completeness and Reducibility

The most compelling reason why computer scientists believe that $P \neq NP$ is that there exists a class of NP-complete problems.

This class guarantees that if *any* NP-complete problem can be solved in polynomial time, then *every* problem in NP has a polynomial-time solution. So $P = NP$.

No polynomial-time algorithm has ever been found for any NP-complete problem.

Below we use polynomial-time reducibility to formally define the NP-complete languages and justify that a language called CIRCUIT-SAT is NP-complete.

Reducibility

Intuitively, a problem Q can be reduced to another problem E if any instance of Q can be rephrased as an instance of E such that the solution to the E instance corresponds to the solution to the Q instance.

Formally, a language L_1 is **polynomial-time reducible** to a language L_2 , written $L_1 \leq_P L_2$, if there exists a polynomial-time computable function f from $\{0,1\}^*$ to $\{0,1\}^*$ such that for all $x \in \{0,1\}^*$,

$x \in L_1$ if and only if $f(x) \in L_2$.

The function f is called the **reduction function**, and a polynomial-time algorithm F computing f is called a **reduction algorithm**.

Using Polynomial-Time Reductions

Lemma 34.3

Let L_1 and L_2 be languages over $\{0, 1\}$ such that $L_1 \leq_P L_2$. Then if L_2 is in P , then L_1 is also in P .

Proof

Assume that L_2 is decided by a polynomial-time algorithm A_2 and that the reduction function f is computed by a polynomial-time algorithm F .

Then L_1 is decided by a polynomial-time algorithm A_1 , which transforms a input string $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ into $f(x)$ and runs A_2 on $f(x)$, reporting the output from A_2 .

Because $x \in L_1$ if and only if $f(x) \in L_2$, the algorithm A_1 is correct.

NP-Completeness

We use polynomial-time reductions to define the set of NP-complete languages, which are the hardest problems in NP.

A language $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ is **NP-complete** if

1. $L \in \text{NP}$, and
2. $L' \leq_P L$ for every $L' \in \text{NP}$.

If a language L satisfies property 2, but not necessarily property 1, then L is **NP-hard**. The class NPC is the class of NP-complete languages.

NP-Completeness in Deciding If $P = NP$

Theorem 34.4

If any NP-complete language is in P , then $P = NP$. Equivalently, if any language in NP is not in P , then no NP-complete language is in P .

Proof

Assume that $L \in NPC$ is in P . Then for any $L' \in NP$, we have $L' \leq_P L$ by property 2. Thus, by Lemma 34.3, we also have that $L' \in P$, implying $NP \subseteq P$. Since $P \subseteq NP$, we have $P = NP$.

The second statement is the contrapositive of the first one.

Circuit Satisfiability

We show that the circuit satisfiability problem is NP-complete.

A **boolean combinational circuit** consists of one or more boolean combinational elements (**logic gates** such as the **NOT gate**, the **AND gate** and the **OR gate**) interconnected by **wires**, where a wire allows the output value of one element to be used as an input value of another.

The number of element inputs fed by a wire is the **fan-out** of the wire.

If no element output is connected to a wire, the wire is a **circuit input**.

If no element input is connected to a wire, the wire is a **circuit output**, where the number of circuit outputs is limited 1 for the circuit-satisfiability problem.

Circuit Satisfiability

A **truth assignment** for a boolean combinational circuit is a set of boolean input values.

A one-output boolean combinational circuit is **satisfiable** if there exists a **satisfying truth assignment** to cause the circuit to output 1.

The **circuit-satisfiability problem** is to determine whether a given boolean combinational circuit composed of AND, OR, and NOT gates is satisfiable.

We use an encoding to map any given boolean circuit C to a binary string $\langle C \rangle$ whose length is polynomial in the size of the circuit.

The circuit-satisfiability problem is defined as a formal language:
 $\text{CIRCUIT-SAT} = \{ \langle C \rangle : C \text{ is a satisfiable boolean circuit} \}.$

CIRCUIT-SAT is in NP

Lemma 34.5

The circuit-satisfiability problem is in the class NP.

Proof

We design a two-input, polynomial-time algorithm A for verifying CIRCUIT-SAT.

One of the inputs to A is a standard encoding of a boolean combinational circuit C , and the other is a certificate corresponding to an assignment of boolean values to the wires of C .

For each logic gate in the circuit, the algorithm A checks that the value on the output wire is correctly computed as a function of the values on the input wires. If the output of the entire circuit is 1, A outputs 1. Otherwise, it outputs 0.

Proof

When a satisfiable circuit C is input to algorithm A , there exists a certificate whose length is polynomial in the size of C and that causes A to output 1.

when an unsatisfiable circuit is input, no certificate can cause A to output 1.

Algorithm A runs in polynomial time, so CIRCUIT-SAT is in NP.

CIRCUIT-SAT Is NP-Complete

Next we show that every language in NP is polynomial-time reducible to CIRCUIT-SAT.

We give an informal proof based on some understanding of the workings of computer hardware.

A computer program is stored in the computer memory as a sequence of instructions.

A typical instruction encodes an operation to be performed, addresses of operands in memory, and an address where the result is to be stored.

A special memory location, called the **program counter**, keeps track of which instruction is to be executed next.

CIRCUIT-SAT Is NP-Complete

Any particular state of computer memory (including the program itself, the program counter, working storage) is called a **configuration**.

The execution of an instruction is viewed as mapping one configuration to another.

The computer hardware that performs this mapping can be implemented as a boolean combinational circuit, which is denoted by M in the following lemma.

Lemma 34.6

CIRCUIT-SAT Is NP-hard.

Proof

Consider any language L in NP. We describe a polynomial-time algorithm F computing a reduction function f that maps every binary string x to a circuit $C = f(x)$ such that $x \in L$ if and only if $C \in \text{CIRCUIT-SAT}$.

Let L be verified by an algorithm A in worst-case polynomial time $T(n)$ in length- n input strings.

Let k be a constant such that $T(n) = O(n^k)$ and the length of the certificate is $O(n^k)$.

The computation of algorithm A is represented as a sequence of configurations: $c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{T(n)}$. Each configuration consists of the program for A , the program counter and auxiliary machine state, the input x , the certificate y , and working storage.

Proof

The combinational circuit M , which implements the computer hardware, maps each configuration c_i to the next one c_{i+1} .

The reduction algorithm F constructs a single combinational circuit C that computes all configurations produced by a given initial configuration:

$$c_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow c_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow c_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M \rightarrow c_{T(n)}.$$

The output of the i th circuit, which produces configuration c_i , feeds directly into the input of the $(i + 1)$ st circuit.

The configurations, rather than being stored in the computer's memory, simply reside as values on the wires connecting copies of M .

The output for the entire combinational circuit C is the 0/1 output of algorithm A in the configuration $c_{T(n)}$.

Proof

We can show that C is satisfiable if and only if there exists a certificate y such that $A(x, y) = 1$.

We can also show that the reduction algorithm F runs in polynomial time.