This is a *PlusCal* encoding of the *Boulangerie* Algorithm of *Yoram Moses* and *Katia Patkin*—a variant of the Bakery Algorithm—and a proof that it implements mutual exclusion. The bakery algorithm appeared in

Leslie Lamport A New Solution of Dijkstra's Concurrent Programming Problem Communications of the ACM 17, 8 (August 1974), 453-455

The PlusCal encoding differs from the Moses-Patkin algorithm in one respect. To enter the critical section, the PlusCal version examines other processes one at a time—in the while loop at label w1. The Moses-Patkin algorithm performs those examinations in parallel. Because PlusCal does not allow sub-processes, it would be difficult (but not impossible) to express that algorithm in PlusCal. It would be easy to express their version in TLA+ (for example, by modifying the TLA+ translation of the PlusCal code), and it should be straightforward to convert the invariance proof presented here to a proof of the more general version. I will leave that as an exercise for others.

I started with a *PlusCal* encoding and invariance proof of the Bakery Algorithm. The only nonobvious part of that encoding is how it represented the safe registers assumed by the algorithm, which are registers in which reads and writes are not atomic. A safe register is represented by a variable r whose value is written by performing some number of atomic writes of nondeterministically chosen "legal" values to r followed by a single write of the desired value. A read of the register is performed by a single atomic read of r. It can be shown that this captures the semantics of a safe register.

Starting from the *PlusCal* version of the Bakery Algorithm, it was easy to modify it to the *Boulangerie* Algorithm (with the simplification described above). I model checked the algorithm on some small models to convince myself that there were no trivial errors that would be likely to arise from an error in the encoding. I then modified the invariant by a combination of a bit of thinking and a fair amount of trial and error, finding errors in the invariant by model checking very small models. (I checked it on two processes with chosen numbers restricted to be at most 3.)

When checking on a small model revealed no error in the invariant, I checked the proof with TLAPS (the TLA+ proof system). The high level proof, consisting of steps <1>1-<1>4, are standard and are the same as for the Bakery Algorithm. TLAPS checks this simple four-step proof for the Bakery Algorithm with terminal BY proofs that just tell it to use the necessary assumptions and to expand all definitions. This didn't work for the hard part of the Boulangerie Algorithm—step <1>2 that checks inductive invariance.

When a proof doesn't go through, one keeps decomposing the proof of the steps that aren't proved until one sees what the problem is. This decomposition is done with little thinking and no typing using the Toolbox's Decompose Proof command. (The Toolbox is the IDE for the TLA+ tools.) Step < 1 > 2 has the form A $\wedge B \Rightarrow C$, where B is a disjunction, and the Decompose Proof command produces a level - < 2 > proof consisting of subgoals essentially of the form A $\wedge Bi \Rightarrow C$ for the disjuncts Bi of B. Two of those subgoals weren't proved. I decomposed them both for several levels until I saw that in one of them, some step wasn't preserving the part of the invariant that asserts type-correctness. I then quickly found the culprit: a silly error in the type invariant in which I had in one place written the set Proc of process numbers instead of the set Nat of natural numbers. After correcting that error, only one of the level - < 2 > subgoals remained unproved: step < 2 > 5. Using the Decompose Proof command as far as I could on that step, one substep remained unproved. (I think it was at level < 5 >.) Looking at what the proof obligations were, the obvious decomposition was a two-way case split, which I did by manually entering another level of subproof. One of those cases weren't proved, so I tried another two-way case split on it. That worked. I then made that substep to the first step of the (level <3>) proof of <2> 5, moving its proof with it. With that additional fact, TLAPS was able to prove $\langle 2 \rangle$ in one more step (the QED step).

The entire proof now is about 70 lines. I only typed 20 of those 70 lines. The rest either came from the original Bakery Algorithm (8-line) proof or were generated by the Decompose Proof Command.

I don't know how much time I actually spent writing the algorithm and its proof. Except for the final compaction of the (correct) proof of <2>5, the entire exercise took me two days. However, most of that was spent tracking down bugs in the Toolbox. We are in the process of moving the Toolbox to a new version of Eclipse, and there are many bugs that must be fixed before it's ready to be released. I would estimate that it would have taken me less than 4 hours without Toolbox bugs. I find it remarkable how little thinking the whole thing took.

This whole process was a lot easier than trying to write a convincing hand proof—a proof that I would regard as adequate to justify publication of the proof.

EXTENDS Integers, TLAPS

We first declare N to be the number of processes, and we assume that N is a natural number.

Constant NAssume $N \in Nat$

We define Procs to be the set $\{1, 2, ..., N\}$ of processes.

 $Procs \triangleq 1...N$

 \prec is defined to be the lexicographical less-than relation on pairs of numbers.

```
a \prec b \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \lor a[1] < b[1] 
 \lor (a[1] = b[1]) \land (a[2] < b[2])
```

```
this is a comment containing the PlusCal code *
-algorithm Boulanger
\{variable\ num = [i \in Procs \mapsto 0], flag = [i \in Procs \mapsto FALSE];
fair\ process(p \in Procs)
  variables unchecked = \{\}, max = 0, nxt = 1, previous = -1;
  \{ncs: -while(TRUE)\}
        \{e1: either\{flag[self]:=\neg flag[self];
                    goto e1}
                   \{flag[self] := TRUE;
                    unchecked := Procs \setminus \{self\};
                    max := 0
                   };
         e2: while(unchecked \neq \{\})
               \{with(i \in unchecked)\}
                  \{unchecked := unchecked \setminus \{i\};
                    if(num[i] > max)\{max := num[i]\}
               };
          e3: either\{with(k \in Nat)\{num[self] := k\};
                    goto \ e3
              or \{num[self] := max + 1\};
         e4: either\{flag[self] := \neg flag[self];
                    goto e4
```

```
\{flag[self] := FALSE;
                         unchecked := IF \quad num[self] = 1
                                      Then 1 \dots (self-1)
                                      ELSE Procs \setminus \{self\}
                      };
           w1: while(unchecked \neq \{\})
                  \{ with(i \in unchecked) \{ nxt := i \};
                       await \neg flag[nxt];
                       previous := -1;
                    w2: if(\vee num[nxt] = 0
                           \vee \langle num[self], self \rangle \prec \langle num[nxt], nxt \rangle
                           \lor \land previous \neq -1
                              \land num[nxt] \neq previous)
                          \{unchecked := unchecked \setminus \{nxt\};
                           if(unchecked = \{\})\{goto\ cs\}
                            else\{goto\ w1\}
                        else\{previous := num[nxt];
                              goto w2
                 };
           cs: skip ; \setminus * the \ critical \ section;
           exit: either\{with(k\in \mathit{Nat})\{num[\mathit{self}] := k\};
                       goto exit}
                       \{num[self] := 0\}
        this ends the comment containg the pluscal code
 BEGIN TRANSLATION (this begins the translation of the PlusCal code)
VARIABLES num, flag, pc, unchecked, max, nxt, previous
vars \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \langle num, flag, pc, unchecked, max, nxt, previous \rangle
ProcSet \triangleq (Procs)
Init \stackrel{\Delta}{=} Global variables
            \land num = [i \in Procs \mapsto 0]
            \land flag = [i \in Procs \mapsto FALSE]
             Process p
            \land unchecked = [self \in Procs \mapsto \{\}]
            \land max = [self \in Procs \mapsto 0]
            \land nxt = [self \in Procs \mapsto 1]
            \land previous = [self \in Procs \mapsto -1]
            \land \ pc = [\mathit{self} \in \mathit{ProcSet} \mapsto "\mathsf{ncs"}]
ncs(self) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \wedge pc[self] = "ncs"
                   \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"e1"}]
                    \land UNCHANGED \langle num, flag, unchecked, max, nxt, previous <math>\rangle
```

```
e1(self) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \wedge pc[self] = "e1"
                  \land \lor \land flag' = [flag \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = \neg flag[self]]
                         \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"e1"}]
                         \land UNCHANGED \langle unchecked, max \rangle
                     \lor \land flag' = [flag \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = TRUE]
                         \land unchecked' = [unchecked \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = Procs \setminus \{self\}]
                         \wedge max' = [max \ \text{EXCEPT} \ ![self] = 0]
                         \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"e2"}]
                  \land UNCHANGED \langle num, nxt, previous \rangle
e2(self) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \wedge pc[self] = "e2"
                  \land IF unchecked[self] \neq \{\}
                          THEN \land \exists i \in unchecked[self]:
                                         \land unchecked' = [unchecked \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = unchecked[self] \setminus \{i\}]
                                         \wedge IF num[i] > max[self]
                                                 THEN \wedge max' = [max \ \text{EXCEPT} \ ![self] = num[i]]
                                                 ELSE \land TRUE
                                                          \wedge max' = max
                                   \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"e2"}]
                          ELSE \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ! [self] = \text{"e3"}]
                                   \land UNCHANGED \langle unchecked, max \rangle
                  ∧ UNCHANGED ⟨num, flag, nxt, previous⟩
e3(self) \triangleq \land pc[self] = "e3"
                  \land \lor \land \exists k \in Nat:
                              num' = [num \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = k]
                         \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"e3"}]
                     \vee \wedge num' = [num \ \text{EXCEPT} \ ![self] = max[self] + 1]
                         \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"e4"}]
                  ∧ UNCHANGED ⟨flag, unchecked, max, nxt, previous⟩
e4(self) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \wedge pc[self] = "e4"
                  \land \lor \land flag' = [flag \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = \neg flag[self]]
                         \wedge pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"e4"}]
                         \land UNCHANGED unchecked
                     \lor \land flag' = [flag \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = FALSE]
                         \land unchecked' = [unchecked \ Except \ ![self] = if \ num[self] = 1
                                                                                          THEN 1 \dots (self-1)
                                                                                          ELSE Procs \setminus \{self\}
                         \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{``w1''}]
                  \land UNCHANGED \langle num, max, nxt, previous \rangle
w1(self) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \wedge pc[self] = \text{``w1''}
                  \land IF unchecked[self] \neq \{\}
                          THEN \land \exists i \in unchecked[self]:
                                         nxt' = [nxt \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = i]
```

```
\wedge \neg flag[nxt'[self]]
                                    \land previous' = [previous \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = -1]
                                   \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{``w2''}]
                          ELSE \wedge pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"cs"}]
                                   \land UNCHANGED \langle nxt, previous \rangle
                  \land UNCHANGED \langle num, flag, unchecked, max \rangle
w2(self) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \wedge pc[self] = \text{``w2''}
                  \wedge IF \vee num[nxt[self]] = 0
                          \vee \langle num[self], self \rangle \prec \langle num[nxt[self]], nxt[self] \rangle
                          \lor \land previous[self] \neq -1
                               \land num[nxt[self]] \neq previous[self]
                          THEN \land unchecked' = [unchecked EXCEPT ![self] = unchecked[self] \ {nxt[self]}]
                                   \land IF unchecked'[self] = {}
                                           THEN \wedge pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{``cs''}]
                                           ELSE \wedge pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ! [self] = \text{"w1"}]
                                    \land UNCHANGED previous
                          ELSE \land previous' = [previous \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = num[nxt[self]]]
                                    \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{``w2''}]
                                   \land UNCHANGED unchecked
                  \land UNCHANGED \langle num, flaq, max, nxt \rangle
cs(self) \triangleq \land pc[self] = \text{``cs''}
                  \land TRUE
                  \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"exit"}]
                  \land UNCHANGED \langle num, flag, unchecked, max, nxt, previous <math>\rangle
exit(self) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \land pc[self] = "exit"
                   \land \lor \land \exists k \in Nat :
                                num' = [num \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = k]
                          \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"exit"}]
                       \lor \land num' = [num \ \text{EXCEPT} \ ![self] = 0]
                           \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = "ncs"]
                   \land UNCHANGED \langle flag, unchecked, max, nxt, previous \rangle
p(self) \triangleq ncs(self) \vee e1(self) \vee e2(self) \vee e3(self) \vee e4(self)
                    \vee w1(self) \vee w2(self) \vee cs(self) \vee exit(self)
Next \stackrel{\Delta}{=} (\exists self \in Procs : p(self))
Spec \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \land Init \land \Box [Next]_{vars}
             \land \forall self \in Procs : WF_{vars}((pc[self] \neq "ncs") \land p(self))
 END TRANSLATION (this ends the translation of the PlusCal code)
```

MutualExclusion asserts that two distinct processes are in their critical sections.

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{MutualExclusion} & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \; \forall \; i, \, j \in \textit{Procs} : (i \neq j) \Rightarrow \neg \land \textit{pc}[i] = \text{``cs''} \\ & \land \textit{pc}[j] = \text{``cs''} \end{aligned}$$

The Inductive Invariant

TypeOK is the type-correctness invariant.

$$TypeOK \triangleq \land num \in [Procs \rightarrow Nat] \\ \land flag \in [Procs \rightarrow \texttt{BOOLEAN}] \\ \land unchecked \in [Procs \rightarrow \texttt{SUBSET} \ Procs] \\ \land max \in [Procs \rightarrow Nat] \\ \land nxt \in [Procs \rightarrow Procs] \\ \land pc \in [Procs \rightarrow \{\texttt{"ncs"}, \texttt{"e1"}, \texttt{"e2"}, \texttt{"e3"}, \\ \texttt{"e4"}, \texttt{"w1"}, \texttt{"w2"}, \texttt{"cs"}, \texttt{"exit"}\}] \\ \land previous \in [Procs \rightarrow Nat \cup \{-1\}]$$

Before(i, j) is a condition that implies that num[i] > 0 and, if j is trying to enter its critical section and i does not change num[i], then j either has or will choose a value of num[j] for which

$$\langle num[i], i \rangle \prec \langle num[j], j \rangle$$

is true.

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Before}(i,j) & \triangleq & \land num[i] > 0 \\ & \land \lor pc[j] \in \{\text{``ncs''}, \text{``el''}, \text{``exit''}\} \\ & \lor \land pc[j] = \text{``e2''} \\ & \land \lor i \in unchecked[j] \\ & \lor max[j] \geq num[i] \\ & \lor (j > i) \land (max[j] + 1 = num[i]) \\ & \lor \land pc[j] = \text{``e3''} \\ & \land \lor max[j] \geq num[i] \\ & \lor (j > i) \land (max[j] + 1 = num[i]) \\ & \lor \land pc[j] \in \{\text{``e4''}, \text{``w1''}, \text{``w2''}\} \\ & \land \langle num[i], i \rangle \prec \langle num[j], j \rangle \\ & \land (pc[j] \in \{\text{``w1''}, \text{``w2''}\}) \Rightarrow (i \in unchecked[j]) \\ & \lor \land num[i] = 1 \\ & \land i < j \end{aligned}$$

Inv is the complete inductive invariant.

```
 \land \lor (pc[nxt[i]] = \text{``e2''}) \land (i \notin unchecked[nxt[i]]) 
 \lor pc[nxt[i]] = \text{``e3''} 
 \Rightarrow max[nxt[i]] \ge num[i] 
 \land \land pc[i] = \text{``w2''} 
 \land previous[i] \ne -1 
 \land previous[i] \ne num[nxt[i]] 
 \land pc[nxt[i]] \in \{\text{``e4''}, \text{``w1''}, \text{``w2''}, \text{``cs''}\} 
 \Rightarrow Before(i, nxt[i]) 
 \land (pc[i] = \text{``cs''}) \Rightarrow \forall j \in Procs \setminus \{i\} : Before(i, j)
```

Proof of Mutual Exclusion

This is a standard invariance proof, where <1>2 asserts that any step of the algorithm (including a stuttering step) starting in a state in which Inv is true leaves Inv true. Step <1>4 follows easily from <1>1-<1>3 by simple temporal reasoning, checked by the PTL (Propositional Temporal Logic) backend prover.

```
Theorem Spec \Rightarrow \Box MutualExclusion
<1>USEN \in NatDEFSProcs, TypeOK, Before, <math>\prec, ProcSet
<1>1.Init \Rightarrow Inv
    BYSMTDEFInit, Inv
<1>2.Inv \land [Next]_{vars} \Rightarrow Inv'
     < 2 > SUFFICES Assume Inv,
                                    [Next]_{vars}
                          PROVE Inv'
         OBVIOUS
     < 2 > 1. Assume NEWself \in Procs,
                        ncs(self)
               PROVE Inv'
         BY < 2 > 1, Z3DEFncs, Inv
     < 2 > 2.Assume NEWself \in Procs,
                         e1(self)
               PROVE\ Inv'
          < 3 > . \land pc[self] = "e1"
                   \land UNCHANGED \langle num, nxt, previous \rangle
              BY < 2 > 2DEFe1
          < 3 > 1.CASE \land flag' = [flag \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = \neg flag[self]]
                          \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ! [self] = \text{"e1"}]
                           \land UNCHANGED \langle unchecked, max \rangle
              BY < 3 > 1DEFInv
          < 3 > 2.Case \land flag' = [flag \ \text{except } ![self] = \text{true}]
                          \land unchecked' = [unchecked \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = Procs \setminus \{self\}]
                          \wedge max' = [max \ \text{EXCEPT} \ ![self] = 0]
                          \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"e2"}]
              BY < 3 > 2DEFInv
          <3>.QED\ BY<3>1, <3>2, <2>2DEFe1
```

```
< 2 > 3. Assume NEWself \in Procs,
                  e2(self)
         PROVE Inv'
    < 3 > . \land pc[self] = "e2"
            \land UNCHANGED \langle num, flag, nxt, previous \rangle
        BY < 2 > 3DEFe2
    < 3 > 1. ASSUME NEW i \in unchecked[self],
                       unchecked' = [unchecked \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = unchecked[self] \setminus \{i\}],
                       num[i] > max[self],
                       max' = [max \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = num[i]],
                       pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"e2"}]
             PROVE Inv'
        BY < 3 > 1, Z3DEFInv
    < 3 > 2. ASSUME NEW i \in unchecked[self],
                       unchecked' = [unchecked \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = unchecked[self] \setminus \{i\}],
                       \neg(num[i] > max[self]),
                       max' = max,
                       pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"e2"}]
             PROVE Inv'
        BY < 3 > 2, Z3DEFInv
    < 3 > 3.CASE \land unchecked[self] = \{\}
                    \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"e3"}]
                    \land UNCHANGED \langle unchecked, max \rangle
        BY < 3 > 3, Z3DEFInv
    <3>.QED\ BY<3>1, <3>2, <3>3, <2>3DEFe2
< 2 > 4. Assume NEWself \in Procs,
                  e3(self)
         PROVE Inv'
    < 3 > . \land pc[self] = "e3"
            ∧ UNCHANGED ⟨flag, unchecked, max, nxt, previous⟩
        BY < 2 > 4DEFe3
    < 3 > 1.Case \land \exists k \in Nat : num' = [num \text{ except } ![self] = k]
                    \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"e3"}]
        BY < 3 > 1, Z3DEFInv
    < 3 > 2.CASE \land num' = [num \ \text{EXCEPT} \ ![self] = max[self] + 1]
                    \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"e4"}]
        BY < 3 > 2, Z3DEFInv
    < 3 > .QED BY < 3 > 1, < 3 > 2, < 2 > 4DEFe3
< 2 > 5. Assume NEWself \in Procs,
                  e4(self)
         PROVE Inv'
    <3>. \land pc[self]= "e4"
            \land UNCHANGED \langle num, max, nxt, previous \rangle
        BY < 2 > 5DEFe4
    < 3 > 1.CASE \land flag' = [flag \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = \neg flag[self]]
```

```
\land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"e4"}]
                      \land UNCHANGED unchecked
         BY < 3 > 1, Z3DEFInv
     < 3 > 2.Case \land flag' = [flag \ \text{except} \ ![self] = \text{false}]
                      \wedge num[self] = 1
                      \land unchecked' = [unchecked \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = 1 ... (self - 1)]
                      \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{``w1''}]
         BY < 3 > 2, Z3DEFInv
     < 3 > 3.Case \land flag' = [flag \ \text{except } ![self] = \text{false}]
                      \land num[self] \neq 1
                      \land unchecked' = [unchecked \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = Procs \setminus \{self\}]
                      \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{``w1''}]
         BY < 3 > 3, Z3DEFInv
     < 3 > .QED BY < 3 > 1, < 3 > 2, < 3 > 3, < 2 > 5DEFe4
< 2 > 6.Assume NEWself \in Procs,
                     w1(self)
          PROVE Inv'
     < 3 > . \land pc[self] = "w1"
              \land UNCHANGED \langle num, flag, unchecked, max \rangle
         BY < 2 > 6DEFw1
     < 3 > 1.CASE \land unchecked[self] \neq \{\}
                      \land \exists i \in unchecked[self]:
                                 nxt' = [nxt \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = i]
                      \wedge \neg flag[nxt'[self]]
                      \land previous' = [previous \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = -1]
                      \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{``w2''}]
         BY < 3 > 1, Z3DEFInv
     < 3 > 2.CASE \land unchecked[self] = \{\}
                      \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{``cs''}]
                      \land UNCHANGED \langle nxt, previous \rangle
         BY < 3 > 2, Z3DEFInv
     < 3 > .QED BY < 3 > 1, < 3 > 2, < 2 > 6DEFw1
< 2 > 7.ASSUME NEWself \in Procs,
                    w2(self)
          PROVE Inv'
     <3> . \land pc[self]= "w2"
              \land UNCHANGED \langle num, flag, max, nxt \rangle
         BY < 2 > 7DEFw2
     < 3 > 1.CASE \land \lor num[nxt[self]] = 0
                          \vee \langle num[self], self \rangle \prec \langle num[nxt[self]], nxt[self] \rangle
                             \land previous[self] \neq -1
                              \land num[nxt[self]] \neq previous[self]
                      \land unchecked' = [unchecked \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = unchecked[self] \setminus \{nxt[self]\}]
                      \land unchecked'[self] = \{\}
                      \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ! [self] = \text{``cs''}]
```

```
∧ UNCHANGED previous
             BY < 3 > 1, Z3DEFInv
         < 3 > 2.CASE \land \lor num[nxt[self]] = 0
                            \vee \langle num[self], self \rangle \prec \langle num[nxt[self]], nxt[self] \rangle
                            \lor \land previous[self] \neq -1
                                \land num[nxt[self]] \neq previous[self]
                         \land unchecked' = [unchecked \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = unchecked[self] \setminus \{nxt[self]\}]
                         \land unchecked'[self] \neq \{\}
                         \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{``w1''}]
                         \land UNCHANGED previous
             BY < 3 > 2, Z3DEFInv
         <3>3.CASE \land \neg \lor num[nxt[self]]=0
                              \lor \langle num[self], self \rangle \prec \langle num[nxt[self]], nxt[self] \rangle
                              \lor \land previous[self] \neq -1
                                  \land num[nxt[self]] \neq previous[self]
                         \land previous' = [previous \ EXCEPT \ ![self] = num[nxt[self]]]
                         \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{``w2''}]
                         \land UNCHANGED unchecked
             BY < 3 > 3, Z3DEFInv
         <3>.QED\ BY<3>1, <3>2, <3>3, <2>7DEFw2
    < 2 > 8.ASSUME NEWself \in Procs,
                       cs(self)
              PROVE Inv'
        BY < 2 > 8, Z3DEFcs, Inv
    < 2 > 9. Assume NEWself \in Procs,
                       exit(self)
              PROVE Inv'
         < 3 > . \land pc[self] = "exit"
                 \land UNCHANGED \langle flag, unchecked, max, nxt, previous \rangle
             BY < 2 > 9DEFexit
         <3>1.Case \land \exists k \in Nat : num' = [num \text{ except } ![self] = k]
                         \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = \text{"exit"}]
             BY < 3 > 1, Z3DEFInv
         <3>2.Case \land num' = [num \ \text{except } ![self] = 0]
                         \land pc' = [pc \text{ EXCEPT } ![self] = "ncs"]
             BY < 3 > 2, Z3DEFInv
         < 3 > .QED BY < 3 > 1, < 3 > 2, < 2 > 9DEFexit
    < 2 > 10.case unchanged vars
        BY < 2 > 10, Z3DEFvars, Inv
    < 2 > 11. QED
        BY < 2 > 1, < 2 > 10, < 2 > 2, < 2 > 3, < 2 > 4, < 2 > 5, < 2 > 6, < 2 > 7, < 2 > 8, < 2 > 9
<1>3.Inv \Rightarrow MutualExclusion
   BYSMTDEFInv, MutualExclusion
```

 $<1>4.QED \ BY < 1>1, <1>2, <1>3, PTLDEFSpec$

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Trying}(i) & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \ pc[i] = \text{"e1"} \\ \textit{InCS}(i) & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \ pc[i] = \text{"cs"} \\ \textit{DeadlockFree} & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \ (\exists \ i \in \textit{Procs} : \textit{Trying}(i)) \leadsto (\exists \ i \in \textit{Procs} : \textit{InCS}(i)) \\ \textit{StarvationFree} & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \ \forall \ i \in \textit{Procs} : \textit{Trying}(i) \leadsto \textit{InCS}(i) \end{array}
```

- ***** Modification History
- * Last modified Thu May 24 20:03:58 CEST 2018 by merz
- * Last modified Thu May 24 13:49:22 CEST 2018 by merz
- * Last modified $\mathit{Tue}\ \mathit{Jul}\ 21\ 17:55:23\ \mathit{PDT}\ 2015$ by $\mathit{lamport}$
- * Created Thu Nov 21 15:54:32 PST 2013 by lamport