**Bestlink College of the Philippines**

*Panel’s Evaluation Form*

*1071 Bestlink Bldg., Brgy. Kaligayahan, Quirino Highway,*

*Novaliches, Quezon City*

**College of Computer Studies**

**Vision:**

To improve the quality of student’s input and by promoting IT enabled, market driven and internationally comparable programs through quality assurance systems, upgrading faculty qualifications and establishing international linkages.

**Mission**:

The College of Computer Studies is committed to provide quality information and communication technology education through the use of modern and transformation learning-teaching process.

*Panel’s Evaluation Form -- Capstone -- Each group’s task is to build an EIS for an industry. It is expected that the EIS is 60% functional and usable -- depending how the sprints are identified. The group shall be able to justify why Product Backlogs are selected for each sprint. It is expected that the Product Backlogs are complete in the documentation. Transactions online need to present it online. Make sure that all the EIS are integrated with their process and system presented.*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| EIS TITLE / SUBSYSTEM: | | Banking Management System – Human Resources 1 | | | | | |
| NAME OF PROPONENTS: *(Note: Put a check on the box if the member is present.*) | | | | | | | |
|  | John Paul Barruga | | |  | Mark Eron Vergara | | |
|  | Eric Cabrillos | | |  | Chris Darrel Palconit | | |
|  | Daryl Deleon | | |  |  | | |
| INDEX NUMBER: | | | NAME OF ADVISER(S): | | | | |
|  | | | Ms. Ain Geuel Escober | | | | |
| NAME OF PANEL: *(Signature over printed name)* | | | | | |  | Lead Panel |
|  | | | | | |  | Local Panel |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SUMMARY:** | Project Criteria | Score | Percentage | Grade |
| 1. Documentation (35%) |  |  |  |
| 1. Presentation (20%) |  |  |  |
| 1. System Process Demonstration – Core and Advanced Functionalities (45%) |  |  |  |
| *Note: Grade = Score x Percentage Total=A+B+C* | **TOTAL:** | | |  |

1. **DOCUMENTATION:** *100pts x 35% Note: Put* ***√*** *if complied and put X if not complied.*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DOCUMENTATION:** | Project Criteria | Score | Percentage | Grade |
| 1. System Architecture (30%) |  |  |  |
| 1. Completeness of Product Backlogs (40%) |  |  |  |
| 1. Sprints and Test Results (30%) |  |  |  |
| *Note: Grade = Score x Percentage Total=A+B+C* | **TOTAL:** | | |  |

**Total Score:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Panel: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

*Signature over printed name*

1. **PRESENTATION SKILLS** (100 points)

*Note: Please encircle the corresponding scores as given below:*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Scoring** | **Delivery / Overall Impression** |
| 20  17  14  11 | **Superior:** Enthusiastic, poised, comprehensible, can be heard by all, interesting to audience  **Good:** Moderately enthusiastic, comprehensible, generally can be heard, and moderately interesting.  **Fair:** Only mild enthusiasm, problems with comprehensibility, cannot be heard very well, not very interesting to audience.  **Weak:** No interest in presentation, barely or incomprehensible. |
| **Scoring** | **Fluency** |
| 20  17  14  11 | **Superior:** Gets the idea across fully with little hesitation; goes beyond the minimum. Communicates with ease overall.  **Good:** Communicates confidently using simple structures; some hesitation and false starts with more complex material. Evidence of fluency outweighs moments of uncertainty or stumbling.  **Fair:** Consistently uses simple structures, vocabulary, etc. and avoids more complex material. Some signs of fluency, but hesitant performance and/or excessively simple language predominate.  **Weak**. Use of simple structures is uncertain and hesitant. Little evidence of fluency despite moments of ease |
| **Scoring** | **Correctness** |
| 20  17  14  11 | **Superior**. Expression is as grammatically accurate as can be reasonably expected for this level.  **Good.** Consistent accuracy with simple structures, few errors with more complex structures. Accuracy is in greater evidence than errors in grammar and usage.  **Fair.** Minor errors with simple structures; less accurate use of more complex structures. Errors rarely impede comprehensibility.  **Weak.** Inaccuracies in grammar throughout. Errors interfere with comprehensibility and force interpretation by the listener. |
| **Scoring** | **Pronunciation** |
| 15  12  9  7 | **Superior.** Pronunciation is accurate throughout, with good rhythm and intonation for this level.  **Good.** Accent is more "foreign" than that rated "15," but errors are rare.  **Fair**. Pronunciation is generally comprehensible, with few errors.  **Weak**. Major errors (e.g., terminal consonants, verb endings, etc.) are excessive for this level. Mispronunciations force the listener to interpret. |
| **Scoring** | **Vocabulary** |
| 15  12  9  7 | **Superior**. Demonstrates broad command of vocabulary; knows and uses precise words for the situation.  **Good**. Vocabulary is generally appropriate, despite limitations. No major inaccuracies in word choice.  **Fair.** Vocabulary tends to be simple, no thought given to use of precise expressions for the context. Few or no major inaccuracies.  **Weak.** Vocabulary is inadequate for this level. |
| **Scoring** | **Length of Presentation** |
| 10  8  6  4 | **Superior.** Finished the presentation on time.  **Good.** Extended presentation in less than 5 minutes.  **Fair**. Extended presentation in more than 5 minutes but less than or equal to 10 minutes.  **Weak**. Extended presentation in more than 10 minutes. |

**Total Score:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Panel: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

*Signature over printed name*

1. **INFORMATION SYSTEM DEMO** (Core and Advanced Functionalities)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **System Process (Integration)** | *Comprehensive discussions the system process through integration.* | |
| **Particular:** | | **Score** |
| 1. **Core Functionalities – (35%)** 2. **Security Features – compliant to Data Privacy Act and Applicable Features in ISO 27001 (15%)** 3. **Analytics (10%)** 4. **Import and Export – (5%)** 5. **Free Report Format – (5%)** 6. **Complete and Organized Data Model (15%) - according to identified Sprints** 7. **User Interface Look and Feel – (15%)** | |  |

**Total Score:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Panel: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

*Signature over printed name*

|  |
| --- |
| **Revisions and Comments for Inclusion in the Product Backlogs** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Product Backlogs that should be part on the remaining Sprints** |
|  |

**Total Score:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Panel: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

*Signature over printed name*