- 1		
1	ANTHONY MARINACCIO (SBN 259335)	
2	2 ISAAC GUZMAN (SBN 337062) MARINACCIO LAW 225 W. Broadway, Ste. 103 Glendale, CA 91204 Tel.: (818) 839-5220 Fax: (818) 638-9485 E-mail: anthony@marinacciolaw.com	
3		
4		
5		
6	Attorney for Plaintiffs, Bradford M. Martinez; Vicki L. Martinez; Gail Diane Calhoun aka	
	Gail Diane Houser	
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANG	GELES, PASADENA COURTHOUSE
10	BRADFORD M. MARTINEZ; VICKI L. MARTINEZ; GAIL DIANE CALHOUN) CASE NO. 25PDUD00999)
11	AKA GAIL DIANE HOUSER) [Assigned for all purposes to the Hon.) Jerry B. Marshak, Dept. R]
12	Plaintiffs,)
13	v.) PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO
	JENNIFER BURBANK; JAMES) REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF) DOCUMENTS TO PLAINTIFF
14	BURBANK) Complaint Filed: April 1, 2025
15	Defendants,)
16) Trial Date: June 3, 2025)
17)
)
18)
19)
20	PROPOUNDING PARTY: DEFENDANT, JENNIFER BURBANK	
21	RESPONDING PARTY: PLAINTIFF, BRADFORD M. MARTINEZ	
22	SET NO.: One	
23		
1		

BRADFORD M. MARTINEZ ("Responding Party") hereby provides its response to JENNIFER BURBANK'S ("Propounding Party") Request for Production of Documents (Set One) as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

These responses are made only for the purpose of the within action. Each response or answer is subject to all objections as to competence, relevance, materiality, propriety, admissibility and any other objections and grounds that would require the exclusion of any statement herein if any document was asked of, or if any statement contained therein were made by a witness present and testifying in court, all of which objections and grounds are reserved and may be interposed at the time of trial.

Defendant is responding to all Requests to the extent that information has become known by him. However, this Responding Party's discovery, investigation and preparation for trial of this matter has not been completed as of the date of these responses, and, therefore, Defendant does not purport to state anything more than information presently known and discovered by him.

Defendant, in responding to these Requests, reserves the right to continue discovery and investigation in this matter for facts, witnesses and supporting data that may recall information which, if he had presently within his knowledge, would be included in these responses. For example, to the extent that any request calls for the identification of "all documents," it is responded to fully insofar as information is presently available to Defendant, and Defendant is not precluded from presenting at trial information discovered after the date of the response of this request.

This preliminary statement is incorporated into each of the responses set forth below.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

To avoid repetition in setting forth specific objections to interrogatories, Responding Party

sets forth and incorporates the following objections to Responding Party's each and every response.

A. RELEVANCE OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory, in whole or in part, seeks the disclosure of information that is neither relevant to the subject matter of the action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

B. CONFIDENTIALITY/PRIVACY OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory seeks the disclosure of confidential information and constitutes an attempt to invade the personal and/or financial privacy of certain individuals or persons.

C. OVERBREADTH AND BURDEN OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is overbroad, burdensome and oppressive in that they seek the disclosure of information that has little or no practical benefit to Propounding Party while placing an unwarranted burden or expense on the Responding Party in ascertaining such information. Further, such interrogatory is so broadly drawn that although it may include some relevant information within its scope, it includes information that is irrelevant or immaterial to the instant action. Such interrogatory seeks information which is cumulative and/or would require the compilation of extensive and detailed information from numerous potential sources, many of which is not easily identifiable or available. A search for all such information will in many instances involve enormous amounts of time by Responding Party. Further, the interrogatory is so overbroad such that it is uncertain what information is sought.

D. AMBIGUITY OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is phrased in language that is vague,

ambiguous, and uncertain such that Responding Party is unable to ascertain what information is sought and in order to respond to this interrogatory, Responding Party would have to speculate as to Propounding Party's intended meaning.

E. AVAILABILITY OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is equally accessible to all parties or is more accessible to the Propounding Party or which is maintained primarily by persons or entities other than Responding Party.

F. IMPROPER FORM OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is phrased in improper form because the interrogatory is not "full and complete in and of itself"; or because it contains subpart, "compound, conjunctive or disjunctive" question, such that the interrogatory does not comply with the requirements of *California Code of Civil Procedure* §2030.060

G. LEGAL CONCLUSION OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory calls for a legal conclusion.

H. ATTORNEY WORK-PRODUCT OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory, in whole or in part, seeks disclosure of information that is protected under the attorney work-product doctrine.

I. MARITAL PRIVILEGE OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the request, in whole or in part, seeks disclosure of information that is protected under marital privilege.

REQUEST NO. 1

20

21

2.2

22

All DOCUMENTS that support YOUR contention that Robert L. Fernandez intends or intended to move into the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 1

Responding Party produces all relevant documents in Responding Party's possession or control which are attached hereto or sent concurrently with these responses. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 2

All DOCUMENTS referencing, signed by, or authored by Robert L. Fernandez CONCERNING his alleged intent to move into the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 2

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "referencing." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, oppressing, not reasonably limited in scope or time, and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party produces all relevant documents in Responding Party's possession or control which are attached hereto or sent concurrently with these responses. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and

3

4

5

REQUEST NO. 3

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 2.2

23

may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

All DOCUMENTS relating to the physical condition or medical needs of Robert L. Fernandez between July 2024 and the present, including any DOCUMENTS reflecting his residency in any ASSISTED LIVING FACILITY.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 3

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "relating," "physical condition," "medical needs," "reflecting" "residency." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope. Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is compound, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of the present unlawful detainer matter bearing case number 25PDUD00999 ("Action"), the disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy. This request seeks information protected by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation, including physician-patient privilege. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

2.2

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 4

All DOCUMENTS YOU relied upon in preparing, drafting, or serving the NOTICE.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 4

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope. Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is compound, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. This request seeks information protected by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation, including physician-patient privilege. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and

provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 5

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING REPAIR REQUESTS made by DEFENDANT between September 2024 and January 2025.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 5

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of this Action, the disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy. This request seeks information protected by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party produces all relevant documents in Responding Party's possession or control which are attached hereto or sent concurrently with these responses. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and

2.2

REQUEST NO. 6

may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING any response by PLAINTIFF or PROPERTY MANAGER to the REPAIR REQUESTS referenced in Request No. 5.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 6

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "any response." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope. Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of this Action, the disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy. This request seeks information protected by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party produces all relevant documents in Responding Party's possession or control which are attached hereto or sent concurrently with these responses. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and

3

4

REQUEST NO. 7

6

5

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2 22 may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING water intrusion, moisture, mold, or plumbing issues at the PREMISES from September 2024 to the present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 7

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "water intrusion," "moisture," "plumbing issues." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "mold" as it calls for a legal conclusion. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope. Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is compound, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of this Action, the disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy. This request seeks information protected by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party produces all relevant documents in Responding Party's possession or control which are attached hereto or sent concurrently with these responses. Discovery is ongoing

and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 8

All COMMUNICATIONS between PLAINTIFF and DEFENDANT between September 1, 2024, and January 30, 2025.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 8

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope. Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party produces all relevant documents in Responding Party's possession or control which are attached hereto or sent concurrently with these responses. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 9

All DOCUMENTS reflecting any COMPLAINT, criticism, or statement made by PLAINTIFF or PROPERTY MANAGER CONCERNING DEFENDANT'S habitability COMPLAINTS or REPAIR REQUESTS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 9

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "criticism," "statement." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "habitability" as it calls for a legal conclusion. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope or time. Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is compound, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of this Action, the disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy. This request seeks information protected by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request because no such document exists or is no longer in possession of Responding Party. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and

4 appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 10

All DOCUMENTS PLAINTIFF provided to any third party, including Robert L. Fernandez, PROPERTY MANAGER, or legal counsel, CONCERNING DEFENDANT'S REPAIR REQUESTS or habitability COMPLAINTS.

different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal

contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses

set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 10

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "third party," "legal counsel." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "habitability" as it calls for a legal conclusion. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope or time. Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is compound, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of this Action, the disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy. This request seeks information protected by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

REQUEST NO. 11

DEFENDANT.

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the June 19, 2024 non-renewal notice served on

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 11

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "non-renewal notice," "served." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope. Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of this Action, the disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy. This request seeks information protected by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation.

Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 12

All DOCUMENTS reflecting any determination by PLAINTIFF that the PREMISES was needed for owner or relative occupancy prior to January 30, 2025.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 12

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "reflecting" "any determination," "owner," "relative." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope or time.

Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is duplicative of other discovery requests, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of this Action, the disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy.

This request seeks information protected by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 13

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING PLAINTIFF'S compliance or claimed exemption from the Tenant Protection Act (Civil Code§ 1946.2) in relation to this TENANCY.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 13

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is compound, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party objects on the ground that it is unable to determine which sections and corresponding subparts of the various Civil Code Sections cited by Propounding Party (Civil Code sections 1946.2) this request concerns. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of the present Action, the disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy. This request seeks information protected

by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 14

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING any COMMUNICATIONS between PLAINTIFF and any government agency regarding DEFENDANT or the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 14

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "government agency." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope or time. Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is duplicative of other discovery requests, compound, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of this Action, the

disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy. This request seeks information protected by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 15

All DOCUMENTS submitted to or received from the any government entity CONCERNING DEFENDANT'S TENANCY.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 15

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "submitted to," "received," "government entity." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope or time. Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is duplicative of other discovery requests, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this

request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of this Action, the disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy. This request seeks information protected by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 16

All DOCUMENTS that PLAINTIFF intends to rely on at trial in this CASE.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 16

Responding Party produces all relevant documents in Responding Party's possession or control which are attached hereto or sent concurrently with these responses. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 17

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING any INSPECTIONS conducted at the PREMISES from July 2024 to the present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 17

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope. Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is compound, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of the present Action, the disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy. This request seeks information protected by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party produces all relevant documents in Responding Party's possession or control which are attached hereto or sent concurrently with these responses. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

22||/

REQUEST NO. 18

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING any legal advice or internal discussion about the decision to terminate DEFENDANT'S TENANCY between January 1, 2025, and January 30, 2025.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 18

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "legal advice," "internal discussions." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope. Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is compound, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of this Action, the disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy. This request seeks information protected by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation, including physician-patient privilege. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

22

responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 19

All DOCUMENTS showing PAYMENTS received from the DEFENDANT from one (1) month prior to the commencement of DEFENDANT'S TENANCY until the present, including receipts, ledgers, and payment logs.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 19

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "commencement of," in reference to DEFENDANT'S TENANCY. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope or time. Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of this Action, the disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request because no such document exists or is no longer in possession of Responding Party. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal

4||]

contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 20

All DOCUMENTS pertaining to RENTAL AGREEMENTS between PLAINTIFF and DEFENDANT.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 20

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "pertaining to." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope or time. Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of this Action, the disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy. This request seeks information protected by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation, including physician-patient privilege. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and

provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 21

All DOCUMENTS that establish PLAINTIFF'S ownership interest in the PREMISES, including but not limited to grant deeds, title reports, or recorded documents.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 21

Responding Party produces all relevant documents in Responding Party's possession or control which are attached hereto or sent concurrently with these responses. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 22

All DOCUMENTS that demonstrate PLAINTIFF had the legal right to recover possession of the PREMISES at the time the NOTICE was served.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 22

Responding Party produces all relevant documents in Responding Party's possession or control which are attached hereto or sent concurrently with these responses. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and

may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST NO. 23

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the legal formation and current status of any entity that claims to own or manage the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 23

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "legal formation," "current status" "any entity." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope or time. Furthermore, this request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is compound, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that a response would necessitate the preparation or the making of a compilation, abstract, audit, or summary of information. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. Section 2030.230. Additionally, this request seeks confidential, private and/or privileged documents of third parties not part of this Action, the disclosure of which would violate their right to privacy. This request seeks information protected by disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine and/or information prepared in anticipation of litigation, including physician-patient privilege. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request because no such document exists or is no longer in possession of Responding Party. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further