1	ANTHONY MARINACCIO (SBN 259335)		
2	ISAAC GUZMAN (SBN 337062) MARINACCIO LAW		
3	225 W Broadway, Suite 103		
	Glendale, CA 91204		
4	Tel.: (818) 839-5220 Fax: (818) 638-9485		
5	E-mail: anthony@marinacciolaw.com		
6	Attorney for Plaintiffs,		
7	Bradford M. Martinez, Vicki L. Martinez, and Gail Diane Calhoun AKA Gail Diane Houser		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, PASADENA COURTHOUSE		
10			
11	BRADFORD M. MARTINEZ; VICKI L.) CASE NO. 25PDUD00999	
12	MARTINEZ; GAIL DIANE CALHOUN AKA GAIL DIANE HOUSER) [Assigned for all purposes to the Hon.	
13) Jerry B. Marshak, Dept. R]	
14	Plaintiffs,)	
	v.) PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL	
15	JENNIFER BURBANK; JAMES) RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS	
16	BURBANK	,)	
17	D.C. 1.) Complaint Filed: April 1, 2025	
18	Defendants,) Trial Date: June 12, 2025	
19)	
20	PROPOUNDING PARTY: DEFENDANT, JENNIFER BURBANK		
21	RESPONDING PARTY: PLAINTIFF, BRADFORD M. MARTINEZ		
22	SET NO.: One		
23	SET NO One		
24	BRADFORD M. MARTINEZ ("Responding Party") hereby provides its supplementa		
25	responses to JENNIFER BURBANK'S ("Propounding Party") Requests for Admission (Set One		
26	as follows:		
27			
28			

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

These responses are made only for the purpose of the within action. Each response or answer is subject to all objections as to competence, relevance, materiality, propriety, admissibility and any other objections and grounds that would require the exclusion of any statement herein if any document was asked of, or if any statement contained therein were made by a witness present and testifying in court, all of which objections and grounds are reserved and may be interposed at the time of trial.

Plaintiff is Responding to all Requests to the extent that information has become known by it. However, this Responding Party's discovery, investigation and preparation for trial of this matter has not been completed as of the date of these responses, and, therefore, Plaintiff does not purport to state anything more than information presently known and discovered by it.

Plaintiff, in responding to these Requests, reserves the right to continue discovery and investigation in this matter for facts, witnesses and supporting data that may recall information which, if it had presently within its knowledge, would be included in these responses. For example, to the extent that any request calls for the identification of all documents," it is responded to fully insofar as information is presently available to Plaintiff, and Plaintiff is not precluded from presenting at trial information discovered after the date of the response of this request.

This preliminary statement is incorporated into each of the responses set forth below.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

To avoid repetition in setting forth specific objections to interrogatories, Responding Party sets forth and incorporates the following objections to Responding Party's each and every response.

A. RELEVANCY OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory, in whole or in part, seeks the disclosure of information that is neither relevant to the subject matter of the action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

B. CONFIDENTIALITY/PRIVACY OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory seeks the disclosure of confidential information and constitutes an attempt to invade the personal and/or financial privacy of certain individuals or persons.

C. OVERBREADTH AND BURDEN OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is overbroad, burdensome and oppressive in that they seek the disclosure of information that has little or no practical benefit to Propounding Party while placing an unwarranted burden or expense on the Responding Party in ascertaining such information. Further, such interrogatory is so broadly drawn that although it may include some relevant information within its scope, it includes information that is irrelevant or immaterial to the instant action. Such interrogatory seeks information which is cumulative and/or would require the compilation of extensive and detailed information from numerous potential sources, many of which is not easily identifiable or available. A search for all such information will in many instances involve enormous amounts of time by Responding Party. Further, the interrogatory is so overbroad such that it is uncertain what information is sought.

D. AMBIGUITY OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is phrased in language that is vague, ambiguous, and uncertain such that Responding Party is unable to ascertain what information is sought and in order to respond to this interrogatory, Responding Party would have to speculate as to Propounding Party's intended meaning.

E. AVAILABILITY OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is equally accessible to all parties or is more accessible to the Propounding Party or which is maintained primarily by persons or

entities other than Responding Party.

F. IMPROPER FORM OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is phrased in improper form because the interrogatory is not "full and complete in and of itself"; or because it contains subpart, "compound, conjunctive or disjunctive" question, such that the interrogatory does not comply with the requirements of *California Code of Civil Procedure* §2030.060

G. LEGAL CONCLUSION OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory calls for a legal conclusion.

H. ATTORNEY WORK-PRODUCT OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory, in whole or in part, seeks disclosure of information that is protected under the attorney work-product doctrine.

I. MARITAL PRIVILEGE OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the request, in whole or in part, seeks disclosure of information that is protected under marital privilege.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Admit that prior to June 2024, PLAINTIFF had not informed DEFENDANT of any intent to recover possession of the PREMISES.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "recover possession." Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Admit. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Admit that on or about June 19, 2024, PLAINTIFF served DEFENDANT with a notice of non-renewal of the RENTAL AGREEMENT.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "served," "notice of non-renewal." Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Admit, to the extent indicated in the e-mail bearing the subject "Lease Termination of 518 N. Stoneman Ave. Alhambra CA 91801" which is attached hereto or sent concurrently with these supplemental responses. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Admit that the June 19, 2024 notice of non-renewal stated that DEFENDANT had violated the RENTAL AGREEMENT by allegedly modifying bathroom and keeping a pet.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "notice of non-renewal," "modifying bathroom," "pet." Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

24

28

27

See Supplemental Response to Request for Admission No. 3. Deny, to the extent that the e-mail bearing the subject "Lease Termination of 518 N. Stoneman Ave. Alhambra CA 91801" does not reference "modifying bathroom." Admit, to the extent that the e-mail bearing the subject "Lease Termination of 518 N. Stoneman Ave. Alhambra CA 91801" references "pet." Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Admit that the June 19, 2024 notice of non-renewal did not state that PLAINTIFF or PLAINTIFF's relative intended to move into the PREMISES.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "notice of non-renewal," "relative." Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

See Supplemental Response to Request for Admission Nos. 3-4. Admit, to the extent that the e-mail bearing the subject "Lease Termination of 518 N. Stoneman Ave. Alhambra CA 91801" does not reference "relative." Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Admit that the NOTICE served on or about January 30, 2025, was the first written communication to DEFENDANT stating that Robert L. Fernandez intended to occupy the PREMISES.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party provide a copy of the referenced NOTICE. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7

Admit that DEFENDANT submitted written REPAIR REQUESTS to PLAINTIFF or the PROPERTY MANAGER in September 2024 concerning water intrusion at the PREMISES.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "written," "concerning," "water intrusion." Given that this request is compound,

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

26

25

27 28

Responding Party requests that Propounding Party separate this request into distinct questions. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny with respect to the PROPERTY MANAGER. Deny, with respect to the PLAINTIFF. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Admit that DEFENDANT submitted additional REPAIR REQUESTS between December 2024 and January 2025 concerning unresolved issues at the PREMISES.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "additional," "concerning," "unresolved issues." Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Admit. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and

///

Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Admit that PLAINTIFF did not complete REPAIRS to address water intrusion at the PREMISES before serving the NOTICE.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "complete," "water intrusion." Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

To the extent Responding Party indicated in the documents attached hereto or sent concurrently with these supplemental responses, Admit. Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Admit that DEFENDANT submitted a COMPLAINT to the California Civil Rights

Department in or about September 2024 regarding the condition of the PREMISES.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "submitted," "regarding," "condition." Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Admit that DEFENDANT submitted a COMPLAINT to the Los Angeles County Department of Public Health in or about October 2024 regarding the condition of the PREMISES.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "submitted "regarding," "condition." Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Admit that DEFENDANT followed up in writing with PLAINTIFF regarding REPAIRS to the PREMISES on or about January 28, 2025.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "writing," "regarding." Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Admit. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Admit that PLAINTIFF served the NOTICE within three days after DEFENDANT's January 2025 follow-up communication requesting REPAIRS.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "follow-up communication," "requesting." Responding Party requests that Propounding Party specify which "DEFENDANT's January 2025 follow-up communication requesting REPAIRS" is being referred to. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Admit that DEFENDANT's habitability-related COMPLAINTS and REPAIR REQUESTS constitute PROTECTED ACTIVITY under California law.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "habitability-related," "California law." Given that this request is compound, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party separate this request into distinct questions. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Admit that PLAINTIFF had knowledge of DEFENDANT's written COMPLAINT to the California Civil Rights Department before serving the NOTICE.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "knowledge." Responding Party also requests that Propounding Party define the term "COMPLAINT," as there appear to be inconsistencies in how the term is defined by Propounding Party but used in this request. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Admit that PLAINTIFF had knowledge of DEFENDANT's written COMPLAINT to the Los Angeles County Department of Public Health before serving the NOTICE.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "knowledge." Responding Party also requests that Propounding Party define the term "COMPLAINT," as there appear to be inconsistencies in how the term is defined by

Propounding Party but used in this request. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Admit that no DOCUMENT served by PLAINTIFF prior to January 30, 2025, referenced any intention by Robert L. Fernandez to move into the PREMISES.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17

Admit.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:

Admit that the reasons given in the June 2024 non renewal notice are different from the reasons given in the January 2025 NOTICE.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "reasons," "non-renewal notice." Responding Party requests that Propounding Party specify which "June 2024 non renewal notice" and "January 2025 NOTICE" are being referred to. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:

Admit that DEFENDANT was in possession of the PREMISES for more than three years before the NOTICE was served.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19

Deny.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:

Admit that PLAINTIFF has not produced any DOCUMENT confirming a scheduled move-in date for Robert L. Fernandez.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "produced," "confirming." Responding Party also requests, that Propounding Party specify whom this request concerns with respect to referenced production and who requested any referenced production. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that

additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22

Admit that Robert L. Fernandez is currently residing in a full-time assisted living facility.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22

As part of this meet and confer process, Responding Party requests that Propounding Party define the term "assisted living facility." Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows: Deny.

DATED: 6/6/2025 MARINACCIO LAW

BY: <u>Anthony Marinacci</u>o

Anthony Marinaccio, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs, Bradford M. Martinez, Vicki L. Martinez, and Gail Diane Calhoun AKA Gail Diane Houser

1 2	<u>VERIFICATION</u>	
3 4	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
5	I HAVE read the following Supplemental Response to Request for Admission and know its contents.	
7 8	I am a party to the action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.	
9	Executed on06/06/2025 in Alhambra, California.	
10 11 12	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.	
13	Type or Print Name: Bradford M. Martinez	
14 15	Signature <u>Bradford Martinez</u>	
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
40		