1	MARINACCIO LAW	
2	ANTHONY MARINACCIO (SBN 259335) ISAAC GUZMAN (SBN 337062)	
3	225 W Broadway, Suite 103 Glendale, CA 91204	
4	Tel.: (818) 839-5220	
5	Fax: (818) 638-9485 E-mail: anthony@marinacciolaw.com	
6 7	Attorney for Plaintiffs, Bradford M. Martinez, V Gail Diane Houser	Vicki L. Martinez, and Gail Diane Calhoun AKA
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, PASADENA COURTHOUSE	
10		
11	BRADFORD M. MARTINEZ, VICKI L. MARTINEZ AND GAIL DIANE) CASE NO. 25PDUD00999
12	CALHOUN AKA GAIL DIANE HOUSER) [Assigned for all purposes to the Hon.) Jerry B. Marshak, Dept. R]
13	Plaintiffs,)
14 15	v.	 PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORIES – UNLAWFUL DETAINER
16	JENNIFER BURBANK AND JAMES) DETAINER)
17	BURBANK) Complaint Filed: April 1, 2025
18	Defendants,) Trial Date: June 3, 2025
19		_)
20	PROPOUNDING PARTY: DEFENDANT, JENNIFER BURBANK	
21	RESPONDING PARTY: PLAINTIFF, BRADFORD M. MARTINEZ	
22	SET NO.: One	
23	BRADFORD M. MARTINEZ ("Responding Party") hereby provides its response to	
24	JENNIFER BURBANK'S ("Propounding Party") Form Interrogatories-Unlawful Detainer (Se	
25	One) as follows:	AV COM A MANAGEMENT
26	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	
27	These responses are made only for the purpose of the within action. Each response of	
28	answer is subject to all objections as to competence, relevance, materiality, propriety, admissibility	

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORIES – UNLAWFUL DETAINER - 1

and any other objections and grounds that would require the exclusion of any statement herein if any document was asked of, or if any statement contained therein were made by a witness present and testifying in court, all of which objections and grounds are reserved and may be interposed at the time of trial.

Plaintiff is responding to all Requests to the extent that information has become known by him. However, this Responding Party's discovery, investigation and preparation for trial of this matter has not been completed as of the date of these responses, and, therefore, Plaintiff does not purport to state anything more than information presently known and discovered by him.

Plaintiff, in responding to these Requests, reserves the right to continue discovery and investigation in this matter for facts, witnesses and supporting data that may recall information which, if he had presently within his knowledge, would be included in these responses. For example, to the extent that any request calls for the identification of "all documents," it is responded to fully insofar as information is presently available to Plaintiff, and Plaintiff is not precluded from presenting at trial information discovered after the date of the response of this request.

This preliminary statement is incorporated into each of the responses set forth below.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

To avoid repetition in setting forth specific objections to interrogatories, Responding Party sets forth and incorporates the following objections to Responding Party's each and every response.

A. RELEVANCY OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory, in whole or in part, seek the disclosure of information that is neither relevant to the subject matter of the action nor reasonably

calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

B. CONFIDENTIALITY/PRIVACY OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory seeks the disclosure of confidential information and constitutes an attempt to invade the personal and/or financial privacy of certain individuals or persons.

C. OVERBREADTH AND BURDEN OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is overbroad, burdensome and oppressive in that they seek the disclosure of information that has little or no practical benefit to Propounding Party while placing an unwarranted burden or expense on the Responding Party in ascertaining such information. Further, such interrogatory is so broadly drawn that although it may include some relevant information within its scope, it includes information that is irrelevant or immaterial to the instant action. Such interrogatory seeks information which is cumulative and/or would require the compilation of extensive and detailed information from numerous potential sources, many of which is not easily identifiable or available. A search for all such information will in many instances involve enormous amounts of time by Responding Party. Further, the interrogatory is so overbroad such that it is uncertain what information is sought.

D. AMBIGUITY OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is phrased in language that is vague, ambiguous, and uncertain such that Responding Party is unable to ascertain what information is sought and in order to respond to this interrogatory, Responding Party would have to speculate as to Propounding Party's intended meaning.

E. AVAILABILITY OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is equally accessible to all parties

or is more accessible to the Propounding Party or which is maintained primarily by persons or entities other than Responding Party.

F. IMPROPER FORM OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is phrased in improper form because the interrogatory is not "full and complete in and of itself"; or because it contains subpart, "compound, conjunctive or disjunctive" question, such that the interrogatory does not comply with the requirements of *California Code of Civil Procedure* §2030.060

G. LEGAL CONCLUSION OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory calls for a legal conclusion.

H. ATTORNEY WORK-PRODUCT OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the interrogatory, in whole or in part, seeks disclosure of information that is protected under the attorney work-product doctrine.

I. MARITAL PRIVILEGE OBJECTION

Responding Party objects on the basis that the request, in whole or in part, seeks disclosure of information that is protected under marital privilege.

RESPONSES TO FORM INTERROGATORIES (SET ONE)

FORM INTERROGATORY 70.1

Anthony Marinaccio, Marinaccio Law, 225 W Broadway, Suite 103, Glendale, CA 91204; (818) 839-52520

FORM INTERROGATORY 70.2

Yes. Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and

provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

FORM INTERROGATORY 70.3

Yes. Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

FORM INTERROGATORY 70.4

No.

FORM INTERROGATORY 70.5

No.

FORM INTERROGATORY 70.6

No.

FORM INTERROGATORY 70.7

Yes. Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions