1 2 3 4	MARINACCIO LAW ANTHONY MARINACCIO (SBN 259335) ISAAC GUZMAN (SBN 337062) 225 W Broadway, Suite 103 Glendale, CA 91204 Tel.: (818) 839-5220 Fax: (818) 638-9485 E-mail: anthony@marinacciolaw.com		
5	Attorney for Plaintiffs, Bradford M. Martinez, Vicki L. Martinez, and Gail Diane Calhoun AKA Gail Diane Houser		
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, PASADENA COURTHOUSE		
9 10	BRADFORD M. MARTINEZ; VICKI L. MARTINEZ; GAIL DIANE CALHOUN AKA GAIL DIANE HOUSER,	CASE NO. 25PDUD00999) [Assigned for all purposes to the Hon.	
11	Plaintiffs,) Jerry B. Marshak, Dept. R]) PLAINTIFF BRADFORD M.	
12	v. JENNIFER BURBANK; JAMES BURBANK, and DOES 1-10,	MARTINEZ'S RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES Complaint Filed: April 1, 2025	
14 15	Defendants,) Trial Date: June 3, 2025	
16			
17 18	PROPOUNDING PARTY: DEFENDANT, JENNIFER BURBANK RESPONDING PARTY: PLAINTIFF, BRADFORD M. MARTINEZ SET NO.: One		
19	BRADFORD M. MARTINEZ ("Responding Party") hereby provides its response to		
20	JENNIFER BURBANK'S ("Propounding Party") Special Interrogatories (Set One) as follows:		
21	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT		
22	These responses are made only for the purpose of the within action. Each response or		
22	answer is subject to all objections as to competence, relevance, materiality, propriety, admissibility		

and any other objections and grounds that would require the exclusion of any statement herein if any document was asked of, or if any statement contained therein were made by a witness present and testifying in court, all of which objections and grounds are reserved and may be interposed at the time of trial.

Plaintiff is responding to all Requests to the extent that information has become known by him. However, this responding party's discovery, investigation and preparation for trial of this matter has not been completed as of the date of these responses, and, therefore, Plaintiff does not purport to state anything more than information presently known and discovered by him.

Plaintiff, in responding to these Requests, reserves the right to continue discovery and investigation in this matter for facts, witnesses and supporting data that may recall information which, if he had presently within his knowledge, would be included in these responses. For example, to the extent that any request calls for the identification of 'all documents," it is responded to fully insofar as information is presently available to Plaintiff, and Plaintiff is not precluded from presenting at trial information discovered after the date of the response of this request.

This preliminary statement is incorporated into each of the responses set forth below.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

To avoid repetition in setting forth specific objections to interrogatories, responding party sets forth and incorporates the following objections to responding party's each and every response.

A. RELEVENCY OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory, in whole or in part, seek the disclosure of information that is neither relevant to the subject matter of the action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

B. CONFIDENTIALITY/PRIVACY OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory seeks the disclosure of confidential information and constitute an attempt to invade the personal and/or financial privacy of certain individuals or persons.

C. OVERBREADTH AND BURDEN OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is overbroad, burdensome and oppressive in that they seek the disclosure of information that has little or no practical benefit to propounding party while placing an unwarranted burden or expense on the responding party in ascertaining such information. Further, such interrogatory is so broadly drawn that although it may include some relevant information within its scope, it includes information that is irrelevant or immaterial to the instant action. Such interrogatory seeks information which is cumulative and/or would require the compilation of extensive and detailed information from numerous potential sources, many of which is not easily identifiable or available. A search for all such information will in many instances involve enormous amounts of time by responding party. Further, the interrogatory is so overbroad such that it is uncertain what information is sought.

D. AMBIGUITY OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is phrased in language that is vague, ambiguous, and uncertain such that responding party is unable to ascertain what information is sought and in order to respond to this interrogatory, responding party would have to speculate as to propounding party's intended meaning.

E. AVAILABILITY OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is equally accessible to all parties or is more accessible to the propounding party or which is maintained primarily by persons or entities other than responding party.

F. IMPROPER FORM OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory is phrased in improper form because the interrogatory is not "full and complete in and of itself"; or because it contains subpart, "compound, conjunctive or disjunctive" question, such that the interrogatory does not comply with the requirements of *California Code of Civil Procedure* §2030.060

G. LEGAL CONCLUSION OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory calls for a legal conclusion.

H. ATTORNEY WORK-PRODUCT OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the interrogatory, in whole or in part, seeks disclosure of information that is protected under the attorney work-product doctrine.

I. MARITAL PRIVILEGE OBJECTION

Responding party objects on the basis that the request, in whole or in part, seek disclosure of information that is protected under marital privilege.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 1

State the date on which the RENTAL AGREEMENT between YOU and the DEFENDANT was executed.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 1

Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and

3

5

6

7

to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 2

4

IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS that evidence the alleged service of the NOTICE on DEFENDANT.

Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 3

IDENTIFY all REPAIRS made at PREMISES during DEFENDANT's TENANCY at PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 3

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "compliance" as it calls for a legal conclusion. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions,

all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 4

STATE all actions YOU took to address DEFENDANT'S REPAIR REQUESTS in 2024.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 4

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "all actions." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 5

STATE all actions YOU took to address DEFENDANT'S REPAIR REQUESTS in 2025.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 5

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "all actions." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it

seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 6

State the date on which YOU first formed the intent to have Robert L. Fernandez move into the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 6

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "date," "intent." Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as the "intent" was not "formed" by Responding Party. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 7

Describe in detail all facts that support YOUR contention that Robert L. Fernandez intended to move into the PREMISES at the time the NOTICE was served.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 7

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "describe," "all facts," "contention." Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Robert L. Fernandez lived at Glen Park Assisted Living in Glendale, to care for his wife, Carol, during her battle with Alzheimer's Disease until she passed away in hospice care in February 2023. Robert has expressed his desire to return to the PREMISES since her passing. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 8

IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS that support YOUR contention that Robert L. Fernandez intended to move into the PREMISES at the time the NOTICE was served.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 8

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request because no such DOCUMENTS exist. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 9

State the current address of Robert L. Fernandez.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 9

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. In addition, Responding Party also objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information that impairs a person's inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

1 E Commonwealth Ave., Alhambra, CA 91801. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 10

State the name and address of any ASSISTED LIVING FACILITY in which Robert L. Fernandez resided at any time between July 2024 and January 2025.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 10

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. In addition, Responding Party also objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information that impairs a person's inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Savant Senior Living, 1 E Commonwealth Ave., Alhambra, CA 91801. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional

changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 11

State the date on which YOU first became aware that DEFENDANT submitted REPAIR REQUESTS CONCERNING water intrusion at the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 11

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "aware," "water intrusion." This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

On or about September 2024. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 12

IDENTIFY all PERSONS who received or responded to DEFENDANT'S REPAIR REQUESTS made between September 2024 and January 2025.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 12

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "received," "responded." This interrogatory is compound, overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Responding Party also objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. In addition, Responding Party also objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information that impairs a person's

inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 13

State whether YOU were aware, at the time the NOTICE was served, that DEFENDANT had submitted a COMPLAINT to the California Civil Rights Department CONCERNING the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 13

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "aware," "served," "submitted." This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded, because Responding Party lacks knowledge of actions taken by Propounding Party at the time the NOTICE was served. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 14

State whether YOU were aware, at the time the NOTICE was served, that DEFENDANT had submitted a COMPLAINT to the Los Angeles County Department of Public Health CONCERNING the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 14

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "aware," "served," "submitted." This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded, because Responding Party lacks knowledge of actions taken by Propounding Party at the time the NOTICE was served. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 15

State all reasons why YOU served the NOTICE on or about January 30, 2025.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 15

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "aware," "served," "submitted." This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. It is also duplicative of other discovery requests. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and

further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 16

State all the reasons you gave DEFENDANT's for wanting them to vacate premises prior to serving them with the NOTICE.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 16

Responding Party objects in that this request seeks information equally available to Propounding Party and is already within the Propounding Party's possession thereby making the request overly burdensome, oppressive, and harassing. This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. This interrogatory calls for a legal conclusion. Responding Party also objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. In addition, Responding Party also objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information that impairs a person's inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. As phrased, request is incomprehensible leaving Responding Party unable to determine what is being requested. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations

from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 17

Describe in detail how YOU and any PERSON acting on YOUR behalf responded to DEFENDANT'S REPAIR REQUESTS made between September 2024 and January 2025.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 17

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "describe in detail." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is compound, overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. In addition, Responding Party also objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information that impairs a person's inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 18

IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS referencing or CONCERNING communications between PLAINTIFF and DEFENDANT between January I, 2025, and January 30, 2025.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 18

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. In addition, Responding Party also objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information that impairs a person's inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 19

State whether YOU believe DEFENDANT'S REPAIR REQUESTS and COMPLAINTS to government agencies were PROTECTED ACTIVITY under California law.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 19

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "government agencies," "California law." Responding Party objects in that this request seeks information equally available to Propounding Party and is already within the Propounding Party's possession thereby making the request overly burdensome, oppressive, and harassing. This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. This interrogatory calls for a legal conclusion. Responding Party also objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client

privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 20

State whether YOU were aware, at the time the NOTICE was served, that DEFENDANT had complained about water intrusion at the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 20

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "aware," "water intrusion," "complained." This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. This request is also duplicative of other discovery requests. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

See response to Special Interrogatory No. 11. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 21

CONCERNING water intrusion

IDENTIFY the date YOU first received a REPAIR REQUEST from DEFENDANT CONCERNING water intrusion at the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 21

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "received," "water intrusion." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "IDENTIFY" in reference to "date." This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. This request is also duplicative of other discovery requests. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

See responses to Special Interrogatory Nos. 11, 20. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 22

IDENTIFY all REPAIR REQUESTS received from DEFENDANT between September I, 2024, and January 30, 2025.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 22

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "received," Responding Party objects in that this request seeks information equally available to Propounding Party and is already within the Propounding Party's possession thereby making the request overly burdensome, oppressive, and harassing. This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. This interrogatory calls for a legal conclusion. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 23

Describe all actions YOU took in response to DEFENDANT'S REPAIR REQUESTS referenced in Interrogatory No. 22.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 23

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "describe," "all actions." Responding Party objects in that this request seeks information equally available to Propounding Party and is already within the Propounding Party's possession thereby making the request overly burdensome, oppressive, and harassing. This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Responding Party also objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. In addition, Responding Party also objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information that impairs a person's inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions,

all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 24

State whether YOU received any written communication from DEFENDANT between January 25, 2025, and January 30, 2025.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 24

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "state," "received," "written communication." Responding Party objects in that this request seeks information equally available to Propounding Party and is already within the Propounding Party's possession thereby making the request overly burdensome, oppressive, and harassing. This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Yes. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 25

If YOU received any written communication from DEFENDANT between January 25, 2025, and January 30, 2025, IDENTIFY the DOCUMENT and state the date it was received.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 25

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "state," "received," "written communication." Responding Party objects in that this request seeks information equally available to Propounding Party and is already within the

Propounding Party's possession thereby making the request overly burdensome, oppressive, and harassing. This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 26

State whether the NOTICE was prepared or served in response to any communication from DEFENDANT regarding REPAIRS, water intrusion, or habitability.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 26

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "state," "water intrusion," "any communication." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "habitability" as it calls for a legal conclusion. This interrogatory is compound, overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Responding Party also objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. In addition, Responding Party also objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information that impairs a person's inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

No. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 27

State whether YOU believe that DEFENDANT'S habitability-related COMPLAINTS were a motivating factor in YOUR decision to serve the NOTICE.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 27

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "state," "habitability-related." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "habitability" as it calls for a legal conclusion. This interrogatory is compound, overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Responding Party also objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. In addition, Responding Party also objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information that impairs a person's inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

No. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and

to and including at trial.

NOTICE.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 28

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 28

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "preparation," "drafting." Responding Party objects in that this request seeks information equally available to Propounding Party and is already within the Propounding Party's possession thereby making the request overly burdensome, oppressive, and harassing. This interrogatory is compound, duplicative of other discovery requests, overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Responding Party also objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. In addition, Responding Party also objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information that impairs a person's inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up

IDENTIFY all PERSONS involved in the preparation, drafting, or service of the

Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 29

State whether YOU or any PERSON acting on YOUR behalf made any statements expressing frustration, concern, or annoyance about DEFENDANT'S REPAIR REQUESTS or COMPLAINTS before the NOTICE was served.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 29

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "state," "any statements," "frustration," "concern," "annoyance." Responding Party objects in that this request seeks information equally available to Propounding Party and is already within the Propounding Party's possession thereby making the request overly burdensome, oppressive, and harassing. This interrogatory is compound, overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Responding Party also objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. In addition, Responding Party also objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information that impairs a person's inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 30

IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS CONCERNING any complaints, comments, or statements made by PLAINTIFF, PROPERTY MANAGER, or their agents CONCERNING

DEFENDANT'S REPAIR REQUESTS or COMPLAINTS made between September 2024 and January 2025.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 30

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "complaints," "comments," "statements." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "agents" as it calls for a legal conclusion. Responding Party objects in that this request seeks information equally available to Propounding Party and is already within the Propounding Party's possession thereby making the request overly burdensome, oppressive, and harassing. This interrogatory is compound, overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Responding Party also objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. In addition, Responding Party also objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information that impairs a person's inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

1	DATED: 5/30/2025	MARINACCIO LAW
2		
3		BY: <u>/S/</u>
4		Anthony Marinaccio, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Bradford M. Martinez, Vicki
5		L. Martinez, and Gail Diane Calhoun AKA Gail Diane Houser
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
22		