Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Admit that DEFENDANT submitted a COMPLAINT to the California Civil Rights

Department in or about September 2024 regarding the condition of the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "submitted "regarding," "condition." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Admit that DEFENDANT submitted a COMPLAINT to the Los Angeles County

Department of Public Health in or about October 2024 regarding the condition of the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "submitted "regarding," "condition." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Admit that DEFENDANT followed up in writing with PLAINTIFF regarding REPAIRS to the PREMISES on or about January 28, 2025.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "writing," "regarding." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only

designed to harass Responding Party. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Admit that PLAINTIFF served the NOTICE within three days after DEFENDANT's January 2025 follow-up communication requesting REPAIRS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "follow-up communication," "requesting." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and

further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Admit that DEFENDANT's habitability-related COMPLAINTS and REPAIR REQUESTS constitute PROTECTED ACTIVITY under California law.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "habitability-related," "California law." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "habitability-related" as it calls for a legal conclusion. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope or time, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is compound, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Admit that PLAINTIFF had knowledge of DEFENDANT's written COMPLAINT to the California Civil Rights Department before serving the NOTICE.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "knowledge." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "COMPLAINT" as the term is defined by Propounding Party but used in this request. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope or time, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is compound, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.