inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 13

State whether YOU were aware, at the time the NOTICE was served, that DEFENDANT had submitted a COMPLAINT to the California Civil Rights Department CONCERNING the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 13

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "aware," "served," "submitted." This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded, because Responding Party lacks knowledge of actions taken by Propounding Party at the time the NOTICE was served. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 14

State whether YOU were aware, at the time the NOTICE was served, that DEFENDANT had submitted a COMPLAINT to the Los Angeles County Department of Public Health CONCERNING the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 14

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "aware," "served," "submitted." This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded, because Responding Party lacks knowledge of actions taken by Propounding Party at the time the NOTICE was served. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 15

State all reasons why YOU served the NOTICE on or about January 30, 2025.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 15

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "aware," "served," "submitted." This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. It is also duplicative of other discovery requests. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and

further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 16

State all the reasons you gave DEFENDANT's for wanting them to vacate premises prior to serving them with the NOTICE.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 16

Responding Party objects in that this request seeks information equally available to Propounding Party and is already within the Propounding Party's possession thereby making the request overly burdensome, oppressive, and harassing. This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. This interrogatory calls for a legal conclusion. Responding Party also objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. In addition, Responding Party also objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information that impairs a person's inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. As phrased, request is incomprehensible leaving Responding Party unable to determine what is being requested. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations

from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 17

Describe in detail how YOU and any PERSON acting on YOUR behalf responded to DEFENDANT'S REPAIR REQUESTS made between September 2024 and January 2025.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 17

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "describe in detail." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is compound, overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. In addition, Responding Party also objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information that impairs a person's inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party exercises its right to produce writings under Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.210(a)(2). Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 18

IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS referencing or CONCERNING communications between PLAINTIFF and DEFENDANT between January I, 2025, and January 30, 2025.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 18

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine and/or premature disclosure of expert witness information in violation of *Code of Civil Procedure* §§ 2018 and 2034. In addition, Responding Party also objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks information that impairs a person's inalienable right of privacy under the California Constitution and disclosure would violate Responding Party and the third parties' right to privacy. Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Responding Party responds as follows:

After a diligent search and a reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 19

State whether YOU believe DEFENDANT'S REPAIR REQUESTS and COMPLAINTS to government agencies were PROTECTED ACTIVITY under California law.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 19

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "government agencies," "California law." Responding Party objects in that this request seeks information equally available to Propounding Party and is already within the Propounding Party's possession thereby making the request overly burdensome, oppressive, and harassing. This interrogatory is overbroad, vague, unduly burdensome, and oppressive in scope and time. This interrogatory calls for a legal conclusion. Responding Party also objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client