13

12

15

14

17

16

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Admit that the June 19, 2024 notice of non-renewal stated that DEFENDANT had violated the RENTAL AGREEMENT by allegedly modifying bathroom and keeping a pet.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "notice of non-renewal," "modifying bathroom," "pet." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

28

26 27

Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Admit that the June 19, 2024 notice of non-renewal did not state that PLAINTIFF or PLAINTIFF's relative intended to move into the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "notice of non-renewal," "relative." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Admit that the NOTICE served on or about January 30, 2025, was the first written communication to DEFENDANT stating that Robert L. Fernandez intended to occupy the

PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "first written communication." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7

Admit that DEFENDANT submitted written REPAIR REQUESTS to PLAINTIFF or the PROPERTY MANAGER in September 2024 concerning water intrusion at the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "written," "concerning," "water intrusion." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope or time, not reasonably calculated

to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is compound, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Admit that DEFENDANT submitted additional REPAIR REQUESTS between December 2024 and January 2025 concerning unresolved issues at the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "additional," "concerning," "unresolved issues." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is compound, overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to

enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time as appropriate, up to and including at trial.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Admit that PLAINTIFF did not complete REPAIRS to address water intrusion at the PREMISES before serving the NOTICE.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous regarding the term "complete," "water intrusion." Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in scope or time, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible or relevant evidence and it is overbroad, burdensome, and oppressing and is only designed to harass Responding Party. Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party is unable to comply with this request as propounded. Responding Party made a reasonable inquiry and the information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny. Discovery is ongoing and it is anticipated that additional discovery and further investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and provide new and different meaning to known facts, and may establish new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may result in additional changes and/or variations from the responses set herein and