- 1						
1	Lane E. Webb (SBN 144671) lane.webb@manningkass.com Shanna M. Van Wagner (SBN 317675)					
2						
3	shanna.vanwagner@manningkass.com MANNING & KASS					
4	ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP 225 Broadway, Suite 2000					
5	San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 515-0269					
6	acsimile: (619) 515-0268					
7	Attorneys for Defendants, BRAD MARTINEZ, VICKI MARTINEZ, GAIL D. CALHOUN, AS TRUSTEE OF THE GAIL D. CALHOUN FAMILY TRUST, and LOTUS PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, INC.					
8						
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – ALHAMBRA COURTHOUSE					
11	IAMEG DUDDANIZ	•	CASE NO 24NNOVOCOS2			
12	JAMES BURBANK, an individual; JENNIFER BURBANK, an individual; Plaintiffs,		CASE NO: 24NNCV06082			
13			DEFENDANT BRAD MARTINEZ'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF JENNIFER			
14	VS.		BURBANK'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS,			
15	BRAD MARTINEZ, an individual; VICKI MARTINEZ, an individual; GAIL D. CALHOUN, as TRUSTEE of the GAIL D.		SET ONE			
16			Trial Date: None Set			
17	CALHOUN FAMILY TRUST; LO PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, IN	Complaint Filed: November 25, 2024				
18	GORDON, an individual; SALLY GUTIERREZ, an individual; GRA					
19	CHENG, an individual; DOES 1 – inclusive;	50,				
20	Defendants.					
21						
22	PROPOUNDING PARTY:	Plaintiff, JI	ENNIFER BURBANK			
23	RESPONDING PARTY:	Defendant,	BRAD MARTINEZ			
24	SET NO.:	ONE				
25	TO PLAINTIFF AND HE	TO PLAINTIFF AND HER RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:				
26	Pursuant to Sections 2030.2	Pursuant to Sections 2030.210, et seq., of the California Code of Civil Procedure, fendant BRAD MARTINEZ ("Responding Party") hereby submits these objections and				
27	 Defendant BRAD MARTINEZ ("F					
28	responses to the First Set of Special Interrogatories propounded by Plaintiff JENNIFER					
- 1			I			

DEFENDANT BRAD MARTINEZ'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF JENNIFER BURBANK'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE

BURBANK ("Propounding Party") as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Responding Party has not completed its investigation of the facts relating to this case, its discovery or its preparation for trial. All responses and objections contained herein are based only upon information that is presently available to and specifically known by Responding Party. It is anticipated that further discovery, independent investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and add meaning to known facts, as well as establish entirely new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may lead to substantial additions to, changes in and variations from the responses set forth herein.

These responses, while based on diligent inquiry and investigation by Responding Party, reflect only the current state of Responding Party's knowledge, understanding, and belief, based upon the information reasonably available to it at this time. As this action proceeds, and further investigation and discovery are conducted, additional or different facts and information could be revealed to Responding Party. Moreover, Responding Party anticipates that Propounding Party may make legal or factual contentions presently unknown to and unforeseen by Responding Party which may require Responding Party to adduce further facts in rebuttal to such contentions.

Consequently, Responding Party may not yet have knowledge and may not fully understand the significance of information potentially pertinent to these responses. Accordingly, these responses are provided without prejudice to Responding Party's right to rely upon and use any information that it subsequently discovers, or that was omitted from these responses as a result of mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect. Without in any way obligating itself to do so, Responding Party reserves the right to modify, supplement, revise, or amend these responses, and to correct any inadvertent errors or omissions which may be contained herein, in light of the information that Responding Party may subsequently obtain or discover.

Nothing in this response should be construed as an admission by Responding Party with respect to the admissibility or relevance of any fact or document, or of the truth or accuracy of any characterization or statement of any kind contained in Propounding Party's requests.

Each of the following responses is made solely for the purpose of this action. Each

response is subject to all objections as to relevance, materiality, and admissibility, and to any and all objections on any ground that would require exclusion of any response if it were introduced in court. All objections and grounds are expressly reserved and may be interposed at the time of trial, hearing, or otherwise. Furthermore, each of the objections contained herein is incorporated by reference as though fully set forth in each response.

The following objections and responses are made without prejudice to Responding Party's right to produce at trial, or otherwise, evidence regarding any subsequently discovered information. Responding Party accordingly reserves the right to modify and amend any and all responses herein as research is completed and contentions are made.

Nothing contained herein is to be construed as a waiver of any attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or doctrine. To the extent any request may be construed as calling for disclosure of information protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other privilege or protection, a continuing objection to each and every such request is hereby interposed.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

Responding Party generally objects to the Request for Production as follows:

- A. Responding Party objects generally to the Requests for Production to the extent that they seek to elicit information that is neither relevant to the subject matter of this action, nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- B. Responding Party objects generally to the Request for Production to the extent that they are unreasonably overbroad in scope, and thus burdensome and oppressive, in that each such request seeks information pertaining to items and matters that are not relevant to the subject matter of this action, or, if relevant, so remote therefrom as to make its disclosure of little or no practical benefit to Propounding Party, while placing a wholly unwarranted burden and expense on Responding Party in locating, reviewing and producing the requested information.
- C. Responding Party objects generally to the Request for Production to the extent that they are burdensome and oppressive, in that ascertaining the information necessary to respond to them, and to produce documents in accordance therewith, would require the review and

compilation of information from multiple locations, and voluminous records and files, thereby
involving substantial time of employees of Responding Party and great expense to Responding
Party, whereas the information sought to be obtained by Propounding Party would be of little use
or benefit to Propounding Party.

- D. Responding Party objects generally to the Request for Production to the extent that they are vague, uncertain, overbroad, and without limitation as to time or specific subject matter.
- E. Responding Party objects generally to the Request for Production to the extent that they seek information at least some of which is protected by the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work-product doctrine, or both.
- F. Responding Party objects generally to the Request for Production to the extent that they seek to have Defendant furnish information and identify documents that are a matter of the public record, and therefore are equally available to the Propounding Party as they are to Responding Party.
- G. Responding Party objects generally to the Request for Production to the extent that they seek to have Responding Party furnish information and identify documents that are proprietary to Responding Party and contain confidential information.

Without waiver of the foregoing, Responding Party further responds as follows:

RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 1:

ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any lease, rental agreement, or any other agreement between YOU and PLAINTIFF relating to the PROPERTY, including any modifications, alterations or amendments of any such agreement.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 1:

Responding Party objects to this request on the basis as vague, ambiguous, and overbroad in time and scope. Responding Party objects that this request calls for documents already in the Propounding Party's possession. Responding Party does not have an obligation to obtain information that is equally available to the Propounding Party. (CCP § 2030.220(c).) Responding Party is not required to prepare the Plaintiff's case. (Sav-On Drugs, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los

Angeles County (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 1, 5.).

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the lease agreement with Plaintiffs, along with the Animal Terms & Conditions Addendum. Please see DEF 000001 – 000046. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 2:

Any and all DOCUMENTS which YOU have sent to the Propounding Party during the tenancy at the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 2:

Responding Party objects to this request on the basis as vague and ambiguous as to the phrase "the tenancy," which is nowhere defined by Propounding Party. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. Responding Party objects that this request calls for documents already in the Propounding Party's possession. Responding Party does not have an obligation to obtain information that is equally available to the Propounding Party. (CCP § 2030.220(c).) Responding Party is not required to prepare the Plaintiff's case. (*Sav-On Drugs, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 1, 5.).

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000001 – 000046; DEF 000052; DEF 000085-000170; DEF 000197; and DEF000386-410. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 3:

Any and all DOCUMENTS YOU have received from the Propounding Party during the tenancy at the PREMISES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 3:

Responding Party objects to this request on the basis as vague and ambiguous as to the phrase "the tenancy," which is nowhere defined by Propounding Party. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. Responding Party objects that this request calls for documents already in the Propounding Party's possession. Responding Party does not have an obligation to obtain information that is equally available to the Propounding Party. (CCP § 2030.220(c).) Responding Party is not required to prepare the Plaintiff's case. (*Sav-On Drugs, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 1, 5.).

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows:

After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000001 – 000046; DEF 000085-000170; and DEF000386-410.

Responding Party also directs the Propounding Party to its own production of documents.

Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 4:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any COMMUNICATION YOU sent to or received from PLAINTIFF at any time. (For clarification, this request seeks emails, texts, Whatsapps, letters, notices, etc. between YOU and Plaintiff or any agent of Plaintiff).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 4:

Responding Party objects to this request on the basis as vague, ambiguous, and overbroad, as it seeks any communication as to an unlimited period of time. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. Responding Party objects that this request calls for documents already in the Propounding Party's possession. Responding Party does not have an obligation to obtain information that is equally available to the Propounding Party. (CCP § 2030.220(c).) Responding Party is not required to prepare the Plaintiff's case. (Sav-On Drugs, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 1, 5.).

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000001 – 000046; DEF 000052; DEF 000085-000170; DEF 000197; and DEF000386-410. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 5:

ALL COMMUNICATIONS RELATING TO PLAINTIFF between YOU and anyone other than YOUR attorney.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 5:

Responding Party objects to this request on the basis as vague, ambiguous, and overbroad, as it seeks any communication as to an unlimited period of time. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that it calls the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, litigation privilege, and work product doctrines. Responding Party further objects to this request as calling for information in violation of-privacy rights and third parties' privacy rights and outside the scope of the Complaint. Responding Party objects that this request to the extent it calls for documents already in the Propounding Party's possession. Responding Party does not have an obligation to obtain information that is equally available to the Propounding Party. (CCP § 2030.220(c).) Responding Party is not required to prepare the Plaintiff's case. (Sav-On Drugs, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 1, 5.).

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000171 – DEF 000196; DEF 000198 – DEF 000361; DEF 000381 – DEF 000410. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 6:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE or RELATE TO any COMMUNICATIONS that YOU received from the PUBLIC HEALTH or CODE ENFORCEMENT relating to the RENTAL UNIT.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 6:

Responding Party objects to this request on the basis as vague, ambiguous, and overbroad in time and scope. This request seeks information and documents that invade the privacy of Responding Party's employees and other third parties without good cause. This request also seeks information the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the right to privacy of persons who are not parties to this lawsuit. (California Constitution, Article I, section 1). Responding Party objects to this request as vague and ambiguous as to the term "notice" which is nowhere defined by Propounding Party. Responding Party further objects to this request as overbroad and unlimited as to scope as to be an unwarranted annoyance and is oppressive. (Code of Civ. Proc. § 2030.090(b); and Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (1968) 263 Cal. App. 2d 12, 19.) Responding Party objects to this request in that it violates California Code of Civil Procedure §2031.030(c)(1) because it fails to specifically describe the individual item(s) sought or reasonably particularize each category of item(s) sought. Responding Party does not have an obligation to obtain information that is equally available to the Propounding Party. (Code Civ. Proc. § 2030.220(c).) There is no duty to search out matters of public record. (Bunnell v. Superior Court (1967) 254 Cal. App. 2d 720, 723-724.) Further, a party is not required to prepare the case of its opponent. (Ryan v. Superior Court (1960) 15 Cal.3d 1, 5.)

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000047 – DEF 000051; and DEF 000060 – DEF 000062. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 7:

All DOCUMENTS which EVIDENCE, PERTAIN TO, REFER TO and/or RELATE TO

any MAINTENANCE performed on or at THE PROPERTY between 2023 and present. (For clarification, this includes invoices, work orders, descriptions of work performed, as well as direct communications).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 7:

Responding Party objects to this request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad and unlimited as to scope as to be an unwarranted annoyance and is oppressive. (Code of Civ. Proc. § 2030.090(b); and *Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County* (1968) 263 Cal.App.2d 12, 19.) This request seeks information in violation of Responding Party's financial privilege and right to privacy. (California Constitution Article 1, § 1; *Cobb v. Superior Court* (1979) 99 Cal.App.3d 543, 550; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal.3d 652, 658; *Britt v. Superior Court* (1978) 20 Cal.3d 844, 855-856; *Pioneer Electronics (USA), Inc. v. Superior Court* (2007) 40 Cal.4th 360, 370.) Responding Party objects to this request in that it violates California Code of Civil Procedure §2031.030(c)(1) because it fails to specifically describe the individual item(s) sought or reasonably particularize each category of item(s) sought.

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000052 – DEF 000383. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 8:

ALL DOCUMENTS including photographs and video tape that depict the PROPERTY in any manner or degree as of the commencement of the tenancy to present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 8:

Responding Party objects to this request in that it violates California Code of Civil Procedure §2031.030(c)(1) because it fails to specifically describe the individual item(s) sought or reasonably particularize each category of item(s) sought. Responding Party objects to this request as overbroad and unlimited as to scope as to be an unwarranted annoyance and is oppressive. (Code of Civ. Proc. § 2030.090(b); and *Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Superior Court of*

Los Angeles County (1968) 263 Cal.App.2d 12, 19.) Responding Party does not have an obligation
to obtain information that is equally available to the Propounding Party. (Code Civ. Proc. §
2030.220(c).) Further, a party is not required to prepare the case of its opponent. (Ryan v.
Superior Court (1960) 15 Cal.3d 1, 5.) .

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows:

After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies the Plaintiffs' Document Production. Aside from the photographs and videos produced by the Plaintiffs, Responding Party does not have any additional responsive documents. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 9:

All notices to enter the RENTAL UNIT from the commencement of the tenancy to the present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 9:

Responding Party objects to this request as overbroad and unlimited as to scope as to be an unwarranted annoyance and is oppressive. (Code of Civ. Proc. § 2030.090(b); and *Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County* (1968) 263 Cal.App.2d 12, 19.) The request is vague and ambiguous as to the phrase "the tenancy," which is nowhere defined by Propounding Party. Responding Party does not have an obligation to obtain information that is equally available to the Propounding Party as they were the recipient of these communications. (Code Civ. Proc. § 2030.220(c).) Responding Party objects as a party is not required to prepare the case of its opponent. (*Ryan v. Superior Court* (1960) 15 Cal.3d 1, 5.).

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows:

After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000052; DEF 000085 – DEF 000087; DEF 000125; and DEF 000197.

Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

28 | | /

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 10:

ALL DOCUMENTS relating to any leaks at the PROPERTY since the commencement of the tenancy.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 10:

Responding Party objects to this request in that it violates California Code of Civil Procedure §2031.030(c)(1) because it fails to specifically describe the individual item(s) sought or reasonably particularize each category of item(s) sought. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety Responding Party objects to this request as overbroad and unlimited as to scope as to be an unwarranted annoyance and is oppressive. (Code of Civ. Proc. § 2030.090(b); and *Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County* (1968) 263 Cal.App.2d 12, 19.) The request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "the tenancy" and "leaks" which are nowhere defined by Propounding Party.

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000063; DEF 000066 – DEF 000067; DEF 000384 – DEF 000386. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 11:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO notices, citations, and any other DOCUMENTS issued by any governmental agencies RELATING TO THE PREMISES since the commencement of the tenancy to present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 11:

Responding Party objects to this request on the basis as vague, ambiguous, and overbroad in time and scope. The request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "the tenancy" and "governmental agencies" which are nowhere defined by Propounding Party. This request seeks information and documents that invade the privacy of Responding Party's employees and other third parties without good cause. This request also seeks information the disclosure of which

would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the right to privacy of persons who are not parties to
this lawsuit. (California Constitution, Article I, section 1). Responding Party objects to this
request as vague and ambiguous as to the term "notice" which is nowhere defined by Propounding
Party. Responding Party further objects to this request as overbroad and unlimited as to scope as to
be an unwarranted annoyance and is oppressive. (Code of Civ. Proc. § 2030.090(b); and Columbia
Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (1968) 263 Cal.App.2d 12,
19.) Responding Party objects to this request in that it violates California Code of Civil Procedure
§2031.030(c)(1) because it fails to specifically describe the individual item(s) sought or
reasonably particularize each category of item(s) sought. Responding Party does not have an
obligation to obtain information that is equally available to the Propounding Party. (Code Civ.
Proc. § 2030.220(c).) There is no duty to search out matters of public record. (Bunnell v. Superior
Court (1967) 254 Cal.App.2d 720, 723-724.) Further, a party is not required to prepare the case of
its opponent. (Ryan v. Superior Court (1960) 15 Cal.3d 1, 5.) The request is further duplicative of
Request for Production No. 6.

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000047 – DEF 000051; and DEF 000060 – DEF 000062. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 12:

ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO mold inspections at the RENTAL UNIT from the commencement of the tenancy to present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 12:

Responding Party objects to this request as overbroad and unlimited as to scope as to be an unwarranted annoyance and is oppressive. (Code of Civ. Proc. § 2030.090(b); and *Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County* (1968) 263 Cal.App.2d 12, 19.) The request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "the tenancy" and "mold inspections" which are nowhere defined by Propounding Party. Responding Party objects to this request on the

basis that it calls for an expert opinion and/or a legal conclusion. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that it calls the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, litigation privilege, and work product doctrines.

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000053-000059. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 13:

ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO water remediation at the RENTAL UNIT from the commencement of the tenancy to present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 13:

Responding Party objects to this request as overbroad and unlimited as to scope as to be an unwarranted annoyance and is oppressive. (Code of Civ. Proc. § 2030.090(b); and *Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County* (1968) 263 Cal.App.2d 12, 19.) The request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "the tenancy" and "water remediation" which are nowhere defined by Propounding Party. Responding Party objects to this request on the basis that it calls for an expert opinion and/or a legal conclusion. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that it calls the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, litigation privilege, and work product doctrines.

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000053-59; DEF 000063; DEF 000066 – DEF 000067; DEF 68; DEF 000384 – DEF 000386. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 14:

All DOCUMENTS relating to any floods at the PROPERTY since the commencement of

the tenancy to the present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 14:

Responding Party objects to this request as overbroad and unlimited as to scope as to be an unwarranted annoyance and is oppressive. (Code of Civ. Proc. § 2030.090(b); and *Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County* (1968) 263 Cal.App.2d 12, 19.) The request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "the tenancy" and "floods" which are nowhere defined by Propounding Party. Responding Party objects to this request on the basis that it calls for an expert opinion and/or a legal conclusion. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that it calls the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, litigation privilege, and work product doctrines.

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents related to water intrusion: DEF 000053-59; DEF 000063; DEF 000066 – DEF 000067; DEF 68; DEF 000384 – DEF 000386. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 15:

All COMMUNICATIONS relating to any condition of the RENTAL UNIT during the tenancy between YOU and any PERSON managing the RENTAL UNIT on your behalf.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 15:

Responding Party objects to this request as overbroad and unlimited as to scope as to be an unwarranted annoyance and is oppressive. (Code of Civ. Proc. § 2030.090(b); and *Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County* (1968) 263 Cal.App.2d 12, 19.) The request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "the tenancy, "condition" and "managing" which are nowhere defined by Propounding Party. Responding Party objects to this request on the basis that it calls for an expert opinion and/or a legal conclusion. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that it calls the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, litigation privilege, and work product doctrines. Responding Party

After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000171 – DEF 000196; DEF 000199; DEF 000361; DEF 000368 – DEF 000383. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 16:

All DOCUMENTS relating to any repairs YOU have made to the RENTAL UNIT from the commencement of the tenancy to present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 16:

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. This request is duplicative of other requests herein. The request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "the tenancy and "repairs" which are nowhere defined by Propounding Party. This request also seeks information the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the right to privacy of persons who are not parties to this lawsuit. (California Constitution, Article I, section 1). Responding Party further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information that is protected by federal and state constitutional, statutory, stipulated, court ordered, and/or common law rights of privacy.

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows:

After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000063 – DEF 000085; DEF 000362 – DEF 000364; DEF 000384 – DEF 000386. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 17:

Any and all DOCUMENTS identified or referred to in any of YOUR responses to the Form Interrogatories served on YOU concurrently with the service of this demand for production of documents.

28 | | /

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 17:

Responding Party objects to this request in that it violates California Code of Civil Procedure §2031.030(c)(1) because it fails to specifically describe the individual item(s) sought or reasonably particularize each category of item(s) sought. This request also seeks information the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the right to privacy of persons who are not parties to this lawsuit. (California Constitution, Article I, section 1). Responding Party objects to this request to the extent that it calls for an expert opinion and/or a legal conclusion. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that it calls the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, litigation privilege, and work product doctrines.

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000001 – DEF 000410. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 18:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that support YOUR denial in response to Plaintiff's Request for Admissions, Set one, served concurrently with this Request for Production of Documents, Set one.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 18:

Responding Party objects to this request on the basis as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, calls for speculation, lacks foundation, and assumes facts. Responding Party objects to this request in that it violates California Code of Civil Procedure §2031.030(c)(1) because it fails to specifically describe the individual item(s) sought or reasonably particularize each category of item(s) sought. This request also seeks information the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the right to privacy of persons who are not parties to this lawsuit. (California Constitution, Article I, section 1). Responding Party further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information that is protected by federal and state constitutional, statutory, stipulated,

court ordered, and/or common law rights of privacy. Responding Party further objects to this request as calling for information in violation of-privacy rights and third parties' privacy rights and outside the scope of the Complaint. Responding Party objects to this request on the basis that it calls for an expert opinion and/or a legal conclusion. Responding Party further objects to this request on the grounds that it calls the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, litigation privilege, and work product doctrines.

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000001 – DEF 000410. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 19:

All proof of rent payments made to YOU during the tenancy to present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 19:

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds and to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure as confidential financial information. Responding Party objects to this request on the basis as vague, and ambiguous as to the term "the tenancy" which is nowhere defined by Propounding Party. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. Responding Party objects that this request calls for documents already in the Propounding Party's possession as the Plaintiffs were the ones who made the payments. Responding Party does not have an obligation to obtain information that is equally available to the Propounding Party. (CCP § 2030.220(c).) Responding Party is not required to prepare the Plaintiff's case. (*Sav-On Drugs, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 1, 5.). Responding Party further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information that is protected by federal and state constitutional, statutory, stipulated, court ordered, and/or common law rights of privacy.

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows:

After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000365 – DEF 000367. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 20:

ALL DOCUMENTS relating to any construction work done at the PROPERTY since the commencement of the tenancy to the present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 20:

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. This request is duplicative of other requests herein. The request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "the tenancy and "repairs" which are nowhere defined by Propounding Party. This request also seeks information the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the right to privacy of persons who are not parties to this lawsuit. (California Constitution, Article I, section 1). Responding Party further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information that is protected by federal and state constitutional, statutory, stipulated, court ordered, and/or common law rights of privacy.

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following documents: DEF 000063 – DEF 000084; DEF 000384 – DEF 000386. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 21:

ALL COMMUNICATIONS sent to and received from platinum windows and showers regarding the PROPERTY.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 21:

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. The request is vague, ambiguous as overbroad in both time and scope. This request also seeks information the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted

invasion of the right to privacy of persons who are not parties to this lawsuit. (California Constitution, Article I, section 1). Responding Party further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information that is protected by federal and state constitutional, statutory, stipulated, court ordered, and/or common law rights of privacy.

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following: DEF 000083. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 22:

ALL COMMUNICATIONS sent to and received from first reliable maintenance regarding the PROPERTY.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 22:

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. The request is vague, ambiguous as overbroad in both time and scope. This request also seeks information the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the right to privacy of persons who are not parties to this lawsuit. (California Constitution, Article I, section 1). Responding Party further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information that is protected by federal and state constitutional, statutory, stipulated, court ordered, and/or common law rights of privacy.

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following: DEF 000064 – DEF 00065; DEF 000345 – DEF 000346. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 23:

ALL DOCUMENTS including but not limited to emails, letters, notices, or forms, that constitute or reference a lease violation or notice to cure issued to the tenants for an alleged

violation of lease term 13 prior to June 19, 2024.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 23:

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds and to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure as confidential financial information. Responding Party objects to this request on the basis as vague, and ambiguous as to the term "the tenants" which is nowhere defined by Propounding Party. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. Responding Party objects that this request calls for documents already in the Propounding Party's possession. Responding Party does not have an obligation to obtain information that is equally available to the Propounding Party. (CCP § 2030.220(c).) Responding Party is not required to prepare the Plaintiff's case. (Sav-On Drugs, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 1, 5.).

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following: DEF 000011 – DEF 000046; DEF 000409 – DEF 000410. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 24:

Produce all documents, including but not limited to emails, letters, notices, or forms, that constitute or reference a lease violation or notice to cure issued to the tenants for an alleged violation of lease term 17 prior to June 19, 2024.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 24:

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds and to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure as confidential financial information. Responding Party objects to this request on the basis as vague, and ambiguous as to the term "the tenants" which is nowhere defined by Propounding Party. Responding Party objects to this request

on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. Responding Party
objects that this request calls for documents already in the Propounding Party's possession.
Responding Party does not have an obligation to obtain information that is equally available to the
Propounding Party. (CCP § 2030.220(c).) Responding Party is not required to prepare the
Plaintiff's case. (Sav-On Drugs, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 1,
5.). Responding Party objects to this request on the basis that it calls for an expert opinion and/or a
legal conclusion

Subject to these objections but without waiving, Responding Party responds as follows: After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party identifies and produces the following work order DEF 000011- DEF 00046; DEF 000404. Discovery is ongoing and Responding Party reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement its response as additional information is revealed through the discovery process.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 25:

Produce all documents, reports, photographs, invoices, estimates, communications, or other writings that refer to, describe, or support a determination that the ceiling damage or water staining located below the upstairs restroom inside the second bedroom was caused by the removal of the shower doors.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 25:

Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds and to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure as confidential financial information. Responding Party objects to this request on the basis as vague, and ambiguous as to the term "the tenants" which is nowhere defined by Propounding Party. Responding Party objects to this request on the grounds that it is burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in its entirety. Responding Party objects that this request calls for documents already in the Propounding Party's possession.

Responding Party does not have an obligation to obtain information that is equally available to the Propounding Party. (CCP § 2030.220(c).) Responding Party is not required to prepare the Plaintiff's case. (Sav-On Drugs, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 1,

1	5.). Responding Party objects to this request or	n the basis that it calls for an expert opinion and/or a
2	legal conclusion.	
3	Subject to these objections but without	waiving, Responding Party responds as follows:
4	After diligent search and reasonable inquiry, R	Responding Party identifies and produces the
5	following: DEF 000404. Discovery is ongoin	ng and Responding Party reserves the right to
6	amend, modify, or supplement its response as	additional information is revealed through the
7	discovery process.	
8		
9	DATED: August 19, 2025	MANNING & KASS ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP
10		\mathcal{A}
11		X/mlh Way
12	By:	Lane E. Webb, Esq.
13		Shanna Van Wagner, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants,
14		BRAD MARTINEZ, VICKI MARTINEZ, GAIL D. CALHOUN, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
15		GAIL D. CALHOUN FAMILY TRUST, and LOTUS PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, INC.
16		
17		
18		
19		

VERIFICATION

I have read the foregoing and know its contents:

DEFENDANT BRAD MARTINEZ'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF JENNIFER BURBANK'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE

I am a party to this action, and I am authorized to make this verification for and on my behalf, and I make this verification for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 19, 2025, at Los Angeles, California.

Brad Martinez	Brad Martinez	
Name of Signatory	Signature	