

# Stanford CS 229, Public Course, Problem Set 3

Dylan Price

December 7, 2016

**1**

**a)**

By the Hoeffding inequality, we know that

$$P(|\varepsilon(\hat{h}_i) - \hat{\varepsilon}_{S_{cv}}(\hat{h}_i)| > \gamma) \leq 2 \exp(-2\gamma^2 \beta m)$$

Let  $A_i$  denote the event that  $|\varepsilon(\hat{h}_i) - \hat{\varepsilon}_{S_{cv}}(\hat{h}_i)| > \gamma$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(\exists \hat{h}_i \in \{\hat{h}_1 \dots \hat{h}_k\} . |\varepsilon(\hat{h}_i) - \hat{\varepsilon}_{S_{cv}}(\hat{h}_i)| > \gamma) &= P(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k) \\ &\leq \sum_k P(A_i) \\ &\leq \sum_k 2 \exp(-2\gamma^2 \beta m) \\ &= 2k \exp(-2\gamma^2 \beta m) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\neg \exists \hat{h}_i \in \{\hat{h}_1 \dots \hat{h}_k\} . |\varepsilon(\hat{h}_i) - \hat{\varepsilon}_{S_{cv}}(\hat{h}_i)| > \gamma) \\ &= P(\forall \hat{h}_i \in \{\hat{h}_1 \dots \hat{h}_k\} . |\varepsilon(\hat{h}_i) - \hat{\varepsilon}_{S_{cv}}(\hat{h}_i)| \leq \gamma) \\ &\geq 1 - 2k \exp(-2\gamma^2 \beta m) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\frac{\delta}{2} = 2k \exp(-2\gamma^2 \beta m)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{4k} &= \exp(-2\gamma^2 \beta m) \\ \frac{4k}{\delta} &= \exp(2\gamma^2 \beta m) \\ \log \frac{4k}{\delta} &= 2\gamma^2 \beta m \\ \frac{1}{2\beta m} \log \frac{4k}{\delta} &= \gamma^2 \\ \gamma &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\beta m} \log \frac{4k}{\delta}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$P\left(\forall \hat{h}_i \in \{\hat{h}_1 \dots \hat{h}_k\} . |\varepsilon(\hat{h}_i) - \hat{\varepsilon}_{S_{cv}}(\hat{h}_i)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\beta m} \log \frac{4k}{\delta}}\right) \geq 1 - \frac{\delta}{2}$$

**b)**

From part (a), we have that

$$P(|\varepsilon(\hat{h}_i) - \hat{\varepsilon}_{S_{cv}}(\hat{h}_i)| \leq \gamma) \geq 1 - \frac{\delta}{2}, \text{ where } \gamma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\beta m} \log \frac{4k}{\delta}}$$

Because  $\hat{h} \in \{\hat{h}_1 \dots \hat{h}_k\}$

$$P(|\varepsilon(\hat{h}) - \hat{\varepsilon}_{S_{cv}}(\hat{h})| \leq \gamma) \geq 1 - \frac{\delta}{2}$$

$$\text{Let } h^* = \arg \min_{\hat{h}_i \in \{\hat{h}_1 \dots \hat{h}_k\}} \varepsilon(\hat{h}_i)$$

Then with probability at least  $1 - \frac{\delta}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(\hat{h}) &\leq \hat{\varepsilon}_{S_{cv}}(\hat{h}) + \gamma \\ &\leq \hat{\varepsilon}_{S_{cv}}(h^*) + \gamma \\ &\leq \varepsilon(h^*) + 2\gamma \\ &= \min_{i=1, \dots, k} \varepsilon(\hat{h}_i) + 2\gamma \\ &= \min_{i=1, \dots, k} \varepsilon(\hat{h}_i) + 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{2\beta m} \log \frac{4k}{\delta}} \\ &= \min_{i=1, \dots, k} \varepsilon(\hat{h}_i) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta m} \log \frac{4k}{\delta}} \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $\hat{h}$  it has the lowest  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{S_{cv}}$  of any  $\hat{h}_i \in \{\hat{h}_1 \dots \hat{h}_k\}$

By the uniform convergence result proved in part (a)

By the definition of  $h^*$