FIN 373 Homework 8

due: 10/26/21

Instructions: Please submit solutions on canvas. Only a knitted pdf of an Rmarkdown file will be accepted.

Problem 1: In this exercise we're going to look at the effect of a educational television program The Electric Company that ran from 1971-1977 on children's reading scores. We will investigate what reading gains, if any, were made by the 1st through 4th grade classes as part of a randomized experiment.¹

The data comes from a two location trial in which treatment was randomized at the level of school classes.² Each class was either treated (to watch the program) or control (to not watch the program). The outcome of interest is the score on a reading test administered at the end of each year called post.score. Note that these are distinct classes from all four years. The variables in electric-company.csv are:

| Variable | Description |
|------------|---|
| pair | The index of the treated and control pair (ignored here). |
| city | The city: Fresno ("F") or Youngstown ("Y") |
| grade | Grade (1 through 4) |
| supp | Whether the program replaced ("R") or supplemented ("S") a reading activity |
| treatment | "T" if the class was treated, "C" otherwise (randomized) |
| pre.score | Class reading score before treatment, at the beginning of the school year |
| post.score | Class reading score at the end of the school year |

- a. Read the data into an data frame named electric. What sort of variable has R assumed grade is? How will it be treated in a linear model if we use it as an independent variable? Under what circumstances would that be reasonable or unreasonable?
 - Make a new variable from grade that is a factor. How will a linear model treat this new variable? (*Hint*: You may find that summary() illuminates the new data set).
 - Finally, overwrite the existing treatment variable so that it is numerical: 1 when the class is treated and 0 when not.
- b. Let's now consider the effect of treatment. First, fit a linear model that predicts post.score with just the treatment. Then fit a model uses your factor version of grade as well as treatment.
 - Summarize both models in terms of how much of the variance in post.score they "explain".
 - Now, consider each model's treatment coefficient. Are the estimates of this coefficient different in the two models? Why do you think that is?
- c. Now make another model that uses the factor version of grade and pre.score (the reading score before the year begins) to predict post.score. Is this model better? If so, in what ways?

¹This exercise is based on The Electric Company: Television and Reading, 1971-1980: A Mid-Experiment Appraisal. Joan G. Cooney (1976). Children's Television Network Report.

²Classes were paired, but we will ignore that in the analysis.

d. Now let's consider the effect of treatment within each grade. We can use the lm function's "subset" argument to fit the model on just a subset of all the rows in the data set. For example, we can fit a model of the relationship of post.score to treatment and pre.score just in grade 2 like this:

```
mod <- lm(post.score treatment + pre.score, data = electric, subset = grade == 2)</pre>
```

Fit a linear model predicting post.score using treatment and pre.score for each grade. Follow the procedure below:

- (a) Define a function named fit_reg() that returns the coefficient on treatment. The function should have two arguments: the entire data (data_all) and the grade (grade_subset).
- (b) Use a for loop and call the fit_reg() function for each grade (1 to 4).
- (c) Print out the coefficient on treatment using the print() function. Store what the fit_reg() function returns in a variable.
- (d) Briefly comment on the result. There are now *four* treatment effects. How do they differ as grade increases?
- e. Finally, let's investigate the separate grade effects in a single model. One way to do this is to interact treatment with grade. Here's a general modeling principle: If you think the effect of variable A varies according to the values of variable B, then you should consider adding an interaction between A and B in your model. In the lm() function this amounts to adding an A:B term. For example, if A and B interact to predict Y, then the formula would be, $Y \sim A + B + A:B$, which would fit the model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_A A_i + \beta_B B_i + \beta_{AB} (A_i \times B_i) + \epsilon_i.$$

An alternative syntax to fit this model is to use the right-hand-side expression A*B. So, to fit the model above using this notation the formula is $Y \sim A * B$. This will automatically include the A, B, and A:B terms in the model!

Fit a model of all the grades that includes pre.score, treatment, grade (factor version), the factor version of grade interacted with treatment, and the factor version of grade interacted with pre.score (this is called a fully interacted model). How would you construct grade-specific treatment effects from these coefficients? Show an example for grade 2.