

Thus,

$$\max_x \{x^\top Ax \mid (x \in \{u_{n-k+1}, \dots, u_n\}^\perp) \wedge (x^\top x = 1)\} \leq \lambda_{n-k},$$

and since this maximum is achieved for $e_{n-k} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with a 1 in position $n - k$, we conclude that

$$\max_x \{x^\top Ax \mid (x \in \{u_{n-k+1}, \dots, u_n\}^\perp) \wedge (x^\top x = 1)\} = \lambda_{n-k},$$

as claimed. \square

For our purposes we need the version of Proposition 17.23 applying to min instead of max, whose proof is obtained by a trivial modification of the proof of Proposition 17.23.

Proposition 17.24. (*Rayleigh–Ritz*) *If A is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ and if (u_1, \dots, u_n) is any orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of A , where u_i is a unit eigenvector associated with λ_i , then*

$$\min_{x \neq 0} \frac{x^\top Ax}{x^\top x} = \lambda_1$$

(with the minimum attained for $x = u_1$), and

$$\min_{x \neq 0, x \in \{u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}\}^\perp} \frac{x^\top Ax}{x^\top x} = \lambda_i$$

(with the minimum attained for $x = u_i$), where $2 \leq i \leq n$. Equivalently, if $W_k = V_{k-1}^\perp$ denotes the subspace spanned by (u_k, \dots, u_n) (with $V_0 = (0)$), then

$$\lambda_k = \min_{x \neq 0, x \in W_k} \frac{x^\top Ax}{x^\top x} = \min_{x \neq 0, x \in V_{k-1}^\perp} \frac{x^\top Ax}{x^\top x}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Propositions 17.23 and 17.24 together are known as the *Rayleigh–Ritz theorem*.

Observe that Proposition 17.24 immediately implies that if A is a symmetric matrix, then A is positive definite iff all its eigenvalues are positive. We also prove this fact in Section 22.1; see Proposition 22.3.

As an application of Propositions 17.23 and 17.24, we prove a proposition which allows us to compare the eigenvalues of two symmetric matrices A and $B = R^\top AR$, where R is a rectangular matrix satisfying the equation $R^\top R = I$.

First we need a definition.

Definition 17.5. Given an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix A and an $m \times m$ symmetric B , with $m \leq n$, if $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of A and $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_m$ are the eigenvalues of B , then we say that the eigenvalues of B *interlace* the eigenvalues of A if

$$\lambda_i \leq \mu_i \leq \lambda_{n-m+i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$