Theorem 8.1. *(Gaussian elimination) Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix (invertible or not). Then there is some invertible matrix M so that $U = MA$ is upper-triangular. The pivots are all nonzero iff A is invertible.*

Proof. We already proved the theorem when A is invertible, as well as the last assertion. Now A is singular iff some pivot is zero, say at Stage k of the elimination. If so, we must have $a_{i\,k}^{(k)} = 0$ for $i = k, \ldots, n$; but in this case, $A_{k+1} = A_k$ and we may pick $P_k = E_k = I$. $\square$

Remark: Obviously, the matrix M can be computed as

$$M = E_{n-1}P_{n-1} \cdots E_2P_2E_1P_1,$$

but this expression is of no use. Indeed, what we need is M^{-1}; when no permutations are needed, it turns out that M^{-1} can be obtained immediately from the matrices E_k's, in fact, from their inverses, and no multiplications are necessary.

Remark: Instead of looking for an invertible matrix M so that MA is upper-triangular, we can look for an invertible matrix M so that MA is a diagonal matrix. Only a simple change to Gaussian elimination is needed. At every Stage k, after the pivot has been found and pivoting been performed, if necessary, in addition to adding suitable multiples of the kth row to the rows *below* row k in order to zero the entries in column k for $i = k + 1, \ldots, n$, also add suitable multiples of the kth row to the rows *above* row k in order to zero the entries in column k for $i = 1, \ldots, k - 1$. Such steps are also achieved by multiplying on the left by elementary matrices $E_{i,k;\beta_{i,k}}$, except that $i < k$, so that these matrices are not lower-triangular matrices. Nevertheless, at the end of the process, we find that $A_n = MA$, is a diagonal matrix.

This method is called the *Gauss-Jordan factorization*. Because it is more expensive than Gaussian elimination, this method is not used much in practice. However, Gauss-Jordan factorization can be used to compute the inverse of a matrix A. Indeed, we find the jth column of A^{-1} by solving the system $Ax^{(j)} = e_j$ (where e_j is the jth canonical basis vector of $\mathbb{R}^n$). By applying Gauss-Jordan, we are led to a system of the form $D_jx^{(j)} = M_je_j$, where D_j is a diagonal matrix, and we can immediately compute $x^{(j)}$.

It remains to discuss the choice of the pivot, and also conditions that guarantee that no permutations are needed during the Gaussian elimination process. We begin by stating a necessary and sufficient condition for an invertible matrix to have an LU-factorization (*i.e.*, Gaussian elimination does not require pivoting).

8.4 LU-Factorization

Definition 8.1. We say that an invertible matrix A has an *LU-factorization* if it can be written as $A = LU$, where U is upper-triangular invertible and L is lower-triangular, with $L_{i\,i} = 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.