combinations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} 2\lambda_i u_i = \sum_{j=1}^{q} 2\mu_j v_j$$

which correspond to the *same point* in the (nonempty) intersection of the convex hulls $\mathrm{conv}(u_1, \ldots, u_p)$ and $\mathrm{conv}(v_1, \ldots, v_q)$. It turns out that the only connection between w and the dual function is the equation

$$2\gamma w = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i u_i - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j v_j,$$

and when $\gamma = 0$ this is equation is $0 = 0$, *so the dual problem is useless to determine w*. This point seems to have been missed in the literature (for example, in Shawe–Taylor and Christianini [159], Section 7.2). What the dual problem does show is that $\delta \geq 0$. However, if $\gamma \neq 0$, then w is determined by any solution (λ, μ) of the dual.

It still remains to compute δ and b, which can be done under a mild hypothesis that we call the **Standard Margin Hypothesis**.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^p_+$ be the Lagrange multipliers associated with the inequalities $w^\top u_i - b \geq \delta - \epsilon_i$, let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^q_+$ be the Lagrange multipliers are associated with the inequalities $-w^\top v_j + b \geq \delta - \xi_j$, let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^p_+$ be the Lagrange multipliers associated with the inequalities $\epsilon_i \geq 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^q_+$ be the Lagrange multipliers associated with the inequalities $\xi_j \geq 0$, and let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be the Lagrange multiplier associated with the inequality $w^\top w \leq 1$.

The linear constraints are given by the $2(p+q) \times (n+p+q+2)$ matrix given in block form by

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} X^\top & -I_{p+q} & \begin{matrix} \mathbf{1}_p \\ -\mathbf{1}_q \end{matrix} & \mathbf{1}_{p+q} \\ 0_{p+q,n} & -I_{p+q} & 0_{p+q} & 0_{p+q} \end{pmatrix},$$

where X is the $n \times (p+q)$ matrix

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} -u_1 & \cdots & -u_p & v_1 & \cdots & v_q \end{pmatrix},$$

and the linear constraints are expressed by

$$\begin{pmatrix} X^\top & -I_{p+q} & \begin{matrix} \mathbf{1}_p \\ -\mathbf{1}_q \end{matrix} & \mathbf{1}_{p+q} \\ 0_{p+q,n} & -I_{p+q} & 0_{p+q} & 0_{p+q} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ \epsilon \\ \xi \\ b \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 0_{p+q} \\ 0_{p+q} \end{pmatrix}.$$