

by

$$\omega \wedge_{\Phi} \eta = (\alpha \otimes f) \wedge_{\Phi} (\beta \otimes g) = (\alpha \wedge \beta) \otimes \Phi(f, g).$$

As in Section 34.5 (following H. Cartan [35]), we can also define a multiplication

$$\wedge_{\Phi}: \text{Alt}^m(E; F) \times \text{Alt}^n(E; G) \longrightarrow \text{Alt}^{m+n}(E; H)$$

directly on alternating multilinear maps as follows: For  $f \in \text{Alt}^m(E; F)$  and  $g \in \text{Alt}^n(E; G)$ ,

$$(f \wedge_{\Phi} g)(u_1, \dots, u_{m+n}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{shuffle}(m, n)} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \Phi\left(f(u_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(m)}), g(u_{\sigma(m+1)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(m+n)})\right),$$

where  $\text{shuffle}(m, n)$  consists of all  $(m, n)$ -“shuffles;” that is, permutations  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, m+n\}$  such that  $\sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(m)$  and  $\sigma(m+1) < \dots < \sigma(m+n)$ .

A special case of interest is the case where  $F = G = H$  is a Lie algebra and  $\Phi(a, b) = [a, b]$  is the Lie bracket of  $F$ . In this case, using a basis  $(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  of  $F$ , if we write  $\omega = \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes f_i$  and  $\eta = \sum_j \beta_j \otimes f_j$ , we have

$$\omega \wedge_{\Phi} \eta = [\omega, \eta] = \sum_{i, j} \alpha_i \wedge \beta_j \otimes [f_i, f_j].$$

It is customary to denote  $\omega \wedge_{\Phi} \eta$  by  $[\omega, \eta]$  (unfortunately, the bracket notation is overloaded). Consequently,

$$[\eta, \omega] = (-1)^{mn+1} [\omega, \eta].$$

In general not much can be said about  $\wedge_{\Phi}$ , unless  $\Phi$  has some additional properties. In particular,  $\wedge_{\Phi}$  is generally not associative.

We now use vector-valued alternating forms to generalize both the  $\mu$  map of Proposition 34.14 and generalize Proposition 33.17 by defining the map

$$\mu_F: \left( \bigwedge^n (E^*) \right) \otimes F \longrightarrow \text{Alt}^n(E; F)$$

on generators by

$$\mu_F((v_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge v_n^*) \otimes f)(u_1, \dots, u_n) = (\det(v_j^*(u_i)))f,$$

with  $v_1^*, \dots, v_n^* \in E^*$ ,  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in E$ , and  $f \in F$ .

**Proposition 34.33.** *The map*

$$\mu_F: \left( \bigwedge^n (E^*) \right) \otimes F \longrightarrow \text{Alt}^n(E; F)$$

*defined as above is a canonical isomorphism for every  $n \geq 0$ . Furthermore, given any three vector spaces,  $F, G, H$ , and any bilinear map  $\Phi: F \times G \rightarrow H$ , for all  $\omega \in (\bigwedge^n (E^*)) \otimes F$  and all  $\eta \in (\bigwedge^n (E^*)) \otimes G$ ,*

$$\mu_H(\omega \wedge_{\Phi} \eta) = \mu_F(\omega) \wedge_{\Phi} \mu_G(\eta).$$