

Figure 27.4: An isometry f as a composition of reflections when 1 is not an eigenvalue of f. Note that the pink plane H is perpendicular to f(w) - w.

to n-1 of reflections, and when n is even, every improper orthogonal transformation is the product of an odd number less than or equal to n-1 of reflections.

In particular, for n=3, every rotation is the product of two reflections about planes. When n is odd, we can say more about improper isometries. Indeed, when n is odd, every improper isometry admits the eigenvalue -1. This is because if E is a Euclidean space of finite dimension and  $f: E \to E$  is an isometry, because ||f(u)|| = ||u|| for every  $u \in E$ , if  $\lambda$  is any eigenvalue of f and u is an eigenvector associated with  $\lambda$ , then

$$||f(u)|| = ||\lambda u|| = |\lambda|||u|| = ||u||,$$

which implies  $|\lambda|=1$ , since  $u\neq 0$ . Thus, the real eigenvalues of an isometry are either +1 or -1. However, it is well known that polynomials of odd degree always have some real root. As a consequence, the characteristic polynomial  $\det(f-\lambda \mathrm{id})$  of f has some real root, which is either +1 or -1. Since f is an improper isometry,  $\det(f)=-1$ , and since  $\det(f)$  is the product of the eigenvalues, the real roots cannot all be +1, and thus -1 is an eigenvalue of f. Going back to the proof of Theorem 27.1, since -1 is an eigenvalue of f, there is some nonnull eigenvector w such that f(w)=-w. Using the second part of the proof, we see that the hyperplane H orthogonal to f(w)-w=-2w is in fact orthogonal to f(w), and thus f is the product of f is a reflections about hyperplanes f, f, f, f, and the f is even, and thus the composition of the reflections about f, and so f is even, and thus the composition of the reflections about f, f, f, f, f, and f is a rotation. Thus, when f is odd, an improper isometry is the composition of a reflection about a hyperplane f with a rotation consisting of reflections about hyperplanes f, f, f, orthogonal to