

We also have the following proposition that gives a sufficient condition implying that  $\eta$  and  $b$  can be found in terms of an optimal solution  $(\lambda, \mu)$  of the dual.

**Proposition 54.4.** *If  $(w, b, \eta, \epsilon, \xi)$  is an optimal solution of Problem (SVM<sub>s2'</sub>) with  $w \neq 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ , if*

$$\max\{2p_f/(p+q), 2q_f/(p+q)\} < \nu < \min\{2p/(p+q), 2q/(p+q)\},$$

*then  $\eta$  and  $b$  can always be determined from an optimal solution  $(\lambda, \mu)$  of the dual in terms of a single support vector.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 54.3 some  $u_{i_0}$  and some  $v_{j_0}$  is a support vector. As we already explained, Problem (SVM<sub>s2'</sub>) satisfies the conditions for having a zero duality gap. Therefore, for optimal solutions we have

$$L(w, \epsilon, \xi, b, \eta, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) = G(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta),$$

which means that

$$\frac{1}{2}w^\top w - \nu\eta + \frac{1}{p+q} \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \epsilon_i + \sum_{j=1}^q \xi_j \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^\top & \mu^\top \end{pmatrix} X^\top X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix},$$

and since

$$w = -X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix},$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{p+q} \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \epsilon_i + \sum_{j=1}^q \xi_j \right) = \nu\eta - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^\top & \mu^\top \end{pmatrix} X^\top X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}. \quad (*)$$

Let  $K_\lambda = \{i \in \{1, \dots, p\} \mid \lambda_i = K_s\}$  and  $K_\mu = \{j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \mid \mu_j = K_s\}$ . By definition,  $p_f = |K_\lambda|$  and  $q_f = |K_\mu|$  (here we assuming that  $K_s = 1/(p+q)$ ). By complementary slackness the following equations are active:

$$\begin{aligned} w^\top u_i - b &= \eta - \epsilon_i & i &\in K_\lambda \\ -w^\top v_j + b &= \eta - \xi_j & j &\in K_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

But (\*) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{p+q} \left( \sum_{i \in K_\lambda} \epsilon_i + \sum_{j \in K_\mu} \xi_j \right) = \nu\eta - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^\top & \mu^\top \end{pmatrix} X^\top X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad (**)$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_i &= \eta - w^\top u_i + b & i &\in K_\lambda \\ \xi_j &= \eta + w^\top v_j - b & j &\in K_\mu, \end{aligned}$$