As we can see, C has more zero entries than A; it is a compressed version of A. We can further compress C by setting to 0 all entries of absolute value at most 0.5. Then we get

We find that the reconstructed image is

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 63.5 & 1.5 & 3.5 & 61.5 & 59.5 & 5.5 & 7.5 & 57.5 \\ 9.5 & 55.5 & 53.5 & 11.5 & 13.5 & 51.5 & 49.5 & 15.5 \\ 17.5 & 47.5 & 45.5 & 19.5 & 21.5 & 43.5 & 41.5 & 23.5 \\ 39.5 & 25.5 & 27.5 & 37.5 & 35.5 & 29.5 & 31.5 & 33.5 \\ 31.5 & 33.5 & 35.5 & 29.5 & 27.5 & 37.5 & 39.5 & 25.5 \\ 41.5 & 23.5 & 21.5 & 43.5 & 45.5 & 19.5 & 17.5 & 47.5 \\ 49.5 & 15.5 & 13.5 & 51.5 & 53.5 & 11.5 & 9.5 & 55.5 \\ 7.5 & 57.5 & 59.5 & 5.5 & 3.5 & 61.5 & 63.5 & 1.5 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is pretty close to the original image matrix A.

It turns out that Matlab has a wonderful command, image(X) (also imagesc(X), which often does a better job), which displays the matrix X has an image in which each entry is shown as a little square whose gray level is proportional to the numerical value of that entry (lighter if the value is higher, darker if the value is closer to zero; negative values are treated as zero). The images corresponding to A and C are shown in Figure 5.10. The compressed images corresponding to A_2 and C_2 are shown in Figure 5.11. The compressed versions appear to be indistinguishable from the originals!

If we use the normalized matrices H_m and H_n , then the equations relating the image matrix A and its normalized Haar transform C are

$$C = H_m^{\top} A H_n$$
$$A = H_m C H_n^{\top}.$$

The Haar transform can also be used to send large images progressively over the internet. Indeed, we can start sending the Haar coefficients of the matrix C starting from the coarsest coefficients (the first column from top down, then the second column, etc.), and at the receiving end we can start reconstructing the image as soon as we have received enough data.