This is to be expected by the fundamental theorem of calculus since the derivative of an integral returns the function. As we will shortly see, the above matrix product corresponds to this functional composition. The equation $DS = I_4$ shows that S is injective and has D as a left inverse. However, $SD \neq I_5$, and instead

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

because constant polynomials (polynomials of degree 0) belong to the kernel of D.

4.2 Composition of Linear Maps and Matrix Multiplication

Let us now consider how the composition of linear maps is expressed in terms of bases.

Let E, F, and G, be three vectors spaces with respective bases (u_1, \ldots, u_p) for E, (v_1, \ldots, v_n) for F, and (w_1, \ldots, w_m) for G. Let $g: E \to F$ and $f: F \to G$ be linear maps. As explained earlier, $g: E \to F$ is determined by the images of the basis vectors u_j , and $f: F \to G$ is determined by the images of the basis vectors v_k . We would like to understand how $f \circ g: E \to G$ is determined by the images of the basis vectors u_j .

Remark: Note that we are considering linear maps $g: E \to F$ and $f: F \to G$, instead of $f: E \to F$ and $g: F \to G$, which yields the composition $f \circ g: E \to G$ instead of $g \circ f: E \to G$. Our perhaps unusual choice is motivated by the fact that if f is represented by a matrix $M(f) = (a_{ik})$ and g is represented by a matrix $M(g) = (b_{kj})$, then $f \circ g: E \to G$ is represented by the product AB of the matrices A and B. If we had adopted the other choice where $f: E \to F$ and $g: F \to G$, then $g \circ f: E \to G$ would be represented by the product BA. Personally, we find it easier to remember the formula for the entry in Row i and Column j of the product of two matrices when this product is written by AB, rather than BA. Obviously, this is a matter of taste! We will have to live with our perhaps unorthodox choice.

Thus, let

$$f(v_k) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{i\,k} w_i,$$

for every $k, 1 \le k \le n$, and let

$$g(u_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{kj} v_k,$$