with each block A_j a $d_j \times d_j$-matrix with $d_j = \dim(U_j)$ and all other entries equal to 0. If $d_j = 1$ for $j = 1, \ldots, p$, the matrix A is a diagonal matrix.

There are natural injections from each U_i to E denoted by $\mathrm{in}_i \colon U_i \to E$.

Now, if $p = 2$, it is easy to determine the kernel of the map $a \colon U_1 \times U_2 \to E$. We have

$$a(u_1, u_2) = u_1 + u_2 = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad u_1 = -u_2,\ u_1 \in U_1, u_2 \in U_2,$$

which implies that

$$\mathrm{Ker}\, a = \{(u, -u) \mid u \in U_1 \cap U_2\}.$$

Now, $U_1 \cap U_2$ is a subspace of E and the linear map $u \mapsto (u, -u)$ is clearly an isomorphism between $U_1 \cap U_2$ and $\mathrm{Ker}\, a$, so $\mathrm{Ker}\, a$ is isomorphic to $U_1 \cap U_2$. As a consequence, we get the following result:

Proposition 6.5. *Given any vector space E and any two subspaces U_1 and U_2, the sum $U_1 + U_2$ is a direct sum iff $U_1 \cap U_2 = (0)$.*

An interesting illustration of the notion of direct sum is the decomposition of a square matrix into its symmetric part and its skew-symmetric part. Recall that an $n \times n$ matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}_n$ is *symmetric* if $A^\top = A$, *skew -symmetric* if $A^\top = -A$. It is clear that s

$$\mathbf{S}(n) = \{A \in \mathrm{M}_n \mid A^\top = A\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Skew}(n) = \{A \in \mathrm{M}_n \mid A^\top = -A\}$$

are subspaces of M_n, and that $\mathbf{S}(n) \cap \mathbf{Skew}(n) = (0)$. Observe that for any matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}_n$, the matrix $H(A) = (A + A^\top)/2$ is symmetric and the matrix $S(A) = (A - A^\top)/2$ is skew-symmetric. Since

$$A = H(A) + S(A) = \frac{A + A^\top}{2} + \frac{A - A^\top}{2},$$

we see that $\mathrm{M}_n = \mathbf{S}(n) + \mathbf{Skew}(n)$, and since $\mathbf{S}(n) \cap \mathbf{Skew}(n) = (0)$, we have the direct sum

$$\mathrm{M}_n = \mathbf{S}(n) \oplus \mathbf{Skew}(n).$$

Remark: The vector space $\mathbf{Skew}(n)$ of skew-symmetric matrices is also denoted by $\mathfrak{so}(n)$. It is the *Lie algebra* of the group $\mathbf{SO}(n)$.

Proposition 6.5 can be generalized to any $p \geq 2$ subspaces at the expense of notation. The proof of the following proposition is left as an exercise.

Proposition 6.6. *Given any vector space E and any $p \geq 2$ subspaces $U_1, \ldots, U_p$, the following properties are equivalent:*

(1) The sum $U_1 + \cdots + U_p$ is a direct sum.