

does not depend on the choice of  $\Omega \in F$ . If we identify  $E$  to  $\vec{E}$  by choosing any origin  $\Omega$  in  $F$ , we note that  $g$  is identified with the symmetry with respect to  $\vec{F}$  and parallel to  $\vec{G}$ . Thus, the map  $g$  is an affine isometry, and it is called the *affine orthogonal symmetry about  $F$* . Since

$$g(a) = \Omega + \vec{\Omega a} - 2p_{\vec{G}}(\vec{\Omega a})$$

for all  $\Omega \in F$  and for all  $a \in E$ , we note that the linear map  $\vec{g}$  associated with  $g$  is the (linear) symmetry about the subspace  $\vec{F}$  (the direction of  $F$ ), and parallel to  $\vec{G}$  (the direction of  $G$ ).

**Remark:** The map  $p: E \rightarrow F$  such that  $p(a) = a - q(a)$ , or equivalently

$$\overrightarrow{ap(a)} = -q(a) = -p_{\vec{G}}(\vec{\Omega a}),$$

is also independent of  $\Omega \in F$ , and it is called the *affine orthogonal projection onto  $F$* .

The following amusing lemma shows the extra power afforded by affine orthogonal symmetries: Translations are subsumed! Given two parallel affine subspaces  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  in  $E$ , letting  $\vec{F}$  be the common direction of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  and  $\vec{G} = \vec{F}^\perp$  be its orthogonal complement, for any  $a \in F_1$ , the affine subspace  $a + \vec{G}$  intersects  $F_2$  in a single point  $b$  (see Lemma 24.16). We define the *distance between  $F_1$  and  $F_2$*  as  $\|\vec{ab}\|$ . It is easily seen that the distance between  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  is independent of the choice of  $a$  in  $F_1$ , and that it is the minimum of  $\|\vec{xy}\|$  for all  $x \in F_1$  and all  $y \in F_2$ .

**Proposition 27.9.** *Given any affine space  $E$ , if  $f: E \rightarrow E$  and  $g: E \rightarrow E$  are affine orthogonal symmetries about parallel affine subspaces  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , then  $g \circ f$  is a translation defined by the vector  $2\vec{ab}$ , where  $\vec{ab}$  is any vector perpendicular to the common direction  $\vec{F}$  of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  such that  $\|\vec{ab}\|$  is the distance between  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , with  $a \in F_1$  and  $b \in F_2$ . Conversely, every translation by a vector  $\tau$  is obtained as the composition of two affine orthogonal symmetries about parallel affine subspaces  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  whose common direction is orthogonal to  $\tau = \vec{ab}$ , for some  $a \in F_1$  and some  $b \in F_2$  such that the distance between  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  is  $\|\vec{ab}\|/2$ .*

*Proof.* We observed earlier that the linear maps  $\vec{f}$  and  $\vec{g}$  associated with  $f$  and  $g$  are the linear reflections about the directions of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ . However,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  have the same direction, and so  $\vec{f} = \vec{g}$ . Since  $\vec{g \circ f} = \vec{g} \circ \vec{f}$  and since  $\vec{f} \circ \vec{g} = \vec{f} \circ \vec{f} = \text{id}$ , because every reflection is an involution, we have  $\vec{g \circ f} = \text{id}$ , proving that  $g \circ f$  is a translation. If we pick  $a \in F_1$ , then  $g \circ f(a) = g(a)$ , the affine reflection of  $a \in F_1$  about  $F_2$ , and it is easily checked that  $g \circ f$  is the translation by the vector  $\tau = \overrightarrow{ag(a)}$  whose norm is twice the distance between  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ . The second part of the lemma is left as an easy exercise.  $\square$