

By block multiplication we get

$$\begin{aligned} TC + UW &= I_n \\ TV + \beta U &= 0_{n,1} \\ \alpha W &= 0_{1,n} \\ \alpha\beta &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

From the above equations we deduce that $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ and $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$. Since $\alpha \neq 0$, the equation $\alpha W = 0_{1,n}$ yields $W = 0_{1,n}$, and so

$$TC = I_n, \quad TV + \beta U = 0_{n,1}.$$

It follows that T is invertible and C is its inverse, and since T is upper triangular, by the induction hypothesis, C is also upper triangular.

The above argument can be easily modified to prove that if A is invertible, then its diagonal entries are nonzero.

We are now ready to prove a very crucial result relating the rank and the dimension of the kernel of a linear map.

6.3 The Rank-Nullity Theorem; Grassmann's Relation

We begin with the following fundamental proposition.

Proposition 6.15. *Let E, F and G , be three vector spaces, $f: E \rightarrow F$ an injective linear map, $g: F \rightarrow G$ a surjective linear map, and assume that $\text{Im } f = \text{Ker } g$. Then, the following properties hold. (a) For any section $s: G \rightarrow F$ of g , we have $F = \text{Ker } g \oplus \text{Im } s$, and the linear map $f + s: E \oplus G \rightarrow F$ is an isomorphism.¹*

(b) For any retraction $r: F \rightarrow E$ of f , we have $F = \text{Im } f \oplus \text{Ker } r$.²

$$E \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xleftarrow{r} \end{array} F \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xleftarrow{s} \end{array} G$$

Proof. (a) Since $s: G \rightarrow F$ is a section of g , we have $g \circ s = \text{id}_G$, and for every $u \in F$,

$$g(u - s(g(u))) = g(u) - g(s(g(u))) = g(u) - g(u) = 0.$$

Thus, $u - s(g(u)) \in \text{Ker } g$, and we have $F = \text{Ker } g + \text{Im } s$. On the other hand, if $u \in \text{Ker } g \cap \text{Im } s$, then $u = s(v)$ for some $v \in G$ because $u \in \text{Im } s$, $g(u) = 0$ because $u \in \text{Ker } g$, and so,

$$g(u) = g(s(v)) = v = 0,$$

¹The existence of a section $s: G \rightarrow F$ of g follows from Proposition 6.11.

²The existence of a retraction $r: F \rightarrow E$ of f follows from Proposition 6.11.