

Part (a) of Theorem 11.4 shows that

$$\dim(E) \leq \dim(E^*).$$

When E is of finite dimension n and (u_1, \dots, u_n) is a basis of E , by part (c), the family (u_1^*, \dots, u_n^*) is a basis of the dual space E^* , called the *dual basis* of (u_1, \dots, u_n) . This fact was also proven directly in Theorem 3.23.

Define the function \mathcal{E} (\mathcal{E} for equations) from subspaces of E to subspaces of E^* and the function \mathcal{Z} (\mathcal{Z} for zeros) from subspaces of E^* to subspaces of E by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(V) &= V^0, & V &\subseteq E \\ \mathcal{Z}(U) &= U^0, & U &\subseteq E^*.\end{aligned}$$

By Parts (c) and (d) of Theorem 11.4,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{Z} \circ \mathcal{E})(V) &= V^{00} = V \\ (\mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{Z})(U) &= U^{00} = U,\end{aligned}$$

so $\mathcal{Z} \circ \mathcal{E} = \text{id}$ and $\mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{Z} = \text{id}$, and the maps \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{Z} are inverse bijections. These maps set up a *duality* between subspaces of E and subspaces of E^* . In particular, every subspace $V \subseteq E$ of dimension m is the set of common zeros of the space of linear forms (equations) V^0 , which has dimension $n - m$. This confirms the claim we made about the dimension of the subspace defined by a set of linear equations.



One should be careful that this bijection does not extend to subspaces of E^* of infinite dimension.



When E is of infinite dimension, for every basis $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ of E , the family $(u_i^*)_{i \in I}$ of coordinate forms is never a basis of E^* . It is linearly independent, but it is “too small” to generate E^* . For example, if $E = \mathbb{R}^{(\mathbb{N})}$, where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, the map $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that sums the nonzero coordinates of a vector in E is a linear form, but it is easy to see that it cannot be expressed as a linear combination of coordinate forms. As a consequence, when E is of infinite dimension, E and E^* are not isomorphic.

We now discuss some applications of the duality theorem.

Problem 1 . Suppose that V is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n of dimension m and that (v_1, \dots, v_m) is a basis of V . The problem is to find a basis of V^0 .

We first extend (v_1, \dots, v_m) to a basis (v_1, \dots, v_n) of \mathbb{R}^n , and then by part (c) of Theorem 11.4, we know that $(v_{m+1}^*, \dots, v_n^*)$ is a basis of V^0 .

Example 11.6. For example, suppose that V is the subspace of \mathbb{R}^4 spanned by the two linearly independent vectors

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix},$$