*Proof.* For every  $\rho_k \geq 0$ , define the function  $g_k : V \to U$  by

$$g_k(v) = p_U(v - \rho_k \nabla J_v).$$

By Proposition 48.6, the projection map  $p_U$  has Lipschitz constant 1, so using the inequalities assumed to hold in the proposition, we have

$$||g_{k}(v_{1}) - g_{k}(v_{2})||^{2} = ||p_{U}(v_{1} - \rho_{k}\nabla J_{v_{1}}) - p_{U}(v_{2} - \rho_{k}\nabla J_{v_{2}})||^{2}$$

$$\leq ||(v_{1} - v_{2}) - \rho_{k}(\nabla J_{v_{1}} - \nabla J_{v_{2}})||^{2}$$

$$= ||v_{1} - v_{2}||^{2} - 2\rho_{k}\langle\nabla J_{v_{1}} - \nabla J_{v_{2}}, v_{1} - v_{2}\rangle + \rho_{k}^{2} ||\nabla J_{v_{1}} - \nabla J_{v_{2}}||^{2}$$

$$\leq \left(1 - 2\alpha\rho_{k} + M^{2}\rho_{k}^{2}\right) ||v_{1} - v_{2}||^{2}.$$

As in the proof of Proposition 49.14, we know that if a and b satisfy the conditions  $0 < a \le \rho_k \le b \le \frac{2\alpha}{M^2}$ , then there is some  $\beta$  such that

$$\left(1 - 2\alpha\rho_k + M^2\rho_k^2\right)^{1/2} \le \beta < 1 \quad \text{for all } k \ge 0.$$

Since the minimizing point  $u \in U$  is a fixed point of  $g_k$  for all k, by letting  $v_1 = u_k$  and  $v_2 = u$ , we get

$$||u_{k+1} - u|| = ||g_k(u_k) - g_k(u)|| \le \beta ||u_k - u||,$$

which proves the convergence of the sequence  $(u_k)_{k>0}$ .

In the case of an elliptic quadratic functional

$$J(v) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Av, a \rangle - \langle b, v \rangle$$

defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the reasoning just after the proof of Proposition 49.14 can be immediately adapted to show that convergence takes place as long as a, b and  $\rho_k$  are chosen such that

$$0 < a \le \rho_k \le b \le \frac{2}{\lambda_n}.$$

In theory, Proposition 49.18 gives a guarantee of the convergence of the projected-gradient method. Unfortunately, because computing the projection  $p_U(v)$  effectively is generally impossible, the range of practical applications of Proposition 49.18 is rather limited. One exception is the case where U is a product  $\prod_{i=1}^{m} [a_i, b_i]$  of closed intervals (where  $a_i = -\infty$  or  $b_i = +\infty$  is possible). In this case, it is not hard to show that

$$p_U(w)_i = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } w_i < a_i \\ w_i & \text{if } a_i \le w_i \le b_i \\ b_i & \text{if } b_i < w_i. \end{cases}$$