



Figure 55.11: Comparison of the four methods with  $K = 1000$ ,  $\tau = 10000$ .

## 55.6 Elastic Net Regression

The lasso method is unsatisfactory when  $n$  (the dimension of the data) is much larger than the number  $m$  of data, because it only selects  $m$  coordinates and sets the others to values close to zero. It also has problems with groups of highly correlated variables. A way to overcome this problem is to add a “ridge-like” term  $(1/2)Kw^\top w$  to the objective function. This way we obtain a hybrid of lasso and ridge regression called the *elastic net method* and defined as follows:

**Program (elastic net):**

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{minimize} && \frac{1}{2}\xi^\top \xi + \frac{1}{2}Kw^\top w + \tau \mathbf{1}_n^\top \epsilon \\
 &\text{subject to} && \\
 &&& y - Xw - b\mathbf{1}_m = \xi \\
 &&& w \leq \epsilon \\
 &&& -w \leq \epsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $K > 0$  and  $\tau \geq 0$  are two constants controlling the influence of the  $\ell^2$ -regularization and the  $\ell^1$ -regularization.<sup>1</sup> Observe that as in the case of ridge regression, minimization is performed over  $\xi$ ,  $w$ ,  $\epsilon$  and  $b$ , but  $b$  is not penalized in the objective function. The objective

<sup>1</sup>Some of the literature denotes  $K$  by  $\lambda_2$  and  $\tau$  by  $\lambda_1$ , but we prefer not to adopt this notation since we use  $\lambda, \mu$  etc. to denote Lagrange multipliers.