Proposition 15.11 implies that for any diagonalizable matrix A, if we define  $\Gamma(A)$  by

$$\Gamma(A) = \inf\{\operatorname{cond}(P) \mid P^{-1}AP = D\},\$$

then for every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A + \Delta A$ , we have

$$\lambda \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |z - \lambda_k| \le \Gamma(A) \|\Delta A\| \}.$$

**Definition 15.6.** The number  $\Gamma(A) = \inf\{\operatorname{cond}(P) \mid P^{-1}AP = D\}$  is called the *conditioning* of A relative to the eigenvalue problem.

If A is a normal matrix, since by Theorem 17.22, A can be diagonalized with respect to a unitary matrix U, and since for the spectral norm  $||U||_2 = 1$ , we see that  $\Gamma(A) = 1$ . Therefore, normal matrices are very well conditionned w.r.t. the eigenvalue problem. In fact, for every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A + \Delta A$  (with A normal), we have

$$\lambda \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |z - \lambda_k| \le ||\Delta A||_2 \}.$$

If A and  $A+\Delta A$  are both symmetric (or Hermitian), there are sharper results; see Proposition 17.28.

Note that the matrix  $A(\epsilon)$  from the beginning of the section is not normal.

## 15.5 Eigenvalues of the Matrix Exponential

The Schur decomposition yields a characterization of the eigenvalues of the matrix exponential  $e^A$  in terms of the eigenvalues of the matrix A. First we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 15.12.** Let A and U be (real or complex) matrices and assume that U is invertible. Then

$$e^{UAU^{-1}} = Ue^AU^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* A trivial induction shows that

$$UA^{p}U^{-1} = (UAU^{-1})^{p},$$

and thus

$$e^{UAU^{-1}} = \sum_{p \ge 0} \frac{(UAU^{-1})^p}{p!} = \sum_{p \ge 0} \frac{UA^pU^{-1}}{p!}$$
$$= U\left(\sum_{p \ge 0} \frac{A^p}{p!}\right)U^{-1} = Ue^AU^{-1},$$

as claimed.  $\Box$