

Since  $\psi(u) = 0$  iff  $u \in U$ , and  $\psi(v) \geq 0$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $J_\epsilon(u) = J(u)$ , and since  $u_\epsilon$  is the minimizer of  $J_\epsilon$  we have  $J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \leq J_\epsilon(u)$ , so we obtain

$$J(u_\epsilon) \leq J(u_\epsilon) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \psi(u_\epsilon) = J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \leq J_\epsilon(u) = J(u),$$

that is,

$$J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \leq J(u). \quad (*_1)$$

Since  $J$  is coercive, the family  $(u_\epsilon)_{\epsilon>0}$  is bounded. By compactness (since we are in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ), there exists a subsequence  $(u_{\epsilon(i)})_{i \geq 0}$  with  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon(i) = 0$  and some element  $u' \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_{\epsilon(i)} = u'.$$

From the inequality  $J(u_\epsilon) \leq J(u)$  proven in  $(*_1)$  and the continuity of  $J$ , we deduce that

$$J(u') = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} J(u_{\epsilon(i)}) \leq J(u). \quad (*_2)$$

By definition of  $J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)$  and  $(*_1)$ , we have

$$0 \leq \psi(u_{\epsilon(i)}) \leq \epsilon(i)(J(u) - J(u_{\epsilon(i)})),$$

and since the sequence  $(u_{\epsilon(i)})_{i \geq 0}$  converges, the numbers  $J(u) - J(u_{\epsilon(i)})$  are bounded independently of  $i$ . Consequently, since  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon(i) = 0$  and since the function  $\psi$  is continuous, we have

$$0 = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \psi(u_{\epsilon(i)}) = \psi(u'),$$

which shows that  $u' \in U$ . Since by  $(*_2)$  we have  $J(u') \leq J(u)$ , and since both  $u, u' \in U$  and  $u$  is the unique minimizer of  $J$  over  $U$  we must have  $u' = u$ . Therefore  $u'$  is the unique minimizer of  $J$  over  $U$ . But then the whole family  $(u_\epsilon)_{\epsilon>0}$  converges to  $u$  since we can use the same argument as above for *every* subsequence of  $(u_\epsilon)_{\epsilon>0}$ .  $\square$

Note that a convex function  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is automatically continuous, so the assumption of continuity is redundant.

As an application of Proposition 49.19, if  $U$  is given by

$$U = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \varphi_i(v) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\},$$

where the functions  $\varphi_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are convex, we can take  $\psi$  to be the function given by

$$\psi(v) = \sum_{i=1}^m \max\{\varphi_i(v), 0\}.$$