Given any basis $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$, if $A = M(f)$ is the matrix of f w.r.t. $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$, we can compute the characteristic polynomial $\chi_f(X) = \det(X\,\mathrm{id} - f)$ of f by expanding the following determinant:

$$\det(XI - A) = \begin{vmatrix} X - a_{1\,1} & -a_{1\,2} & \ldots & -a_{1\,n} \\ -a_{2\,1} & X - a_{2\,2} & \ldots & -a_{2\,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -a_{n\,1} & -a_{n\,2} & \ldots & X - a_{n\,n} \end{vmatrix}.$$

If we expand this determinant, we find that

$$\chi_A(X) = \det(XI - A) = X^n - (a_{1\,1} + \cdots + a_{n\,n})X^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^n \det(A).$$

The sum $\mathrm{tr}(A) = a_{1\,1} + \cdots + a_{n\,n}$ of the diagonal elements of A is called the *trace of* A. Since we proved in Section 7.7 that the characteristic polynomial only depends on the linear map f, the above shows that $\mathrm{tr}(A)$ has the same value for all matrices A representing f. Thus, the *trace of a linear map* is well-defined; we have $\mathrm{tr}(f) = \mathrm{tr}(A)$ for any matrix A representing f.

Remark: The characteristic polynomial of a linear map is sometimes defined as $\det(f - X\,\mathrm{id})$. Since

$$\det(f - X\,\mathrm{id}) = (-1)^n \det(X\,\mathrm{id} - f),$$

this makes essentially no difference but the version $\det(X\,\mathrm{id} - f)$ has the small advantage that the coefficient of X^n is $+1$.

If we write

$$\chi_A(X) = \det(XI - A) = X^n - \tau_1(A)X^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^k\tau_k(A)X^{n-k} + \cdots + (-1)^n\tau_n(A),$$

then we just proved that

$$\tau_1(A) = \mathrm{tr}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_n(A) = \det(A).$$

It is also possible to express $\tau_k(A)$ in terms of determinants of certain submatrices of A. For any nonempty ordered subset, $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, say $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\}$, let $A_{I,I}$ be the $k \times k$ submatrix of A whose jth column consists of the elements $a_{i_h\,i_j}$, where $h = 1, \ldots, k$. Equivalently, $A_{I,I}$ is the matrix obtained from A by first selecting the columns whose indices belong to I, and then the rows whose indices also belong to I. Then it can be shown that

$$\tau_k(A) = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\} \\ I = \{i_1,\ldots,i_k\} \\ i_1 < \cdots < i_k}} \det(A_{I,I});$$