

The next pivot is $\pi_3 = -2$ in row 3, and since the fourth entry in column 3 is already a zero, we add 0 times row 3 to row 4. We get

$$A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad P_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The procedure is finished, and we have

$$L = \Lambda_3 + I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad U = A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \quad P = P_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that indeed

$$LU = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$PA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the idea in the remark before the above example, we can prove the theorem below which shows the correctness of the algorithm for computing P, L and U using a simple adaptation of Gaussian elimination.

We are not aware of a detailed proof of Theorem 8.5 in the standard texts. Although Golub and Van Loan [80] state a version of this theorem as their Theorem 3.1.4, they say that “The proof is a messy subscripting argument.” Meyer [125] also provides a sketch of proof (see the end of Section 3.10). In view of this situation, we offer a complete proof. It does involve a lot of subscripts and superscripts, but in our opinion, it contains some techniques that go far beyond symbol manipulation.

Theorem 8.5. *For every invertible $n \times n$ -matrix A , the following hold:*

- (1) *There is some permutation matrix P , some upper-triangular matrix U , and some unit lower-triangular matrix L , so that $PA = LU$ (recall, $L_{ii} = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$). Furthermore, if $P = I$, then L and U are unique and they are produced as a result of Gaussian elimination without pivoting.*