

For example, if  $n = 5$  and  $m = 3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 &\leq \mu_1 \leq \lambda_3 \\ \lambda_2 &\leq \mu_2 \leq \lambda_4 \\ \lambda_3 &\leq \mu_3 \leq \lambda_5.\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 17.25.** *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix,  $R$  be an  $n \times m$  matrix such that  $R^\top R = I$  (with  $m \leq n$ ), and let  $B = R^\top A R$  (an  $m \times m$  matrix). The following properties hold:*

- (a) *The eigenvalues of  $B$  interlace the eigenvalues of  $A$ .*
- (b) *If  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_m$  are the eigenvalues of  $B$ , and if  $\lambda_i = \mu_i$ , then there is an eigenvector  $v$  of  $B$  with eigenvalue  $\mu_i$  such that  $Rv$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Let  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for  $A$ , and let  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for  $B$ . Let  $U_j$  be the subspace spanned by  $(u_1, \dots, u_j)$  and let  $V_j$  be the subspace spanned by  $(v_1, \dots, v_j)$ . For any  $i$ , the subspace  $V_i$  has dimension  $i$  and the subspace  $R^\top U_{i-1}$  has dimension at most  $i - 1$ . Therefore, there is some nonzero vector  $v \in V_i \cap (R^\top U_{i-1})^\perp$ , and since

$$v^\top R^\top u_j = (Rv)^\top u_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, i-1,$$

we have  $Rv \in (U_{i-1})^\perp$ . By Proposition 17.24 and using the fact that  $R^\top R = I$ , we have

$$\lambda_i \leq \frac{(Rv)^\top A Rv}{(Rv)^\top Rv} = \frac{v^\top Bv}{v^\top v}.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 17.23,

$$\mu_i = \max_{x \neq 0, x \in \{v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n\}^\perp} \frac{x^\top Bx}{x^\top x} = \max_{x \neq 0, x \in \{v_1, \dots, v_i\}} \frac{x^\top Bx}{x^\top x},$$

so

$$\frac{w^\top Bw}{w^\top w} \leq \mu_i \quad \text{for all } w \in V_i,$$

and since  $v \in V_i$ , we have

$$\lambda_i \leq \frac{v^\top Bv}{v^\top v} \leq \mu_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

We can apply the same argument to the symmetric matrices  $-A$  and  $-B$ , to conclude that

$$-\lambda_{n-m+i} \leq -\mu_i,$$