Example 8.1. The reader should verify that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 8 & 7 & 9 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 9 & 8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an LU-factorization.

One of the main reasons why the existence of an LU-factorization for a matrix A is interesting is that if we need to solve *several* linear systems $Ax = b$ corresponding to the same matrix A, we can do this cheaply by solving the two triangular systems

$$Lw = b, \quad \text{and} \quad Ux = w.$$

There is a certain asymmetry in the LU-decomposition $A = LU$ of an invertible matrix A. Indeed, the diagonal entries of L are all 1, but this is generally false for U. This asymmetry can be eliminated as follows: if

$$D = \mathrm{diag}(u_{11}, u_{22}, \ldots, u_{nn})$$

is the diagonal matrix consisting of the diagonal entries in U (the pivots), then if we let $U' = D^{-1}U$, we can write

$$A = LDU',$$

where L is lower- triangular, U' is upper-triangular, all diagonal entries of both L and U' are 1, and D is a diagonal matrix of pivots. Such a decomposition leads to the following definition.

Definition 8.2. We say that an invertible $n \times n$ matrix A has an LDU-factorization if it can be written as $A = LDU'$, where L is lower- triangular, U' is upper-triangular, all diagonal entries of both L and U' are 1, and D is a diagonal matrix.

We will see shortly than if A is real symmetric, then $U' = L^\top$.

As we will see a bit later, real symmetric positive definite matrices satisfy the condition of Proposition 8.2. *Therefore, linear systems involving real symmetric positive definite matrices can be solved by Gaussian elimination without pivoting.* Actually, it is possible to do better: this is the Cholesky factorization.

If a square invertible matrix A has an LU-factorization, then it is possible to find L and U while performing Gaussian elimination. Recall that at Step k, we pick a pivot $\pi_k = a_{ik}^{(k)} \neq 0$ in the portion consisting of the entries of index $j \geq k$ of the k-th column of the matrix A_k obtained so far, we swap rows i and k if necessary (the pivoting step), and then we zero the entries of index $j = k+1, \ldots, n$ in column k. Schematically, we have the following steps:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & a_{ik}^{(k)} & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} \overset{\text{pivot}}{\Longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & a_{ik}^{(k)} & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} \overset{\text{elim}}{\Longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix}.$$