SO

$$b = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i (\kappa(u_i, u_{i_0}) + \kappa(u_i, v_{j_0})) - \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mu_j (\kappa(v_j, u_{i_0}) + \kappa(v_j, v_{j_0})) \right),$$

and the classification function

$$f(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(\langle w, \varphi(x) \rangle - b)$$

is given by

$$f(x) = \operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i (2\kappa(u_i, x) - \kappa(u_i, u_{i_0}) - \kappa(u_i, v_{j_0})) - \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mu_j (2\kappa(v_j, x) - \kappa(v_j, u_{i_0}) - \kappa(v_j, v_{j_0}))\right).$$

54.6 Classification of the Data Points in Terms of ν (SVM_{s2'})

For a finer classification of the points it turns out to be convenient to consider the ratio

$$\nu = \frac{K_m}{(p+q)K_s}.$$

First note that in order for the constraints to be satisfied, some relationship between K_s and K_m must hold. In addition to the constraints

$$0 \le \lambda_i \le K_s, \quad 0 \le \mu_j \le K_s,$$

we also have the constraints

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j \ge K_m$$

which imply that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i \ge \frac{K_m}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j \ge \frac{K_m}{2}. \tag{\dagger}$$

Since λ, μ are all nonnegative, if $\lambda_i = K_s$ for all i and if $\mu_j = K_s$ for all j, then

$$\frac{K_m}{2} \le \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \le pK_s$$
 and $\frac{K_m}{2} \le \sum_{j=1}^q \mu_j \le qK_s$,