



Figure 54.22: Running (SVM_{s4}) on two sets of 30 points; $K = 190$.

Our second run was made with $K = 1/12000$; see Figure 54.23. We have $p_m = 30$ and $q_m = 30$ and we see that the width of the slab is a bit excessive. This example demonstrates that the margin lines need not contain data points.

54.15 Soft Margin SVM; (SVM_{s5})

In this section we consider the version of Problem (SVM_{s4}) in which we add the term $(1/2)b^2$ to the objective function. We also drop the constraint $\eta \geq 0$ which is redundant.

Soft margin SVM (SVM_{s5}):

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{minimize} \quad \frac{1}{2}w^\top w + \frac{1}{2}b^2 + (p+q)K_s \left(-\nu\eta + \frac{1}{p+q}(\epsilon^\top \epsilon + \xi^\top \xi) \right) \\
 &\text{subject to} \\
 &\quad w^\top u_i - b \geq \eta - \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, p \\
 &\quad -w^\top v_j + b \geq \eta - \xi_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, q,
 \end{aligned}$$

where ν and K_s are two given positive constants. As we saw earlier, it is convenient to pick $K_s = 1/(p+q)$. When writing a computer program, it is preferable to assume that K_s is arbitrary. In this case ν must be replaced by $(p+q)K_s\nu$ in all the formulae.

One of the advantages of this methods is that ϵ is determined by λ , ξ is determined by μ (as in (SVM_{s4})), and both η and b determined by λ and μ . As the previous method, this