

and

$$(u^* \lrcorner z) \wedge z = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i e_i \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_i \wedge \cdots \wedge e_p = 0.$$

Now assume that  $(u^* \lrcorner z) \wedge z = 0$  for all  $u^* \in \bigwedge^{p-1} E^*$ , and that  $\dim(W) = m > p$ , where  $W$  is the smallest subspace of  $E$  such that  $z \in \bigwedge^p W$ . If  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  is a basis of  $W$ , then we have  $z = \sum_I \lambda_I e_I$ , where  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $|I| = p$ . Recall that  $z \neq 0$ , and so, some  $\lambda_I$  is nonzero. By Proposition 34.25, each  $e_i$  can be written as  $u^* \lrcorner z$  for some  $u^* \in \bigwedge^{p-1} E^*$ , and since  $(u^* \lrcorner z) \wedge z = 0$  for all  $u^* \in \bigwedge^{p-1} E^*$ , we get

$$e_j \wedge z = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

By wedging  $z = \sum_I \lambda_I e_I$  with each  $e_j$ , as  $m > p$ , we deduce  $\lambda_I = 0$  for all  $I$ , so  $z = 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $m = p$  and Corollary 34.26 implies that  $z$  is decomposable.  $\square$

As a corollary of Proposition 34.27 we obtain the following fact that we stated earlier without proof.

**Proposition 34.28.** *Given any vector space  $E$  of dimension  $n$ , a vector  $x \in \bigwedge^2 E$  is decomposable iff  $x \wedge x = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that as an application of Proposition 34.19 we proved the formula  $(\dagger)$ , namely

$$u^* \lrcorner (x \wedge x) = 2((u^* \lrcorner x) \wedge x)$$

for all  $x \in \bigwedge^2 E$  and all  $u^* \in E^*$ . As a consequence,  $(u^* \lrcorner x) \wedge x = 0$  iff  $u^* \lrcorner (x \wedge x) = 0$ . By Proposition 34.27, the 2-vector  $x$  is decomposable iff  $u^* \lrcorner (x \wedge x) = 0$  for all  $u^* \in E^*$  iff  $x \wedge x = 0$ . Therefore, a 2-vector  $x$  is decomposable iff  $x \wedge x = 0$ .  $\square$

As an application of Proposition 34.28, assume that  $\dim(E) = 3$  and that  $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$  is a basis of  $E$ . Then any 2-vector  $x \in \bigwedge^2 E$  is of the form

$$x = \alpha e_1 \wedge e_2 + \beta e_1 \wedge e_3 + \gamma e_2 \wedge e_3.$$

We have

$$x \wedge x = (\alpha e_1 \wedge e_2 + \beta e_1 \wedge e_3 + \gamma e_2 \wedge e_3) \wedge (\alpha e_1 \wedge e_2 + \beta e_1 \wedge e_3 + \gamma e_2 \wedge e_3) = 0,$$

because all the terms involved are of the form  $c e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge e_{i_3} \wedge e_{i_4}$  with  $i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , and so at least two of these indices are identical. Therefore, every 2-vector  $x = \alpha e_1 \wedge e_2 + \beta e_1 \wedge e_3 + \gamma e_2 \wedge e_3$  is decomposable, although this not obvious at first glance. For example,

$$e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_1 \wedge e_3 + e_2 \wedge e_3 = (e_1 + e_2) \wedge (e_2 + e_3).$$

We now show that Proposition 34.27 yields an equational criterion for the decomposability of an alternating tensor  $z \in \bigwedge^p E$ .