

which shows that  $z = \psi(y)$  for some  $y \in E[X]$ .

Finally, we prove that  $\psi$  is injective as follows. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(z) &= \psi\left(\sum_k X^k \otimes u_k\right) \\ &= (X1 - \bar{f})\left(\sum_k X^k \otimes u_k\right) \\ &= \sum_k X^{k+1} \otimes (u_k - f(u_{k+1})),\end{aligned}$$

where  $(u_k)$  is a family of finite support of  $u_k \in E$ . If  $\psi(z) = 0$ , then

$$\sum_k X^{k+1} \otimes (u_k - f(u_{k+1})) = 0,$$

and because the  $X^k$  form a basis of  $A[X]$ , we must have

$$u_k - f(u_{k+1}) = 0, \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Since  $(u_k)$  has finite support, there is a largest  $k$ , say  $m+1$  so that  $u_{m+1} = 0$ , and then from

$$u_k = f(u_{k+1}),$$

we deduce that  $u_k = 0$  for all  $k$ . Therefore,  $z = 0$ , and  $\psi$  is injective.  $\square$

**Remark:** The exact sequence of Theorem 36.3 yields a *presentation* of  $M_f$ .

Since  $A[X]$  is a free  $A$ -module,  $A[X] \otimes_A M$  is a free  $A$ -module, but  $A[X] \otimes_A M$  is generally not a free  $A[X]$ -module. However, if  $M$  is a free module, then  $M[X]$  is a free  $A[X]$ -module, since if  $(u_i)_{i \in I}$  is a basis for  $M$ , then  $(1 \otimes u_i)_{i \in I}$  is a basis for  $M[X]$ . This allows us to define the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_f(X)$  of an endomorphism of a free module  $M$  as

$$\chi_f(X) = \det(X1 - \bar{f}).$$

Note that to have a correct definition, we need to define the determinant of a linear map allowing the indeterminate  $X$  as a scalar, and this is what the definition of  $M[X]$  achieves (among other things). Theorem 36.3 can be used to give a short proof of the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, see Bourbaki [25] (Chapter III, Section 8, Proposition 20). Proposition 7.10 is still the crucial ingredient of the proof.