*Proof.* Consider the set  $\mathcal{E}$  of all Cauchy sequences  $(x_n)$  in E, and define the relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  as follows:

$$(x_n) \sim (y_n)$$
 iff  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$ .

It is easy to check that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{E}$ , and let  $\widehat{E} = \mathcal{E}/\sim$  be the quotient set, that is, the set of equivalence classes modulo  $\sim$ . Our goal is to show that we can endow  $\widehat{E}$  with a distance that makes it into a complete metric space satisfying the conditions of the theorem. We proceed in several steps.

Step 1. First, let us construct the function  $\varphi \colon E \to \widehat{E}$ . For every  $a \in E$ , we have the constant sequence  $(a_n)$  such that  $a_n = a$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , which is obviously a Cauchy sequence. Let  $\varphi(a) \in \widehat{E}$  be the equivalence class  $[(a_n)]$  of the constant sequence  $(a_n)$  with  $a_n = a$  for all n. By definition of  $\sim$ , the equivalence class  $\varphi(a)$  is also the equivalence class of all sequences converging to a. The map  $a \mapsto \varphi(a)$  is injective because a metric space is Hausdorff, so if  $a \neq b$ , then a sequence converging to a does not converge to a. After having defined a distance on a, we will check that a is an isometry.

Step 2. Let us now define a distance on  $\widehat{E}$ . Let  $\alpha = [(a_n)]$  and  $\beta = [(b_n)]$  be two equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences in E. The triangle inequality implies that

$$d(a_m, b_m) \le d(a_m, a_n) + d(a_n, b_n) + d(b_n, b_m) = d(a_n, b_n) + d(a_m, a_n) + d(b_m, b_n)$$

and

$$d(a_n, b_n) \le d(a_n, a_m) + d(a_m, b_m) + d(b_m, b_n) = d(a_m, b_m) + d(a_m, a_n) + d(b_m, b_n),$$

which implies that

$$|d(a_m, b_m) - d(a_n, b_n)| \le d(a_m, a_n) + d(b_m, b_n).$$

Since  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  are Cauchy sequences, it follows that  $(d(a_n, b_n))$  is a Cauchy sequence of nonnegative reals. Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, the sequence  $(d(a_n, b_n))$  has a limit, which we denote by  $\widehat{d}(\alpha, \beta)$ ; that is, we set

$$\widehat{d}(\alpha, \beta) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(a_n, b_n), \quad \alpha = [(a_n)], \ \beta = [(b_n)].$$

Step 3. Let us check that  $\widehat{d}(\alpha, \beta)$  does not depend on the Cauchy sequences  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  chosen in the equivalence classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

If  $(a_n) \sim (a'_n)$  and  $(b_n) \sim (b'_n)$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(a_n, a'_n) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(b_n, b'_n) = 0$ , and since

$$d(a'_n, b'_n) \le d(a'_n, a_n) + d(a_n, b_n) + d(b_n, b'_n) = d(a_n, b_n) + d(a_n, a'_n) + d(b_n, b'_n)$$

and

$$d(a_n, b_n) \le d(a_n, a'_n) + d(a'_n, b'_n) + d(b'_n, b_n) = d(a'_n, b'_n) + d(a_n, a'_n) + d(b_n, b'_n)$$