

**Proposition 37.1.** *Given a metric space  $E$  with metric  $d$ , the family  $\mathcal{O}$  of all open sets defined in Definition 37.4 satisfies the following properties:*

- (O1) *For every finite family  $(U_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  of sets  $U_i \in \mathcal{O}$ , we have  $U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n \in \mathcal{O}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{O}$  is closed under finite intersections.*
- (O2) *For every arbitrary family  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  of sets  $U_i \in \mathcal{O}$ , we have  $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i \in \mathcal{O}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{O}$  is closed under arbitrary unions.*
- (O3)  *$\emptyset \in \mathcal{O}$ , and  $E \in \mathcal{O}$ , i.e.,  $\emptyset$  and  $E$  belong to  $\mathcal{O}$ .*

Furthermore, for any two distinct points  $a \neq b$  in  $E$ , there exist two open sets  $U_a$  and  $U_b$  such that,  $a \in U_a$ ,  $b \in U_b$ , and  $U_a \cap U_b = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* It is straightforward. For the last point, letting  $\rho = d(a, b)/3$  (in fact  $\rho = d(a, b)/2$  works too), we can pick  $U_a = B_0(a, \rho)$  and  $U_b = B_0(b, \rho)$ . By the triangle inequality, we must have  $U_a \cap U_b = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

The above proposition leads to the very general concept of a topological space.



One should be careful that, in general, the family of open sets is not closed under infinite intersections. For example, in  $\mathbb{R}$  under the metric  $|x - y|$ , letting  $U_n = (-1/n, +1/n)$ , each  $U_n$  is open, but  $\bigcap_n U_n = \{0\}$ , which is not open.

Later on, given any nonempty subset  $A$  of a metric space  $(E, d)$ , we will need to know that certain special sets containing  $A$  are open.

**Definition 37.5.** Let  $(E, d)$  be a metric space. For any nonempty subset  $A$  of  $E$  and any  $x \in E$ , let

$$d(x, A) = \inf_{a \in A} d(x, a).$$

**Proposition 37.2.** *Let  $(E, d)$  be a metric space. For any nonempty subset  $A$  of  $E$  and for any two points  $x, y \in E$ , we have*

$$|d(x, A) - d(y, A)| \leq d(x, y).$$

*Proof.* For all  $a \in A$  we have

$$d(x, a) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, a),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, A) &= \inf_{a \in A} d(x, a) \\ &\leq \inf_{a \in A} (d(x, y) + d(y, a)) \\ &= d(x, y) + \inf_{a \in A} d(y, a) \\ &= d(x, y) + d(y, A). \end{aligned}$$