



Figure 26.38: The duality between a line through two points in  $\mathbf{P}(E)$  and a point incident to two lines in  $\mathbf{P}(E^*)$ .

which means that the cross-ratio of the  $d_i$  is independent of the line  $\Delta$  (see Figure 26.39).

In fact, this cross-ratio is equal to  $[D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4]$ , as shown in the next proposition.

**Proposition 26.27.** *Let  $P = \mathbf{P}(U)$  be a pencil of hyperplanes in  $\mathcal{H}(E)$ , and let  $\Delta = \mathbf{P}(D)$  be any projective line such that  $\Delta \notin H$  for all  $H \in P$ . The map  $h: P \rightarrow \Delta$  defined such that  $h(H) = H \cap \Delta$  for every hyperplane  $H \in P$  is a projectivity. Furthermore, for any sequence  $(H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4)$  of hyperplanes in the pencil  $P$ , if  $H_1, H_2, H_3$  are distinct and  $d_i = \Delta \cap H_i$ , then  $[d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4] = [H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4]$ .*

*Proof.* First, the map  $h: P \rightarrow \Delta$  is well-defined, since in a projective space, every line  $\Delta = \mathbf{P}(D)$  not contained in a hyperplane intersects this hyperplane in exactly one point. Since  $P = \mathbf{P}(U)$  is a pencil of hyperplanes in  $\mathcal{H}(E)$ ,  $U$  has dimension 2, and let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be two nonnull linear forms in  $E^*$  that constitute a basis of  $U$ , and let  $F = \varphi^{-1}(0)$  and