

Conversely, if  $u \in \text{Ker} \left( \frac{1}{2}(\text{id} - f) \right)$ , then  $f(u) = u$ , so

$$\frac{1}{2}(\text{id} + f)(u) = \frac{1}{2}(u + u) = u,$$

and thus

$$\text{Ker} \left( \frac{1}{2}(\text{id} - f) \right) \subseteq \text{Im} \left( \frac{1}{2}(\text{id} + f) \right).$$

Therefore,

$$U^+ = \text{Ker} \left( \frac{1}{2}(\text{id} - f) \right) = \text{Im} \left( \frac{1}{2}(\text{id} + f) \right),$$

and so,  $f(u) = u$  on  $U^+$  and  $f(u) = -u$  on  $U^-$ .  $\square$

We now assume that  $K = \mathbb{C}$ . The involutions of  $E$  that are unitary transformations are characterized as follows.

**Proposition 14.24.** *Let  $f \in \mathbf{GL}(E)$  be an involution. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (a) *The map  $f$  is unitary; that is,  $f \in \mathbf{U}(E)$ .*
- (b) *The subspaces  $U^- = \text{Im}(\frac{1}{2}(\text{id} - f))$  and  $U^+ = \text{Im}(\frac{1}{2}(\text{id} + f))$  are orthogonal.*

*Furthermore, if  $E$  is finite-dimensional, then (a) and (b) are equivalent to (c) below:*

- (c) *The map is self-adjoint; that is,  $f = f^*$ .*

*Proof.* If  $f$  is unitary, then from  $\langle f(u), f(v) \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$  for all  $u, v \in E$ , we see that if  $u \in U^+$  and  $v \in U^-$ , we get

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \langle f(u), f(v) \rangle = \langle u, -v \rangle = -\langle u, v \rangle,$$

so  $2\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ , which implies  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ , that is,  $U^+$  and  $U^-$  are orthogonal. Thus, (a) implies (b).

Conversely, if (b) holds, since  $f(u) = u$  on  $U^+$  and  $f(u) = -u$  on  $U^-$ , we see that  $\langle f(u), f(v) \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$  if  $u, v \in U^+$  or if  $u, v \in U^-$ . Since  $E = U^+ \oplus U^-$  and since  $U^+$  and  $U^-$  are orthogonal, we also have  $\langle f(u), f(v) \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$  for all  $u, v \in E$ , and (b) implies (a).

If  $E$  is finite-dimensional, the adjoint  $f^*$  of  $f$  exists, and we know that  $f^{-1} = f^*$ . Since  $f$  is an involution,  $f^2 = \text{id}$ , which implies that  $f^* = f^{-1} = f$ .  $\square$

A unitary involution is the identity on  $U^+ = \text{Im}(\frac{1}{2}(\text{id} + f))$ , and  $f(v) = -v$  for all  $v \in U^- = \text{Im}(\frac{1}{2}(\text{id} - f))$ . Furthermore,  $E$  is an orthogonal direct sum  $E = U^+ \oplus U^-$ . We say that  $f$  is an *orthogonal reflection* about  $U^+$ . In the special case where  $U^+$  is a hyperplane, we say that  $f$  is a *hyperplane reflection*. We already studied hyperplane reflections in the Euclidean case; see Chapter 13.