

## 17.7 The Courant–Fischer Theorem; Perturbation Results

Another useful tool to prove eigenvalue equalities is the Courant–Fischer characterization of the eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix, also known as the Min-max (and Max-min) theorem.

**Theorem 17.27.** (*Courant–Fischer*) *Let  $A$  be a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ . If  $\mathcal{V}_k$  denotes the set of subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of dimension  $k$ , then*

$$\lambda_k = \max_{W \in \mathcal{V}_{n-k+1}} \min_{x \in W, x \neq 0} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x}$$

$$\lambda_k = \min_{W \in \mathcal{V}_k} \max_{x \in W, x \neq 0} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x}.$$

*Proof.* Let us consider the second equality, the proof of the first equality being similar. Let  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  be any orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of  $A$ , where  $u_i$  is a unit eigenvector associated with  $\lambda_i$ . Observe that the space  $V_k$  spanned by  $(u_1, \dots, u_k)$  has dimension  $k$ , and by Proposition 17.23, we have

$$\lambda_k = \max_{x \neq 0, x \in V_k} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x} \geq \inf_{W \in \mathcal{V}_k} \max_{x \in W, x \neq 0} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x}.$$

Therefore, we need to prove the reverse inequality; that is, we have to show that

$$\lambda_k \leq \max_{x \neq 0, x \in W} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x}, \quad \text{for all } W \in \mathcal{V}_k.$$

Now for any  $W \in \mathcal{V}_k$ , if we can prove that  $W \cap V_{k-1}^\perp \neq (0)$ , then for any nonzero  $v \in W \cap V_{k-1}^\perp$ , by Proposition 17.24, we have

$$\lambda_k = \min_{x \neq 0, x \in V_{k-1}^\perp} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x} \leq \frac{v^\top A v}{v^\top v} \leq \max_{x \in W, x \neq 0} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x}.$$

It remains to prove that  $\dim(W \cap V_{k-1}^\perp) \geq 1$ . However,  $\dim(V_{k-1}) = k - 1$ , so  $\dim(V_{k-1}^\perp) = n - k + 1$ , and by hypothesis  $\dim(W) = k$ . By the Grassmann relation,

$$\dim(W) + \dim(V_{k-1}^\perp) = \dim(W \cap V_{k-1}^\perp) + \dim(W + V_{k-1}^\perp),$$

and since  $\dim(W + V_{k-1}^\perp) \leq \dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$ , we get

$$k + n - k + 1 \leq \dim(W \cap V_{k-1}^\perp) + n;$$

that is,  $1 \leq \dim(W \cap V_{k-1}^\perp)$ , as claimed. Thus we proved that

$$\lambda_k = \inf_{W \in \mathcal{V}_k} \max_{x \in W, x \neq 0} \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x},$$

but since the inf is achieved for the subspace  $V_k$ , the equation also holds with inf replaced by min.  $\square$