



Figure 39.1: Let $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The graph of f is the peach surface in \mathbb{R}^3 , and $t \mapsto f(a + tu)$ is the embedded orange curve connecting $f(a)$ to $f(a + tu)$. Then $D_u f(a)$ is the slope of the pink tangent line in the direction of u .

Since the map $t \mapsto a + tu$ is continuous, and since $A - \{a\}$ is open, the inverse image U of $A - \{a\}$ under the above map is open, and the definition of the limit in Definition 39.2 makes sense.

Remark: Since the notion of limit is purely topological, the existence and value of a directional derivative is independent of the choice of norms in E and F , as long as they are equivalent norms.

The directional derivative is sometimes called the *Gâteaux derivative*.

In the special case where $E = \mathbb{R}$ and $F = \mathbb{R}$, and we let $u = 1$ (i.e., the real number 1, viewed as a vector), it is immediately verified that $D_1 f(a) = f'(a)$, in the sense of Definition 39.1. When $E = \mathbb{R}$ (or $E = \mathbb{C}$) and F is any normed vector space, the derivative $D_1 f(a)$, also denoted by $f'(a)$, provides a suitable generalization of the notion of derivative.

However, when E has dimension ≥ 2 , directional derivatives present a serious problem, which is that their definition is not sufficiently uniform. Indeed, there is no reason to believe that the directional derivatives w.r.t. all nonnull vectors u share something in common. As a consequence, a function can have all directional derivatives at a , and yet not be continuous at a . Two functions may have all directional derivatives in some open sets, and yet their composition may not.

Example 39.1. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function given by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 y}{x^4 + y^2} & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

The graph of $f(x, y)$ is illustrated in Figure 39.2.