To simplify notation, we often write $J(\lambda)$ for $J_r(\lambda)$. Here is an example of a Jordan matrix with four blocks:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 31.17. *(Jordan form) Let E be a vector space of dimension n over a field K and let $f\colon E \to E$ be a linear map. The following properties are equivalent:*

(1) The eigenvalues of f all belong to K (i.e. the roots of the characteristic polynomial χ_f all belong to K).

(2) There is a basis of E in which the matrix of f is a Jordan matrix.

Proof. Assume (1). First we apply Theorem 31.11, and we get a direct sum $E = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k} W_k$, such that the restriction of $g_i = f - \lambda_j \mathrm{id}$ to W_i is nilpotent. By Theorem 31.16, there is a basis of W_i such that the matrix of the restriction of g_i is of the form

$$G_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nu_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \nu_{n_i} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\nu_i = 1$ or $\nu_i = 0$. Furthermore, over any basis, $\lambda_i \mathrm{id}$ is represented by the diagonal matrix D_i with λ_i on the diagonal. Then it is clear that we can split $D_i + G_i$ into Jordan blocks by forming a Jordan block for every uninterrupted chain of 1s. By putting the bases of the W_i together, we obtain a matrix in Jordan form for f.

Now assume (2). If f can be represented by a Jordan matrix, it is obvious that the diagonal entries are the eigenvalues of f, so they all belong to K. $\square$

Observe that Theorem 31.17 applies if $K = \mathbb{C}$. It turns out that there are uniqueness properties of the Jordan blocks. There are also other fundamental normal forms for linear maps, such as the rational canonical form, but to prove these results, it is better to develop more powerful machinery about finitely generated modules over a PID. To accomplish this most effectively, we need some basic knowledge about tensor products.