For example, the graph Laplacian of graph G_1 is

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that each row of L sums to zero (because $(B^{\sigma})^{\top} \mathbf{1} = 0$). Consequently, the vector $\mathbf{1}$ is in the nullspace of L.

Remarks:

1. With the unoriented version of the incidence matrix (see Definition 20.8), it can be shown that

$$BB^{\top} = D + A.$$

2. As pointed out by Evangelos Chatzipantazis, Proposition 20.2 in which the incidence matrix B^{σ} is replaced by the incidence matrix B of any arbitrary directed graph G does not hold. The problem is that such graphs may have both edges (v_i, v_j) and (v_j, v_i) between two distinct nodes v_i and v_j , and as a consequence, the inner product $b_i \cdot b_j = -2$ instead of -1. A simple counterexample is given by the directed graph with three vertices and four edges whose incidence matrix is given by

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$BB^{\top} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 & -1 \\ -2 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = D - A.$$

The natural generalization of the notion of graph Laplacian to weighted graphs is this:

Definition 20.16. Given any weighted graph G = (V, W) with $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$, the (unnormalized) graph Laplacian L(G) of G is defined by

$$L(G) = D(G) - W,$$

where $D(G) = diag(d_1, \ldots, d_m)$ is the degree matrix of G (a diagonal matrix), with

$$d_i = \sum_{j=1}^m w_{ij}.$$

As usual, unless confusion arises, we write D instead of D(G) and L instead of L(G).