

for some $p \in A$ that is not a unit. Moreover, by Proposition 32.1, the element p is irreducible. Define

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{a \in A \mid pa \in \mathfrak{A}\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathfrak{A} = p\mathfrak{B}$, $\mathfrak{B} \neq (0)$, $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$, and \mathfrak{B} is a proper ideal. We claim that $\mathfrak{A} \neq \mathfrak{B}$. Indeed, if $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$ were true, then we would have $\mathfrak{A} = p\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}$, but this is impossible since p is irreducible, A is a UFD, and $\mathfrak{B} \neq (0)$ (we get $\mathfrak{B} = p^m\mathfrak{B}$ for all m , and every element of \mathfrak{B} would be a multiple of p^m for arbitrarily large m , contradicting the fact that A is a UFD). Thus, we have $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{B}$, and since \mathfrak{A} is a maximal element of \mathcal{F} , we must have $\mathfrak{B} \notin \mathcal{F}$. However, $\mathfrak{B} \notin \mathcal{F}$ means that \mathfrak{B} is a principal ideal, and thus, $\mathfrak{A} = p\mathfrak{B}$ is also a principal ideal, a contradiction. \square

Observe that the above proof shows that Proposition 32.13 also holds under the assumption that every prime ideal is principal.

32.2 The Chinese Remainder Theorem

In this section, which is a bit of an interlude, we prove a basic result about quotients of commutative rings by products of ideals that are pairwise relatively prime. This result has applications in number theory and in the structure theorem for finitely generated modules over a PID, which will be presented later.

Given two ideals \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} of a ring A , we define the ideal $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$ as the set of all finite sums of the form

$$a_1b_1 + \cdots + a_kb_k, \quad a_i \in \mathfrak{a}, b_i \in \mathfrak{b}.$$

The reader should check that $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$ is indeed an ideal. Observe that $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$, so that

$$\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}.$$

In general equality does not hold. However if

$$\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} = A,$$

then we have

$$\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}.$$

This is because there is some $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ and some $b \in \mathfrak{b}$ such that

$$a + b = 1,$$

so for every $x \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$, we have

$$x = xa + xb,$$

which shows that $x \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$. Ideals \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} of A that satisfy the condition $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} = A$ are sometimes said to be *comaximal*.