

- (1) Suppose the functions  $\varphi_i: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous, and that for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ , the Problem  $(P_\mu)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && L(v, \mu) \\ & \text{subject to} && v \in \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution  $u_\mu$ , so that

$$L(u_\mu, \mu) = \inf_{v \in \Omega} L(v, \mu) = G(\mu),$$

and the function  $\mu \mapsto u_\mu$  is continuous (on  $\mathbb{R}_+^m$ ). Then the function  $G$  is differentiable for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ , and

$$G'_\mu(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \varphi_i(u_\mu) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

If  $\lambda$  is any solution of Problem  $(D)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && G(\mu) \\ & \text{subject to} && \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m, \end{aligned}$$

then the solution  $u_\lambda$  of the corresponding problem  $(P_\lambda)$  is a solution of Problem  $(P)$ .

- (2) Assume Problem  $(P)$  has some solution  $u \in U$ , and that  $\Omega$  is convex (open), the functions  $\varphi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) and  $J$  are convex and differentiable at  $u$ , and that the constraints are qualified. Then Problem  $(D)$  has a solution  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ , and  $J(u) = G(\lambda)$ ; that is, the duality gap is zero.

*Proof.* (1) Our goal is to prove that for any solution  $\lambda$  of Problem  $(D)$ , the pair  $(u_\lambda, \lambda)$  is a saddle point of  $L$ . By Theorem 50.15(1), the point  $u_\lambda \in U$  is a solution of Problem  $(P)$ .

Since  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$  is a solution of Problem  $(D)$ , by definition of  $G(\lambda)$  and since  $u_\lambda$  satisfies Problem  $(P_\lambda)$ , we have

$$G(\lambda) = \inf_{v \in \Omega} L(v, \lambda) = L(u_\lambda, \lambda),$$

which is one of the two equations characterizing a saddle point. In order to prove the second equation characterizing a saddle point,

$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} L(u_\mu, \mu) = L(u_\lambda, \lambda),$$

we will begin by proving that the function  $G$  is differentiable for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ , in order to be able to apply Theorem 40.9 to conclude that since  $G$  has a maximum at  $\lambda$ , that is,  $-G$  has minimum at  $\lambda$ , then  $-G'_\lambda(\mu - \lambda) \geq 0$  for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ . In fact, we prove that

$$G'_\mu(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \varphi_i(u_\mu) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m. \quad (*_{\text{deriv}})$$