

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ann}\left(\bigwedge^1 M\right) &= \text{Ann } e_1 = (0) \\ \text{Ann}\left(\bigwedge^2 M\right) &= \text{Ann } e_1 \wedge e_2 = (0) \\ \text{Ann}\left(\bigwedge^3 M\right) &= \text{Ann } e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 = (6) \\ \text{Ann}\left(\bigwedge^4 M\right) &= \text{Ann } e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4 = (2),\end{aligned}$$

and Proposition 35.29 provides another verification of

$$M \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(6) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(2).$$

Proposition 35.29 immediately implies the following crucial fact.

**Proposition 35.30.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative ring and let  $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_m$  be  $m$  ideals of  $A$  and  $\mathfrak{a}'_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}'_n$  be  $n$  ideals of  $A$  such that  $\mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_m \neq A$  and  $\mathfrak{a}'_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}'_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{a}'_n \neq A$ . If we have an isomorphism*

$$A/\mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A/\mathfrak{a}_m \approx A/\mathfrak{a}'_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A/\mathfrak{a}'_n,$$

*then  $m = n$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_i = \mathfrak{a}'_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

Proposition 35.30 yields the uniqueness of the decomposition in Theorem 35.25.

**Theorem 35.31.** *(Invariant Factors Decomposition) Let  $M$  be a finitely generated nontrivial  $A$ -module, where  $A$  a PID. Then,  $M$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of cyclic modules*

$$M \approx A/\mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A/\mathfrak{a}_m,$$

*where the  $\mathfrak{a}_i$  are proper ideals of  $A$  (possibly zero) such that*

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_m \neq A.$$

*More precisely, if  $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \dots = \mathfrak{a}_r = (0)$  and  $(0) \neq \mathfrak{a}_{r+1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_m \neq A$ , then*

$$M \approx A^r \oplus (A/\mathfrak{a}_{r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus A/\mathfrak{a}_m),$$

*where  $A/\mathfrak{a}_{r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus A/\mathfrak{a}_m$  is the torsion submodule of  $M$ . The module  $M$  is free iff  $r = m$ , and a torsion-module iff  $r = 0$ . In the latter case, the annihilator of  $M$  is  $\mathfrak{a}_1$ . Furthermore, the integer  $r$  and ideals  $\mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_m \neq A$  are uniquely determined by  $M$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 35.7, since  $M_{\text{tor}} = A/\mathfrak{a}_{r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus A/\mathfrak{a}_m$ , we know that the dimension  $r$  of the free summand only depends on  $M$ . The uniqueness of the sequence of ideals follows from Proposition 35.30.  $\square$