

Proposition 35.2. *Let $f: E \rightarrow F$ be a surjective linear map between two A -modules with F a free module. Given any basis (v_1, \dots, v_r) of F , for any r vectors $u_1, \dots, u_r \in E$ such that $f(u_i) = v_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, the vectors (u_1, \dots, u_r) are linearly independent and the module E is the direct sum*

$$E = \text{Ker}(f) \oplus U,$$

where U is the free submodule of E spanned by the basis (u_1, \dots, u_r) .

Proof. Pick any $w \in E$, write $f(w)$ over the basis (v_1, \dots, v_r) as $f(w) = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_rv_r$, and let $u = a_1u_1 + \dots + a_ru_r$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(w - u) &= f(w) - f(u) \\ &= a_1v_1 + \dots + a_rv_r - (a_1f(u_1) + \dots + a_rf(u_r)) \\ &= a_1v_1 + \dots + a_rv_r - (a_1v_1 + \dots + a_rv_r) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $h = w - u \in \text{Ker}(f)$, and since $w = h + u$ with $h \in \text{Ker}(f)$ and $u \in U$, we have $E = \text{Ker}(f) + U$.

If $u = a_1u_1 + \dots + a_ru_r \in U$ also belongs to $\text{Ker}(f)$, then

$$0 = f(u) = f(a_1u_1 + \dots + a_ru_r) = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_rv_r,$$

and since (v_1, \dots, v_r) is a basis, $a_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, which shows that $\text{Ker}(f) \cap U = (0)$. Therefore, we have a direct sum

$$E = \text{Ker}(f) \oplus U.$$

Finally, if

$$a_1u_1 + \dots + a_ru_r = 0,$$

the above reasoning shows that $a_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, so (u_1, \dots, u_r) are linearly independent. Therefore, the module U is a free module. \square

One should be aware that if we have a direct sum of modules

$$U = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_m,$$

every vector $u \in U$ can be written in a unique way as

$$u = u_1 + \dots + u_m,$$

with $u_i \in U_i$ but, unlike the case of vector spaces, this does not imply that any m nonzero vectors (u_1, \dots, u_m) are linearly independent. For example, we have the direct sum

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$