

- (1) The space (A, \mathcal{U}) is a topological space.
- (2) If E is a metric space with metric d , then the restriction $d_A: A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ of the metric d to A defines a metric space. Furthermore, the topology induced by the metric d_A agrees with the topology defined by \mathcal{U} , as above.

Proof. Left as an exercise. □

Proposition 37.5 suggests the following definition.

Definition 37.11. Given a topological space (E, \mathcal{O}) , given any subset A of E , the *subspace topology on A induced by \mathcal{O}* is the family \mathcal{U} of open sets defined such that

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U \cap A \mid U \in \mathcal{O}\}$$

is the family of all subsets of A obtained as the intersection of any open set in \mathcal{O} with A . We say that (A, \mathcal{U}) has the *subspace topology*. If (E, d) is a metric space, the restriction $d_A: A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ of the metric d to A is called the *subspace metric*.

For example, if $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ and d is the Euclidean metric, we obtain the subspace topology on the closed n -cube

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E \mid a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

See Figure 37.11,



One should realize that every open set $U \in \mathcal{O}$ which is entirely contained in A is also in the family \mathcal{U} , but \mathcal{U} may contain open sets that are not in \mathcal{O} . For example, if $E = \mathbb{R}$ with $|x - y|$, and $A = [a, b]$, then sets of the form $[a, c)$, with $a < c < b$ belong to \mathcal{U} , but they are not open sets for \mathbb{R} under $|x - y|$. However, there is agreement in the following situation.

Proposition 37.6. *Given a topological space (E, \mathcal{O}) , given any subset A of E , if \mathcal{U} is the subspace topology, then the following properties hold.*

- (1) If A is an open set $A \in \mathcal{O}$, then every open set $U \in \mathcal{U}$ is an open set $U \in \mathcal{O}$.
- (2) If A is a closed set in E , then every closed set w.r.t. the subspace topology is a closed set w.r.t. \mathcal{O} .

Proof. Left as an exercise. □

The concept of product topology is also useful. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 37.7. *Given n topological spaces (E_i, \mathcal{O}_i) , let \mathcal{B} be the family of subsets of $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$ defined as follows:*

$$\mathcal{B} = \{U_1 \times \dots \times U_n \mid U_i \in \mathcal{O}_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\},$$

and let \mathcal{P} be the family consisting of arbitrary unions of sets in \mathcal{B} , including \emptyset . Then \mathcal{P} is a topology on $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$.