In []:

A linear mixed model in model organism association mapping is typically expressed as $y = x\beta + Zu + e$

where y is an n × 1 vector of observed phenotypes, and x is an n × q matrix of fixed effects including mean, SNPs, and other confounding variables. β is a q × 1 vector representing coefficients of the fixed effects. Z is an n × t incidence matrix mapping each observed phenotype to one of t inbred strains. And in general Z is None, and will be ignored at some positons in the fellowing document. u is the random effect of the mixed model with $Var(u) = \sigma_g^2 K$, where K is the t × t kinship matrix, and e is an n × n matrix of residual effect such that $Var(e) = \sigma_e^2$. The overall phenotypic variance–covariance matrix can be represented as $V = \sigma_g^2 K + \sigma_e^2 I$. This part, in italic font, explains likelihood distribition and the symbols have no relationship with context.

For liner model $y = x\beta$. The distribution of y $N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I)$ So the likelihood $L(y; \beta, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}}e^{-\frac{(y-x\beta)'(y-x\beta)}{2\sigma^2}}$

For the linear mixed model: $y N(x\beta, \sigma_g^2 K + \sigma_e^2 I) = N(x\beta, \sigma_g^2 H)$ $H = \sigma_g^{-2} V = K + \delta I$ (there is a typo in the emma paper) $\delta = \frac{\sigma_e^2}{\sigma^2}$

$$likelihood(y; \beta, \sigma_g, \delta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_g^2 H)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{(y-x\beta)^y H^{-1}(y-x\beta)}{\sigma_g^2}}$$

ML (maximum likelihood):

$$l_F(y; \beta, \sigma_g, \delta) = \frac{1}{2} (-nlog(2\pi\sigma_g^2) - log|H| - \frac{1}{\sigma_g^2} (y - x\beta)'H^{-1}(y - x\beta))$$

REML:
$$l_R(y; \sigma_g, \delta) = l_F(y; \hat{\beta}, \sigma_g, \delta) + \frac{1}{2} (q log(2\pi\sigma_g^2) + log|x'x| - log|x'H^{-1}x|)$$

Gradient of the LMM log likelihood w.r.t. β

$$\nabla_{\beta} l_{R}(y; \sigma_{g}, \delta) = \frac{d - \frac{1}{2\sigma_{g}^{2}} (y - x\beta)^{T} (K + I\delta)^{-1} (y - x\beta)}{d\beta} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{g}^{2}} (-x^{T} (k + I\delta)^{-1} y + x^{T} (k + I\delta)^{-1} x)$$

set gradient to zero:

$$x^T H^{-1} x \beta = x^T H^{-1} y$$

β could be estimated as
$$\hat{\beta} = (x'H^{-1}x)^{-1}x'H^{-1}y$$

Note that this solution is analogous to the ML solution of the linear regression $(x^tx)^{-1}x^Ty$

For ML:
$$\hat{\sigma}_g^2 = \frac{R}{n}$$

Then: $l_F(y; \hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma_g}, \hat{\delta}) = \frac{1}{2} (-nlog \frac{2\pi R}{n} - log |H| - n)$

For REML:
$$\hat{\sigma}_g^2 = \frac{R}{n-q}$$

$$R = (y - x\beta)'H^{-1}(y - x\beta)$$

$$H = K + \delta I = U_F(\xi_i + \delta, \dots, \xi_n + \delta)U_F'$$
 with eigen decomposition

$$K = U_F \xi U_F'$$

so
$$log|H| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} log(\xi_i + \delta)$$

And
$$R = (y - x\beta)'H^{-1}(y - x\beta) = y'(I - x(x'H^{-1}x)^{-1}x'H^{-1})'H^{-1}(I - x(x'H^{-1}x)^{-1}x'H^{-1})y = y'P'H^{-1}Py$$

P is defined as $P = I - x(x'H^{-1}x)^{-1}x'H^{-1}$ $S = I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'$ $SHS = S(K + \delta I)S$ do eigen decomposition And $(SHS)(P'H^{-1}P)(SHS) = SHS$ $(P'H^{-1}P)(SHS)(P'H^{-1}P) = (P'H^{-1}P)$ PS = P (there is a typo in the emma paper)

and
$$SP = S$$

 $SHS = [U_R, W_R]diag(\lambda_1 + \delta, ..., \lambda_{n-q} + \delta, 0, ..., 0)[U_R, W_R]'$
 $= U_R diag(\lambda_1 + \delta, ..., \lambda_{n-q} \delta, 0, ..., 0)U_R'$

 U_R is an n × (n – q) eigenvector matrix corresponding to the nonzero eigenvalues. W_R is an n × q eigenvector matrix corresponding to zero eigenvalues.

Here the eigen decomposition of H and SHS do not dependent on any unknow parameter and could be done directly

so $P'H^{-1}P=(SHS)^+=U_Rdiag((\lambda_s+\delta)^{-1})U_R{'}$ here (.)^+ denotes the pseudo-inverse of a matrix Let $U_R{'}y=[\eta_1,\eta_2,\ldots,\eta_{n-q}]{'}$

and
$$R = y'P'H^{-1}Py = (U_R'y)'diag((\lambda_s + \delta)^{-1})(U_R'y) = \sum_{s=1}^{n-q} \frac{\eta_s^2}{\lambda_s + \delta}$$

Then for ML:
$$l_F(y; \hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma_g}, \hat{\delta}) = \frac{1}{2} nlog \frac{n}{2\pi} - n - nlog \sum_{s=1}^{n-q} \frac{\eta_s^2}{\lambda_s + \delta} - \sum_{i=1}^n log(\xi_i + \delta)$$

$$(SHS)(SHS)^{+} = (SHS)(P'H^{-1}P) = SHP'H^{-1}P = SP = S$$

On the other hand

$$(SHS)(SHS)^{+} = (U_R diag(\lambda_s + \delta)U_R')(U_R diag((\lambda_s + \delta)^{-1})U_R') = U_R U_R'$$

so
$$U_R U_{R'} = S = I$$

taking account
$$\hat{\sigma}_g^2 = \frac{R}{n-a}$$

Then for REML:
$$l_R(y; \hat{\sigma_g}, \hat{\delta}) = \frac{1}{2}(n-q)log\frac{n-q}{2\pi} - (n-q) - (n-q)log\sum_{s=1}^{n-q}\frac{\eta_s^2}{\lambda_s + \delta} - \sum_{s=1}^{n-q}log(\lambda_s + \delta)$$

The derivatives of these functions:

$$\mathrm{ML:} f_F{'} = \tfrac{n}{2} \tfrac{\sum_s \frac{\eta_s^2}{(\lambda_s + \delta)^2}}{\tfrac{\eta_s^2}{\lambda_s + \delta}} - \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_i \tfrac{1}{\xi_i + \delta}$$

$$\text{REML:} f_{F}{'} = \frac{n-q}{2} \frac{\sum_{s} \frac{\eta_{s}^{2}}{(\lambda_{s}+\delta)^{2}}}{\frac{\eta_{s}^{2}}{\lambda_{s}+\delta}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{\xi_{i}+\delta}$$

if we could find B such that

$$BB' = H = \frac{V}{\sigma_a^2} = K + \delta I$$

we can substitute $y^* = B^{-1}y$, $x^* = B^{-1}x$ and $e^* = B^{-1}(Zu + e)$ (now e^* includes both random effects and errors) to get

$$y^* = x^* \beta + \epsilon^*$$

$$Var(\epsilon^*) = Var(B^{-1}(Zu + \epsilon)) = B^{-1}V(B^{-1})' = \sigma_g^2 B^{-1}H(B^{-1})' = \sigma_g^2 B^{-1}BB'(B^{-1})' = \sigma_g^2 I$$

The value of the residual sum of squares (RSS) from solving the transformed equation $y^* = X^*\beta + e^*$ is the Mahalanobis RSS for the original equation $y = x\beta + Zu + e$.

Taking advantage of the eigen decomposition of H performed in the EMMA algorithm, the computation of a valid B^{-1} can be simplified to

$$B^{-1} = diag(1/\sqrt{\xi_1 + \delta}, \dots, 1/\sqrt{\xi_n + \delta})U_F'$$

 B^{-1} is H_sqrt_inv in the code

 β could be estimate by solving $y^* = x^*\beta + \epsilon^*$

The F test here is performed on y^* and x^*

In the code h0_rss is the Residual sum of squares without the effecte of fixed variable under testing (H0) mahalanobis_rss is the Residual sum of squares with the effecte of fixed variable under testing (H1)

If here x is the intercept and all the significant genotypic variants, after β being calculated Then residuals = y - x β

Variance explained by significant genotypic variants is (var(y)-residuals.T * residuals.) / var(y)

In []:			