

Peer review results document

Comments from peer design review and optionally the functional review

It felt more like a collaboration than a review. Because the other group members were still trying to figure things out themselves, we were all figuring it out together. Even though our designs had slight differences, because they all followed the same design requirements spec the overall system was mostly following the same logic.

Any changes that you made to your design and how they continue to support the requirements

The biggest changes were to the incorporation on the knowledgegraph from assignment one. The assignment and requirement docs were extremely unclear about why and how this was supposed to be. I think all the members of my group were confused on this point and went about it in different ways. In the end I used it but didn't fully incorporate in the way I would if I had more time to.

Did the design document make the implementation easier?

It seemed like since there were a lot of really repetitive classes that all inherited from the same parent class with one or two additional properties that the design document made these parts unnecessarily repetitive to have to detail them over and over in the diagram and the dictionary and then in actual code. However for the more complicated parts of the system like the CLI and API, it did help to think through in explicit terms before coding.

How could the design have been better, clearer, or made the implementation easier?

It could have been way more explicit about how the knowledgegraph was to be used and why.

Did the design review help improve your design?

It did in terms of the collaboration aspect. Seeing and discussing how others met the requirements and also how they did not meet them or what

they did that didn't work.