## **Consequences of your vote**

As we all know, every action can have a reaction. This is particularly so in this controversy, as residents of the 15<sup>th</sup> floor have their rights. So have the residents of the 14<sup>th</sup> floor. I list here the possible reaction after your votes have been cast:



## 1. The 90% resolution is NOT passed: Once this is apparent,

residents of the 14<sup>th</sup> floor are likely to react. They will demand to be compensated by the MCST (but they cannot refuse to remove the awnings,

since it is an order of the STB, refusal is contempt of court), since the STB envisages the need to compensate them, and the High Court has stated clearly that the 14<sup>th</sup> floor Residents may have been misled by the MCST. The demand for compensation is certain, and if the MCST and the SPs involved cannot agree to the

compensation amount, this could lead to another

round of legal costs for SPs.

2. The 90% resolution is passed: In this scenario, the Appellant could react. This could amount to a further challenge in the High Court, e.g., that the



resolution has not been appropriately phrased etc. It will not be difficult to find a lawyer who will be able to find fault with the resolution proposed, we should all remember that the 2012, 2018 and 2019 resolutions, which were all prepared by lawyers, have now been



Gardens@Bullion:plumbago auriculala

declared invalid. How can we be sure that the next resolution, also to be prepared by lawyers, will become acceptable in Courts. Put it another way, whoever wins, the losers will be the SPs of Bullion Park. When Elephants fight, they trample on SPs, who

end up picking up the bill. Question: If achieving a 90% vote will not bring the problem to an end, why propose it in the first place?