

High Court Judgement Summary

[\(Extracts from the Judgement of Ang Cheng Hock, J\)](#)

Para 70: “I find that Nov 2019 by-law **is not legally effective** insofar as it purports to authorize the fixed awnings installed by the 14th floor SPs or the demolition of the external walls”.



Para 72: The Nov 2018 resolution **suffers from the same infirmities as the Nov 2019 resolution** the resolution was not passed by the requisite 90% approval as required by s 33 (a) (c) of the BMSMA.



[Gardens@Bullion: Ruellia Simplex](#)

Para 80: Pursuant to s 98 (2) of the BMSMA I remit this matter to the STB for it to make the necessary orders for the respondent to remove the fixed awnings and restore the demolished external walls if, within a period of 3 months from the date of this judgement, the necessary 90% resolution for the installation of the awnings and the demolition of the external walls for each of the affected 14th floor units have not been obtained.

Para 83: I will also grant the respondent liberty to apply in the event more time is needed to comply with this judgement. However I must stress that strong cause must be shown