

# Notes on the Elvis Problem

## 1 Preliminaries.

The philosophy of so-called *Convex Analysis* is that sets and functions are treated with equal attention. Let me explain.

Recall a set  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is convex provided

$$x, y \in F, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \implies \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in F. \quad (1)$$

The set of all nonempty, closed and convex sets is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}$ .

The *epigraph*  $\text{epi}(f)$  of an extended-valued function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$  is defined as

$$\text{epi}(f) := \{(x, r) : r \geq f(x)\},$$

which is a subset in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Then  $f(\cdot)$  is (1) *lower semicontinuous* (lsc) provided  $\text{epi}(f)$  is closed, (2) *convex* provided  $\text{epi}(f)$  is a convex set (in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ), and (3) *proper* if  $\text{epi}(f) \neq \emptyset$  and contains no vertical lines (a vertical line at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  occurs when  $f(x) = -\infty$ ). The set of all lsc, convex and proper functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Exercise 1.1.** Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .

(a) The effective domain of  $f(\cdot)$  is defined by  $\text{dom}(f) := \{x : f(x) < \infty\}$ . Show if  $f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\text{dom}(f)$  is a convex set. Give an example for which  $f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$  but  $\text{dom}(f)$  is not closed.

(b) If  $\text{dom}(f) = \mathbb{R}^n$ , show that  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  if and only if

$$f(x_\lambda) \leq (1 - \lambda)f(x_0) + \lambda f(x_1) \quad (2)$$

for all  $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , and where  $x_\lambda := (1 - \lambda)x_0 + \lambda x_1$ .

(c) Define an arithmetic and an order relation on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  so that the property that a lsc  $f(\cdot)$  is convex is characterized by (2).

Associated to any set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is the indicator function  $I_S : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  defined by

$$I_S(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in S \\ +\infty & \text{if } x \notin S. \end{cases}$$

**Exercise 1.2.** Suppose  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Show  $I_S(\cdot)$

(a) is lsc if and only if  $S$  is closed;

(b) is a convex function if and only if  $S$  is a convex set; and

(c) belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  if and only if  $S$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

One of the most important properties of convex sets is the fact that there are two ways to characterize them. The first is the direct definition given above in (1). A second, or *dual* way, is through so-called separation as explained in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** (Separation Theorem). *Suppose  $F$  is closed and convex and  $v \notin F$ . Then there exists  $\vec{n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that*

$$\sup\{\langle v', \vec{n} \rangle : v' \in F\} < \langle v, \vec{n} \rangle \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* Please see the Primer on Convex Analysis file. □

The (closed) half space (with normal vector  $\vec{n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\vec{n} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , and at level  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ) is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\vec{n},r} := \{v : \langle \vec{n}, v \rangle \leq r\}$$

**Exercise 1.3.** *Show that  $F$  is closed and convex if and only if*

$$F = \bigcap \left\{ \mathcal{H}_{\vec{n},r} : \vec{n} \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in \mathbb{R} \text{ are such that } F \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\vec{n},r} \right\}$$

## 2 The *polar* of a set

**Definition 2.1.** *The polar  $F^\circ$  of a set  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  is the set*

$$F^\circ := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle \zeta, v \rangle \leq 1 \ \forall v \in F\}.$$

A set  $F$  is *bounded* if there exists a constant  $m \geq 0$  so that  $v \in F \Rightarrow |v| \leq m$ . Let

$$\mathcal{C}_0 := \{F \in \mathcal{C} : F \text{ is bounded and } \mathbf{0} \in \text{int} F\}.$$

**Exercise 2.1.** *Show the following.*

(a) *For any nonempty set  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , one has  $F^\circ$  belonging to  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

(b)  *$F \in \mathcal{C}$  is bounded if and only if  $\mathbf{0} \in \text{int}(F^\circ)$ .*

(c)  *$F \in \mathcal{C}_0$  if and only if  $F^\circ \in \mathcal{C}_0$ .*

(d) *If  $F = r\overline{B}$  for some  $r > 0$ , then  $F^\circ = \frac{1}{r}\overline{B}$ .*

(e) *With  $n = 2$  and positive constants  $a, b$ , if*

$$F = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} : \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} \leq 1 \right\} \quad \text{then} \quad F^\circ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} : a^2 \zeta^2 + b^2 \xi^2 \leq 1 \right\}.$$

(f) For  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , the  $p$  - norm is defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_p := \sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty := \max\{|x_i| : 1 \leq i \leq n\}, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The  $p$  unit ball is the set  $\overline{B}_p := \{\mathbf{x} : \|\mathbf{x}\|_p \leq 1\}$ , which belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_0$ . If  $F = \overline{B}_p$ , then  $F^\circ = \overline{B}_q$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

Actually one should think of  $F^\circ$  belonging to the so called *dual* space of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , but since  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is its own dual, the dual space can be identified with  $\mathbb{R}^n$  itself. Nonetheless, one should be cognizant of the three ways elements of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are being used: (1) as so-called state vectors  $x$  lying in the ambient space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , (2) as “pointers” or velocity vectors  $v \in F$  describing perhaps the direction and speed a state vector is moving, and (3) linear functionals that act on the state vectors through an inner product. Let’s elaborate on (3): Suppose  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and define the map  $\ell_\zeta(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $\ell_\zeta(x) = \langle \zeta, x \rangle$ . Then  $\ell(\cdot) := \ell_\zeta(\cdot)$  satisfies the linearity property

$$\ell(x_1 + rx_2) = \ell(x_1) + r\ell(x_2). \quad (4)$$

Any function  $\ell(\cdot)$  satisfying (4) is called a linear functional, and the dual space of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the set of all linear functionals. The following exercise is the manner in which the dual space can be identified with  $\mathbb{R}^n$

**Exercise 2.2.** Suppose  $\ell(\cdot)$  is a linear functional. Show  $\exists$  a unique  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\ell(\cdot) = \ell_\zeta(\cdot)$ .

In this way, we usually abuse notation by just writing  $\zeta$  for the linear map  $\ell_\zeta(\cdot)$ . The point is that elements of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be viewed in two distinct ways: (1) as the usual coordinate vector with  $n$  real components, and (2) in a way that says how it “operates” on the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by taking an inner product. The definition of  $F^\circ$  consists of describing how its elements are acting on elements in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which is why we said it should be thought of as a subset of the dual space. The same applies to the vector  $\zeta$  in Theorem 1.1.

### 3 Gauge functions

Suppose  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  is given. The gauge function  $\gamma_F : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is defined by

$$\gamma_F(x) = \inf \left\{ t \geq 0 : \frac{1}{t} x \in F \right\}.$$

By convention, if  $rx \notin F$  for all  $r > 0$ , then  $\gamma_F(x) = +\infty$ . We mainly will be interested in only the case where  $F \in \mathcal{C}_0$ .

**Exercise 3.1.** Let  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $\mathbf{0} \in F$ . Show the following:

(a)  $v \in F$  if and only if  $\gamma_F(x) \leq 1$ .

(b)  $\gamma_F(\cdot)$  is positively homogeneous: that is,  $\gamma_F(rv) = r\gamma_F(v) \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \geq 0$ .

(c)  $\gamma_F(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$ , and is finite-valued if and only if  $\mathbf{0} \in \text{int}(F)$ .

A converse of some of the statements above is given next.

**Exercise 3.2.** Suppose  $\gamma(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$  and is also positively homogeneous. Define  $F := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \gamma(x) \leq 1\}$ . Show  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\gamma(\cdot) = \gamma_F(\cdot)$ . Furthermore, show  $\gamma(\cdot)$  is finite-valued if and only if  $\mathbf{0} \in \text{int}(F)$ .

## 4 Differentiability concepts of convex objects

For a proper convex function  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ , the subgradient  $\partial f(x)$  at a point  $x \in \text{dom} f$  is given by

$$\partial f(x) := \{\xi \in X : f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle \xi, y - x \rangle \quad \forall y \in X\}. \quad (5)$$

The analogous concept for a set  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  is the normal cone: For  $v \in F$ , the normal cone  $N_F(v)$  is given by

$$N_F(v) := \{\zeta : \langle \zeta, v' - v \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall v' \in F\}.$$

**Exercise 4.1.**

(a) For  $f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$ , show that

$$\zeta \in \partial f(x) \iff (\zeta, -1) \in N_{\text{epi}(f)}(x, f(x)). \quad (6)$$

(b) For  $F \in \mathcal{C}$ , show that

$$\zeta \in \partial I_F(x) \iff \zeta \in N_F(v). \quad (7)$$

The general Elvis problem relies on the following relationships of the gauge functions for both the velocity set  $F \in \mathcal{C}_0$  and its polar  $F^\circ$ .

**Exercise 4.2.** Suppose  $F \in \mathcal{C}_0$ . Show that the following statements are equivalent for any  $x, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

(a)  $\langle \zeta, x \rangle = \gamma_F(x)\gamma_{F^\circ}(\zeta)$ .

(b)  $\frac{x}{\gamma_F(x)}$  attains the max over  $v \in F$  of the map  $v \rightarrow \langle \zeta, v \rangle$ .

(c)  $\zeta \in N_F\left(\frac{x}{\gamma_F(x)}\right)$ .

(d)  $\frac{\zeta}{\gamma_{F^\circ}(\zeta)}$  attains the max over  $\xi \in F^\circ$  of the map  $\xi \rightarrow \langle \xi, x \rangle$ .

(e)  $x \in N_{F^\circ}\left(\frac{\zeta}{\gamma_{F^\circ}(\zeta)}\right)$ .

$$(f) \frac{\zeta}{\gamma_{F^\circ}(\zeta)} \in \partial\gamma_F(x).$$

$$(g) \frac{x}{\gamma_F(x)} \in \partial\gamma_{F^\circ}(\zeta).$$

A convex set  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is *strictly convex* if whenever  $x$  and  $y$  belong to  $F$  ( $x \neq y$ ) and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , then  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \text{int}F$ . The so-called dual concept is having a “smooth” boundary. A closed convex set has a smooth boundary if and only if  $N_F(x)$  is of the form  $\{r\zeta_x : r \geq 0\}$  for some vector  $\zeta_x$  with  $|\zeta_x| = 1$ , and the map  $x \mapsto \zeta_x$  is continuous on  $\text{bdry}F$ .

**Exercise 4.3.** Show that  $F$  is strictly convex if and only if  $F^\circ$  has a smooth boundary.

Given  $F \in \mathcal{C}$ , the *support function*  $\sigma_F(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$\sigma_F(\zeta) = \sup\{\langle \zeta, v \rangle : v \in F\}$$

**Exercise 4.4.** Suppose  $F \in \mathcal{C}$ .

(a) Show  $\sigma_F(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

(b) If  $\sigma_F(\zeta) < +\infty$ , show there exists at least one  $v \in F$  so that

$$\sigma_F(\zeta) = \langle \zeta, v \rangle,$$

and is unique if  $F$  is strictly convex. Give an example where there may be more than one such  $v$ .

(c) Show that  $\sigma_F(\zeta) < \infty$  for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if  $\mathbf{0} \in \text{int}(F)$ .

(d) Suppose  $x \notin F$ . Show there exists a unique  $v \in F$  so that

$$\|x - v\| = \min\{\|x - v'\| : v' \in F\}.$$

Such a  $v$  is called the *projection of  $x$  into  $F$* , and is denoted by  $\text{proj}_F(x)$ .

(e) Suppose  $x \notin F$ , and let  $v = \text{proj}_F(x)$  and  $\zeta := x - v$ . Show  $\zeta \in N_F(v)$  and  $\sigma_F(\zeta) = \langle \zeta, v \rangle$ .

Suppose  $f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$ . It is obvious from (5) that a point  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  solves the problem

$$\min f(x) \quad \text{over } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{\mathcal{P}}$$

if and only if  $\mathbf{0} \in \partial f(\bar{x})$ . Our Elvis problem will entail a problem like  $(\mathcal{P})$  where  $f(\cdot)$  is of the form  $f(x) = g(x) + \mathcal{I}_\Sigma(x)$  where  $g(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$  is finite-valued and  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{C}$ . In this case, problem  $(\mathcal{P})$  is equivalent to both of

$$\min g(x) \quad \text{over } x \in \Sigma \tag{\mathcal{P}'}$$

$$\min \left\{ g(x) + \mathcal{I}_\Sigma(x) \right\} \quad \text{over } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{\mathcal{P}''}$$

Considering the form of  $(\mathcal{P}'')$ , the necessary and sufficient optimality condition that  $\bar{x}$  solves  $(\mathcal{P}'')$  is that

$$\mathbf{0} \in \partial\{g(\cdot) + \mathcal{I}_\Sigma(\cdot)\}(\bar{x}). \quad (8)$$

Now it would be nice if we knew that the subgradient of a sum of two elements in  $\mathcal{F}$  was the sum of its subgradients (in some sense). This is akin to the well-known linearity property of ordinary differentiation. It is not true in general:

**Exercise 4.5.** *With  $n = 1$ , let*

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{x} & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ +\infty & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(x) = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{-x} & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ +\infty & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

*Show that  $f_1(\cdot), f_2(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\partial f_1(\mathbf{0}) = \partial f_2(\mathbf{0}) = \emptyset$  and  $\partial(f_1(\cdot) + f_2(\cdot))(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbb{R}$ ,*

So a “sum rule” cannot be expected to hold in all cases, but in the case that will be of most interest to us, it does hold. The following is a special case that we will use below.

**Theorem 4.1** (Rockafellar, Convex Analysis, Theorem 23.8). *Suppose  $f(\cdot), g(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\text{dom}(g) = \mathbb{R}^n$  (that is,  $g(\cdot)$  is finite-valued). Then for all  $x \in \text{dom}(f)$ , we have*

$$\partial\left(f(\cdot) + g(\cdot)\right)(x) = \partial f(x) + \partial g(x) := \{\zeta + \xi : \zeta \in \partial f(x), \xi \in \partial g(x)\}$$

**Exercise 4.6.** *Consider  $(\mathcal{P}'')$  with  $g(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{C}$ . Suppose further that  $\text{dom}(g) = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Show  $\bar{x}$  solves  $(\mathcal{P}'')$  if and only if there exists  $\zeta \in \partial g(\bar{x})$  satisfying  $-\zeta \in N_\Sigma(\bar{x})$ .*

**Exercise 4.7.** *Suppose  $f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $g(\cdot)$  is defined by  $g(x) = f(-x)$ . Show that  $\zeta \in \partial g(x)$  if and only if  $-\zeta \in \partial f(-x)$ . Explain why this is a special case of the Chain Rule for convex functions.*