


Go back.

Background

We build on the recent proposal that geometric shapes are represented in a language of thought (LOT) consisting of a handful of primitives that combine to recreate the encoded shape (Sablé-Meyer et al. 2022)



Sablé-Meyer et al. (2022) found that adults' reaction times and error rates in match-to-sample tasks were **predicted by the minimum description length (MDL) of the shape's LOT program**

This fuses **two features of geometric shape representations** that are partly independent, format (LOT) and selection (MDL), and uses MDL data to argue for LOT—Highly indirect evidence

We report more **direct evidence for tree structure in geometric shape representations** from three online experiments with adults





Geometric shape representations in human adults have syntactic structure

Barbu Revencu and Stanislas Dehaene

NeuroSpin Cognitive Neuroimaging Unit | CEA | INSERM | Université Paris-Saclay



Experiment 1: Structural Ambiguity

Different structured representations can be induced for the same shape



Experiment 2: Subtree Facilitation

Subparts are easier to recognize when they belong to the same subtree



Experiment 3: Movement Depth

Shapes are easier to reconfigure when they are split higher up in the tree

