

[Log In](#)

[Join](#)

[Back To Module Home](#)

Design Problems

0% completed

RESHADED Approach for System Design

Design YouTube

Design Quora

Design Google Maps

Design a Proximity Service / Yelp

Design Uber

Design Twitter

Design Newsfeed System

Design Instagram

Design a URL Shortening Service / TinyURL

Design a Web Crawler

Design WhatsApp

Design Typeahead Suggestion

System Design: The Typeahead Suggestion System

Requirements of the Typeahead Suggestion System’s Design

High-level Design of the Typeahead Suggestion System

Data Structure for Storing Prefixes

Detailed Design of the Typeahead Suggestion System

Evaluation of the Typeahead Suggestion System’s Design

Quiz on the Typeahead Suggestion System’s Design

Design a Collaborative Document Editing Service / Google Docs

Conclusion

Mark Module as Completed

Evaluation of the Typeahead Suggestion System's Design

Evaluate the design of the typeahead suggestion system based on the non-functional requirements of the system.

We'll cover the following

- Fulfill requirements
- Client-side optimisation
- Personalization
- Summary

Fulfill requirements#

The non-functional requirements of the proposed typeahead suggestion system are low latency, fault tolerance, and scalability.

- **Low latency:** There are various levels at which we can minimize the system's latency. We can minimize the latency with the following options:
 - Reduce the depth of the tree, which reduces the overall traversal time.
 - Update the trie offline, which means that the time taken by the update operation isn't on the clients' critical path.

- Use geographically distributed application and database servers. This way, the service is provided near the user, which also reduces any communication delays and aids in reducing latency.
 - Use Redis and Cassandra cache clusters on top of NoSQL database clusters.
 - Appropriately partition tries, which leads to a proper distribution of the load and results in better performance.
- **Fault tolerance:** Since the replication and partitioning of the trees are provided, the system operates with high resilience. If one server fails, others are on standby to deliver the services.
 - **Scalability:** Since our proposed system is flexible, more servers can be added or removed as the load increases. For example, if the number of queries increases, the number of partitions or shards of the trees is increased accordingly.

Approaches to Fulfill Non-functional Requirements

Non-functional Requirements	Approaches
Low latency	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Reducing the depth of the tries makes the traversal faster• Updating the tries offline and not in real time)• Partitioning of the tries• Caching servers
Fault tolerance	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Replicating the tries and the NoSQL databases
Scalability	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adding or removing application servers based on the incoming traffic• Increasing the trie partitions

Client-side optimisation#

To improve the user’s experience, we can implement the following client-side

optimizations:

- The client should only attempt to contact the server if the user hasn't pressed any keys for some time—for example, any delay greater than 160 ms, which is the average delay between two keystrokes. This way, we can also avoid unnecessary bandwidth consumption. This suggestion might not be useful when a user is typing rapidly.
- The client can initially wait till the user types a few characters.
- Clients can save a local copy of the recent history of suggestions. The rate of reuse of recent history in the suggestions list is relatively high.
- One of the most crucial elements is establishing a connection with the server as soon as possible. The client can establish a connection with the server as soon as the user visits the search page. As a result, the client doesn't waste time establishing the connection when the user inputs the first character. Usually, the connection is established with the server via a **WebSocket protocol**.
- For efficiency, the server can push a portion of its cache to CDNs and other edge caches at Internet exchange points (IXPs) or even inside a client's Internet service provider (ISP).

Personalization#

Users receive typeahead suggestions based on their previous searches, location, language, and other factors. We can save each user's personal history on the server separately and cache it on the client. Before transmitting the final set to the user, the server might include these customized phrases. Personalized searches should always take precedence over other types of searches.

Summary#

In this design problem, we learned how pushing resource-intensive processing to the

offline infrastructure and using appropriate data structures enables us to serve our customers with low latency. Many optimizations lend themselves to specific use cases. We saw multiple optimizations on our trie data structures for condensed data storage and quick serving.

Back

Detailed Design of the Typeahead Su...

Next

Quiz on the Typeahead Suggestion S...

Mark as Completed

Report an Issue