Bicausal optimal transport between the laws of SDEs

Benjamin A. Robinson   (University of Klagenfurt)

NAASDE, Będlewo — September 23, 2024

Bicausal optimal transport between the laws of SDEs

Benjamin A. Robinson   (University of Klagenfurt)

NAASDE, Będlewo — September 23, 2024

| **Julio Backhoff-Veraguas** | **Sigrid Källblad** |
| University of Vienna | KTH Stockholm |

Aim: Compute a measure of model uncertainty

E.g. optimal stopping:

$$\mathbb{P} \mapsto v(\mathbb{P}) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[L(\tau, \omega)]$$

$\mathbb{P}$ law of solution of SDE

Comparing stochastic models

Aim: Compute a measure of model uncertainty

E.g. optimal stopping:

$$\mathbb{P} \mapsto v(\mathbb{P}) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[L(\tau, \omega)]$$

$\mathbb{P}$ law of solution of SDE

Want:

– Appropriate topology on laws of stochastic processes

– Distance we can actually compute

Main result

$b, \bar{b} \colon [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma, \bar{\sigma} \colon [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$,

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}B_t, \quad X_0 = x_0,$$
$$\mathrm{d}\bar{X}_t = \bar{b}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}t + \bar{\sigma}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}W_t, \quad \bar{X}_0 = x_0.$$

$\mu = \mathrm{Law}(X)$, $\nu = \mathrm{Law}(\bar{X})$

Main result

$b, \bar{b} \colon [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma, \bar{\sigma} \colon [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$,

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}B_t, \quad X_0 = x_0,$$
$$\mathrm{d}\bar{X}_t = \bar{b}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}t + \bar{\sigma}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}W_t, \quad \bar{X}_0 = x_0.$$

$\mu = \mathrm{Law}(X)$, $\nu = \mathrm{Law}(\bar{X})$

Theorem [Backhoff-Veraguas, Källblad, R. '24]

For "sufficiently nice" coefficients, we can compute an "appropriate distance" d_p, $p \geq 1$,

Main result

$b, \bar{b} \colon [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma, \bar{\sigma} \colon [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$,

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}B_t, \quad X_0 = x_0,$$
$$\mathrm{d}\bar{X}_t = \bar{b}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}t + \bar{\sigma}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}W_t, \quad \bar{X}_0 = x_0.$$

$\mu = \mathrm{Law}(X)$, $\nu = \mathrm{Law}(\bar{X})$

Theorem [Backhoff-Veraguas, Källblad, R. '24]

For "sufficiently nice" coefficients, we can compute an "appropriate distance" d_p, $p \geq 1$, by

$$d_p(\mu, \nu)^p = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |X_t - \bar{X}_t|^p \mathrm{d}t \right], \quad \text{with } B = W.$$

E.g. optimal stopping:

$$\mathbb{P} \mapsto v(\mathbb{P}) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[L(\tau, \omega)]$$

Application

E.g. optimal stopping:

$$\mathbb{P} \mapsto v(\mathbb{P}) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[L(\tau, \omega)]$$

Theorem
[Acciaio, Backhoff-Veraguas, Zalashko '19], [R. Szölgyenyi '24]

$$\omega \mapsto L(t, \omega) \quad \text{Lipschitz on } (\Omega, \|\cdot\|_{L^p}) \text{ unif. in } t \in [0, T]$$

$$\Rightarrow$$

$$\mathbb{P} \mapsto v(\mathbb{P}) \quad \text{Lipschitz on } (\mathcal{P}_p(\Omega), d_p)$$

Ingredients

Optimal Transport ↔ SDEs

Numerics

Probability measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Find Wasserstein distance

$$\mathcal{W}_p^p(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu} \mathbb{E}[|X - Y|^p]$$

Metrises weak topology on $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$

Example

[Aldous '81], [Backhoff-Veraguas, Bartl, Beiglböck, Eder '20]


$$V := \sup_\tau \mathbb{E}^\mu[X_\tau]$$

$$V_n := \sup_\tau \mathbb{E}^{\mu_n}[X_\tau]$$

Example

[Aldous '81], [Backhoff-Veraguas, Bartl, Beiglböck, Eder '20]

$$V := \sup_{\tau} \mathbb{E}^{\mu}[X_{\tau}] = 0$$

$$V_n := \sup_{\tau} \mathbb{E}^{\mu_n}[X_{\tau}] \approx \frac{1}{2}$$

$$V_n \nrightarrow V \quad \text{but} \quad \mu_n \rightharpoonup \mu$$

Optimal transport

Probability measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Find Wasserstein distance

$$\mathcal{W}_p^p(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu} \mathbb{E}[|X - Y|^p]$$

Metrises weak topology on $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$

Probability measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Find Wasserstein distance

$$\mathcal{W}_p^p(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu} \mathbb{E}[|X - Y|^p]$$

$$" = \inf_{\substack{T : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \\ T_\# \mu = \nu}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n=1}^N |T_n(X) - X_n|^p\right] "$$

Optimal transport

Probability measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Find Wasserstein distance

$$\mathcal{W}_p^p(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu} \mathbb{E}[|X - Y|^p]$$

$$\text{`` } = \inf_{\substack{T \,:\, \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \\ T_\# \mu = \nu}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} |T_n(X) - X_n|^p\right] \text{ ''}$$

$$T(X) = (T_1(X_1, \ldots, X_N), \ldots, T_N(X_1, \ldots, X_N))$$

[Aldous '81], [Backhoff-Veraguas, Bartl, Beiglböck, Eder '20]

$$V := \sup_{\tau} \mathbb{E}^{\mu}[X_{\tau}] = 0 \qquad V_n := \sup_{\tau} \mathbb{E}^{\mu_n}[X_{\tau}] \approx \frac{1}{2}$$

$$V_n \not\to V \quad \text{but} \quad \mu_n \rightharpoonup \mu$$

Adapted topology

Probability measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Find Wasserstein distance

$$\mathcal{W}_p^p(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu} \mathbb{E}[|X - Y|^p]$$

$$`` = \inf_{\substack{T: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \\ T_\#\mu = \nu}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n=1}^N |T_n(X) - X_n|^p\right] \text{''}$$

$$T(X) = (T_1(X_1, \ldots, X_N), \ldots, T_N(X_1, \ldots, X_N))$$

Probability measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Find adapted Wasserstein distance

$$\mathcal{AW}_p^p(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\substack{X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu \\ \text{bicausal}}} \mathbb{E}[|X - Y|^p]$$

$$\text{``} = \inf_{\substack{T : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \\ T_{\#}\mu = \nu \\ T \text{ biadapted}}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} |T_n(X) - X_n|^p\right] \text{''}$$

$$T(X) = (T_1(X_1), T_2(X_1, X_2), \ldots, T_N(X_1, \ldots, X_N))$$

and symmetric condition.

Adapted topology

Probability measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Find adapted Wasserstein distance

$$\mathcal{AW}_p^p(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\substack{X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu \\ \text{bicausal}}} \mathbb{E}[|X - Y|^p]$$

$$\text{``} = \inf_{\substack{T: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \\ T_\# \mu = \nu \\ T \text{ biadapted}}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} |T_n(X) - X_n|^p\right] \text{''}$$

$$T(X) = (T_1(X_1), T_2(X_1, X_2), \ldots, T_N(X_1, \ldots, X_N))$$

and symmetric condition.

Metrises adapted weak topology on $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$

Adapted topology

Probability measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Find adapted Wasserstein distance

$$\mathcal{AW}_p^p(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\substack{X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu \\ \text{bicausal}}} \mathbb{E}[|X - Y|^p]$$

$$\text{``}= \inf_{\substack{T: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \\ T_{\#}\mu = \nu \\ T \text{ biadapted}}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n=1}^N |T_n(X) - X_n|^p\right]\text{''}$$

$$T(X) = (T_1(X_1), T_2(X_1, X_2), \ldots, T_N(X_1, \ldots, X_N))$$

and symmetric condition.

Metrises adapted weak topology on $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$

Acciaio, Aldous, Backhoff-Veraguas, Bartl, Beiglböck, Bion-Nadal, Eder, Hellwig, Källblad, Pammer, Pflug, Pichler, Talay, Zalaschko, ...

Example

[Aldous '81], [Backhoff-Veraguas, Bartl, Beiglböck, Eder '20]

$$V := \sup_\tau \mathbb{E}^\mu[X_\tau] = 0 \qquad V_n := \sup_\tau \mathbb{E}^{\mu_n}[X_\tau] \approx \frac{1}{2}$$

$$V_n \not\to V \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{AW}_p^p(\mu_n, \mu) \not\to 0$$

Similar definition of Wasserstein distance in continuous time w.r.t. L^p norm on $\Omega := C([0,T], \mathbb{R})$

$$\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \mathcal{W}_p^p(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\pi \in \mathrm{Cpl}(\mu,\nu)} \mathbb{E}^\pi \left[\int_0^T |\omega_t - \bar{\omega}_t|^p \mathrm{d}t \right]$$

$$\mathrm{Cpl}(\mu, \nu) = \{\pi = \mathrm{Law}(X, Y) \colon X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu\}$$

Similar definition of Wasserstein distance in continuous time w.r.t. L^p norm on $\Omega := C([0,T], \mathbb{R})$

$$\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \mathcal{W}_p^p(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\pi \in \mathrm{Cpl}(\mu,\nu)} \mathbb{E}^\pi \left[\int_0^T |\omega_t - \bar{\omega}_t|^p \mathrm{d}t \right]$$

$$\mathrm{Cpl}(\mu, \nu) = \{\pi = \mathrm{Law}(X, Y) \colon \ X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu\}$$

Similar definition of adapted Wasserstein distance in continuous time w.r.t. L^p norm on $\Omega := C([0,T],\mathbb{R})$

$$\mu,\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \mathcal{AW}_p^p(\mu,\nu) := \inf_{\pi \in \mathrm{Cpl}_{\mathrm{bc}}(\mu,\nu)} \mathbb{E}^\pi \left[\int_0^T |\omega_t - \bar\omega_t|^p \mathrm{d}t \right]$$

$$\mathrm{Cpl}_{\mathrm{bc}}(\mu,\nu) = \{\pi \in \mathrm{Cpl}(\mu,\nu) \colon \pi \text{ bicausal}\}$$

"$\mathcal{F}_t^X$ independent of $\mathcal{F}_T^Y$ conditional on F_t^Y" and vice versa

Main result

$b, \bar{b} \colon [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma, \bar{\sigma} \colon [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$,

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}B_t, \quad X_0 = x_0,$$
$$\mathrm{d}\bar{X}_t = \bar{b}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}t + \bar{\sigma}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}W_t, \quad \bar{X}_0 = x_0.$$

$\mu = \mathrm{Law}(X)$, $\nu = \mathrm{Law}(\bar{X})$

Theorem [Backhoff-Veraguas, Källblad, R. '24]
For "sufficiently nice" coefficients, we can compute the adapted Wasserstein distance by

$$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{W}_p^p(\mu, \nu) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |X_t - \bar{X}_t|^p \mathrm{d}t\right], \quad \text{with } B = W.$$

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}B_t, \ X_0 = x \ \rightsquigarrow \ \mathrm{Law}(X) = \mu$$
$$\mathrm{d}\bar{X}_t = \bar{b}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}t + \bar{\sigma}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}W_t, \ \bar{X}_0 = x \ \rightsquigarrow \ \mathrm{Law}(\bar{X}) = \nu$$

Theorem [Backhoff-Veraguas, Källblad, R. '24]

Optimising over bicausal couplings $\pi \in \mathrm{Cpl}_{\mathrm{bc}}(\mu, \nu)$
$$\Leftrightarrow$$
Optimising over correlations between B, W

Theorem [Backhoff-Veraguas, Källblad, R. '24]

Optimising over bicausal couplings $\pi \in \mathrm{Cpl_{bc}}(\mu, \nu)$
$$\Leftrightarrow$$
Optimising over correlations between B, W

Product coupling

B, W independent

Synchronous coupling

Choose the same driving Brownian motion $B = W$.

Proof of main result

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}B_t, \ X_0 = x \ \rightsquigarrow \ \mathrm{Law}(X) = \mu$$

$$\mathrm{d}\bar{X}_t = \bar{b}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}t + \bar{\sigma}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}W_t, \ \bar{X}_0 = x \ \rightsquigarrow \ \mathrm{Law}(\bar{X}) = \nu$$

Theorem [Backhoff-Veraguas, Källblad, R. 24]

For "sufficiently nice" coefficients, we can compute the adapted
Wasserstein distance by

$$\mathcal{AW}_p^p(\mu, \nu) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |X_t - \bar{X}_t|^p \mathrm{d}t \right], \quad \text{with } B = W.$$

1. Discretise SDEs;
2. Solve discrete-time bicausal optimal transport problem;
3. Pass to a limit.

Proof of main result

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}B_t,\ X_0 = x\ \rightsquigarrow\ \mathrm{Law}(X) = \mu$$
$$\mathrm{d}\bar{X}_t = \bar{b}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}t + \bar{\sigma}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}W_t,\ \bar{X}_0 = x\ \rightsquigarrow\ \mathrm{Law}(\bar{X}) = \nu$$

Theorem [Backhoff-Veraguas, Källblad, R. 24]

For "sufficiently nice" coefficients, we can compute the adapted Wasserstein distance by

$$\mathcal{AW}_p^p(\mu, \nu) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |X_t - \bar{X}_t|^p \mathrm{d}t\right], \quad \text{with } B = W.$$

1. Discretise SDEs;
2. Solve discrete-time bicausal optimal transport problem;
3. Pass to a limit.

Probability measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R})$, $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Wasserstein distance

$$\mathcal{W}_p^p(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu} \mathbb{E}[|X - Y|^p]$$

is attained by monotone rearrangement

$$X = F_X^{-1}(U), \quad Y = F_Y^{-1}(U), \quad U \text{ uniform}$$

$$\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \mathcal{AW}_p^p(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\pi \in \mathrm{Cpl}_{\mathrm{bc}}(\mu, \nu)} \mathbb{E}^\pi \left[\sum_{n=1}^N |X_n - Y_n|^p \right]$$

Knothe–Rosenblatt rearrangement

  — generalisation of monotone rearrangement to N time steps

Key result in discrete time

$$\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \leadsto \quad \mathcal{AW}_p^p(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\pi \in \mathrm{Cpl}_{\mathrm{bc}}(\mu, \nu)} \mathbb{E}^\pi \left[\sum_{n=1}^N |X_n - Y_n|^p \right]$$

Knothe–Rosenblatt rearrangement

$X_1 = F_{\mu_1}^{-1}(U_1),\ Y_1 = F_{\nu_1}^{-1}(U_1),$

Key result in discrete time

$$\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \mathcal{AW}_p^p(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\pi \in \mathrm{Cpl}_{\mathrm{bc}}(\mu,\nu)} \mathbb{E}^\pi \left[\sum_{n=1}^N |X_n - Y_n|^p \right]$$

Knothe–Rosenblatt rearrangement

$X_1 = F_{\mu_1}^{-1}(U_1)$, $Y_1 = F_{\nu_1}^{-1}(U_1)$, and for $k \in \{2, \ldots, N\}$

$$X_k = F_{\mu_{X_1,\ldots,X_{k-1}}}^{-1}(U_k), \quad Y_k = F_{\nu_{Y_1,\ldots,Y_{k-1}}}^{-1}(U_k)$$

$U_1, \ldots, U_N$ independent uniform

$$\pi_{\mathrm{KR}}(\mu, \nu) := \mathrm{Law}(X, Y)$$

Key result in discrete time

$$\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \mathcal{AW}_p^p(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\pi \in \mathrm{Cpl}_{\mathrm{bc}}(\mu,\nu)} \mathbb{E}^\pi \left[\sum_{n=1}^N |X_n - Y_n|^p \right]$$

Knothe–Rosenblatt rearrangement

$X_1 = F_{\mu_1}^{-1}(U_1)$, $Y_1 = F_{\nu_1}^{-1}(U_1)$, and for $k \in \{2, \ldots, N\}$

$$X_k = F_{\mu_{X_1,\ldots,X_{k-1}}}^{-1}(U_k), \quad Y_k = F_{\nu_{Y_1,\ldots,Y_{k-1}}}^{-1}(U_k)$$

$U_1, \ldots, U_N$ independent uniform

$$\pi_{\mathrm{KR}}(\mu, \nu) := \mathrm{Law}(X, Y)$$

Theorem [Rüschendorf '85]

For μ, ν Markov and stochastically comonotone, the Knothe–Rosenblatt rearrangement is optimal.

Proof of main result

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}B_t,\ X_0 = x\ \rightsquigarrow\ \mathrm{Law}(X) = \mu$$

$$\mathrm{d}\bar{X}_t = \bar{b}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}t + \bar{\sigma}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}W_t,\ \bar{X}_0 = x\ \rightsquigarrow\ \mathrm{Law}(\bar{X}) = \nu$$

Theorem [Backhoff-Veraguas, Källblad, R. 24]

For "sufficiently nice" coefficients, we can compute the adapted
Wasserstein distance by

$$\mathcal{AW}_p^p(\mu, \nu) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |X_t - \bar{X}_t|^p \mathrm{d}t\right], \quad \text{with } B = W.$$

1. Discretise SDEs;
2. Solve discrete-time bicausal optimal transport problem;
3. Pass to a limit.

A monotone numerical scheme

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t)\mathrm{d}t$$

Euler scheme

$$X_0^h = X_0,$$
$$X_t^h = X_{kh}^h + b(X_{kh})(t - kh), \quad t \in (kh, (k+1)h].$$

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W_t$$

Euler–Maruyama scheme

$X_0^h = X_0,$

$X_t^h = X_{kh}^h + b(X_{kh})(t - kh) + W_t - W_{kh}, \quad t \in (kh, (k+1)h].$

A monotone numerical scheme

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + dW_t$$

Euler–Maruyama scheme

$X_0^h = X_0,$

$X_t^h = X_{kh}^h + b(X_{kh})(t - kh) + W_t - W_{kh}, \quad t \in (kh, (k+1)h].$

Write $X_k^h := X_{kh}^h$ and $\mu^h = \mathrm{Law}((X_k^h)_k).$

Remark

$X_k^h \mapsto X_{(k+1)}^h$ is increasing if b is Lipschitz, $h \ll 1$

A monotone numerical scheme

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(X_t)\mathrm{d}W_t$$

Monotone Euler–Maruyama scheme

$X_0^h = X_0,$

$X_t^h = X_{kh}^h + b(X_{kh})(t - kh) + \sigma(X_{kh})(W_t^h - W_{kh}^h),\ t \in (kh, (k+1)h].$

$W_t^h - W_{kh}^h = W_{t \wedge \tau_k^h} - W_{kh}, \quad \tau_k^h := \inf\{t > kh \colon |W_t - W_{kh}| > A_h|\}$

Cf. [Milstein, Repin, Tretyakov '02], [Liu, Pagès '22], [Jourdain, Pagès '23]

A monotone numerical scheme

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(X_t)\mathrm{d}W_t$$

Monotone Euler–Maruyama scheme

$X_0^h = X_0,$

$X_t^h = X_{kh}^h + b(X_{kh})(t - kh) + \sigma(X_{kh})(W_t^h - W_{kh}^h),\ t \in (kh, (k+1)h].$

$W_t^h - W_{kh}^h = W_{t \wedge \tau_k^h} - W_{kh}, \quad \tau_k^h := \inf\{t > kh\colon |W_t - W_{kh}| > A_h|\}$

Write $\quad X_k^h := X_{kh}^h \quad$ and $\quad \mu^h = \mathrm{Law}((X_k^h)_k).$

A monotone numerical scheme

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(X_t)\mathrm{d}W_t$$

Monotone Euler–Maruyama scheme

$X_0^h = X_0,$

$X_t^h = X_{kh}^h + b(X_{kh})(t - kh) + \sigma(X_{kh})(W_t^h - W_{kh}^h),\ t \in (kh, (k+1)h].$

$W_t^h - W_{kh}^h = W_{t \wedge \tau_k^h} - W_{kh}, \quad \tau_k^h := \inf\{t > kh \colon |W_t - W_{kh}| > A_h|\}$

Write $\quad X_k^h := X_{kh}^h \quad$ and $\quad \mu^h = \mathrm{Law}((X_k^h)_k).$

Lemma   [Backhoff-Veraguas, Källblad, R. '24]

For b, σ Lipschitz, the monotone Euler–Maruyama scheme is stochastically increasing.

Hence the Knothe–Rosenblatt rearrangement is optimal for μ^h, ν^h.

Moreover, $\pi_{\mathrm{KR}}(\mu^h, \nu^h) = \mathrm{Law}(X^h, \bar{X}^h),\ W = \bar{W}.$

Proof of main result

$$dX_t = b_t(X_t)dt + \sigma_t(X_t)dB_t, \ X_0 = x \ \rightsquigarrow \ \text{Law}(X) = \mu$$
$$d\bar{X}_t = \bar{b}_t(\bar{X}_t)dt + \bar{\sigma}_t(\bar{X}_t)dW_t, \ \bar{X}_0 = x \ \rightsquigarrow \ \text{Law}(\bar{X}) = \nu$$

Theorem [Backhoff-Veraguas, Källblad, R. 24]

For "sufficiently nice" coefficients, we can compute the adapted Wasserstein distance by

$$\mathcal{AW}_p^p(\mu, \nu) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |X_t - \bar{X}_t|^p dt \right], \quad \text{with } B = W.$$

1. Discretise SDEs;
2. Solve discrete-time bicausal optimal transport problem;
3. Pass to a limit.

Main result

Assumptions

— Continuous coefficients with linear growth

— Strong existence and uniqueness

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}B_t,\ X_0 = x \ \rightsquigarrow \ \mathrm{Law}(X) = \mu$$
$$\mathrm{d}\bar{X}_t = \bar{b}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}t + \bar{\sigma}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}W_t,\ \bar{X}_0 = x \ \rightsquigarrow \ \mathrm{Law}(\bar{X}) = \nu$$

Main Theorem [Backhoff-Veraguas, Källblad, R. 24]

The adapted Wasserstein distance is given by

$$\mathcal{AW}_p^p(\mu,\nu) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |X_t - \bar{X}_t|^p \mathrm{d}t\right], \quad \text{with } B = W.$$

Main result

Assumptions

— Continuous coefficients with linear growth

— Strong existence and uniqueness

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}B_t,\ X_0 = x \ \rightsquigarrow\ \mathrm{Law}(X) = \mu$$
$$\mathrm{d}\bar{X}_t = \bar{b}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}t + \bar{\sigma}_t(\bar{X}_t)\mathrm{d}W_t,\ \bar{X}_0 = x \ \rightsquigarrow\ \mathrm{Law}(\bar{X}) = \nu$$

Main Theorem [Backhoff-Veraguas, Källblad, R. 24]

The adapted Wasserstein distance is given by

$$\mathcal{AW}_p^p(\mu, \nu) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |X_t - \bar{X}_t|^p \mathrm{d}t\right], \quad \text{with } B = W.$$

Synchronous coupling solves general bicausal transport problem

Extensions

— **Irregular coefficients** [R. Szölgyenyi '24]
  — discontinuous drift with exponential growth
  — bounded measurable drift
— **Higher dimensions**
  — counterexamples [Backhoff-Veraguas, Källblad, R. '24]
  — different techniques needed
— **More general processes** (work in progress...)
  — jump-diffusions, McKean–Vlasov equations, ...

Summary

— Study distance between stochastic processes

— Identify optimal bicausal coupling of SDEs

— Exploit properties of numerical approximations of SDEs

References: