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Dear editor,

Thanks for your letter and for reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "**Paper Title**" (Manuscript ID: **Paper ID**). Those comments are all valuable and helpful for revising and improving our paper. We have studied all comments carefully and have made conscientious correction. Revised portion are marked in the paper. The responds to the reviewers' comments are as flowing:
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