Language & Logic 2017/18

Exercise Class 3 Natural Deduction for Propositional Logic

For this exercise class, we'll be writing natural deduction proofs using the set of inference rules covered in Lecture 5 (these are shown overleaf). We have not yet discussed proof strategies in much detail, but the titles of some of the questions will give you a hint as to which rules(s) to apply.

Construct a proof of validity for each of the propositional logic arguments below:

1. A simple problem

$$P, P \to Q : P \land (Q \lor R)$$

2. Implications

$$P \to (Q \land R) : P \to Q$$

3. Nested implications

$$P \to (Q \to R) : Q \to (P \to R)$$

4. Or what?

$$P \vee (Q \wedge R), S : (S \wedge P) \vee Q$$

5. Suppose the contrary

$$Q \to \neg P : \neg (P \land Q)$$

6. An absurd problem

$$\neg P \to Q, \ \neg Q : P$$

7. A tough problem¹

$$P \vee Q, P \rightarrow R, \neg S \rightarrow \neg Q : R \vee S$$

¹Don't forget that modus tollens is <u>not</u> on the list of inference rules.

Language & Logic 2017/18

Inference Rules

$\frac{A B}{A \wedge B} \land \text{-introduction}$ $\frac{A \wedge B}{A} \land \text{-elimination} \frac{A \wedge B}{B} \land \text{-elimination}$	$\frac{A}{A \vee B} \vee \text{-introduction} \frac{A}{B \vee A} \vee \text{-introduction}$ $\frac{A \vee B A \vdash C B \vdash C}{C} \vee \text{-elimination}$
$\frac{A \vdash B}{A \to B} \to \text{-introduction}$	$\frac{A \vdash \bot}{\neg A} \neg \text{-introduction}$
$\frac{A \to B A}{B} \to \text{-elimination}$	$\frac{\neg \neg A}{A} \neg \neg \text{-elimination}$