PLPDI Compilers: Assignment 2

Question A

```
func f x = x + x
func twice f x = f(f(x))
twice f 1
```

1. Draw the AST

Note: Variable (named) root nodes represent parameters





twice f 1

2. Draw the ASG

I created the ASG of this program using the SPARTAN online ASG-based abstraction machine.

The following *SPARTAN* statement:

```
bind f = LAMBDA(;x. PLUS(x,x)) in
bind twice = LAMBDA(; f. LAMBDA(; x. APP(f,APP(f,x))))
in
APP(APP(twice,f),1)
```

Created the following ASG:



Figure 1: Initial ASG

3. Perform type inference on this program



4. Draw the intermediate ASGs in the evaluation of this program

Note Diagrams read from left to right on the page


@
@
λ
+
λ
λ
@
1
@
?


+
λ
@
@
λ
1
?
@


+
λ
1
@
@
?


+
+
λ
1
λ
@
?
@


1
+
λ
+
?
@


+
1
1
?
λ
+
@


+
λ
2
?
@
2
?
+
2
2
?
+


4

Question B

```
var z = ref(func x y -> x + y)
z := (!z)(1)
(!z)(2)
```

1. Draw the AST of this program



2. Draw the ASG of this program

This ASG was created using the SPARTAN visualiser with the code:

```
new z = LAMBDA(;x. LAMBDA(;y.  PLUS(x,y))) in
bind a = ASSIGN(z,APP(DEREF(z),1)) in
bind b = APP(DEREF(z),2) in
SEC(a;b)
```


+
λ
λ
!
1
!
2
@
@
:=
;
?

3. Draw the intermediate ASGs in the evaluation of this program

Note Diagrams read from left to right on the page


+
λ
λ
!
1
!
2
@
@
:=
;
?
+
λ
λ
λ
1
?
@
:=
!
2
@
;


1
+
λ
1
λ
+
λ
?
:=
!
2
@
;
1
+
λ
!
2
@
()
?
;
+
λ
λ


1
+
λ
!
2
@
?
+
λ
1
+
λ
2
?
@
+
λ


1
2
+
λ
?
λ


+
3
λ
λ

4. Perform type inference on this program



Static Vs Dynamic Typing

In statically typed languages, such as C or Rust, one is required to specify the type of any variable, function parameter or return argument explicitly. In dynamically typed languages, such as Python, a variable or method can be said to have `Any` type. This allows for less verbose, more flexible code. Dynamic typing in and of itself does not lead to runtime errors, instead it makes it much easier for a programmer to accidentally cause them.

For example, in python you could define a function:

```
f = lambda x,y: x**y
```

And call it with:

```
f(2,"foo")
```

leading to a runtime error

Whereas, in Rust, a dynamically typed language (that does not attempt to cast explicitly defined variables), The compilation fails as it expects an `i32` not a `str`

```
fn main() {

    let f = |x:i32,y:i32| { x^y };

    f(1,2); // Ok
    f(2,"foo"); // Compilation Error

}
```

In the context of this example, we are assuming the type of `+`. If instead the operator had the type `str -> str -> str` the program would fail at runtime as we attempted to pass integers. If the compiler knew the type of `+`, i.e. in our graph `+` was already labelled `str -> str -> str` then our type inference for the rest of the program would fail, leading to a compilation error rather than a runtime error.