

# PROOF COVER SHEET

---

Author(s): Ciro José Brito

Article title: Influence of ACTN3 R/X gene polymorphisms on racing strategy in rowing athletes

Article no: RPAN 1416527

Enclosures: 1) Query sheet  
2) Article proofs

---

Dear Author,

Please find attached the proofs for your article.

**1. Please check these proofs carefully.** It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to check these and approve or amend them. A second proof is not normally provided. Taylor & Francis cannot be held responsible for uncorrected errors, even if introduced during the production process. Once your corrections have been added to the article, it will be considered ready for publication

Please limit changes at this stage to the correction of errors. You should not make trivial changes, improve prose style, add new material, or delete existing material at this stage. You may be charged if your corrections are excessive (we would not expect corrections to exceed 30 changes).

For detailed guidance on how to check your proofs, please paste this address into a new browser window: <http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/production/checkingproofs.asp>


Your PDF proof file has been enabled so that you can comment on the proof directly using Adobe Acrobat. If you wish to do this, please save the file to your hard disk first. For further information on marking corrections using Acrobat, please paste this address into a new browser window: <http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/production/acrobat.asp>

---

**2. Please review the table of contributors below and confirm that the first and last names are structured correctly and that the authors are listed in the correct order of contribution.** This check is to ensure that your names will appear correctly online and when the article is indexed.

Sequence	Prefix	Given name(s)	Surname	Suffix
1		Bianca	Miarka	
2		Ciro José	Brito	
3		David	Fukuda	
4		Carlos Castilho	Barros	
5		Cássia	Goulart	
6		Fábio	Dal Bello	
7		Fabício B.	Del Vecchio	

Queries are marked in the margins of the proofs, and you can also click the hyperlinks below.

Content changes made during copy-editing are shown as tracked changes. Inserted text is in **red font** and revisions have a **blue** indicator . Changes can also be viewed using the list comments function. To correct the proofs, you should insert or delete text following the instructions below, but **do not add comments to the existing tracked changes**.

# AUTHOR QUERIES

## General points:

1. **Permissions:** You have warranted that you have secured the necessary written permission from the appropriate copyright owner for the reproduction of any text, illustration, or other material in your article. For further guidance on this topic please see: <http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/copyright/usingThirdPartyMaterial.asp>
2. **Third-party material:** If there is material in your article that is owned by a third party, please check that the necessary details of the copyright/rights owner are shown correctly.
3. **Affiliation:** The corresponding author is responsible for ensuring that address and email details are correct for all the co-authors. Affiliations given in the article should be the affiliation at the time the research was conducted. For further guidance on this topic please see: <http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/preparation/writing.asp>.
4. **Funding:** Was your research for this article funded by a funding agency? If so, please insert ‘This work was supported by <insert the name of the funding agency in full>’, followed by the grant number in square brackets ‘[grant number xxxx]’.
5. **Supplemental data and underlying research materials:** Do you wish to include the location of the underlying research materials (e.g. data, samples or models) for your article? If so, please insert this sentence before the reference section: ‘The underlying research materials for this article can be accessed at <full link>/ description of location [author to complete]’. If your article includes supplemental data, the link will also be provided in this paragraph. See <http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/preparation/multimedia.asp> for further explanation of supplemental data and underlying research materials.
6. The **CrossRef database** ([www.crossref.org/](http://www.crossref.org/)) has been used to validate the references. Changes resulting from mismatches are tracked in **red font**.

AQ1	Please note that the ORCID section has been created from information provided through manuscript and CATS. Please correct if this is inaccurate.
AQ2	Please check whether the corresponding author name and email address have been set correctly.
AQ3	Please check whether the affiliation “a” has been set correctly.
AQ4	Please check whether the forename and surname of author ‘Fabrício B. Del Vecchio’ has been set correctly.
AQ5	Please provide an institutional e-mail address, if available, to be included in the article, as per journal style.

AQ6	Please provide a short abstract of no more than 200 journal limit words.
AQ7	Please check that the heading levels have been correctly formatted throughout.
AQ8	The disclosure statement has been inserted. Please correct if this is inaccurate.
AQ9	The CrossRef database ( <a href="http://www.crossref.org/">www.crossref.org/</a> ) has been used to validate the references. Mismatches between the original manuscript and CrossRef are tracked in red font. Please provide a revision if the change is incorrect. Do not comment on correct changes.
AQ10	Please provide a caption for Figure 1.

## How to make corrections to your proofs using Adobe Acrobat/Reader

Taylor & Francis offers you a choice of options to help you make corrections to your proofs. Your PDF proof file has been enabled so that you can mark up the proof directly using Adobe Acrobat/Reader. This is the simplest and best way for you to ensure that your corrections will be incorporated. If you wish to do this, please follow these instructions:

1. Save the file to your hard disk.
2. Check which version of Adobe Acrobat/Reader you have on your computer. You can do this by clicking on the “Help” tab, and then “About”.

If Adobe Reader is not installed, you can get the latest version free from <http://get.adobe.com/reader/>.

3. If you have Adobe Acrobat/Reader 10 or a later version, click on the “Comment” link at the right-hand side to view the Comments pane.
4. You can then select any text and mark it up for deletion or replacement, or insert new text as needed. Please note that these will clearly be displayed in the Comments pane and secondary annotation is not needed to draw attention to your corrections. If you need to include new sections of text, it is also possible to add a comment to the proofs. To do this, use the Sticky Note tool in the task bar. Please also see our FAQs here: <http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/production/index.asp>.
5. Make sure that you save the file when you close the document before uploading it to CATS using the “Upload File” button on the online correction form. If you have more than one file, please zip them together and then upload the zip file.

If you prefer, you can make your corrections using the CATS online correction form.

## Troubleshooting

**Acrobat help:**<http://helpx.adobe.com/acrobat.html>

**Reader help:**<http://helpx.adobe.com/reader.html>

Please note that full user guides for earlier versions of these programs are available from the Adobe Help pages by clicking on the link “Previous versions” under the “Help and tutorials” heading from the relevant link above. Commenting functionality is available from Adobe Reader 8.0 onwards and from Adobe Acrobat 7.0 onwards.

**Firefox users:** Firefox’s inbuilt PDF Viewer is set to the default; please see the following for instructions on how to use this and download the PDF to your hard drive:

[http://support.mozilla.org/en-US/kb/view-pdf-files-firefox-without-downloading-them#w\\_using-a-pdf-reader-plugin](http://support.mozilla.org/en-US/kb/view-pdf-files-firefox-without-downloading-them#w_using-a-pdf-reader-plugin)



# Influence of ACTN3 R/X gene polymorphisms on racing strategy in rowing athletes

Bianca Miarka<sup>a</sup> , Ciro José Brito<sup>a</sup> , David Fukuda<sup>b</sup> , Carlos Castilho Barros<sup>c</sup>,  
Cássia Goulart<sup>c</sup>, Fábio Dal Bello<sup>d</sup>  and Fabrício B. Del Vecchio<sup>e</sup> 

AQ1

<sup>a</sup>Departamento de Educação Física, University Federal of Juiz de Fora - Governador Valadares, Juiz de Fora, Brazil; <sup>b</sup>Institute of Exercise Physiology and Wellness, University Central Florida, Orlando, FL, USA; <sup>c</sup>School Nutrition, Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil; <sup>d</sup>Head of Physical Activity and Sports Science Master Program, Universidad Santo Tomás, Santiago, Chile; <sup>e</sup>Physical Education School, Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil

AQ2

AQ3

AQ4

AQ5

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to compare the polymorphisms (RR, XX and RX) frequencies of the ACTN3 gene among rowers with different preferential race strategies across competitive levels and weight categories. The sample included 137 rowing athletes divided into 8 groups: male non-elite openweight ( $n = 23$ ), male elite openweight ( $n = 33$ ), male non-elite lightweight ( $n = 31$ ), male elite lightweight ( $n = 13$ ), female non-elite openweight ( $n = 9$ ), female elite openweight ( $n = 9$ ) and female non-elite lightweight ( $n = 15$ ), female elite lightweight ( $n = 4$ ). The main results indicated that competitive rowers who adopted starting/early (within the initial 500 m) or finishing/late (within the final 500 m) strategies exhibited similar ACTN3 genotype frequencies, while fewer athletes who adopted moderate (within the middle 1000 m) pacing strategies were categorised with the ACTN3 XX (8%) genotype as compared to the RR (50%) and RX (42%) genotypes ( $p = 0.002$ ). Elite openweight male rowers with the ACTN3 RR genotype more frequently preferred moderate pacing strategies ( $p = 0.006$ ), while non-elite lightweight male athletes with ACTN3 RR genotype more frequently preferred starting/early pacing strategies ( $p \leq 0.001$ ). Therefore, rowing athletes with specific ACTN3 genotypes may adopt unique race pacing strategies, while no differences were shown by competitive level or weight category.

## ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 30 October 2017

Accepted 10 December 2017

## KEYWORDS

Endurance; genetics; performance; training

## 1. Introduction

Depending on the competitive level, weight category (lightweight or open) and number of athletes involved (from single skiff to an eight), official senior 2000 metre rowing races vary in time from approximately 5 min and 30 s to 8 min (Kölling et al., 2016). Gaining an early lead due to high-intensity efforts at the start of a race may confer tactical and psychological advantages with respect to performance (Garland & Atkinson, 2008). Achieving and maintaining this position during the race allows the rowers to monitor the position and

AQ6

strategic advances from competitors, and permits the avoidance of the wake generated by the other boats (Garland, 2005). Fast start strategies during 2000 metre races appear to be adopted by most athletes/teams, including men and women, regardless of the competitive result (Garland, 2005; Garland & Atkinson, 2008).

The sport of rowing requires strength endurance in order to produce enough sustainable force while stressing both the aerobic and anaerobic energy systems (primarily at the start and finish of the race) (Akca & Aras, 2015; Vaz, Picanço, & Del Vecchio, 2014). Therefore, rowing performance is affected by both individual/genetic (Bosnyák et al., 2015) and environmental factors, such as strategies during the race, training (Richer, Nolte, Bechard, & Belfry, 2016; Vaz et al., 2014), diet (Boegman & Dziedzic, 2016) and sociocultural factors (Frideres, Mottinger, & Palao, 2016; Kölling et al., 2016). However, knowledge of the influence of genetic aspects in rowing remains scarce. Although some studies have characterised the high-level openweight genotype (Cieszczyk et al., 2012; Maciejewska, Sawczuk, & Cieszczyk, 2011), the evaluation of gene polymorphism in elite and non-elite rowers has yet to be fully explored.

One of the most commonly investigated genetic polymorphisms in physical performance, including the predisposition to athletic capacity, involves the R/X alleles of the  $\alpha$ -actinin-3 (ACTN3) gene (Baumert, Lake, Stewart, Drust, & Erskine, 2016; Mägi et al., 2016; Sarzynski et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2017). ACTN3 is the principal structural gene specific to skeletal muscle, via Z line function within the sarcomere and regulation of metabolism in type II fibres, that has been linked with physical performance (Ben-Zaken et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2011). The ACTN3 577R allele and 577RR genotype are related with elite level power performance in a wide array of sporting environments (Eynon et al., 2014; Papadimitriou et al., 2016), while the 577X allele and 577XX genotype have been reported to be associated with elite athletic status in endurance athletes (Ahmetov et al., 2010; Eynon et al., 2014; Kikuchi & Nakazato, 2015; Kikuchi et al., 2016; Mägi et al., 2016; Pasqua et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2007). However, few studies have been conducted in rowing athletes with whom the knowledge about polymorphisms might be useful for studying a wide range of optimisation scenarios with regard to physical conditioning and strength training (Chimera & Kremer, 2016).

To the authors' knowledge, no studies have examined race strategy during rowing with consideration for genetic polymorphisms. Furthermore, the potential significance of the ACTN3 genotype in aerobic sports may be more clearly identified through an analysis of the pacing strategies adopted by rowers. A well-established experimental paradigm in which to precisely investigate the influence of gene polymorphisms in rowers on pacing strategy is required. Additionally, moderating factors, such as competitive level and weight category, may play a role in these relationships. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to compare genotype (RR, XX and RX) frequencies of the ACTN3 polymorphism among rowers with different preferential race strategies across competitive levels and weight categories. We hypothesised that rowing athletes with different race strategy preferences would possess unique ACTN3 genotypes.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Experimental approach

This study investigated the genotype frequency of ACTN3 gene polymorphisms in rowing athletes with consideration for racing strategy, gender, competitive level and weight category. To accomplish this objective, a wide range of male and female rowing athletes from both the lightweight (below ~57 kg in women; below ~70 kg in men) and openweight categories were surveyed to determine their competitive level and racing strategy preferences, and subsequently completed genetic testing to determine their ACTN3 polymorphisms (RR vs. RX vs. XX genotypes). Elite rowing athletes were defined as those who had represented their country at least twice at the international level, while non-elite rowing athletes were defined as those who had represented their club at least twice at the regional or national level.

### 2.2. Participants

The participants in this study included 137 scullers, rowing athletes (age range = 26–38 years). The sample of athletes was divided into 8 groups: male non-elite openweight ( $n = 23$ ), male elite openweight ( $n = 33$ ), male non-elite lightweight ( $n = 31$ ), male elite lightweight ( $n = 13$ ), female non-elite openweight ( $n = 9$ ), female elite openweight ( $n = 9$ ) and female non-elite lightweight ( $n = 15$ ), female elite lightweight ( $n = 4$ ). The participants were not provided information about the purpose of the study until after they completed the experiment. The Ethics Committee of the Local University approved the study protocol before the commencement of the assessments. Each participant signed an informed consent prior to taking part in the study.

### 2.3. Genotyping

Genetic analyses were performed at the Nutrigenomic Laboratory of the University where the study was conducted. All participants in this study gave informed consent to genotyping with the understanding that procedures were anonymous and obtained results would have confidential status. Genomic DNA was isolated from buccal cells and the ACTN3 R/X polymorphisms (RR vs. RX vs. XX genotypes) were determined as previously described (Schadock et al., 2015). The Sequences for Allele-Specific and C-R- and T-X-Specific Primers are presented below:

- (a) Name: *hACTN3f*, Sequence: 5'-CGCCCTTCAACAACCTGGCTGGA-3', Product size: 690 bp with *hACTN3r*;
- (b) Name: *hACTN3r*, Sequence: 5'-GATGAGCCCCGAGACAGGCAAGG-3', Product size: 690 bp with *hACTN3f*;
- (c) Name: *hACTN3Tif*, Sequence: 5'-CAACACTGCCCCGAGGCTGACTG-3', Product size: 318 bp with *hACTN3r*;
- (d) Name: *hACTN3Cir*, Sequence: 5'-CATGATGGCACCTCGCTCTCGG-3', Product size: 413 bp with *hACTN3f*.

Briefly, primers at 5  $\mu$ M were mixed in one tube adding 4 volumes of each external primer (*hACTN3f* and *hACTN3r*), 1 volume of forward internal primer (*hACTN3Tif*) and 2 volumes of reverse internal primer (*hACTN3Cir*). Five  $\mu$ L of the primer mix was added to 10

μL of 2x GoTaq® Green Master Mix (PROMEGA, cat M7122) and 5 μL of DNA sample, for a reaction of 20 μL. The PCR conditions were: 95 °C for 2 min; 35 cycles at 95 °C for 10 s, 68 °C for 10 s, 72 °C 45 s; and a final step of 72 °C for 2 min. PCR products were analysed in 2% agarose gel with 1:10,000 SYBR® Safe DNA Gel Stain (Invitrogen, U.S.A.) compared to a 100 bp length marker (100 bp DNA Ladder, Invitrogen, U.S.A.).

## 2.4. Competition

Preferential racing strategy was determined with a survey based on official results of the main regattas from the last two years (2014–2015). 500 metre split times were obtained for each athlete in every openweight and lightweight race by official data from World Rowing Federation, Brazilian Rowing Confederation and State Rowing Federation of São Paulo. After that, each participant confirmed the preferential tactical time to overtake other boats during the race split times (Garland, 2005) and were separated into starting (within the first 500 m), moderate (within the middle 1000 m) and finishing (1500–2000 m) strategy groups. Additional training data, including rowing-specific training, conditioning/resistance training and overall experience, was recorded via questionnaire completed by the athletes along interviews with coaches during competitive events. The Photo-Finish Timing System for Rowing & Paddling (Lynx, U.S.A.) that is used during all official championships was also used to verify the competitive level of the athletes.

## 2.5. Statistical analysis

The descriptive data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or percentages according to genotype occurrence. Three-way (sex × weight category × competitive level) analysis of variance was used to evaluate between group differences in anthropometric/demographic values and training data. Chi-squared tests were conducted to compare ACTN3 genotypes (RR vs. RX; RX vs. XX; XX vs. RR) by competitive level (elite vs. non-elite) and weight category (lightweight vs. openweight), and to examine differences according to race pace strategies (starting vs. moderate; moderate vs. finishing; starting vs. finishing). A significance level of  $p \leq 0.05$  was used. All analyses were conducted using SPSS 20.0 for Windows.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Description of sample and genotyping profile

Descriptive data from the sample are presented in Table 1. Significant differences in body mass between groups were found ( $F_{2,134} = 25.017$ ;  $p \leq 0.001$ ;  $\eta^2 = 0.293$ ), with female and male openweight rowing athletes having higher body mass values than female and male lightweight rowing athletes, respectively ( $p \leq 0.001$  for all comparisons). No effects were observed for any of the other anthropometric/demographic values or training data ( $p > 0.05$  for all comparisons).

The ACTN3 genotype frequency data according to sex, competitive level and weight category is presented in Table 2. Significant differences in ACTN3 genotype frequency were found for the elite lightweight male rowers ( $X^2 = 9.500$ ,  $p = 0.009$ , df 2), where the RX



**Table 1.** Descriptive data from the sample of rowing athletes (mean  $\pm$  Standard Deviation).

Profile	Female						Male					
	Openweight			Lightweight			Openweight			Lightweight		
	Non-elite	Elite	Non-elite	Non-elite	Elite	Non-elite	Non-elite	Elite	Non-elite	Non-elite	Elite	Elite
Age (yrs.)	33.0 $\pm$ 6	35.6 $\pm$ 15.7	40.7 $\pm$ 25.5	38.3 $\pm$ 23.2	31.0 $\pm$ 1.5	37.9 $\pm$ 19.4	35.0 $\pm$ 9.1	35.0 $\pm$ 9.1	22.5 $\pm$ 8.3	22.5 $\pm$ 8.3	30.5 $\pm$ 3.5	30.5 $\pm$ 3.5
Age Start Rowing (yrs.)	29.0 $\pm$ 5.2	28.4 $\pm$ 12.8	38.3 $\pm$ 23.2	56.3 $\pm$ 6.4*	28.5 $\pm$ 0.7	22.4 $\pm$ 7.5	17.6 $\pm$ 5.3	17.6 $\pm$ 5.3	20.3 $\pm$ 6.1	20.3 $\pm$ 6.1	29.0 $\pm$ 5.7	29.0 $\pm$ 5.7
Body Mass (kg)	68.0 $\pm$ 10.8**	68.4 $\pm$ 6.8**	56.3 $\pm$ 6.4*	161.3 $\pm$ 8.3	57.0 $\pm$ 1.4*	85.3 $\pm$ 10.7*	80.9 $\pm$ 8.0*	80.9 $\pm$ 8.0*	72.5 $\pm$ 17.2	72.5 $\pm$ 17.2	70.0 $\pm$ 0.1	70.0 $\pm$ 0.1
Height (cm)	171.0 $\pm$ 3.0	173.8 $\pm$ 6.5	161.3 $\pm$ 8.3	169.0 $\pm$ 1.4	169.0 $\pm$ 1.4	185.2 $\pm$ 6.2	181.9 $\pm$ 10.6	181.9 $\pm$ 10.6	174.2 $\pm$ 10.6	174.2 $\pm$ 10.6	174.5 $\pm$ 9.2	174.5 $\pm$ 9.2
Rowing training session/week (frequency)	5.3 $\pm$ 1.5	5.6 $\pm$ 0.9	5.7 $\pm$ 0.6	6.5 $\pm$ 0.7	6.5 $\pm$ 0.7	4.3 $\pm$ 1.8	7.9 $\pm$ 2.7	7.9 $\pm$ 2.7	4.0 $\pm$ 2.8	4.0 $\pm$ 2.8	4.7 $\pm$ 2.1	4.7 $\pm$ 2.1
Rowing training session/week (min)	90.0 $\pm$ 30.0	132.0 $\pm$ 50.2	123.3 $\pm$ 30.6	150.0 $\pm$ 42.4	150.0 $\pm$ 42.4	104.3 $\pm$ 44.7	117.9 $\pm$ 28.0	117.9 $\pm$ 28.0	110.0 $\pm$ 62.4	110.0 $\pm$ 62.4	146.2 $\pm$ 56.5	146.2 $\pm$ 56.5
Conditioning and strength training/week (frequency)	3.3 $\pm$ 1.5	3.4 $\pm$ 1.7	3.3 $\pm$ 1.5	4.5 $\pm$ 3.5	4.5 $\pm$ 3.5	4.0 $\pm$ 1.3	3.6 $\pm$ 1.9	3.6 $\pm$ 1.9	3.3 $\pm$ 1.8	3.3 $\pm$ 1.8	4.5 $\pm$ 2.1	4.5 $\pm$ 2.1
Conditioning and strength training time (min)	50.0 $\pm$ 17.3	72.0 $\pm$ 16.4	140.0 $\pm$ 45.8	112.5 $\pm$ 10.6	112.5 $\pm$ 10.6	60.7 $\pm$ 28.3	91.4 $\pm$ 40.2	91.4 $\pm$ 40.2	112.5 $\pm$ 62.7	112.5 $\pm$ 62.7	75.0 $\pm$ 21.2	75.0 $\pm$ 21.2
Training experience (month)	47.3 $\pm$ 37.0	65.4 $\pm$ 45.3	25.7 $\pm$ 29.9	24.0 $\pm$ 17.0	24.0 $\pm$ 17.0	174.7 $\pm$ 241.6	194.6 $\pm$ 144.4	194.6 $\pm$ 144.4	19.3 $\pm$ 23.8	19.3 $\pm$ 23.8	24.0 $\pm$ 17.0	24.0 $\pm$ 17.0

Notes: \* different from male athletes; \*\*different from lightweight athletes of the same gender and competitive level,  $p \leq 0.05$ .

**Table 2.** ACTN3 genotype frequencies in rowing athletes.

Groups			Polymorphism		
			ACTN3 RR (%)	ACTN3 RX (%)	ACTN3 XX (%)
Female	Openweight	Non-elite	66.7	33.3	10.0
		Elite	22.2	44.4	33.3
	Lightweight	Non-elite	33.3	44.4	22.2
		Elite	25.0	50.0	25.0
Male	Openweight	Non-elite	44.4	38.9	16.7
		Elite	41.7	45.8	12.5
	Lightweight	Non-elite	33.3	37.0	37.0
		Elite	8.3*	75.0	16.7


Notes: \*significantly different from RX and XX,  $p \leq 0.05$ .

genotype frequency was greater than the RR and XX frequencies. No other effects were observed ( $p > 0.05$  for all comparisons).

**3.2. Racing strategy**

The occurrence of ACTN3 genotypes separated by pacing strategy preference is presented in Figure 1. For the overall group, significant differences in ACTN3 genotype frequency were found for the moderate pacing strategy athletes ( $X^2 = 15.333, p = 0.002, df = 3$ ), where the XX genotype frequency (8%) was lower than the RR (42%) and RX (50%) genotype frequencies. No significant effects were observed in the starting ( $p = 0.115$ ) and finishing ( $p = 0.158$ ) strategy athletes.

The ACTN3 genotype frequencies of each pacing strategy group are presented in Table 3. Not enough valid cases were available for processing comparisons in non-elite female openweight ACTN3 RX athletes and all genotypes of elite female lightweight athletes. However, significant differences in pacing strategy preference were found for the non-elite openweight male rowing athletes ( $X^2 = 10.160, df = 2, p = 0.006$ ) having the RR genotype, with a greater frequency preferring a moderate pacing strategy as compared to those preferring starting and finishing strategies.



**Figure 1.**

**Table 3.** Racing strategy preference frequencies separated by competitive level, weight category and genotype.

Racing strategy	Female				Male			
	Openweight		Lightweight		Openweight		Lightweight	
	Non-elite (%)	Elite (%)	Non-elite (%)	Elite (%)	Non-elite (%)	Elite (%)	Non-elite (%)	Elite (%)
<i>Starting (0–500 m)</i>								
ACTN3 RR	100	50	100	100	50	20	88.9*	100
ACTN3 RX	100	0	75	0	42.9	36.4	80	77.8
ACTN3 XX	100	33	100	0	33.3	33.3	75	50
<i>Moderate (500–1500 m)</i>								
ACTN3 RR	0	50	0	0	50	70*	11.1	0
ACTN3 RX	0	25	0	50	57.1	54.5	20	22.2
ACTN3 XX	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Finishing (1500–2000 m)</i>								
ACTN3 RR	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0
ACTN3 RX	0	75	25	50	0	9.1	0	0
ACTN3 XX	0	33	0	100	66.7	66.7	25	50

Notes: \*significantly different from other genotypes in the same group profile,  $p \leq 0.05$ .

Significant differences in pacing strategy preference were found for the non-elite light-weight athletes ( $X^2 = 43.257$ ,  $df = 2$ ,  $p \leq 0.001$ ) having the RR genotype with a greater frequency preferring a starting strategy as compared to those preferring moderate and finishing strategies. Significant differences in pacing strategy preference were found for the elite lightweight athletes ( $X^2 = 6.500$ ,  $df = 2$ ,  $p = 0.039$ ) having the RR genotype with a greater frequency preferring a starting strategy as compared to those preferring moderate and finishing strategies.

4. Discussion

Several genes influence endurance capabilities from both a physiological and a psychological perspective (Ahmetov et al., 2009, 2010; Bosnyák et al., 2015; Fedotovskaya, Mustafina, Popov, Vinogradova, & Ahmetov, 2014; Znazen et al., 2017). Our study is the first to have examined the potential influence of ACTN3 genotypes on pacing strategies in 2000 m rowing events lasting between 5 min 30 s and 8 min. The main results suggest that rowing athletes with specific ACTN3 genotypes may adopt unique race pacing strategies, while no differences were shown in ACTN3 genotypes frequencies when examined by competitive level or weight category.

Competitive 2000 m rowing races last approximately 330–460 s and generally feature greatest power and velocity values at the beginning of the event with a potential increase at the end of the event (Garland, 2005; Garland & Atkinson, 2008). Analysis of race strategy during the Sydney Olympics revealed patterns during the finals reflecting + 2.8% for the initial 500 m, −1.2% for the 2nd 500 m, −1.3% for the 3rd 500 m and −0.1% for the final 500 m of the average speed over 2000 m race, while medal winners had 0.6% slower times for the initial 500 m but appeared be to 0.6% faster during final 500 m compared to non-medal winners (Kleshnev, 2001; Renfree, Martin, Richards, & Gibson, 2012). Specifically, competitive rowers who adopted starting/fast (within the initial 500 m) or finishing/late (within the final 500 m) strategies exhibited similar ACTN3 genotype frequencies, while

fewer athletes who adopted moderate (within the middle 1000 m) pacing strategies were categorised with the ACTN3 XX (8%) genotype as compared to the RR (50%) and RX (42%) genotypes.

Regarding athletes and their respective genotype, elite openweight male rowers with the ACTN3 RR genotype more frequently preferred moderate pacing strategies, while non-elite lightweight male athletes with ACTN3 RR genotype more frequently preferred starting/early pacing strategies. The current findings may be explained by the structural nature of  $\alpha$ -actinin-3 protein, encoded by the ACTN3 gene, as the primary component of the Z line and its interaction with actin filaments, which is known to influence the expression of muscular strength in type II fibres (Yang et al., 2017). More specifically, individuals with the ACTN3 XX genotype are deficient in  $\alpha$ -actinin-3 and tend to excel in endurance activities, perhaps related to the finishing strategy outlined in the current investigation, whereas those with the RR genotype may have an advantage when producing forceful muscle contractions at high velocities (Zanoteli et al., 2003), such as those required by rowing athletes when attempting to pass competing boats and during starting/fast pacing strategies.

With the exception of openweight males, rowing athletes with the ACTN3 RR genotype most frequently preferred starting/early pacing strategies (50–100%), while those with the ACTN3 RX genotype did not show a particular pacing strategy preference. Furthermore, with the exception of openweight males, primarily the elite, as opposed to the non-elite, rowing athletes with the ACTN3 XX genotype preferred late pacing strategies (33–100%). When compared to other athletes, Hungarian rowers were recently shown to possess similar ACTN3 R/X polymorphism frequencies (Bosnyák et al., 2015). However, a genetic association was shown between ACTN3 genotype and the long-distance (6 km) results of 54 athletes at the Russian Cup Rowing Tournament with male rowers exhibiting the ACTN3 577RR genotype yielding faster times than those exhibiting the 577RX or 577XX genotypes (Ahmetov et al., 2010).

Though there are important strategic and psychological reasons for starting fast, the genetic and physiological explanations for adopting these strategies by specific genotypes are unclear. Probable mechanisms for increasing performance by utilising a rapid start include accelerated increase in blood flow (Faull, Cotter, & Lucas, 2015), ventilation (Mazic et al., 2015) and/or oxygen uptake (Murray, McCrudden, Murias, Nolte, & Belfry, 2016). Assuming the responsive to training is associated with genotype, these factors would likely result in reduced fatigue later in the race. Nonetheless, the ability to speculate using the current data is limited because these factors were not directly investigated. Present article indicates as a limitation the lack of comparisons of genotypes of athletes who competed in different boat classes. This can be explored in future research to improve rowing training and to detect talents, directing them to the boats possibly associated with ACTN3 genotypes.

We observed that starting/fast pacing strategies were adopted by the majority of the rowers regardless of ACTN3 genotype (RR, RX and XX). A lack of attention to specific genotype by coaches may be problematic as a fast start in extended duration events, such as these rowing races, with higher initial power outputs would lead to more rapid metabolic acidosis via the anaerobic energy system (Garland & Atkinson, 2008). Depending on the genotype and training, this strategy could inhibit anaerobic glycolysis and muscle contraction, and subsequently result in a reduction in maximal power output late in the race coupled with difficulty maintaining effective technique (Garland & Atkinson, 2008). The present results have practical implications in strength and conditioning for rowing. Genotype combinations

may be reflective of individual metabolic capabilities while providing insight into the selection and/or development of elite rowing athletes (Ulucan, Sercan, & Biyikli, 2015).

## 5. Conclusion

Present observed the influence of ACTN3 genotypes on pacing strategies in 2000 m rowing events, these data can contribute to talent detection. Results indicated that rowing athletes with specific ACTN3 genotypes may adopt unique race pacing strategies, significant differences in pacing strategy preference were found for the non-elite openweight male rowing athletes having the RR genotype with a greater frequency preferring a moderate pacing strategy as compared to those preferring starting and finishing strategies. In addition, non-elite lightweight athletes having the RR genotype with a greater frequency preferring a starting strategy as compared to those preferring moderate and finishing strategies, while elite lightweight athletes having the RR genotype with a greater frequency preferring a starting strategy as compared to those preferring moderate and finishing strategies. Practitioners should note that these findings also highlight the essential need to incorporate pacing strategies into rowing race training. In addition, it is advised that rowers take full advantage of the knowledge of individual genotypes during training and competition to enhance performance.

## Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

AQ8

## ORCID

Bianca Miarka  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7513-7605>

Ciro José Brito  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9678-1977>

David Fukuda  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4299-7764>

Fábio Dal Bello  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0497-7305>

Fabrizio B. Del Vecchio  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3771-9660>

## References

- Ahmetov, I. I., Druzhevskaya, A. M., Astratenkova, I. V., Popov, D. V., Vinogradova, O. L., & Rogozkin, V. A. (2010). The ACTN3 R577X polymorphism in Russian endurance athletes. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 44(9), 649–652.
- Ahmetov, I. I., Williams, A. G., Popov, D. V., Lyubaeva, E. V., Hakimullina, A. M., Fedotovskaya, O. N., ... Montgomery, H. E. (2009). The combined impact of metabolic gene polymorphisms on elite endurance athlete status and related phenotypes. *Human Genetics*, 126(6), 751.
- Akca, F., & Aras, D. (2015). Comparison of rowing performance improvements following various high-intensity interval trainings. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 29(8), 2249–2254.
- Baumert, P., Lake, M. J., Stewart, C. E., Drust, B., & Erskine, R. M. (2016). Genetic variation and exercise-induced muscle damage: Implications for athletic performance, injury and ageing. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 116(9), 1595–1625.
- Ben-Zaken, S., Eliakim, A., Nemet, D., Rabinovich, M., Kassem, E., & Meckel, Y. (2015). ACTN3 polymorphism: comparison between elite swimmers and runners. *Sports Medicine-Open*, 1(1), 13.
- Boegman, S., & Dziedzic, C. E. (2016). Nutrition and supplements for elite open-weight rowing. *Current Sports Medicine Reports*, 15(4), 252–261.

AQ9

- Bosnyák, E., Trájer, E., Udvardy, A., Komka, Z., Protzner, A., Kováts, T., ... Szmodis, M. (2015). ACE and ACTN3 genes polymorphisms among female Hungarian athletes in the aspect of sport disciplines. *Acta Physiologica Hungarica*, 102(4), 451–458.
- Chimera, N. J., & Kremer, K. (2016). Sportsmetrics training improves power and landing in high school rowers. *International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy*, 11(1), 44.
- Cieszczyk, P., Kalinski, M., Ostanek, M., Jascaniene, N., Krupecki, K., Ficek, K., ... Maciejewska, A. (2012). Variation in the HIF1A gene in elite rowers. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 26(12), 3270–3274.
- Eynon, N., Banting, L. K., Ruiz, J. R., Cieszczyk, P., Dyatlov, D. A., Maciejewska-Karlowska, A., ... Pushkarev, E. D. (2014). ACTN3 R577X polymorphism and team-sport performance: A study involving three European cohorts. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 17(1), 102–106.
- Faull, O., Cotter, J., & Lucas, S. (2015). Cerebrovascular responses during rowing: Do circadian rhythms explain morning and afternoon performance differences? *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 25(4), 467–475.
- Fedotovskaya, O. N., Mustafina, L. J., Popov, D. V., Vinogradova, O. L., & Ahmetov, I. I. (2014). A common polymorphism of the MCT1 gene and athletic performance. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 9(1), 173–180.
- Frideres, J. E., Mottinger, S. G., & Palao, J. M. (2016). Collegiate coaches' knowledge of the female athlete triad in relation to sport type. *The Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 56(3), 287–294.
- Garland, S. (2005). An analysis of the pacing strategy adopted by elite competitors in 2000 m rowing. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 39(1), 39–42.
- Garland, S., & Atkinson, G. (2008). Effect of blood lactate sample site and test protocol on training zone prescription in rowing. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 3(3), 347–358.
- Kikuchi, N., & Nakazato, K. (2015). Effective utilization of genetic information for athletes and coaches: Focus on ACTN3 R577X polymorphism. *Journal of Exercise Nutrition & Biochemistry*, 19(3), 157.
- Kikuchi, N., Zempo, H., Fuku, N., Murakami, H., Sakamaki-Sunaga, M., Okamoto, T., ... Miyachi, M. (2016). Actn3 R577x polymorphism is associated with trunk flexibility in two different cohorts. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 48(5 Suppl 1), 730.
- Kleshnev, V. (2001). Racing strategy in rowing during Sydney Olympic Games. *Australian Rowing*, 24(1), 20–23.
- Kölling, S., Steinacker, J. M., Endler, S., Ferrauti, A., Meyer, T., & Kellmann, M. (2016). The longer the better: Sleep–wake patterns during preparation of the World Rowing Junior Championships. *Chronobiology International*, 33(1), 73–84.
- Maciejewska, A., Sawczuk, M., & Cieszczyk, P. (2011). Variation in the PPARα gene in Polish rowers. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 14(1), 58–64.
- Mägi, A., Unt, E., Prans, E., Raus, L., Eha, J., Veraksitš, A., ... Kõks, S. (2016). The association analysis between ACE and ACTN3 genes polymorphisms and endurance capacity in young cross-country skiers: Longitudinal study. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine*, 15(2), 287.
- Mazic, S., Lazovic, B., Djelic, M., Suzic-Lazic, J., Djordjevic-Saranovic, S., Durmic, T., ... Zugic, V. (2015). Respiratory parameters in elite athletes—does sport have an influence? *Portuguese Journal of Pulmonology*, 21(4), 192–197.
- Murray, J., McCrudden, M., Murias, J., Nolte, V., & Belfry, G. (2016). Differing six minute pacing strategies effect anaerobic contribution, oxygen uptake, muscle deoxygenation and cycle performance. *The Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 58(1–2), 17–26.
- Papadimitriou, I. D., Lucia, A., Pitsiladis, Y. P., Pushkarev, V. P., Dyatlov, D. A., Orekhov, E. F., ... Ginevičienė, V. (2016). ACTN3 R577X and ACE I/D gene variants influence performance in elite sprinters: A multi-cohort study. *BMC Genomics*, 17(1), 285.
- Pasqua, L. A., Bueno, S., Artioli, G. G., Lancha, A. H., JR, Matsuda, M., Marquezini, M. V., ... Bertuzzi, R. (2016). Influence of ACTN3 R577X polymorphism on ventilatory thresholds related to endurance performance. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 34(2), 163–170.
- Renfree, A., Martin, L., Richards, A., & Gibson, A. S. C. (2012). All for one and one for all! disparity between overall crew's and individual rowers' pacing strategies during rowing. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 7(3), 298–300.



- Richer, S. D., Nolte, V. W., Bechard, D. J., & Belfry, G. R. (2016). Effects of novel supramaximal interval training versus continuous training on performance in preconditioned collegiate, national, and international class rowers. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 30(6), 1752–1762.
- Sarzynski, M. A., Loos, R., Lucia, A., Perusse, L., Roth, S. M., Wolfarth, B., ... Bouchard, C. (2016). Advances in exercise, fitness, and performance genomics in 2015. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 48(10), 1906–1916.
- Schadock, L., Schneider, A., Silva, E. D., Buchweitz, M. R. D., Correa, M. N., Pesquero, J. B., ... Barros, C. C. (2015). Simple method to genotype the ACTN3 r577x polymorphism. *Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers*, 19(5), 253–257.
- Ulucan, K., Sercan, C., & Biyikli, T. (2015). Distribution of angiotensin-1 converting enzyme insertion/deletion and  $\alpha$ -actinin-3 codon 577 polymorphisms in Turkish male soccer players. *Genetics & Epigenetics*, 7, 1–4.
- Vaz, M. S., Picanço, L. M., & Del Vecchio, F. B. (2014). Effects of different training amplitudes on heart rate and heart rate variability in young rowers. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 28(10), 2967–2972.
- Yang, N., MacArthur, D. G., Gulbin, J. P., Hahn, A. G., Beggs, A. H., Eastale, S., & North, K. (2003). ACTN3 genotype is associated with human elite athletic performance. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 73(3), 627–631.
- Yang, N., Macarthur, D. G., Wolde, B., Onywere, V. O., Boit, M., Lau, S. Y. M. -A., ... North, K. N. (2007). The ACTN3 R577X polymorphism in East and West African athletes. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 39(11), 1985–1988.
- Yang, N., Schindeler, A., McDonald, M. M., Seto, J. T., Houweling, P. J., Lek, M., ... Balasuriya, D. (2011).  $\alpha$ -Actinin-3 deficiency is associated with reduced bone mass in human and mouse. *Bone*, 49(4), 790–798.
- Yang, R., Shen, X., Wang, Y., Voisin, S., Cai, G., Fu, Y., ... Yan, X. (2017). ACTN3 R577X gene variant is associated with muscle-related phenotypes in elite Chinese sprint/power athletes. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 31(4), 1107–1115.
- Zanoteli, E., Lotuffo, R. M., Oliveira, A. S., Beggs, A. H., Canovas, M., Zatz, M., & Vainzof, M. (2003). Deficiency of muscle  $\alpha$ -actinin-3 is compatible with high muscle performance. *Journal of Molecular Neuroscience*, 20(1), 39–42.
- Znazen, H., Slimani, M., Miarka, B., Butovskaya, M., Siala, H., Messaqud, T., & Chamari, K. (2017). Mental skills comparison between elite sprint and endurance track and field runners according to their genetic polymorphism: A pilot Study. *Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 57(9), 1217–1226.