C19 Machine Learning

A. Zisserman, Hilary Term 2015

1. Given the following training data for a $\{0,1\}$ binary classifier:

$$(x_1 = 0.8; y_1 = 1); (x_2 = 0.4; y_2 = 0); (x_3 = 0.6; y_3 = 1)$$

Determine the output of a K Nearest Neighbour (K-NN) classifier for all points on the interval $0 \le x \le 1$ using

- (a) 1-NN
- (b) 3-NN
- 2. A regressor algorithm is defined using the mean of the K Nearest Neighbours of a test point. Determine the ouput on the interval $0 \le x \le 1$ using the training data in question (1) for K=2.
- 3. Two students are working on a machine-learning approach to spam detection. Each student has their own set of 100 labeled emails, 90% of which are used for training and 10% for validating the model. Student A runs a K-NN classification algorithm and reports 80% accuracy on her validation set. Student B experiments with over 100 different learning algorithms, training each one on his training set, and recording the accuracy on the validation set. His best formulation achieves 90% accuracy. Whose algorithm would you pick for protecting a corporate network from spam? Why?
- 4. For a linear SVM, show that the vector \mathbf{w} in the primal cost function can be expressed as $\sum_{i}^{N} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}$, where $\{\mathbf{x}_{i}, y_{i}\}$ are the training data. (Hint, start by expressing $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i}^{N} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} + \mathbf{w}_{\perp}$, where \mathbf{w}_{\perp} is the subspace orthogonal to $\mathbf{x}_{i} \forall i$).
- 5. Suppose that a linear SVM is learnt in the dual form from the training data $\{\mathbf{x}_j, y_j\}$ so that the support vectors \mathbf{x}_s and α_s are known.
 - (a) How can the weight vector and bias, \mathbf{w} , b, of the primal form be obtained?
 - (b) Why is it an advantage to use the classifier in the primal form?
- 6. (a) Determine the mapping $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ such that the kernel

$$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = (c + \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{z})^2 = \phi(\mathbf{x})^{\top} \phi(\mathbf{z})$$

where
$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)^{\top}$$
 and $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2)^{\top}$.

- (b) Show, by a sketch, that an XOR is not linearly separable, but that after the mapping $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ with c=0 it is linearly separable
- 7. (a) Show that if the SVM cost function is written as

$$C(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} ||\mathbf{w}||^{2} + \max(0, 1 - y_{i} f(\mathbf{x}_{i})) \right)$$

where $f(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i$, then using using steepest descent optimization, \mathbf{w}_{t+1} may be learnt from \mathbf{w}_t by cycling through the data with the following update rule

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow (1 - \eta \lambda) \mathbf{w}_t + \eta y_i \mathbf{x}_i \quad \text{if } y_i \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i < 1$$
$$\leftarrow (1 - \eta \lambda) \mathbf{w}_t \quad \text{otherwise}$$

where η is the learning rate.

(b) Contrast the SVM update rule with that of the perceptron

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} - \eta \operatorname{sign}(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i) \mathbf{x}_i$$

(NB, there is no update if the point is correctly classified, i.e. $y_i \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i > 0$). What are the differences, and how do they influence the margin?

- (c) The perceptron learning rule can be derived as steepest descent optimization of a loss function. What is the loss function?
- 8. A K-class discriminant is obtained by training K linear classifiers of the form

$$f_k(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}_k^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + b_k$$

and assigning a point to class C_k if $f_k(\mathbf{x}) > f_j(\mathbf{x})$ for all $j \neq k$.

- (a) Write the equation of the hyperplane separating class j and k.
- (b) If \mathbf{x}_A and \mathbf{x}_B are both in the decision region R_j (i.e. classified as class j), then show that any point on the line

$$\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}_{A} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_{B}$$

where $0 \le \lambda \le 1$, is also classified as class j.

9. During training of a four class decision tree, 100 samples of each class arrive at the node, and the output of a set of three possible node tests are shown in the table:

class	test 1		test 2		test 3	
	L	R	L	R	L	R
A	100	0	100	0	40	60
В	0	100	100	0	60	40
C	0	100	0	100	40	60
D	0	100	0	100	60	40

where L and R refer to the number of each class that are sent to the left and right child nodes.

(a) Compute the information gain for each node test given by

$$I = H(S) - \sum_{i \in L, R} \frac{S_i}{S} H(S_i)$$

where S is the number of samples arriving at the node, S_i the number sent to each child, and the entropy of the set s is given by $H(s) = -\sum_i p_i \log p_i$ with p_j the probability of class j in the set.

- (b) Using the information gain, decide which test should be chosen. Sketch the child probability distributions to check your result.
- (c) Does the base of the log matter in making this choice?
- 10. A student uses the regression function

$$f(x, \mathbf{w}) = w_0 + w_1 \phi_1(x) + w_2 \phi_2(x) + \ldots + w_M \phi_M(x) = \mathbf{w}^{\top} \Phi(x)$$

(where x is a scalar and f a scalar valued function) for two possible data sources:

- (a) A periodic source which oscillates with a known period p.
- (b) A polynomial of second degree.

What are suitable basis functions for each of these sources? Can the student save time and design a single set of basis functions $\phi_i(x)$ that will allow him/her to model observations from either source?