

Assignment 1 for #70240413

”Statistical Machine Learning”

Kui XU, 2016311209

2017/03/23

1 Mathematics Basics

Choose one problem from the 1.1 and 1.2. A bonus would be given if you finished the both.

1.1 Calculus

The gamma function is defined by (assuming $x > 0$)

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^{\infty} u^{x-1} e^{-u} du. \quad (1)$$

(1) Prove that $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$.

(2) Also show that

$$\int_0^1 u^{a-1} (1-u)^{b-1} du = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)}. \quad (2)$$

Solution: For Question (1), we can prove it by Using integration by parts, the steps are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(x+1) &= \int_0^{\infty} u^x e^{-u} du \\ &= [-u^x e^{-u}]_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} x u^{x-1} e^{-u} du \\ &= \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} (-u^x e^{-u}) - (0e^{-0}) + x \int_0^{\infty} u^{x-1} e^{-u} du \\ &= x \int_0^{\infty} u^{x-1} e^{-u} du \\ &= x\Gamma(x) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

As we know, when $u \rightarrow \infty$, $-u^x e^{-u} \rightarrow 0$, so the equation is proved.

Solution: For Question (2), we know that the left of the equation is a Beta function. From the definitions, we can express the equation which we want to prove as :

$$\Gamma(a+b)B(a,b) = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)} \quad (4)$$

It's a double integral, the expansion formula is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(a+b)B(a,b) &= \int_0^{\infty} u^{a+b-1} e^{-u} du \int_0^1 v^{a-1} (1-v)^{b-1} dv \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^1 (uv)^{a-1} [u(1-v)]^{b-1} u e^{-u} du dv \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Then we do a transformation $w = uv$, $z = u(1-v)$. The inverse transformation is $u = w+z$, $v = w/(w+z)$, the corresponding ranges of them are $w \in (0, \infty)$ and $u \in (0, \infty)$. The absolute value of the Jacobian is

$$\left| \nabla \frac{\partial(u, v)}{\partial(w, z)} \right| = \frac{1}{(w+z)} \quad (6)$$

Next, we use the changed of variables to do a double integral, the equation above becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty w^{a-1} z^{b-1} (w+z) e^{-(w+z)} \frac{1}{w+z} dw dz \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty w^{a-1} z^{b-1} e^{-(w+z)} dw dz \\ &= \int_0^\infty w^{a-1} e^{-w} dw \int_0^\infty z^{b-1} e^{-z} dz \\ &= \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Finally the equation is proved.

1.2 Optimization

Use the Lagrange multiplier method to solve the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x_1, x_2} \quad & x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + x_2 - 1 = 0 \\ & 2x_1 - x_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Solution: Consider the above equation is consist of inequality constraint functions and it is a nonlinear optimization problem, we can use the lagrange multiplier method with KKT condition to solve it. We construct the Lagrangian function for the problem:

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \mu) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1 + \lambda \cdot (x_1 + x_2 - 1) + \mu \cdot (2x_1 - x_2) \quad (9)$$

The certain conditions which are called KKT condition should satisfy,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial(\mathcal{L})}{\partial(X)}|_X = 0 \\ & \lambda_j \neq 0 \\ & \mu_k \geq 0 \\ & \mu_k \cdot (x_1^* + x_2^* - 1) = 0 \\ & x_1^* + x_2^* - 1 = 0 \\ & 2x_1^* - x_2^* \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We set up the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(\mathcal{L}, x, \lambda, \mu)}{\partial(x_1)} &= 2x_1 + \lambda + 2\mu = 0 \\ \frac{\partial(\mathcal{L}, x, \lambda, \mu)}{\partial(x_2)} &= 2x_2 + \lambda - \mu = 0 \\ \frac{\partial(\mathcal{L}, x, \lambda, \mu)}{\partial(\lambda)} &= x_1 + x_2 - 1 = 0 \\ \frac{\partial(\mathcal{L}, x, \lambda, \mu)}{\partial(\mu)} &= 2x_1 - x_2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We solve them:

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= \frac{1}{3} \\x_2 &= \frac{2}{3} \\\lambda &= \frac{2}{9} \\\mu &= -\frac{10}{9}\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

Choose one problem from the following 1.3 and 1.4. A bonus would be given if you finished the both.

1.3 Stochastic Process

We toss a fair coin for a number of times and use H(head) and T(tail) to denote the two sides of the coin. Please compute the expected number of tosses we need to observe a first time occurrence of the following consecutive pattern

$$H, \underbrace{T, T, \dots, T}_k.\tag{13}$$

Solution: we assume that E is the expectation of the consecutive pattern $H, \underbrace{T, T, \dots, T}_k$, and E_T^k is the expectation of $\underbrace{T, T, \dots, T}_k$. Consider an equivalent form of this pattern $H, \underbrace{T, T, \dots, T}_{k-1}, \overset{k}{T}$, we have

$$\begin{cases} E = 1 + \frac{1}{2}E + \frac{1}{2}E_T^k, \\ E_T^k = E_T^{k-1} + 1 + \frac{1}{2}E_T^k + \frac{1}{2} \times 0. \quad E_T^1 = 2 \end{cases}\tag{14}$$

which $E = 1 + \frac{1}{2}E + \frac{1}{2}E_T^k$ shows the expectation of the first toss. At the first time, you may get H or T with the $\frac{1}{2}$ probability. If you got H , OK, you succeeded and then you will try to get k times T , the expectation will be $\frac{1}{2}E_T^k$; If you got T , you fail and will restart to tosses and the expectation will be $\frac{1}{2}E$.

which $E_T^k = E_T^{k-1} + 1 + \frac{1}{2}E_T^k + \frac{1}{2} \times 0$ shows the the expectation of the $k-1$ times of T (E_T^{k-1}) and the last toss. At the last toss, as for the first time, you will get H or T with the $\frac{1}{2}$ probability. If you got H , you fail and you need to get k times T over again and the expectation will be $\frac{1}{2}E_T^k$. If you got T , OK, you win the game, the expectation will be $\frac{1}{2}E_T^k$;

Next, we solve the recursive function above

$$E_T^k = 2^{k+1} - 2\tag{15}$$

\Rightarrow

$$E = 1 + \frac{1}{2}E + \frac{1}{2}(2^{k+1} - 2)\tag{16}$$

\Rightarrow

$$E = 2^{k+1}\tag{17}$$

So the expected number of tosses is 2^{k+1} .

1.4 Probability

Suppose $p \sim \text{Beta}(p|\alpha, \beta)$ and $x|p \sim \text{Bernoulli}(x|p)$. Show that $p|x \sim \text{Beta}(p|\alpha + x, \beta + 1 - x)$, which implies that the Beta distribution can serve as a conjugate prior to the Bernoulli distribution.

Solution: Consider calculating the posterior $p|x$, and we know the likelihood function $x|p$ and the prior p , here we use Bayes' theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} P(p|x) &= \frac{P(x|p)P(p)}{P(x)} \\ &= \frac{P(x|p)P(p)}{\int P(x|p')P(p')dp'} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

From the definition, $P(p) \sim \text{Beta}(p|\alpha, \beta)$ and $P(x|p) \sim \text{Bernoulli}(x|p)$, and the Beta function is

$$\text{Beta}(p|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1} \quad (19)$$

so $P(p|x)$ should be

$$\begin{aligned} P(p|x) &= \frac{P(x|p)P(p)}{\int_0^1 P(x|p')P(p')dp'} \\ &= \frac{\binom{m}{n} p^m (1-p)^{n-m} \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1}}{\int_0^1 \binom{m}{n} p^m (1-p)^{n-m} \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1} dp} \\ &= \frac{p^{\alpha+m-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1+n-m}}{\int_0^1 p^{\alpha+m-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1+n-m} dp} \\ &= \frac{p^{\alpha+m-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1+n-m}}{B(\alpha+m, \beta+n-m)} \\ &= \text{Beta}(p|\alpha+m, \beta+n-m) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

So, it implies that the Beta distribution can serve as a conjugate prior to the Bernoulli distribution.

2 SVM

2.1 From Primal to Dual

Consider the binary classification problem with training data $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N (x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, y_i \in \{0, 1\})$. Derive the dual problem of the following primal problem of linear SVM:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{w, b, \xi} \quad & \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & y_i(w^\top x_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N \\ & \xi_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

(Hint: Please note that we explicitly include the offset b here, which is a little different from the simplified expressions in the slides.)

Solution: The Lagrangian functional of the the primal problem of linear SVM above is:

$$\mathcal{L}(w, b, \xi, \alpha, \mu) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i [y_i(w^\top x_i + b) - 1 + \xi_i] - \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i \xi_i \quad (22)$$

and the KKT conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\in \partial \mathcal{L}(w, b, \xi, \alpha, \mu) \\
\alpha_i [y_i(w^\top x_i + b) - 1 + \xi_i] &= 0 \forall i \\
y_i(w^\top x_i + b) - 1 + \xi_i &\geq 0 \forall i \\
\alpha_i &\geq 0 \forall i
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The Lagrange problem:

$$(\hat{w}, \hat{b}, \hat{\xi}, \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\mu}) = \arg \min_{w, b, \xi} \max_{\alpha, \mu} \mathcal{L}(w, b, \xi, \alpha, \mu) \tag{24}$$

Solve the Lagrange problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(w)} \big|_{\hat{w}} &= \lambda \hat{w} - \sum_i \alpha_i y_i x_i = 0 \\
\hat{w} &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_i \alpha_i y_i x_i \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(b)} \big|_{\hat{b}} &= \sum_i \alpha_i y_i = 0 \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\xi)} \big|_{\hat{\xi}} &= 1 - \mu - \alpha = 0 \\
\mu &= 1 - \alpha \\
\alpha_i &\geq 0
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

then the dual problem:

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{w}, b, \xi, \alpha) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_i \alpha_i y_i x_i \right\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i [y_i (\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_i \alpha_i y_i x_i)^\top x_i + b) - 1 + \xi_i] - \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i \xi_i \tag{26}$$

and the KKT conditions of the dual problem are:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\in \partial \mathcal{L}(\hat{w}, b, \xi, \alpha) \\
\alpha_i [y_i(w^\top x_i + b) - 1 + \xi_i] &= 0 \quad \forall i \\
y_i(w^\top x_i + b) - 1 + \xi_i &\geq 0 \quad \forall i \\
\alpha_i &\geq 0 \quad \forall i
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Solve the dual problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\hat{w}, b, \xi, \alpha) &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_i \alpha_i y_i x_i \right\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i [y_i (\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_i \alpha_i y_i x_i)^\top x_i + b) - 1 + \xi_i] - \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i \xi_i \\
&= -\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_i x_j - b \sum_i \alpha_i y_i + \sum_i \alpha_i (1 - \xi_i) + \sum_i (1 - \mu_i) \xi_i, \\
&= \sum_i (\alpha_i - \alpha_i \xi_i + \xi_i - \mu_i \xi_i) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_i x_j \\
&= \sum_i (\alpha_i - \alpha_i \xi_i + \xi_i - (1 - \alpha_i) \xi_i) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_i x_j \\
&= \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\top - \frac{1}{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\top Y G Y \boldsymbol{\alpha}
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

2.2 Finding Support Vectors (Optional)

As you get the dual problem using KKT conditions. Now please argue from KKT conditions why the following hold:

Sorry, I don't time to answer this question.

3 IRLS for Logistic Regression

For a binary classification problem $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N (x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, y_i \in \{0, 1\})$, the probabilistic decision rule according to "logistic regression" is

$$P_w(y|x) = \frac{\exp(y\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x})} \quad (29)$$

And hence the log-likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(w) &= \log \prod_{i=1}^N P_w(y|x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i - \log(1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i))) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Please implement the IRLS algorithm to estimate the parameters of logistic regression

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) \quad (31)$$

and the L2-norm regularized logistic regression

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} -\frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) \quad (32)$$

where λ is the positive regularization constant.

You may refer to the lecture slides for derivation details but you are more encouraged to derive the iterative update equations yourself.

Please compare the results of the two models on the "UCI a9a" dataset1. The suggested performance metrics to investigate are e.g. prediction accuracies (both on training and test data), number of IRLS iterations, L2-norm of $\|\mathbf{w}_2\|$, etc. You may need to test a range of λ values with e.g. cross validation for the regularized logistic regression.

Hint: You can use the convergence curves as shown in the lecture slides to show the convergence properties of these two methods.