

# What your calculus textbook doesn't tell you about trigonometric substitution

Barton Willis

September 24, 2023

After making multiple bad starts, wasting ten sheets of engineering paper, and erasing more than you would like to admit, finally you are confident that you have correctly used integration by trigonometric substitution and have deduced an impressive looking answer to your first homework question. Your answer is

$$\int \frac{(x^2 - 1)^{7/2}}{x^3} dx = \frac{(x^2 - 1)^{9/2}}{2x^2} - \frac{(x^2 - 1)^{7/2}}{2} + \frac{7(x^2 - 1)^{5/2}}{10} - \frac{7(x^2 - 1)^{3/2}}{6} + \frac{7(x^2 - 1)^{1/2}}{2} + \frac{7 \arcsin(\frac{1}{x})}{2}.$$

But wait! There's more. To add to the punishment, your teacher asks for the value of the definite integral  $\int_1^{10^9} \frac{(x^2 - 1)^{7/2}}{x^3} dx$ . Easy-peasy, you think. Surely after the tortuous trigonometric substitution problem, this should be easy. All you need to do is evaluate

$$\frac{(10^{18} - 1)^{9/2}}{210^{18}} - \frac{(10^{18} - 1)^{7/2}}{2} + \frac{7(10^{18} - 1)^{5/2}}{10} - \frac{7(10^{18} - 1)^{3/2}}{6} + \frac{7(10^{18} - 1)^{1/2}}{2} + \frac{7 \arcsin(10^{-18})}{2} - \frac{7 \arcsin(1)}{2}$$

You tap this into your nearest computing device and out pops the answer to an impressive sixteen decimal places; your final answer is

$$\int_1^{10^9} \frac{(x^2 - 1)^{7/2}}{x^3} dx \approx 9.204385233318143 \times 10^{46}.$$

So you circle this answer and turn it in. Good job, you think—let's very quickly move on to the next question.

Not so fast. Your ten sheets of wasted engineering paper rewarded you with full credit for your antiderivative, but your decimal approximation earns zero. Although your teacher says that your numerical value isn't *manifestly wrong*, it's just shy of being *obviously wrong*. Some thought shows that

$0 < \frac{(x^2 - 1)^{7/2}}{x^3} < \frac{(x^2)^{7/2}}{x^3} = x^4$ . So it must be true that

$$0 < \int_1^{10^9} \frac{(x^2 - 1)^{7/2}}{x^3} dx < \int_1^{10^9} x^4 dx = \frac{10^{45} - 1}{5} < 2 \times 10^{44}$$

Yikes! Using an exact calculation and some arithmetic, your value for the definite integral is nearly one thousand times larger than an easily found upper bound.

What's the story? Pasting in the upper limit of  $10^9$  into our painstakingly determined antiderivative, we need to sum

$$5.0000000000000003 \times 10^{62} - 5.0000000000000002 \times 10^{62} + 7.0000000000000003 \times 10^{44} \\ - 1.1666666666666669 \times 10^{27} + 3.5000000000000005 \times 10^9 + 3.5000000000000003 \times 10^{-9}.$$

This is an example of what is known as an *ill conditioned sum*, and it's primarily the first two terms of the sum that are the troublemakers. Each term in this sum is properly rounded to sixteen decimal digits. This means that the relative difference between each term in the sum and its true value is no more than about  $10^{-16}$ . Specifically, the true value of the first term can be as small as  $5.0000000000000003 \times 10^{62} \times (1 - 10^{-16})$  or as large as  $5.0000000000000003 \times 10^{62} \times (1 + 10^{-16})$ . And similarly for the second term.

Putting this together, the sum of the first terms might be as small as

$$5.0000000000000003 \times 10^{62} \times (1 - 10^{-16}) - 5.0000000000000002 \times 10^{62} \times (1 + 10^{-16}) \approx -1.1182158029987521 \times 10^{46},$$

and as large as

$$5.0000000000000003 \times 10^{62} \times (1 + 10^{-16}) - 5.0000000000000002 \times 10^{62} \times (1 - 10^{-16}) \approx 1.888178419700126 \times 10^{47}$$

We don't even know if the sum of the first two terms is negative or positive.

Surely