

This past week, I reread a book of my youth (the actual copy): *Introduction to Mathematical Logic*, by Flora Dinkines. Here are some fun questions that are adapted from this textbook. This is *not* an assignment, bonus or otherwise. But if you would like a mid-July logic exercise that is more educational than solving another Sudoku puzzle, give these problems a try. And if you have questions about them, please let me know.

1. Define the predicate

$$Q = (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mapsto [x + 2y = 4].$$

For example, we have $Q(1, 1) = [3 = 4] = \text{False}$ and $Q(2, 1) = [4 = 4] = \text{True}$. Decide on the truth value of each the following statements; if the statement is true, prove it; if the statement is false, prove that its negation is true.

(a) $(\forall x \in \mathbf{R}) (\exists y \in \mathbf{R}) (Q(x, y)).$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Choose $y = \frac{4-x}{2}$; then $y \in \mathbf{R}$ as required. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [x + 2y = 4] &= \left[x + 2 \times \frac{4-x}{2} = 4 \right], && \text{(substitution)} \\ &= [4 = 4], && \text{(algebra)} \\ &= \text{True}. && \text{(syntactic equality)} \end{aligned}$$

□

(b) $(\exists x \in \mathbf{R}) (\forall y \in \mathbf{R}) (Q(x, y)).$

We'll show that the statement is false by showing that its negation is true; its negation is

$$(\forall x \in \mathbf{R}) (\exists y \in \mathbf{R}) (x + 2y \neq 4)$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Choose $y = -\frac{x}{2}$. Then $y \in \mathbf{R}$ as required. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [x + 2y \neq 4] &= \left[x + 2 \times -\frac{x}{2} \neq 4 \right], && \text{(substitution)} \\ &= [0 \neq 4], && \text{(algebra)} \\ &= \text{True}. && \text{(syntactic inequality)} \end{aligned}$$

□

$$(c) (\forall x \in \mathbf{R}) (\exists y \in \mathbf{R}) (\neg Q(x, y)).$$

Proof. (See part 'b.')

□

$$(d) (\forall x \in \mathbf{R}) \neg (\forall y \in \mathbf{R}) (Q(x, y)). \text{ To start, let's rewrite the statement as}$$

$$(\forall x \in \mathbf{R}) (\exists y \in \mathbf{R}) (x + 2y \neq 4).$$

Proof. (See part 'b.')

□

2. Find examples of predicates P and Q such that the statement

$$(\forall x) (P(x) \vee Q(x)) \equiv (\forall x) (P(x)) \vee (\forall x) (Q(x))$$

is false. This shows that the existential qualifier does not distribute over the disjunction.

Proof. Define predicates P and Q as

$$P = k \in \mathbf{Z} \mapsto k \text{ is even,}$$

$$Q = k \in \mathbf{Z} \mapsto k \text{ is odd.}$$

Since $Q = \neg P$, for all integers k , we have $(\forall k \in \mathbf{Z}) (P(k) \vee Q(k))$ is true. But both the statements $(\forall x) (P(x))$ and $(\forall x) (Q(x))$ are false, so the statement $(\forall x) (P(x)) \vee (\forall x) (Q(x))$ is false. □

3. Does the existential qualifier distribute over the conjunction?

4. For any predicate P , show that

$$(\exists x) (\exists y) (P(x, y)) \equiv (\exists y) (\exists x) (P(x, y)).$$

Proof. First, we'll show that $(\exists x) (\exists y) (P(x, y)) \implies (\exists y) (\exists x) (P(x, y))$.

Suppose $(\exists y) (P(x, y))$. Thus there are a and b such that $P(a, b)$ is true. To show that $(\exists y) (\exists x) (P(x, y))$ is true, we only need to choose $y = a, x = b$.

□

5. For any predicate P , show that

$$(\forall x) (\forall y) (P(x, y)) \equiv (\forall y) (\forall x) (P(x, y)).$$

6. For any predicate P , show that

$$(\exists x) (\forall y) (P(x, y)) \implies (\forall y) (\exists x) (P(x, y)).$$

7. Show there is a predicate P such that

$$(\forall y) (\exists x) (P(x, y)) \implies (\exists x) (\forall y) (P(x, y)).$$

is false.