

# Real numbers

August 31, 2023

# Binary operator

## Definition

A *binary operator* on a set  $S$  is a function from  $S \times S$  to  $S$ . A binary operator  $F$  is commutative provided

$$(\forall a, b \in S)(F(a, b) = F(b, a)).$$

It is associative provided

$$(\forall a, b, c \in S)(F(a, F(b, c)) = F(F(a, b), c)).$$

It has a *left identity element* provided

$$(\exists \theta \in S)((\forall a \in S)(F(\theta, a) = a)).$$

And it has a *right identity element* provided

$$(\exists \theta \in S)((\forall a \in S)(F(a, \theta) = a)).$$

- ① Addition and multiplication of real numbers are examples of binary operators; these operators are commutative and associative.
- ② In this context, binary means that the function takes *two* members of the same set; the use of binary has nothing to do with base two representation of a number.
- ③ Usually binary operators are expressed in *infix notation*; that is, the operator is in between its arguments.
- ④ For example, we write  $1 + 107 = 108$ , not  $+(1, 107) = 108$ .
- ⑤ For a commutative binary operator, every right identity element is a left identity element; so we'll call them collectively an identity element.

# Examples

- Ⓐ Addition  $+$  is a binary operator on  $\mathbf{R}$ . Since  $x + 0 = x$  for all real  $x$ , the identity element for addition is zero. Further we know that addition is commutative and associative.
- Ⓑ Function composition  $\circ$  is a binary operator on the set of functions from  $\mathbf{R}$  to  $\mathbf{R}$ . The function  $x \in \mathbf{R} \mapsto x$  is the identity element for function composition. Function composition is associative, but not commutative.

# Unique elements

## Theorem

Let  $S$  be a set and let  $F$  be a commutative binary operator on  $S$ . Then  $F$  has at most one identity element.

## Proof

Let  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  be identity elements for  $F$ . We'll show that  $\theta = \theta'$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= F(\theta', \theta), && \text{(because } \theta \text{ is an identity element.)} \\ &= F(\theta, \theta'), && \text{(because } F \text{ is commutative)} \\ &= \theta'. && \text{(because } \theta' \text{ is an identity element.)}\end{aligned}$$

So  $\theta = \theta'$ .

# Fields

We would like to capture the important features of the real numbers and give all such structures a name. This object is a *field*.

## Definition

A field is an ordered triple  $(\mathcal{F}, +, \times)$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a set and both  $+$  and  $\times$  are commutative and associative binary operators on  $\mathcal{F}$  that have identity elements; the identity element for  $+$  is 0 and the identity element for  $\times$  is 1.

- ① For all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $a \times (b + c) = a \times b + a \times c$ .
- ② For all  $a \in \mathcal{F}$  there is  $-a \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $a + -a = 0$ .
- ③ For all  $a \in \mathcal{F}_{\neq 0}$  there is  $a^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $aa^{-1} = 1$ .

- ① We say that  $-a$  is an additive inverse of  $a$ .
- ② We say that  $a^{-1}$  is a multiplicative inverse of  $a$ .

# Unique inverses

## Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{F}, +, \times)$  be a field. The additive and multiplicative inverses are unique.

## Proof

Let  $a \in \mathcal{F}$  and suppose  $a + b = 0$  and  $a + b' = 0$ . We'll show that  $b = b'$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} b &= b + 0, \\ &= b + (a + b'), \\ &= (b + a) + b', \\ &= (a + b) + b', \\ &= 0 + b', \\ &= b'. \end{aligned}$$

The proof for the multiplicative inverse is similar and left as an exercise for the willing.

## Famous fields

Let  $+$  and  $\times$  be ordinary number addition and multiplication, respectively. Then

- Ⓐ  $(\mathbf{R}, +, \times)$  is the real field.
- Ⓑ  $(\mathbf{Q}, +, \times)$  is the rational field. Certainly the sum and product of rational numbers is a rational number so indeed,  $+: \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  and similarly for  $\times$ . The other required conditions are “inherited” from the properties of the real field.
- Ⓒ  $(\mathbf{Z}, +, \times)$  isn't a field because, for example, there is no  $x \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $2x = 1$ .



It's true:  $x \times \theta = \theta$

### Proposition

Let  $(\mathcal{F}, +, \times)$  be a field and let  $\theta$  be its additive identity. Then

$$(\forall a \in \mathcal{F})(a \times \theta = \theta) \tag{1}$$

### Proof.

Let  $x \in \mathcal{F}$ . We have  $x + \theta = x$ . Multiplying this by  $x$  and using the distributive property, we have  $x^2 + x\theta = x^2$ . Adding  $-x^2$  to this yields  $x \times \theta = \theta$ .



It's true:  $a \times (-b) = -(a \times b)$

### Proposition

Let  $(\mathcal{F}, +, \times)$  be a field and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be its additive identity. Then

$$(\forall a, b \in \mathcal{F}) (a \times (-b) = -(a \times b)). \quad (2)$$

### Proof.

Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{F}$ . We have

$$\theta = a \times \theta = a \times (b + -b) = a \times b + a \times (-b).$$

So  $-(a \times b) = a \times (-b)$



## Something for nothing

We know that  $-(a \times b) = a \times (-b)$  is an identity. Replacing the silent variable  $a$  by  $-a$  gives an syntactically different but semantically equal identity

$$-((-a) \times b) = (-a) \times (-b)$$

But  $((-a) \times b) = b \times (-a) = -(a \times b)$ . That tells gives us the famous result that

$$(a \times b) = (-a) \times (-b).$$

Let's **not** confuse this mathematical fact with **rubbish** such as “Morwenna deposits -\$10 a total of -5 times. What is Morwenna's account value?”

# Ordered Fields

## Definition

A field  $(\mathcal{F}, +, \times)$  is ordered provided there is a subset  $P$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that

- Ⓐ If  $a, b \in P$ , we have  $a + b \in P$ ,
- Ⓑ If  $a, b \in P$ , we have  $a \times b \in P$ ,
- Ⓒ For all  $a \in \mathcal{F}$  exactly one of the following is true: (i)  $a \in P$ , (ii)  $-a \in P$ , (iii)  $a = 0$ .