

# Grammar for mathematicians and other humans

Barton Willis

MATH 460

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
University Nebraska at Kearney

# Write proofs as (English) sentences

Write proofs as (English) sentences; specifically:

- 👍 Every sentence must start with a word, not a mathematical expression.
- 👍 Every sentence of a proof must end with a *period* or a *semicolon*.
- 👍 Except for enumeration, generally mathematical expressions should be separated by a word or phrase.

## Examples

**Wrong:**  $m, n$  integers

**Correct:** Let  $m$  and  $n$  be integers.

**Wrong:**  $x > 0$ , we have  $x + 1 > 0$ .

**Correct:** Since  $x > 0$ , we have  $x + 1 > 0$ .

**Wrong:** If  $x \in A$ ,  $x \in B$ .

**Correct:** If  $x \in A$ , then  $x \in B$ .

# No poetry

## Ode To Tomatoes by Pablo Neruda

The street  
filled with tomatoes,  
midday,  
summer,  
light is  
halved  
like  
a  
tomato



Write proofs as regular text, not as poetry with wide margins.

## Example

**Wrong:** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Choose  $\delta = \varepsilon/3$ .

For  $|x - 1| < \delta$  we have  $x < 1 + \delta$ .

**Correct:** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta = \varepsilon/3$ . For  $|x - 1| < \delta$  we have  $x < 1 + \delta$ .

# Say what you mean

Try reading your text out loud. Make sure it makes sense.

## Examples

**Wrong:** Let  $x \in A \subset B$ .

**Correct** Let  $x \in A$ . Since  $A \subset B$ , we have  $x \in B$ .

**Wrong:** Let  $k > 0$  be an integer.

**Correct:** Let  $k$  be a positive integer.



The sentence

*Let  $x$  be a member of  $A$  is a subset of  $B$ .*

is nonsense. So is

*Let  $k$  is greater than zero be an integer.*

# First waffle rule

The first waffle is never perfect; neither is the first attempt at a proof. Revise your work until it is as close to perfect as you can make it.

- 👍 But first be sure your work is logical—correcting the form of illogical work is a waste of time.
- 👍 By all means, if it helps you construct a proof, draw pictures and diagrams filled with lines and arrows.
- 👍 But do not include your scratch work in the final copy.
- 👍 In a quest for perfection, mathematicians have been known to write math on restaurant menus, unpaid bills, and on birth certificates.

# Pick-and-show idiom

Anytime you need to show one set is a subset of another, you should use the “pick-and-show” idiom; it looks like this:

**Proposition** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets and suppose  $H_1, H_2, \dots$ , and  $H_n$ . Then  $A \subset B$ .*

**Proof** *If  $x \in A$ , we have (deductions made using the facts  $H_1$  through  $H_n$ ); therefore  $x \in B$ .*

Here, the statements  $H_1$  through  $H_n$  are the hypothesis of the proposition. To demonstrate set equality, use the pick-and-show idiom twice.



# Pick-and-show shown

## Pick-and-show example

**Proposition** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets with  $A \subset B$ . Then  $B^C \subset A^C$ .

**Proof** If  $x \in B^C$ , then  $x \notin B$ . Since  $x \notin B$  and  $A \subset B$ , we have  $x \notin A$ ; therefore  $x \in A^C$ .

👍 The *conclusion* of the proposition is  $B^C \subset A^C$ . Thus pick-and-show starts with “If  $x \in B^C$ .”

👍 The hypothesis is  $A \subset B$ . Starting pick-and-show starting with ‘If  $x \in A^C$ ’ is the exit ramp to nowhere.

# Jeep<sup>1</sup> idiom

To show that there is only one thing of some object, assume  $\text{Thing}_1$  and  $\text{Thing}_2$  are these objects and show that  $\text{Thing}_1 = \text{Thing}_2$

There's only one

**Proposition** There is at most one empty set.

**Proof** Suppose  $E$  and  $E'$  are empty sets. Since  $E$  is empty, we have  $E \subset E'$ . Similarly since  $E'$  is empty, we have  $E' \subset E$ ; therefore  $E = E'$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>there's only one