TW3720TU: Object Oriented Scientific Programming with C++ (11/14/17)

Matthias Möller Numerical Analysis

řUDelft

Argument passing – by value

Passing by value (C++ default behaviour)

ruDelft

Argument passing – by value, cont'd

- Passing by value int function(int data)
 - Function receives a copy of the original data
 - For large data a huge amount of temporal memory is needed
 - Modifications of data inside the function have no effect outside
 - Only one parameter can be changed at a time via return value
- Recommendation: I would use pass-by-value for passing fundamental data types and enumerators (later), e.g.

```
void printDayOfTheWeek(int day) { ... }
```

Argument passing – by reference

Passing by (constant) reference

Argument passing – by reference, cont'd

- Pass by reference void function(int& data)
 - Function receives a reference to the original data
 - No copy of data is created (better performance for large data)
 - Modifications of data inside the function have effect outside
 - Multiple parameters can be changed at a time void function(int& data1, int&data2, int& data3)
 - To prevent modification of data but still enable efficient passing of arguments use void function(const int& data)
- Recommendation: I would use pass-by-reference in most cases using const to prevent data modification

ĽUDelfi

Argument passing – by address

Passing by address

Argument passing - by address, cont'd

- Pass by address void function(int* data)
 - Function receives the address where data is stored in memory
 - The address is passed by value, so you cannot change the address but you can change the dereferenced data behind it
 - No copy of data is created (better performance for large data)
 - Modifications of data inside the function have effect outside
 - To prevent modification of data but still enable efficient passing of arguments use void function(const int* data)
- Recommendation: I would use pass-by-address only for build-in arrays using const to prevent data modification

Passing arguments

Example: Compute the sum of the entries of an array

```
double sum(const int* array, int length)
    double s = 0;
    for (auto i=0; i<length; i++)</pre>
        s += array[i];
    return s;
int array[5] = \{ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \};
std::cout << sum(array, 5) << std::endl;</pre>
```

Task: Dot product

Write a C++ code that computes the dot product

$$a \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i$$

of two vectors $a=[a_1,a_2,...,a_n]$ and $b=[b_1,b_2,...,b_n]$ and terminates if the two vectors have different length.

ruDelft

Dot product function

The main functionality without any fail-safe checks

C++ Assert

 C++ provides a general solution to ensure that prerequisite conditions are satisfied and to gracefully exit otherwise

Interface: void assert(int expression)

ruDelft

C++ Assert, cont'd

 All assert checks can be disabled explicitly by defining NDEBUG before the inclusion of the cassert header file

 C++ assert can be very helpful for debugging but it should not be used as a general check for prerequisites!

CMake build types

- CMake provides several default build modes
 - Debug: debug build with NDEBUG undefined
 - Release: release build with NDEBUG defined
 - RelWithDebInfo: release build(+debug info) w/NDEBUG defined
 - MinSizeRel: minimal size release build with NDEBUG defined
- Specify build mode when calling cmake

```
$> cmake -DCMAKE_BUILD_TYPE=Debug ../tw3720tu.2017
$> cmake -DCMAKE_BUILD_TYPE=Release ../tw3720tu.2017
```

C++ Exceptions

- Exceptions are a more elegant way to handle failures
- Exceptions allow the user to react on failures instead of just gracefully exiting the program

Exceptions, cont'd

Call functions that throw exceptions in a try-catch-block

```
#include <exception>
try
{
    dot_product(x, 5, y, 5); // can throw exception
} catch (const char* msg)
{
    // This will be done if exception is thrown
    std::cerr << msg << std::endl;
}</pre>
```

Exceptions, cont'd

Exception is caught (with appropriate failure handling)

```
#include <exception>
try
    dot_product(x, 5, y, 4); // will throw exception
  catch (const char* msg)
    // This will be done if exception is thrown
    std::cerr << msg << std::endl;</pre>
```

Dot product improved

First version of dot product with exception

```
#include <exception>
double dot product(const double* a, int n,
                   const double* b, int m)
    if(n!=m) throw ,,Vectors have different length";
    double d=0;
    for (auto i=0; i<n; i++)
        d += a[i]*b[i];
    return d;
```

Dot product improved, cont'd

First version of dot product is still not fully fail-safe

```
int main()
    double x[5] = \{ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \};
    double y[4] = \{ 1, 2, 3, 4 \};
    try {
        double d=dot product(x, 5, y, 5);
    } catch (const char* msg) {
        std::cerr << msg << std::endl;</pre>
```

• It would be much better if x and y "know" their length!

Object Oriented Programming

- Main idea of OOP is to bundle data (e.g. array) and functionality (e.g. length) into a struct or class
- Components of a struct are public (=can be accessed from outside the struct) by default
- Components of a class are private (=cannot be accessed from outside the class) by default
- Components of a struct/class are attributes and member functions (=methods)

Class vs. struct

```
• struct Vector
{
  public:
    // default
    double* array;
    int length;
  private:
  };
```

```
• class Vector
{
  private:
    // default
  public:
    double* array;
    int length;
};
```

rUDelft

Dot product with Vector

Second version of dot product using Vector class or struct

```
#include <exception>
double dot product(const Vector& a, const Vector& b)
    if(a.length!=b.length) throw ,,Vectors have ...";
    double d=0.0;
    for (auto i=0; i<a.length; i++)</pre>
        d += a.array[i]*b.array[i];
    return d;
```

Access member of a struct/class by dot-notation (".")

Dot product with Vector, cont'd

```
int main()
    Vector x,y;
     x.array = new double[5] \{1,2,3,4,5\}; x.length = 5;
    y.array = new double[5] \{1,2,3,4,5\}; y.length = 5;
     try {
         double d = dot product(x, y);
     } catch (const char* msg) {
         std::cerr << msg << std::endl;</pre>
     delete[] x.array; x.length = 0;
     delete[] y.array; y.length = 0;
```

rUDelft

Dot product with Vector, cont'd

- It is still possible to initialise x.length by the wrong value
 x.array = new double[5] {1,2,3,4,5}; x.length = 4;
- The main function is not very readable due to the lengthy declaration, initialisation and deletion of data
- OOP solution:
 - Constructor(s): method to construct a new Vector object
 - Destructor: method to destruct an existing Vector object

rUDelft

Constructor

 The constructor is called each time a new Vector object (=instance of the class Vector) is created

```
class Vector
{
    Vector() // Default constructor
    {
        array = nullptr;
        length = 0;
    }
};
```

 Try what happens if you leave array uninitialized and call the destructor (see later slide)

ruDelft

Constructor, cont'd

 A class can have multiple constructors if they have a different interface (=different parameters)

```
class Vector
    // Constructor
    Vector(int len)
        array = new double[len];
        length = len;
```

Constructor, cont'd

What if a parameter has the same name as an attribute?

```
class Vector
    Vector(int length)
        array = new double[length];
        // this pointer refers to the object itself,
        // hence this->length is the attribute and length
        // is the parameter passed to the constructor
        this->length = length;
```

Destructor

 The destructor is called implicitly at the end of the lifetime of a Vector object, e.g., at the end of its scope

```
class Vector
{
    // Destructor (and there can be only one!)
    ~Vector()
    {
        delete[] array;
        length = 0;
    }
};
```

Constructor/destructor

```
int main()
    Vector x; // Default constructor is called
        Vector y(5); // Constructor is called
        // Destructor is called for Vector y
    // Destructor is called for Vector x
```

 Without array = nullptr in the default constructor the destruction of x will lead to a run-time error. Try it!

ruDelft

Uniform initialization constructors (C++11)

But now, the handy uniform initialisation no longer works

```
Vector x(5); // use constructor
for (auto i=0; i<x.length; i++)
    x.array[i] = i;</pre>
```

C++11 solution: initialiser lists (seems like magic right now)

Dot product – close to perfection

 Third version of dot product using Vector class with uniform initialization constructor (C++11) and exceptions

```
int main()
    Vector x = \{ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \};
    Vector y = \{ 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 \};
    try {
        double dot product(x, y,);
    } catch (const char* msg) {
        std::cerr << msg << std::endl;</pre>
```

Delegating constructor (C++11)

```
Vector(int length)
    array = new double[length];
    this->length = length;
Vector(std::initializer list<double> list)
    length = (int)list.size();
    array = new double[length];
    std::uninitialized copy(list.begin(),
                             list.end(), array);
```

Delegating constructor (C++11), cont'd

 Delegating constructors delegate part of the work to another constructor of the same or another class

```
Vector(int length)
: length(length),
  array(new double[length])
{ }
```

 Here, delegation is not really helpful but more a question of coding style (e.g., some programmers use delegation in all situation where this is technically possible)

Delegating constructor (C++11), cont'd

 Delegating constructors delegate part of the work to another constructor of the same or another class

 Here, delegation is really helpful since it reduces duplicate code (think: new attributes are added to Vector, then only one constructor has to be extended)

Function -> member function

Function that computes the sum of a Vector

```
double sum(const Vector& a)
{
    double s = 0;
    for (auto i=0; i<a.length; i++)
        s += a.array[i];
    return s;
}</pre>
```

This is not really OOP-style!

```
int main()
{ Vector x={1,2,3};
    std::cout << sum(x) << std::endl; }</pre>
```

Function -> member function, cont'd

Vector object computes its sum (itself!)

```
class Vector
public:
    double sum()
        double s = 0;
        for (auto i=0; i<length; i++)</pre>
             s += array[i];
         return s;
```

řuDelft

Function -> member function, cont'd

This is good OOP-style

```
int main()
{
    Vector x = {1,2,3};
    std::cout << x.sum() << std::endl;
}</pre>
```

- Recommendation: prefer class/struct member functions over external ones whenever this is possible
- Can we implement the dot product as a member function?

ruDelft

Function -> member function, cont'd

```
class Vector
public:
    double dot product(const Vector& other)
         if(length!=other.length) throw ,,Vectors have ...";
         double d=0;
         for (auto i=0; i<length; i++)</pre>
             d += array[i]*other.array[i];
         return d;
```

rUDelft

Function -> member function, cont'd

```
• int main()
{
     Vector x = {1,2,3}; Vector y = {2,4,6};
     std::cout << x.dot_product(y) << std::endl;
     std::cout << y.dot_product(x) << std::endl;
}</pre>
```

 Formally, the dot product is an operation between two Vector objects and not a member function of one Vector object the needs another Vector object for calculation

Operator overloading

- C++ allows to overload (=redefine) the standard operators
 - Unary operators: ++a, a++, --a, a--, ~a, !a
 - Binary operators: a+b, a-b, a*b, a/b
 - Comparison operators: a==b, a!=b, a<b, a<=b, a>=b
- Interfaces:

```
<return_type> operator<OP>() const
<return type> operator<OP>(const Vector& other) const
```

Operator overloading, cont'd

Implementation of dot product as overloaded *-operator

```
class Vector
public:
    double operator*(const Vector& other) const
        if(length!=other.length) throw ,, Vectors have ...";
        double d=0;
        for (auto i=0; i<length; i++)
            d += array[i]*other.array[i];
        return d;
```

řuDelft

Operator overloading, cont'd

```
• int main()
{
     Vector x = {1,2,3}; Vector y = {2,4,6};
     std::cout << x*y << std::endl;
     std::cout << y*x << std::endl;
}</pre>
```

 Now, the dot product is implemented as *-operation that maps two Vector objects to a scalar value

Operator overloading, cont'd

- The const specifier indicates that the Vector reference "other" must not be modified by the *-operator
- The trailing const specifier indicates that the "this" pointer must not be modified by the *-operator

```
double operator*(const Vector& other) const
{
    ...
}
```

Assignment by operator overloading

Implementation of assignment as overloaded =-operator

```
Vector& operator=(Vector& other)
    if(this != &other)
        // Exchange resources between *this and other
        swap(other);
    return *this;
} // destructor of other is called to release resources
  // formely held by *this
```

Note that the "this" pointer is modified (no trailing const!)

Addition by operator overloading

Implementation of addition as overloaded +=-operator

```
Vector& operator+=(const Vector& other)
{
    if(length!=other.length) throw "Vectors have ...";
    for (auto i=0; i<length; i++)
        array[i] += other.array[i];
    return *this;
}</pre>
```

- Usage: Vector x, y; x += y;
- Note that the "this" pointer is modified (no trailing const!)