

Track-to-Track fusion.

classmate

Date _____
Page _____

2. Derivation of optimal Track fusion.

$\hat{x}_1(k|k), \hat{x}_2(k|k), \dots, \hat{x}_n(k|k) \rightarrow$ remote tracks
for target $x(k)$ observed by 'n' sensors.

The optimal fusion is a conditional mean given the track estimate & the corresponding covariance,

$$\hat{x}_F(k|k) = E[x(k) | \hat{x}_i, P_i, i=1, 2, \dots, n].$$

$\hat{x}_F \rightarrow$ fused estimate.

$P_F \rightarrow$ " estimate's covariance.

$\hat{x}_i \rightarrow$ individual track estimate

$P_i \rightarrow$ corresponding covariance of individual track estimate.

for simplicity of derivation, assume that the posterior probability density function is of the standard normal "✓",

$$\text{i.e. } f(x | \hat{x}_i) = \phi[(x - \hat{x}_F) / \sigma_F]$$

$$P_F = \sigma_F^2$$

The posterior density $f(x | \hat{x}_i)$ is proportional to joint density function.

i.e. $f(x - \hat{x}_1, x - \hat{x}_2, \dots, x - \hat{x}_n)$ which is a likelihood function expressed by

$$f \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x - \hat{x}_1, x - \hat{x}_2, \dots, x - \hat{x}_n) \sum_{i=1}^n (x - \hat{x}_i, x - \hat{x}_i)^T\right\}$$

Denote, $e = [I, I, \dots, I]^T$,
 $\Sigma = [E^T (x - \hat{x}_i) (x - \hat{x}_i)^T E]$ and
 $M = (\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_n)^T$

joint density function can be expressed

as: $f(M - ex) \propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (M - ex)^T \Sigma^{-1} (M - ex) \right\}$

matrix dimensions:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (2d \times 1) & \hat{x}_i &= (2d \times 1) \\ M &= (2dn \times 1) & P_i &= (2d \times 2d) \\ e &= (2dn \times 2d) \\ \Sigma &= (2dn \times 2dn) \end{aligned}$$

where,

$d = \text{no. of state vector elements}$

$d = 2$ if (p, v) & $d = 3$ if (p, v, a)
↓ velocity
position ↓ acceleration

$2 \rightarrow$ indicates the dimension of co-ord. p/m
 i.e. (x, y) .

$\hat{x}_i \rightarrow$ can be obtained by differentiating the likelihood funcⁿ w.r.t x from

$$l = (M - ex)^T \Sigma^{-1} (M - ex) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial x} = -2 \cdot e^T \Sigma^{-1} (M - ex) = 0$$

$$\hat{x}_F = (\mathbf{e}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{e})^{-1} (\mathbf{e}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{y})$$

$$P_F = (\mathbf{e}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{e})^{-1} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

(1) — is the general expression for n -sensors S/M, as compared to the Bar-Shalom's 2 sensor case.

$$\hat{x}_F = (P_1 + P_2 - P^{12} - P^{21})^{-1} [(P_1 - P^{12}) \hat{x}_1 + (P_2 - P^{21}) \hat{x}_2]$$

$$P_F = \mathbf{e}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}^T (\Sigma^{-1}) \mathbf{e}$$

$$= (P_1 P_2 - P^{12} P^{21})^{-1} (P_1 + P_2 - P^{12} - P^{21})$$

where,

P_i^i = auto-covariances of remote track i .
 P^{ij} = cross-correlation betⁿ track i & j .

for uncorrelated tracks, $P^{ij} = 0$.

$\Sigma \rightarrow$ the CO-variance matrix is a block diagonal matrix.

In this case the S/M can be completely decoupled with state vector size of $2d$:

$$\hat{x}_F = P_F \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (P_i^i)^{-1} \hat{x}_i \right]$$

$$P_F = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (P_i^i)^{-1} \right]^{-1} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

3. Estimation of Covariances.

The optimal track fusion requires knowledge about the Covariance Σ which consists of remote Covariance (P^i) & cross Covariance (P^{ij}) .

$$\Sigma = (P^{ij})$$

where,

$$P^{ij} = E \{ (x - \hat{x}^i) (x - \hat{x}^j)^T \}.$$

3.1 Estimation of AutoCovariance.

If the remote trackers do not provide the confidence of track quality in the form of estimation error covariance.

a) Austere Track fusion

If track estimation errors are independent, then the joint density funcⁿ is expressed as a product of density funcⁿ of each tracker.

If variances of estimators are identical then i.e. $P^i = \sigma^2$, then.

$$\hat{x}_F = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{x}_i$$

$$P_F = \sigma^2 / n.$$

It provides an optimal fusion for independent tracks when the sensors have independent identical measurement characteristics.

b) Estimation by pure averaging.

When the target dynamic is exactly modeled and filter residual is statistically equivalent to white noise.

Thus, the filter covariances can be estimated from KF Smoothing eqns.

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{x}_i(k|k-1), \hat{x}_i(k|k), K_i(k), H_i(k) \\ & \rightarrow \hat{x}_i(k|k) = \hat{x}_i(k|k-1) + K_i(k) [Z_i(k) - H_i(k) \hat{x}_i(k|k-1)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & x' = x + K \cdot y \\ & \text{where, } y = z - H \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

the filter residual \rightarrow difference betⁿ smoother & predicted states as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_i(k|k) - \hat{x}_i(k|k-1) &= K_i(k) [Z_i(k) - H_i(k) \hat{x}_i(k|k-1)] \\ &= K_i(k) V_i(k) \end{aligned}$$

Smoothing error covariance:

$$P_i(k) = E \{ [\hat{x}_i(k|k) - \hat{x}_i(k|k-1)] [\hat{x}_i(k|k) - \hat{x}_i(k|k-1)]^T \}$$

$$\Rightarrow K_i(k) S_i(k) K_i(k)^T$$

where,

$$S_i(k) = E \{ V_i(k) V_i(k)^T \}$$

$$K_i(k) = P_i(k|k-1) H_i(k)^T S_i(k)^{-1}$$

we get,

$$S_i(K) \cdot K_i(K) = P_i^p(K|K-1) \cdot H_i(K)^T$$

$$\therefore \Delta_i(K) = P_i^p(K|K-1) \cdot H_i(K)^T \cdot K_i(K)^T$$

→ Smoothing error Covariance

predicted Covariance →

$$P_i^p(K|K-1) = (K_i + H_i)^T \Delta_i(K)$$

$K-1$] where, $\Delta_i(K)$ is computed by fine averaging.

$$\Delta_i(K) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=K}^K \left[\hat{x}_i(j|j) - \hat{x}_i(j|j-1) \right] \left[\hat{x}_i(j|j) - \hat{x}_i(j|j-1) \right]^T$$

c) Recursive Estimation

from KF eqn's, Covariance update & prediction are computed by.

$$P_i^p(K|K) = (I - K_i H_i) P_i^p(K|K-1) (I - K_i H_i)^T + K_i R_i K_i^T \quad (4)$$

$$P_i^p(K+1|K) = F_i(K) P_i^p(K|K) F_i(K)^T + L_i(K) Q_i(K) L_i(K)^T \quad (5)$$

$K \rightarrow$ Kalman Gain.

$H \rightarrow$ Measurement matrix.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} P_i^p = (I - KH)P \\ P_i^p = F \cdot P \cdot F^T + G \end{array} \right] \leftarrow \text{from KF eqn's}$$

d) Estimation by steady state conditions

Impose steady state conditions to (4) & (5)

$$P^o(k+1|k+1) = P^o(k|k) \quad \text{and}$$

$$P^o(k+1|k) = P^o(k|k-1)$$

the steady state covariance converges to constant matrix.

$$P^o(k+1|k) = \Phi_o \begin{bmatrix} dT^4/\beta^2 & T^3/\beta \\ T^3/\beta & T^2(2d+\beta)/2\beta \end{bmatrix}$$

$\Phi_o \rightarrow$ process noise matrix covariance.

$T \rightarrow$ Sampling time.

d & $\beta \rightarrow$ Smoothing Gain.

3-2 Estimation of cross-covariance (Covariance) (P^{oo})

Dynamic glm:

$$X_i^o(k+1) = F_i^o X_i^o(k) + \underbrace{L_i^o \varepsilon_i^o(k)}_{\text{process noise}}$$

$$Z_i^o(k) = H_i^o X_i^o(k) + V_i^o(k)$$

$$\rightarrow y = Z - Hx$$

cross covariance between sensors:

$$P^{ij}(k+1/k) = F_i(k) P^{ij}(k/k) F_j(k)^T + \underbrace{L_i^T Q_{ij} L_j}_{\text{process noise covariance}}$$

process noise covariance

$$P^{ij}(k/k) = (I - K_i^T H_i) P^{ij}(k/k-1) (I - K_j^T H_j)^T$$

3.3 Track fusion without cross covariance (P^{ij})

a) Bar-Shalom method-

Fused \hat{x} and track & covariance are expressed by:

$$\begin{aligned} P(k/k)^{-1} \hat{x}(k/k) &= P(k/k-1)^{-1} \hat{x}(k/k-1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n [P^i(k/k)^{-1} \hat{x}_i(k/k) - P^i(k/k-1)^{-1} \hat{x}_i(k/k-1)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$P(k/k)^{-1} - P(k/k-1)^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n [P^i(k/k)^{-1} - P^i(k/k-1)^{-1}]$$

b) Pseudo-measurement method.

This method is an inverse operation that converts a target estimate back to sensor measurement by:

$$K(k)^+ [\hat{x}(k/k) - \hat{x}(k/k-1)] + H \hat{x}(k/k-1),$$

where, $K(k)^+ \rightarrow$ pseudo inverse.