

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255039766>

High-spin results for $\Lambda^1 \rightarrow \mu \Lambda \mu \text{Tb}$

Article in *Physical Review C* · February 1996

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.53.989 · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

10

10 authors, including:



Mark Riley

Florida State University

343 PUBLICATIONS 4,530 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



John Simpson

Science and Technology Facilities Council

677 PUBLICATIONS 11,637 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

READS

88



D. J. Hartley

United States Naval Academy

297 PUBLICATIONS 3,243 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



John Francis Sharpey-Schafer

Between attachments !!

430 PUBLICATIONS 6,823 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Lifetime [View project](#)



This is an iThemba LABS, South African project published in the European Physical Journal A 25, 49-55 (200) [View project](#)

BRIEF REPORTS

Brief Reports are short papers which report on completed research or are addenda to papers previously published in the *Physical Review*. A Brief Report may be no longer than four printed pages and must be accompanied by an abstract.

High-spin results for ^{155}Tb

M. A. Riley,¹ D. J. Hartley,¹ J. Simpson,² J. F. Sharpey-Schafer,^{3,*} D. E. Archer,¹ T. B. Brown,¹ J. Döring,¹ P. Fallon,⁴ C. A. Kalfas,⁵ and S. L. Tabor¹

¹Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306

²The Council for the Central Laboratory of the Research Councils, Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, Warrington WA4 4AD, United Kingdom

³Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3BX, United Kingdom

⁴Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

⁵Institute of Nuclear Physics, National Center for Scientific Research Demokritos, 15310 Athens, Greece

(Received 25 September 1995)

High-spin states in ^{155}Tb have been identified via the weak $p4n$ exit channel of the $^{36}\text{S} + ^{124}\text{Sn}$ reaction using the Gammasphere array. The $\pi h_{11/2}$ yrast band has been established up to $I^\pi = 67/2^-$ filling an important gap in the $N = 90$ high-spin systematics. The variation of the first $i_{13/2}$ neutron backband as a function of proton number for $N = 90$ isotones and trends regarding the phenomenon of signature splitting and signature inversion in the odd- Z nuclei are discussed.

PACS number(s): 25.70.Gh, 21.10.Re, 23.20.Lv, 27.70.+q

A near panoply of high-spin phenomena has been observed in the $N = 90$ nuclei, including, for example, rotational alignment of pairs of high- j neutrons and protons, rich multiple-quasiparticle band structures, drastic rotation induced shape changes leading to band termination, and, at the very highest spins observed in normally deformed nuclei, evidence for the diminishing of pairing correlations; see Ref. [1] and references therein.

Figure 1 shows a plot of proton number versus observed maximum spin for the $61 \leq Z \leq 72$, $N = 90$ isotones prior to this work. The sudden drop below $Z = 66$ (Dy) reflects the fact that only light ion ($A \leq 11$) induced compound nuclear reactions can be used to produce high spins in the $Z \leq 65$ nuclei via the dominant neutron evaporation channels. In contrast, the $Z \geq 66$ nuclei may be created via [heavy ion (HI), xn] reactions using heavier beams ($A \sim 30$ – 50), thus allowing the population of much higher spin states. In the case of ^{155}Tb ($Z = 65$), the extremely low maximum spin value $I = 31/2$ [2] is also due to the fact that this nucleus had not been studied using a modern escape suppressed Ge detector array. The present work uses the multiple-detector array Gammasphere [3] to pick out the weak $p4n$ reaction channel and establishes the $\pi h_{11/2}$ yrast band in ^{155}Tb to spin values above $30\hbar$.

High-spin states in $^{155}\text{Tb}_{90}$ were populated using the $^{124}\text{Sn}(^{36}\text{S}, p4n)$ reaction at a beam energy of 160 MeV. The beam was provided by the 88" Cyclotron at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and γ -ray coincidences were detected using the early implementation of the Gammasphere

array consisting of 34 escape suppressed Ge detectors. The target consisted of two stacked foils of ^{124}Sn , each of thickness $500 \mu\text{g cm}^{-2}$. Approximately 1.5×10^9 threefold or higher suppressed coincidence events were collected. Analysis has been performed utilizing the cube inspection program LEVIT8R [4]. Preliminary results on this work have been reported in Ref. [5].

Although the neutron evaporation channels leading to Dy isotopes accounted for about 95% of the observed reaction cross section, the wonderful ability of the new generation of Ge detector arrays to resolve weak channels, combined with sophisticated analysis software, has enabled a great deal of




FIG. 1. Observed maximum spin versus Z for the $N = 90$ isotones. The asterisk indicates the new value for ^{155}Tb ($Z = 65$) obtained in the present work.

*Present address: National Accelerator Centre, Faure, P.O. Box 72, ZA-7131 South Africa.




FIG. 4. Alignment plot for the $h_{11/2}$ band in ^{155}Tb . The Harris reference parameters used were $\mathcal{J}_0 = 32 \hbar^2/\text{MeV}$ and $\mathcal{J}_1 = 34 \hbar^4/\text{MeV}^3$.

The energy splitting (divided by $2I$) between adjacent levels in the two signatures of the $h_{11/2}$ sequences as a function of spin is shown in Fig. 6. The signature inversion effect occurs when the initially energetically unfavored $\alpha = +1/2$ (solid circles) drops below the favored $\alpha = -1/2$ (open circles).

Four systematic trends can be seen in Fig. 6. First, there is a larger signature splitting at low spin observed for the Tm and Lu isotopes as compared to the lower Z isotones. This may be understood in terms of the lower quadrupole deformation of Tm and Lu compared with those of Ho, Tb, Eu, and Pm nuclei which are predicted to be rather similar [9]. A reduction in the triaxial γ deformation with decreasing Z [15] would also be consistent with this signature splitting trend. Second, a sudden quenching of the signature splitting occurs at $I = 33/2$. This is associated with polarization effects due to the rotational alignment of a pair of $i_{13/2}$ neu-




FIG. 5. The systematics of the first $i_{13/2}$ (AB) neutron crossing frequency for $N = 90$ nuclei.




FIG. 6. Signature splitting in the odd- Z $h_{11/2}$ bands of $N = 90$ nuclei. The data sources are as follows: ^{151}Pm [25], ^{153}Eu [24], ^{157}Ho [27], ^{159}Tm [28], and ^{161}Lu [26].

trons. Third, in the Ho, Tm, and Lu isotopes this leads to signature inversion above $I = 33/2$. This latter effect has been discussed, using a triaxial particle-rotor model [15,22], in terms of a shape change from negative to positive values of the triaxial shape parameter γ , induced by the rotational alignment of $i_{13/2}$ neutrons. Other interpretations based on, for example, γ vibrations built on a symmetric shape [19] and also the interacting boson-fermion model [23] have been suggested. For Z values less than 67, however, signature inversion above the backbending point does not occur and, in fact, seems to appear increasingly less likely for lower Z values. Finally, there is a trend at the highest spins, for a reversal back to the low-spin signature ordering. This reversal occurs at a higher spin for increasing Z , for example, near spin $I \approx 25$ in Ho, $I \approx 30$ in Tm. Understanding this rich interplay of signature effects in this long chain of $N = 90$ odd- Z nuclei is a theoretical challenge. It is possible that signature-dependent shapes for the $\pi h_{11/2}$ bands, as suggested in Ref. [27], may play an important role, as could octupole correlations, which become especially strong in ^{151}Pm and ^{153}Eu [24,25,29].

In summary, high-spin states in ^{155}Tb have been observed for the first time. The $\pi h_{11/2}$ band has been established to a spin of $I = 67/2^-$. These results help complete the $N = 90$ high-spin yrast systematics from $Z = 61$ to 72. The trends in signature splitting and inversion and the backbending behavior as a function of proton number were discussed.

Special thanks is given to D. C. Radford and H. Q. Jin for their software support. Thanks is also given to R. Darlington for help with the targets. Support for this work was provided by the U.S. Department of Energy, the National Science

Foundation, the State of Florida, the U.K. Council for the Central Laboratory of the Research Councils, and the Greek Scientific Research Council. M.A.R. and J.S. acknowledge the receipt of a NATO Collaborative Research Grant.

-
- [1] J.F. Sharpey-Schafer and J. Simpson, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **21**, 293 (1988).
 - [2] R. Bengtsson, J.A. Pinston, D. Barneoud, E. Monnard, and F. Schussler, *Nucl. Phys.* **A389**, 158 (1982).
 - [3] "GAMMASPHERE, A National Gamma-ray Facility," edited by M.A. Deleplanque and R.M. Diamond, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, Report No. PUB-5202, 1988 (unpublished); I.Y. Lee, *Nucl. Phys.* **A520**, 641c (1990).
 - [4] D.C. Radford, *Nucl. Instrum. and Methods* **361**, 297 (1995).
 - [5] M.A. Riley, J. Simpson, T.B. Brown, J.F. Sharpey-Schafer, D.E. Archer, J. Döring, P. Fallon, C.A. Kalfas, and S.L. Tabor, in "Proceedings of the Conference on Physics from Large γ -ray Detector Arrays," Berkeley, 1994, Report No. LBL35687 (unpublished), Vol. 2, p. 206.
 - [6] T.B. Brown, M.A. Riley, J. Simpson, J.F. Sharpey-Schafer, D.E. Archer, J. Döring, P. Fallon, D.J. Hartley, C.A. Kalfas, and S.L. Tabor (unpublished).
 - [7] R. Bengtsson, S. Frauendorf, and F.R. May, *At. Nucl. Data Tables* **35**, 15 (1986).
 - [8] J. Simpson, P.D. Forsyth, D. Howe, B.M. Nyako, M.A. Riley, J.F. Sharpey-Schafer, J. Bacelar, J.D. Garrett, G.B. Hagemann, B. Herskind, A. Holm, and P.O. Tjom, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 1132 (1985).
 - [9] W. Nazarewicz, M.A. Riley, and J.D. Garrett, *Nucl. Phys.* **A512**, 61 (1990).
 - [10] M.A. Riley, J. Simpson, J.F. Sharpey-Schafer, J.R. Cresswell, H.W. Cranmer-Gordon, P.D. Forsyth, D. Howe, A.H. Nelson, P.J. Nolan, P.J. Smith, N.J. Ward, J.C. Lisle, E. Paul, and P.M. Walker, *Nucl. Phys.* **A486**, 456 (1988).
 - [11] J.D. Morrison, J. Simpson, M.A. Riley, H.W. Cranmer-Gordon, P.D. Forsyth, D. Howe, and J.F. Sharpey-Schafer, *J. Phys. G* **15**, 1871 (1989).
 - [12] R. Bengtsson, H. Frisk, F.R. May, and J.A. Pinston, *Nucl. Phys.* **A415**, 189 (1984).
 - [13] I. Hamamoto and B. Mottelson, *Phys. Lett.* **167B**, 370 (1986).
 - [14] I. Hamamoto, *Phys. Lett. B* **193**, 399 (1987).
 - [15] I. Hamamoto and H. Sagawa, *Phys. Lett. B* **201**, 415 (1988).
 - [16] I. Hamamoto, *Nucl. Phys.* **A520**, 297c (1990); **A522**, 63c (1991).
 - [17] A. Ikeda, *Nucl. Phys.* **A439**, 317 (1985).
 - [18] A. Ikeda and S. Aberg, *Nucl. Phys.* **A480**, 85 (1988).
 - [19] A. Ikeda and T. Shimano, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 139 (1989).
 - [20] M. Matsuzaki, Y.R. Shimizu, and K. Matsuyanagi, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **79**, 836 (1988).
 - [21] G.B. Hagemann, R. Chapman, P. Frandsen, A.R. Mokhtar, M.A. Riley, J. Simpson, and C.H. Yu, in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Nuclear Structure through Static and Dynamic Moments*, Melbourne, Australia, 1987 (unpublished), p. 313.
 - [22] G.B. Hagemann and I. Hamamoto, *Phys. Rev. C* **40**, 2862 (1989).
 - [23] N. Yoshida, H. Sagawa, T. Otsuka, and A. Arima, *Nucl. Phys.* **A503**, 90 (1989).
 - [24] C.J. Pearson, W.R. Phillips, J.L. Durell, B.J. Varley, W.J. Vermeer, W. Urban, and M.K. Khan, *Phys. Rev. C* **49**, R1239 (1994).
 - [25] W. Urban, J.C. Bacelar, W. Gast, G. Hebbinghaus, A. Krämer-Flecken, R.M. Lieder, T. Morek, and T. Rzaca-Urban, *Phys. Lett. B* **247**, 238 (1990).
 - [26] C.H. Yu, M.A. Riley, J.D. Garrett, G.B. Hagemann, J. Simpson, P.D. Forsyth, A.R. Mokhtar, J.D. Morrison, B.M. Nyakó, J.F. Sharpey-Schafer, and R. Wyss, *Nucl. Phys.* **A489**, 477 (1988).
 - [27] D.C. Radford, H.R. Andrews, G.C. Ball, D. Horn, D. Ward, F. Banville, S. Flibotte, S. Monaro, S. Pilotte, P. Taras, J.K. Johansson, D. Tucker, J.C. Waddington, M.A. Riley, G.B. Hagemann, and I. Hamamoto, *Nucl. Phys.* **A545**, 665 (1992).
 - [28] J. Simpson and D.C. Radford (private communications); A.J. Larabee, L.H. Courtney, S. Frauendorf, L.L. Riedinger, J.C. Waddington, M.P. Fewell, N.R. Johnson, I.Y. Lee, and F.K. McGowan, *Phys. Rev. C* **29**, 1934 (1984).
 - [29] A.V. Afanasjev, *J. Phys. G* **19**, L143 (1993).