

Dataset Overview

- **Rows:** 10,000
- **Columns:** 14
- **Memory Usage:** ~1.1 MB
- **No missing values** – all columns are fully populated.

📄 Columns Breakdown

| Column | Type | Description |
|-------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| UDI | int64 | Unique identifier for each row (just an index). |
| Product ID | object | Unique ID for the manufactured product (e.g., M14860). |
| Type | object | Product type (categorical: likely L, M, H). |
| Air temperature [K] | float64 | Air temperature in Kelvin . |
| Process temperature [K] | float64 | Process (internal machine) temperature in Kelvin . |
| Rotational speed [rpm] | int64 | Rotational speed of the machine in RPM . |
| Torque [Nm] | float64 | Torque applied on the machine in Newton-meters . |
| Tool wear [min] | int64 | Tool wear measured in minutes . |
| Machine failure | int64 | Binary label (0 = No failure, 1 = Failure). |
| TWF | int64 | Tool Wear Failure (0/1). |
| HDF | int64 | Heat Dissipation Failure (0/1). |
| PWF | int64 | Power Failure (0/1). |
| OSF | int64 | Overstrain Failure (0/1). |
| RNF | int64 | Random Failure (0/1). |

- The **target column** for this ML task would be Machine failure.
- The other failure columns (TWF, HDF, PWF, OSF, RNF) are **subcategories** of machine failure (helpful for root-cause classification).
- Features include **environmental (temperature)**, **operational (speed, torque)**, and **wear indicators**.

Here’s the **Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)** results for The Maintenance dataset:

□ **1. Data Quality Check**

- ✓ **No missing values** were found in any of the 14 columns.
- ✓ **No obvious erroneous values** (e.g., negative RPM, torque, or temperature) — all data ranges are reasonable.

▮▮ **2. Descriptive Statistics (Key Highlights)**

| Feature | Mean | Std | Min | Max | Insight |
|-------------------------|---------|--------|-------|-------|---------------------------------------------|
| Air temperature [K] | 300.0 | 2.0 | 295.3 | 304.5 | Stable environment (small variation). |
| Process temperature [K] | 310.0 | 1.48 | 305.7 | 313.8 | Slightly higher & stable than air temp. |
| Rotational speed [rpm] | 1539 | 179 | 1168 | 2886 | Most values near 1400–1600 rpm. |
| Torque [Nm] | 39.99 | 9.97 | 3.8 | 76.6 | Wide spread; some low/high torque outliers. |
| Tool wear [min] | 108 min | 64 min | 0 | 253 | Even distribution across low-to-high wear. |

| Feature | Mean | Std | Min | Max | Insight |
|-----------------|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----------------------------------------|
| Machine failure | 3.39% failures | - | - | - | Imbalanced dataset (failures are rare). |

3. Failure Analysis

- **Failure Rate:** Only **3.39%** of records have Machine failure = 1.
- Failures are **rare events**, so this is an **imbalanced classification problem**.
- Subtypes (TWF, HDF, PWF, OSF, RNF) have even lower rates (below 1.1%).

4. Correlation Analysis

- **Process temperature** and **air temperature** are moderately correlated (as expected).
- **Torque** and **rotational speed** show some negative correlation (higher speed = lower torque).
- **Machine failure** shows **weak correlation** with individual numeric features → failures are likely caused by a **combination of factors**, not just a single feature.

5. Anomalies

- **Torque [Nm]** has some very low values (~3.8 Nm) which may be normal but could indicate special cases.
- **Rotational speed [rpm]** has a few unusually high values near 2800 (might be worth checking if these are outliers or normal high-speed operations).

✓ Extra EDA Steps I think must be Included

1. Failure vs. Non-Failure Feature Comparison

Instead of just plotting all data together, compare:

- **Distributions** of torque, speed, temperatures for failed vs. non-failed machines (boxplots or KDE plots).
- This will show which features are most predictive of failure.

2. Categorical Feature Analysis

- Analyze Type (L/M/H):
 - Countplot showing how failures are distributed across product types.
 - Check if certain product types fail more often.

3. Outlier Detection

- Use **IQR method** or **Z-scores** to formally flag extreme values for:
 - Torque
 - Rotational speed
 - Tool wear
- Decide whether to keep them (if they are valid rare cases) or treat them.

4. Pairwise Feature Relationships

- Use **pairplots** or **scatter plots** (colored by failure) to see:
 - If failures cluster in certain regions (e.g., low torque + high speed).
 - Whether there's interaction between multiple features leading to failures.

5. Feature Correlation with Target

- Calculate **Point-biserial correlation** (or use feature importance from a quick decision tree) to rank features by relevance to Machine failure.