



Q1 [25 points]: A random sample of 51 items is taken. The data is shown below (and also shared in a separate file). Use this data to test the following hypotheses, assuming you want to take only a 1% risk of committing a Type I error and that the data is known to be normally distributed.

$$H_0 : \mu = 60$$

$$H_a : \mu < 60$$

59.37	61.56	61.66	58.57
55.45	61.02	58.02	57.52
62.15	62.82	54.04	50.74
54.74	61.99	59.74	58.39
52.86	52.40	62.43	54.60

Q2 [25 points]: Suppose you are testing $H_0 : p = 0.3$ versus $H_a : p \neq 0.3$. A random sample of 740 items shows that 205 have this characteristic. With a 0.05 probability of committing a Type-I error, test the hypothesis.

- Using p -value method, find the probability of the observed z value for this problem. What is your decision about the hypothesis test?
- If you had used the critical value method, what would the two critical values be?
- How do the sample results compare with the critical values?

Q3 [25 points]: A savings and loan averages about \$100,000 in deposits per week. However, because of the way pay periods fall, seasonality, and erratic fluctuations in the local economy, deposits are subject to a wide variability.

In the past, the variance for weekly deposits has been about \$199,996,164. In terms that make more sense to managers, the standard deviation of weekly deposits has been \$14,142 (which is simply the square root of \$199,996,164).

Shown here are data from a random sample of 15 weekly deposits for a recent period. Assume weekly deposits are normally distributed. Use these data and $\alpha = 0.10$ to test to determine whether the variance for weekly deposits has changed from its past value \$199,996,164.

\$95,000	135,000	115,000
70,000	45,000	105,000
130,000	140,000	130,000
110,000	95,000	70,000
85,000	100,000	120,000

Q4 [25 points]: Suppose a hypothesis states that the mean is exactly 60. If a random sample of 30 items is taken to test this hypothesis, what is the value of Type-II error probability, β , if the population standard deviation is 8 and the alternative mean is 65? Use Type-I error probability, $\alpha = 0.01$

Q1 Solution:

$$n = 20, \quad \alpha = 0.01 \text{ (Type-I error)}$$

$$\bar{X} = 58 \text{ (using Matlab)}$$

$$S^2 = 14.298 \text{ (using Matlab).}$$

$$H_0: \mu = 60$$

$$H_a: \mu < 60$$

One-tailed problem:

We use t-statistic because variance is not given, assuming it to be unknown.

$$df = n - 1 = 20 - 1 = 19.$$

$$t_{\alpha, df} = t_{0.01, 19} = -2.5395$$

(minus sign to be used)

$$t = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{58 - 60}{\sqrt{\frac{14.298}{20}}} = -2.3654$$

$$\text{Observed } t = -2.3654 > t_{0.01, 19} = -2.539$$

The decision is to fail to reject the null hypothesis.

Q2. Solution:

②

$$H_0: p = 0.3$$

$$H_a: p \neq 0.3$$

A two-tailed problem. $\alpha = 0.05$.

$$n = 740$$

$$n_0 = 205$$

$$\hat{p} = \frac{205}{740} = 0.277$$

We use Z -statistic for this proportion problem.

$$\text{Observed } Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p}{\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}} = \frac{0.277 - 0.3}{\sqrt{\frac{0.3 \times 0.7}{740}}} = -1.365.$$

For two-tail, $\alpha/2 = 0.025$

$$Z_{\text{critical}} = Z_{0.025} = \pm 1.96$$

Since, observed $Z = -1.365 > -1.96 = Z_c$

The decision is to fail to reject the null hypothesis.

Q2. p-value method.

③

$$\text{observed } Z = -1.365$$

from the table of Z-statistic, we obtain

$$\Phi(-1.365) = \Phi(Z) = \text{Area} \approx \frac{\Phi(-1.36) + \Phi(-1.37)}{2}$$

$$\approx \frac{0.0869 + 0.0853}{2}$$

$$\approx 0.0861 \text{ (using interpolation)}$$

A true value, however, may be computed using computer

$$\Phi(-1.365) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{-1.365}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$$

$$= 0.086126525$$

The simple interpolation (as shown above) provides a pretty good approximation.

④ Since the p -value $= 0.0861 > \frac{\alpha}{2} = 0.025$,
The decision is to fail to reject the null hypothesis.

Critical values method :

$$Z_c = \frac{\hat{p}_c - p}{\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}}$$

$$\pm 1.96 = \frac{\hat{p}_c - 0.3}{\sqrt{\frac{0.3 \times 0.7}{740}}}$$

$$\hat{p}_c = 0.3 \pm 0.03301$$

0.267 & 0.333 are the critical values.

Since $\hat{p} = 0.277$ (the observed value)
is not outside critical values in tails,
the decision is to fail to reject the
null hypothesis.

Q3 Solution:

5

$$H_0: \sigma^2 = \$199,996,164$$

$$H_a: \sigma^2 \neq \$199,996,164$$

This is a two-tailed problem.

$$\alpha = 0.1 \text{ (given)} \Rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{2} = 0.05$$

$$n = 15 \text{ (values given).}$$

$$df = n - 1 = 14$$

$$S^2 = \text{var}(\text{given-data-values}) \\ = 738571428.57$$

This is variance test problem, we use χ^2 -statistic.

$$\chi^2_{0.05, 14} = 23.6848$$

$$\chi^2_{0.95, 14} = 6.5706$$

The observed statistic is

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(15-1)738571428.57}{199996164} = 51.7$$

Since

$$\chi^2 = 51.7 > 23.684$$

The decision is to REJECT the null hypothesis. The variance has changed.

Q4 Solution.

⑥

$$H_0: \mu = 60$$

$$H_a: \mu \neq 60$$

This is two-tailed problem.

$$n = 30,$$

$$\sigma^2 = 8,$$

$$\alpha = 0.01 \Rightarrow \alpha/2 = 0.005$$

For β , assume $\mu_a = 65$.

$$Z_{0.005} = \pm 2.5758$$

Let us find critical values of sample mean.

$$Z_c = \frac{\bar{X}_c - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\bar{X}_c = \mu + Z_c \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\bar{X}_c = 60 \pm 2.5758 \times \frac{8}{\sqrt{30}}$$

$$56.2378 \text{ and } 63.7622$$

Finding critical Z-values for alternate hypothesis.

⑦

$$Z_1^u = \frac{63.7622 - 65}{8/\sqrt{30}} = -0.8474$$

$$Z_1^L = \frac{56.2378 - 65}{8/\sqrt{30}} = -5.999 = -6$$

$$\beta = \Phi(-0.8474) - \underbrace{\Phi(-6)}_{\approx 0}$$

$$\beta = \underbrace{0.198386102250215}_{\text{using Matlab.}}$$

$$1 - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}(-0.8474/\sqrt{2})$$

The probability of Type-II error
is 19.84%.