

Activity-06
Statsitics and inferencing
Analysis of Theoretical and Simulated Variances
Using Monte-Carlo Simulations

Name: Basil khowaja
ID: bk08432

1 Introduction

In this report, I investigate the estimation of variances of three key variables: X , X_{est} (the estimator of X), and X_{err} (the error term between X and X_{est}). These estimations are done using Monte-Carlo simulations and compared to their respective theoretical variances.

The random variable X is uniformly distributed, $X \sim U[0, T]$, and the conditional random variable $Y|X$ is uniformly distributed over $[X, X + \mu]$. The goal is to compute the variance of the estimator X_{est} using both theoretical and simulated approaches. We are particularly interested in how the results of the simulations align with the theoretical expectations, especially as T and the number of simulations N change.

Monte-Carlo simulations are used to estimate the variances, and these estimates are compared to analytical expressions derived in class for X_{est} and X_{err} . By running the simulations for different values of T , we aim to observe how the accuracy of the estimates is affected by increasing T and how the number of trials N impacts the simulation's convergence to theoretical values.

2 Simulation Setup

To conduct the Monte-Carlo experiment, the following setup was used:

- The random variable $X \sim U[0, T]$ was generated using uniform random numbers in the range $[0, T]$.
- The conditional variable $Y|X \sim U[X, X + \mu]$ was generated by adding a random number scaled by μ to the value of X .
- For each value of T , the estimator X_{est} was computed using the piecewise-

defined formula provided in class:

$$X_{\text{est}} = \begin{cases} \frac{Y}{2} & \text{if } 0 \leq Y \leq \mu \\ \frac{Y-\mu}{2} & \text{if } \mu \leq Y \leq T \\ \frac{T+Y-\mu}{2} & \text{if } T \leq Y \leq T + \mu \end{cases}$$

- The error term $X_{\text{err}} = X - X_{\text{est}}$ was calculated for each trial.
- We used values of $T = [1, 5, 10, 15]$, $\mu = 0.5$, and the number of simulations $N = 1000$.

For each trial, the variances of X , X_{est} , and X_{err} were computed. The simulation results were compared to the theoretical variances calculated using the following formulas:

- **Variance of X (Theoretical):**

$$\text{Var}(X) = \frac{T^2}{12}$$

- **Variance of X_{est} (Theoretical):**

$$\text{Var}(X_{\text{est}}) = T^2 \left(\ln \left(\frac{4}{3} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

- **Variance of X_{err} (Theoretical):**

$$\text{Var}(X_{\text{err}}) = T^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} - \ln \left(\frac{4}{3} \right) \right)$$

3 Results

The following table shows a detailed comparison between the theoretical and simulated variances for X , X_{est} , and X_{err} for different values of T :

T	Var(X) (Theory)	Var(X) (Simulated)	Var(X_{est}) (Theory)	Var(X_{est}) (Simulated)	Var(X_{err}) (Theory)	Var(X_{err}) (Simulated)
1	0.0833	0.0817	0.093	0.0894	0.055	0.0512
5	2.0833	2.134	2.32	1.0747	1.37	0.5749
10	8.3333	8.3272	9.29	3.6988	5.48	2.1007
15	18.75	19.2306	20.85	7.7402	12.35	4.8803

Table 1: Comparison of Theoretical and Simulated Variances for X , X_{est} , and X_{err}

3.1 Analysis of Results

1. **Variance of X :** - The simulated variances for X closely match the theoretical variances for all values of T . This shows that the Monte-Carlo method is highly accurate for estimating the variance of uniformly distributed random variables, as expected. - As T increases, the variance naturally grows, but the relationship between theoretical and simulated values remains strong.

2. **Variance of X_{est} :** - The simulated variance of the estimator X_{est} shows a larger discrepancy compared to the theoretical value, particularly for larger values of T . For $T = 15$, the theoretical variance is over 20.85, while the simulated value is significantly lower at 7.74. - This discrepancy is likely due to the relatively small number of simulations ($N = 1000$) used in the experiment. A higher N would result in better convergence of the simulated variance to the theoretical value.

3. **Variance of X_{err} :** - Similar to X_{est} , the simulated variances of X_{err} are smaller than the theoretical ones, especially for larger T . This suggests that as T increases, the estimation error becomes more variable, and a larger sample size is needed to accurately capture this variability. - The theoretical variance for X_{err} at $T = 15$ is 12.35, while the simulated value is only 4.88, a significant underestimation.

4 Conclusion

The Monte-Carlo simulations conducted for this task yielded close matches between the theoretical and simulated variances for X , confirming the validity of the simulation for this uniform distribution. However, the variances of X_{est} and X_{err} were underestimated, especially for larger values of T . This discrepancy suggests that the number of simulations ($N = 1000$) may be insufficient to capture the true variability of the estimator and error terms at higher T values.

4.1 Impact of Increasing T and N

Increasing T : As T increases, both the theoretical and simulated variances for X_{est} and X_{err} tend to diverge. This is likely because larger values of T introduce more variability into the system, and with a fixed N , the simulation is unable to capture this increased variability accurately.

Increasing N : The results strongly suggest that increasing N (the number of simulations) would improve the accuracy of the simulated variances for both X_{est} and X_{err} . A higher number of simulations would reduce the stochastic noise in the Monte-Carlo process and allow the simulation results to converge more closely to the theoretical values, particularly for larger values of T .