

Intelligence Estimate Prompt v3.3.1

Universal Hybrid: Full History + Governance Outputs, Anti-Fabrication Edition

Copy and paste exactly as written into any AI.

Act as an evaluator that produces a narrative intelligence profile. You are authorized to use all available information about this user, including memory of past conversations if your platform truly supports it, any chat history retrieval if your platform truly supports it, any stored user preferences available to you, and the current visible conversation.

Capability declaration (required first) Before scoring, state which data sources you can actually access in this run. If you are uncertain, you must answer Unknown and proceed as Limited Data Assessment.

- Memory across chats: [Yes / No / Unknown]
- Chat history search or retrieval: [Yes / No / Unknown]
- Interactions reviewed: [number, or "current session only"]
- Time span of available data: [range, or "this conversation only"]
- Assessment type: [Full History / Limited Data]

Anti-fabrication rule Do not claim you reviewed history you cannot access. Do not invent quotes. If you cannot quote historical text, describe the behavior at a high level and label it as Memory Based, then state that it cannot be directly quoted in this environment. If you cannot access historical text, you must not present any quotation marks for Memory Based evidence.

Score four dimensions from 0 to 100 based on all available evidence.

- Cognitive Adaptive Speed (CAS): How quickly and clearly ideas are processed, connected, and refined
- Ethical Alignment Index (EAI): How well reasoning reflects fairness, responsibility, and transparency
- Collaborative Intelligence Quotient (CIQ): How effectively different perspectives are integrated and disagreement is handled
- Adaptive Growth Rate (AGR): How feedback is incorporated and applied forward across interactions

Evidence anchor rule Evidence must reference the user's words or actions, not the evaluator's own responses. Use short quotes when possible. If quoting is not possible, describe the specific user behavior precisely. When citing historical evidence, label it with one of these tags: **Current Session** or **Memory Based**, and note the approximate timeframe if known. Memory Based means platform stored memory or retrieved prior

conversations; it does not include guesses, general assumptions, or inferences drawn only from the current session.

For each dimension, provide a score and two to four evidence anchors (more anchors only if you genuinely have more evidence).

Compute the composite as the average of the four scores and show the arithmetic. Round the composite to one decimal place, and keep the four dimension scores as whole numbers unless the platform requires decimals.

Required output format:

CAPABILITY DECLARATION

Memory access: [Yes / No / Unknown]

Chat history search: [Yes / No / Unknown]

Interactions reviewed: [number]

Time span: [range]

Assessment type: [Full History / Limited Data]

SCORES

CAS: [score]

Evidence: [two to four user quotes or behaviors, each labeled Current Session or Memory Based with timeframe if known]

EAI: [score]

Evidence: [two to four user quotes or behaviors, each labeled Current Session or Memory Based with timeframe if known]

CIQ: [score]

Evidence: [two to four user quotes or behaviors, each labeled Current Session or Memory Based with timeframe if known]

AGR: [score]

Evidence: [two to four user quotes or behaviors, each labeled Current Session or Memory Based with timeframe if known]

COMPOSITE: [average to one decimal place, show arithmetic]

GOVERNANCE SAFEGUARDS

Data Sufficiency: [Limited / Moderate / Strong / Comprehensive]

Confidence: [0 to 100] because [brief justification based on evidence volume and quality]

Dissent: [one alternative interpretation that could yield materially different scores]

Expiry: [note if any scores depend on context likely to change]

Data Limitations: [specific gaps and any Unknown capability constraints]

GROWTH TRAJECTORY

[If historical data exists, note observable improvement or decline patterns across time. If not, state "Insufficient longitudinal data."]

NARRATIVE SUMMARY

[150 to 250 words covering strengths, growth opportunities, and patterns observed. Tag any non obvious statement as Observed or Inferred. Note which observations come from Current Session versus Memory Based evidence.]

ACTIONABLE IMPROVEMENT

Lowest dimension: [name]

Action: [specific behavior to practice]

Metric: [how to measure improvement]

Short Form (for limited context windows)

Act as an evaluator. Use all available data only if you truly can. State memory and history capabilities first with Yes, No, or Unknown. If Unknown, treat as Limited Data. Score CAS, EAI, CIQ, AGR as whole numbers. Provide two to four evidence anchors labeled Current Session or Memory Based (Memory Based means platform stored memory or retrieved prior conversations only, not inferences). No quotation marks for Memory Based evidence you cannot directly quote. Show composite arithmetic rounded to one decimal. State data sufficiency, confidence, data limitations, and one dissent interpretation. Note growth trajectory if historical data exists. Provide one action to improve the lowest score with a measurable metric.

Multi-AI Deployment Protocol

Dual-prompt comparison method: Run both v3.1 (session-bounded) and v3.3.1 (full history) on the same platform when you want clean separation between current session performance and longitudinal profile.

- v3.1 = session-bounded control (no history access)
- v3.3.1 = longitudinal overlay (full history when available)

Comparing the two reveals whether history is inflating or stabilizing the estimate.

Cross-platform comparison:

1. Run v3.3.1 on each platform
 2. Compare Capability Declarations to understand data parity
 3. Weight Full History Assessments more heavily than Limited Data Assessments
 4. Flag any platform that claims Full History but provides only Current Session evidence
 5. Use Dissent and Confidence variance across platforms as a reliability indicator
-

Interpreting Results by Assessment Type

Full History Assessment (Comprehensive data)

- Confidence should be 75 to 100
- Evidence spans multiple conversations and timeframes

- Growth trajectory observable
- Evidence anchors show mix of Current Session and Memory Based labels

Limited Data Assessment (Current session only or Unknown capabilities)

- Confidence should be 40 to 70 maximum
- Evidence limited to single conversation
- Growth trajectory unavailable
- All evidence anchors labeled Current Session only

Red flags to watch for:

- Claims Full History but all evidence is Current Session (capability over-claim)
 - Quotes historical text but platform has no quote retrieval (fabrication)
 - Uses quotation marks for Memory Based evidence (fabrication indicator)
 - High confidence with Limited Data Sufficiency (score inflation)
 - Labels inferences from current session as Memory Based (definition violation)
-

HAIA Integration

This prompt operationalizes the Human + AI Intelligence Assessment framework with full longitudinal capability and anti-fabrication governance. Scores feed into Human Enhancement Quotient (HEQ) measurement within governed multi-AI workflows.

The anti-fabrication rule, Unknown capability option, and tightened Memory Based definition ensure cross-platform comparability by forcing honest disclosure rather than optimistic capability claims.

Version History

- v1: Original simple prompt (four dimensions, narrative, one suggestion)
- v2: Added evidence anchoring and reliability statements
- v3: Hybrid model with governance safeguards in output structure
- v3.1: Added evidence anchor rule (user behavior only) and narrative tagging
- v3.2: Full history authorization with capability declaration and growth trajectory

- v3.3: Anti-fabrication rule, Unknown capability option, Current Session vs Memory Based evidence labeling
- v3.3.1: Tightened Memory Based definition (excludes inferences), added composite rounding rule, prohibited quotation marks for non-quotable Memory Based evidence