## Dynamical Systems and Spotted Owls

Northern spotted owls at old Growth forest in the Pacific Northwest, USA.

Population dynamics is to model the population at yearly intervals,  $k=0,1,2\ldots$  The population at year k can be described by a vector  $x_k=(j_k,s_k,a_k)$ , where  $j_k,s_k,a_k$  are the numbers of females in the juvenile, subadult, and adult stages, respectively.

## Dynamical Systems and Spotted Owls

Northern spotted owls at old Growth forest in the Pacific Northwest, USA.

Population dynamics is to model the population at yearly intervals, k=0,1,2... The population at year k can be described by a vector  $x_k=(j_k,s_k,a_k)$ , where  $j_k,s_k,a_k$  are the numbers of females in the juvenile, subadult, and adult stages, respectively. Stage-matrix model (R. Lamberson, R. McKelvey, B. R. Noon, and C. Voss, 1992)

 $\begin{bmatrix} j_{k+1} \\ s_{k+1} \\ a_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.33 \\ 0.18 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.71 & 0.94 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} j_k \\ s_k \\ a_k \end{bmatrix}$  If 50% of the juveniles who

survive to leave the nest also find new home ranges, then the owl population will thrive.



Consider the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Consider the matrix 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
. 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 2. \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 2. \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider the matrix 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 2. \begin{bmatrix} \bar{1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} = -1 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

What do you observe with  $\left\{\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}-1\\3\end{bmatrix}\right\}$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ?

Hence for 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, the vector  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 2 and  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue -1.

Hence for 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, the vector  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 2 and  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue -1.

Question Is  $\begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  also an eigenvector?

Hence for 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, the vector  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 2 and  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue -1.

Question Is  $\begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  also an eigenvector?

### ctd

### ctd

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

$$Ax - \lambda . x = 0 \Rightarrow (A - \lambda . I)x = 0$$

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

$$Ax - \lambda . x = 0 \Rightarrow (A - \lambda . I)x = 0$$
  
  $\Rightarrow x \in Null(A - \lambda . I).$ 

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

$$Ax - \lambda.x = 0 \Rightarrow (A - \lambda.I)x = 0$$
  
\Rightarrow x \in Null(A - \lambda.I).  
$$Null(A - \lambda.I) \neq \{0\} \Leftrightarrow det(A - \lambda.I) = 0$$

Given a matrix A, the polynomial  $det(A - \lambda.I) = 0$  is called characteristic polynomial of A( here  $\lambda$  is treated as a variable). Its roots are the eigenvalues of A.

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

$$Ax - \lambda.x = 0 \Rightarrow (A - \lambda.I)x = 0$$
  
 $\Rightarrow x \in Null(A - \lambda.I).$   
 $Null(A - \lambda.I) \neq \{0\} \Leftrightarrow det(A - \lambda.I) = 0$ 

Given a matrix A, the polynomial  $det(A - \lambda.I) = 0$  is called characteristic polynomial of A( here  $\lambda$  is treated as a variable). Its roots are the eigenvalues of A.

#### **Theorem**

The eigenvalues of a triangular matrix are the entries on its main diagonal.



### Some results

#### **Theorem**

If  $v_1, \ldots, v_r$  are eigenvectors that correspond to distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$  of an  $n \times n$  matrix A, then the set  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$  is linearly independent.

### Some results

#### Theorem

If  $v_1, \ldots, v_r$  are eigenvectors that correspond to distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$  of an  $n \times n$  matrix A, then the set  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$  is linearly independent.

Note: every  $n \times n$  matrix with real entries need not have real eigenvalues/eigenvectors.

# Eigenvectors and Difference Equations

Difference equation:  $x_{k+1} = Ax_k$  for k = 0, 1, ...

## Eigenvectors and Difference Equations

Difference equation:  $x_{k+1} = Ax_k$  for k = 0, 1, ... If  $x_0$  is an eigenvector A then  $x_k = \lambda^k x_0$ .