

Functional Dependency (FD)

A func. dep. (FD) is denoted as $\underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}$, in a relation R holds, if two tuples having same value of A also have same value for B

$\underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}$
Acno \rightarrow Year

<u>A001</u> ✓	2015 ✓
A001 ✓	2015 ✓
A001	2016 ✗

{ Student (Roll, Name, Phone, Add, State, country)

FDs: { $\underline{\text{Roll}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Name}}$, $\underline{\text{Roll}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Add}}$, $\underline{\text{State}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{country}}$ }

Bihar \rightarrow India ✓
WB \rightarrow India ✓
Bihar \rightarrow India

Country \rightarrow State ✗
India \rightarrow Bihar ✓
India \rightarrow WB ✓

Proceeding towards Normalization

Name → Address

Roll → Name ✓
Roll → Add ✓
State → Country ✓

Roll	Name	Phone	Add	State	Country
Roll 1	XYZ	9831..	37/1/A -- B.S..	WB	India
Roll 2	ABC	8910...	1/1 St	<u>Bihar</u>	<u>India</u>
Roll 3	Amal	700..	35 B.K.	<u>Bihar</u>	<u>India</u>

A → B
Country → state

B is funcⁿ dep.
on A

Constraint: 2 students may have the same name, but their addresses are different

Roll → XYZ

Address → Name?

FDs in a relation are dependent on the domain of the rel.

State → Country
Left side of an FD not necessarily a super key

(Country is functionally dependent on State)

State is not a S. key

but

this one is FD

FD set of a relation is the set of all FDs present in the relation

Acc No
 Acc1 → 2015
 Acc1 → 2016

Case - I

X

Acc No
 Acc1 → 2015
 Acc1 → 2015
 Acc2 → 2016

Case - II

✓

Case - III

✓

FD

one - to - one mapping ? → think
 one - to many " ?
 many to one " ?

Armstrong's Axioms in FD

1) Axiom of reflexivity: If A is a set of attr. and B is subset of A , i.e.

$$\underline{B \subseteq A}, \text{ then } A \rightarrow B$$

this is a trivial property

e.g. $\underbrace{\{Roll, Name\}}_A \rightarrow \underbrace{Name}_B$

2) Axiom of Augmentation: If $A \rightarrow B$ holds
and C is an attr. set,
then $AC \rightarrow BC$ also holds

This is adding attributes in dependencies, does not change the basis dependencies.

if, $Roll \rightarrow Name$
then $\{Roll, State\} \rightarrow \{Name, State\}$

3) Axiom of transitivity

if $A \rightarrow B$ holds, and $B \rightarrow C$ holds
then $A \rightarrow C$ will also hold

Roll \rightarrow address, address \rightarrow Name

Roll \rightarrow State, State \rightarrow Country

4) Union: if $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow C$ hold
then $A \rightarrow BC$ holds

5) Composition: if $A \rightarrow B$ and $C \rightarrow D$ hold,
then $AC \rightarrow BD$ holds

6) Decomposition: if $A \rightarrow BC$ holds, then
 $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow C$ hold

7) Pseudo transitivity: if $A \rightarrow B$ and $BC \rightarrow D$ hold,
then $AC \rightarrow D$ hold

$AC \rightarrow BC$ (augm.)

$BC \rightarrow D \Rightarrow AC \rightarrow D$ (trans.)

Attribute closure

$$A \rightarrow A$$

Attribute closure of an attribute set can be defined as the set of attributes which can be functionally determined from it.

$$r(A, B, C, D, E, F, G)$$

$$FDs: \{ \underline{A} \rightarrow B, \underline{A} \rightarrow C, \underline{CD} \rightarrow E, \underline{B} \rightarrow D, \underline{E} \rightarrow A, \underline{F} \rightarrow G \}$$

$$\text{closure of } \{A\} = A^+ = (A)^+ = \{ \underline{A}, B, C, D, E \}$$

$$\text{closure of } \{A, F\} = (AF)^+ = \cancel{AF}^+ = \{ \underline{A}, \underline{F}, B, C, D, E, G \}$$

$$(EF)^+ = \{ E, F, A, B, C, D, G \} \mid F^+ = \{ F, G \}$$

$$E^+ = \{ E, A, B, C, D \}$$

How to find attribute closure of an attr. set?

- .) Add elements of attr. set to the result set
- ..) Recursively add elements to the result set which can be func. determined from the elements of the result set

Find candidate and Super keys using attribute closure

1.) If attr. closure of an attr. set contains ALL attr. of a relation, then the attr. set is called super key (SK) of the rel.

2.) if no subset of this attr. set can functionally determine all attr. of the rel., then the set is called candidate key (CK)

from prev. example, $(AF)^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E, F, G\}$

$\Rightarrow \{A, F\}$ is a S.K.

$$(A)^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$$

$$(F)^+ = \{F, G\}$$

$\Rightarrow \{A, F\}$ is C.K.

other CK check
 $\Rightarrow CK_1 = \{AF, EF\}$

$\Rightarrow \{E, F\}$ is a S.K.

$$(E)^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$$

$$(F)^+ = \{F, G\}$$

$\Rightarrow \{E, F\}$ is a C.K.

$r(\text{Id}, \text{Name}, \text{City}, \text{State})$

H.W.

FDs: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Id} \rightarrow \text{Name}, \\ \text{Id} \rightarrow \text{City} \\ \text{Id} \rightarrow \text{State} \\ \text{City} \rightarrow \text{State} \end{array} \right\}$

find the set of candidate keys