Linear Algebra Notes

Basu Dev Karki

Content

1 Linear Maps

1.1 Vector Spaces of Linear Maps

1.1.1 Definiton and Examples of Linear Maps

Definition 1.1. A *linear map* from V to W is a function $T : V \to W$ with the following properties.

1. (**Additivity**) $T(u + w) = T(u) + T(w)$ for all $u, v \in V$

2. (**Homogeneity**) $T(\lambda u) = \lambda T(u)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ and for all $u \in V$

Remark. Some mathematicians use the phrase *linear transformation*, which means the same as linear map.

Definition 1.2. (Notation)

1. The set of linear maps from $V \to W$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$.

2. The set of linear maps from $V \to V$ is denoted bt $\mathcal{L}(V)$. In other words, $\mathcal{L}(V, V) = \mathcal{L}(V)$.

Examples:

zero
We will let the symbol 0 denote the liner map that takes every element of some vector space to additive identity of some another vector space. Thus, $0 \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is defined by

$$0(v) = 0$$

identity operator
Let $I \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be defined by

$$I(v) = v$$

differentiation
Let $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}))$ be defined by

$$D(p) = p'$$

integration
Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}), \mathbf{R})$ be defined by

$$T(p) = \int_0^1 p$$

composition
Fix a polynomial $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}))$ be defined by

$$T(p) = (p \circ q)$$

Remark. We'll limit the Notation of $T(v)$ to just Tv for convenience.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ is a basis of V and $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in W$. Then, there exists a unique linear map $T : V \to W$ such that*

$$Tv_k = w_k$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n$.

Proof. First we show the existence of such map. Define $T : V \to W$ by

$$T(c_1 v_1 + \cdots + c_n v_n) = c_1 w_1 + \cdots + c_n w_n$$

where $c_i \in \mathbf{F}$. Since, $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ is a basis of V, it maps every element of V to W, thus it is a function.

Now, set $c_k = 1$ and all other c's to be 0 to show that $Tv_k = w_k$. From, here one can show that T is indeed a linear map. To show the uniqueness, suppose $T' \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $T'v_k = w_k$. Using the properties of linear map,

$$T'(c_1 v_1 + \cdots + c_n v_n) = c_1 w_1 + \cdots + c_n w_n$$

Thus, T and T' agree on every input, thus $T = T'$.

$\square$

1.1.2 Algebraic Operation on $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$

Definition 1.3. Suppose $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ then the *sum* and the *product* of the linear maps from V to W is defined by

$$(S + T)(v) = Sv + Tv \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda T)(v) = \lambda(Tv)$$

for all $v \in V$.

Proposition 1.1. With the operations defined above, the set $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is a vector space.

Proof. The additive identity for $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is the zero linear map $0(v) = 0$. The inverse for T is $((-1)T)v = -(Tv)$. And the rest of the axioms are left for readers (future me) to verify. $\square$

Definition 1.4. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ then the *product* $ST \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$ is defined by

$$(ST)(u) = S(Tu)$$

for all $u \in U$.

Remark. Be careful about the domains of S and T. Here, the domain of S must be the co-domain of T.

Proposition 1.2. For the product of linear maps, the following holds

1. (**associativity**) $(T_1 T_2)T_3 = T_1(T_2 T_3)$ whenever the product makes sense(i.e T_3 must map to domain of T_2 and T_2 must map to the domain of T_1).

2. (**identity**) $T I_{W,V} = I_{V,W} T$ whenever $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Here $I_{V,W}, I_{W,V}$ are the identity linear maps from V to W and W to V. We'll just limit he notation to $TI = IT$.

3. (**distributivity**) $(S_1 + S_2)T = S_1 T + S_2 T$ and $S(T_1 + T_2) = ST_1 + ST_2$ for $T, T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$ and $S, S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$.

Proposition 1.3. Suppose T is a linear map from V to W. Then, $T(0) = 0$.

Proof. From the definition of linear map we have,

$$T(0) = T(0 + 0) = T(0) + T(0) \implies T(0) = 0$$

$\square$

1.1.3 Exercises

Problem : Suppose $b, c \in \mathbf{R}$. Define $T : \mathbf{R}^3 \to \mathbf{R}^2$ by

$$T(x, y, z) = (2x - 4y + 3z, 6x + cxyz)$$

Show that T is a linear map if and only if $b = c = 0$.

Solution : ($\Leftarrow$) is pretty simple as you just have to verify the two axioms. For ($\Rightarrow$), we know that if it is a linear map then $T(0) = 0 \implies b = 0$. Also, using the first axiom we get

$$T((x, y, z) + (1, 0, 0)) = T((x, y, z)) + T((1, 0, 0)) \implies c = 0$$

Problem : Suppose $b, c \in \mathbf{R}$. Define $T : \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathbf{R}^2$ by

$$Tp = \left(3p(4) + 5p'(6) + bp(1)p(2), \int_{-1}^{2} x^3 p(x)dx + c\sin(p(0)) \right)$$

Show that T is a linear map if and only if $b = c = 0$.

Solution : ($\Leftarrow$) is pretty simple. For ($\Rightarrow$), we can use the first axiom

$$T(p + q) = Tp + Tq$$

we'll just look at the first component first,

$$\implies 3(p+q)(4) + 5(p+q)'(6) + b(p+q)(1)(p+q)(2) = 3p(4) + 5p'(6) + bp(1)p(2) + \\ 3q(4) + 5q'(6) + bq(1)q(2)$$

Since, $(p+q)(4) = p(4) + q(4)$ and $(p+q)'(6) = p'(6) + q'(6)$, we can simplify is down to,

$$b(p(1)q(2) + p(2)q(1)) = 0$$

Now, if you choose polynomials $p, q > 0$ for $x > 0$ then $b = 0$. A similar argument works for $c = 0$.

Problem : Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ is a list of vectors in V such that $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_m$ is a linearly independent list in W. Prove that $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ is linearly independent.

Solution : Suppose on the contrary that $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ are not linearly independent in V, then there exists $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ not all zero such that

$$\lambda_1 v_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m v_m = 0$$

But $T(\lambda_1 v_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m v_m) = \lambda_1 T(v_1) + \cdots + \lambda_m T(v_m)$ which implies

$$\lambda_1 T(v_1) + \cdots + \lambda_m T(v_m) = 0 \implies \lambda_i = 0$$

a contradiction.

Problem : Show that every linear map from a one-dimensional vector space to itself is multiplication by some scalar. More precisely, prove that if $\dim V = 1$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ such that $Tv = \lambda v$ for all $v \in V$.

Solution : Since $\dim V = 1$ there exists a $v \in V$ s.t every $v_i \in V$ can be written as $\lambda_i v$ for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{F}$. Thus, $v_i = \lambda_i v \implies T(v_i) = \lambda_i T(v)$. Since, $T(v) = v_j \in V$ for some j. Thus, $T(v_i) = \lambda_i \lambda_j v = \lambda_j v_i$.

Problem : Give an example of a function $\varphi : \mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\varphi(av) = a\varphi(v)$$

for all $a \in \mathbf{R}$ and $v \in \mathbf{R}^2$ but φ is not linear.

Solution : $\varphi(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^3}{x^2+y^2} & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$

Problem : Give an example of a function $\varphi : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\varphi(v + w) = \varphi(v) + \varphi(w)$$

for all $v, w \in \mathbf{C}$ and but φ is not homogeneous.

Solution : $\varphi(x) = \text{Re}(x)$.

Problem : Prove or give a counter example: Fix a polynomial $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$.
Let $T : \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ be defined by $Tp = q \circ p$ then T is a linear map.

Solution : Assume it was a linear map then $T(0) = q(0) = 0$. Just pick $q(0) \neq 0$. Example : $q(x) = x + 1$.

Problem : Suppose V is finite-dimensional and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Prove that T is a scalar multiple of identity if and only if $ST = TS$ for every $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

Solution : I really tried but it seems very hard to prove ($\Rightarrow$) but will come back later.

Problem : Suppose U is a subspace of V with $U \neq V$. Suppose $S \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$ and $Su \neq 0$ for some $u \in U$. Define $T : V \to W$ by

$$Tv = \begin{cases} Sv & \text{if } v \in U \\ 0 & \text{if } v \in V \text{ and } v \notin U \end{cases}$$

Prove that $T \notin \mathcal{L}(V, W)$.

Solution : Suppose it is a linear map, then $T(u + v) = Tu + Tv$ where $u \in U$ and $v \in V$ and $v \notin U$. One can check that $v + u \in V$ but $v + u \notin U$. Thus, $0 = Tu = Su$, but just take u s.t $Su \neq 0$.

Problem :   Suppose V is finite-dimensional. Prove that every linear map on a subspace of U can be extended to a linear map on V. In other words, let U be a subspace of V and $S \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$, then there exists a $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ such that $Tu = Su$ for all $u \in U$.

Solution : Let $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ be the basis of U and let $u_1, \ldots, u_m, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ be the extended basis of V. Let $x = a_1 u_1 + \cdots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \cdots + b_k v_k$ and define

$$T(x) = a_1 S u_1 + \cdots a_m S u_m + b_1 v_1 + \cdots + b_k v_k$$

From here its easy to see that $Tu = Su$ for all $u \in U$. We just have to prove this is a linear map on V. Let $y = x = c_1 u_1 + \cdots + c_m u_m + d_1 v_1 + \cdots + d_k v_k$ then

$$T(x + y) = (a_1 + c_1)S u_1 + \cdots + (a_m + c_m) + (b_1 + d_1)v_1 + \cdots + (b_k + d_k)v_k$$

$$\implies T(x+y) = a_1 S u_1 + \cdots a_m S u_m + b_1 v_1 + \cdots + b_k v_k + c_1 S u_1 + \cdots c_m S u_m + d_1 v_1 + \cdots + d_k v_k$$

$$\implies T(x + y) = Tx + Ty$$

Similarly one can prove $T(\lambda x) = \lambda T(x)$.

Problem :   Suppose V is finite-dimensional with $\dim V > 0$ and suppose W is infinite-dimensional. Prove that $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is infinite-dimensional.

Solution : Let $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ be basis of V. Suppose $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is finite-dimensional then every $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ can be written as

$$T(x) = \lambda_1 T_1(x) + \lambda_2 T_2(x) + \cdots + \lambda_k T_k(x)$$

for some fixed $T_1, T_2, \cdots, T_k \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{F}$.
Since, W is infinite-dimensional, $\exists w \in W$ s.t $w \notin \mathrm{span}\{T_1(x), T_2(x), \ldots, T_k(x)\}$ for some fixed $x \in V$.
Now, set $x = v_i$ then $w \neq \lambda_1 T_1(v_i) + \lambda_2 T_2(v_i) + \cdots + \lambda_k T_k(v_i)$. One can find $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ such that $T(v_i) = w$ thus $T(v_i) \neq \lambda_1 T_1(v_i) + \lambda_2 T_2(v_i) + \cdots + \lambda_k T_k(v_i)$ contradicting our assumption.

Problem :   Let V be finite-dimensional and let $\dim V > 1$. Prove that there exists $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ such that $ST \neq TS$.

Solution : Let $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ be the basis of V and let $x = a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_m v_m$. Define $S(x) = a_1 v_1$ and $T(x) = a_1 v_2 + a_2 v_3 + \cdots + a_{m-1} v_m + a_m v_1$. So, $T(S(x)) = a_1 v_2$ and $S(T(x)) = a_m v_1$, thus

$$ST = TS \iff a_1 = a_m$$

but one can always choose x s.t $a_1 \neq a_m$.

Problem :   Suppose V is finite-dimensional. Show that the only two-sided ideas of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ are $\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{L}(V)$. A subspace $\mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is called a two-sided ideal if for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, $TE \in \mathcal{E}$ and $ET \in \mathcal{E}$.

Solution : Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{L}(V)$. If $\mathcal{E} = \{0\}$ we are done. Otherwise pick a nonzero operator $A \in \mathcal{E}$. Choose $v \in V$ with $Av \neq 0$. Fix any $y, z \in V$. Choose $R \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ with $R(z) = v$ and choose $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ with $S(Av) = y$. Then

$$T := SAR \in \mathcal{E}$$

(since $\mathcal{E}$ is a two-sided ideal), and

$$T(z) = S(A(R(z))) = S(A(v)) = y.$$

Thus $\mathcal{E}$ contains, for every pair y, z, an operator that sends z to y. Taking a basis $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ of V and the operators E_{ij} defined by $E_{ij}(u_j) = u_i$ and $E_{ij}(u_k) = 0$ for $k \neq j$, we see each E_{ij} lies in $\mathcal{E}$. The set $\{E_{ij}\}$ spans $\mathcal{L}(V)$, so $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}(V)$. Hence the only two-sided ideals are $\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{L}(V)$. $\square$

1.2 Null Spaces and Ranges

1.2.1 Null Space and Injectivity

Definition 1.5. let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, the null space of T, written as $\operatorname{null} T$ is the following set

$$\operatorname{null} T = \{v \in V \mid Tv = 0\}$$

Examples

1. The zero map from V to W has a null space V as everything gets mapped to 0.

2. Let $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}))$ be the differentiation map defined by $Dp = p'$. The only functions whose derivative is equal to 0 are the constant function. Thus, $\operatorname{null} D$ is the set of constant functions.

3. Let $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}))$ be the multiplication by x^2 map i.e $Dp = x^2 p$. The only polynomial such that $x^2 p = 0$ is $p = 0$. Thus, $\operatorname{null} D = \{0\}$.

Proposition 1.4. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then, $\operatorname{null} T$ is a subspace of V.

Proposition 1.5. Let $T \in \mathcal{T}(V, W)$. Then T is injective $\iff$ $\operatorname{null} T = \{0\}$.

Proof. Suppose T is injective. Since it is a linear map $T(0) = 0$, thus by injectivity the only thing that gets map to 0 is 0. Thus, $\operatorname{null} T = \{0\}$. Suppose T is such that $\operatorname{null} T = \{0\}$ then

$$T(v) = T(w) \implies T(v) + (-1)T(w) = 0$$

$$\implies T(v) + T(-w) = 0 \implies T(v - w) = 0 \implies v = w$$

Thus, the map is injective. $\qquad \square$

1.2.2 Range and Surjectivity

Definition 1.6. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, the *range* of T is the following set,

$$\operatorname{range} T = \{Tv \mid v \in V\}$$

Examples

1. If T is the zero map then the range of T is $\{0\}$.

2. Suppose $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}))$ be the differentiation map. Since, for every polynomial q there exists a polynomial p such that $p' = q$, the range is $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$.

Proposition 1.6. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ then $\operatorname{range} T$ is a subspace of W.

Proof. Since, $0 \in V$ we know $T(0) = 0 \in \operatorname{range} T$. Now, suppose $x, y \in \operatorname{range} T$ then $x = Tv$ and $y = Tw$. Since, $v + w \in V$, $T(v + w) \in \operatorname{range} T \implies Tv + Tw \in \operatorname{range} T$ which mean $x + y \in \operatorname{range} T$. And $x \in \operatorname{range} T \implies Tv \in \operatorname{range} T$ which means $T(\lambda v) \in \operatorname{range} T$ as $\lambda v \in V$. Thus, $\lambda x = \lambda T(v) = T(\lambda v) \in \operatorname{range} T$. $\qquad \square$

1.2.3 Fundamental Theorem of Linear Maps

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose V is finite-dimensional and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then $\operatorname{range} T$ is finite-dimensional and*

$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

Proof. We know that $\operatorname{null} T$ is a subspace of V then since V is finite-dimensional it has a basis. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ be the basis of $\operatorname{null} T$. Then we can extend this basis to a basis of V. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_m, v_1, \ldots, v_n$ be the basis of V. Then,

$$x = a_1 u_1 + \cdots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \cdots b_n v_n$$

$$\implies Tx = b_1 T v_1 + \cdots + b_n T v_n$$

Thus, every Tv can be written as a linear combination of $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n$. Thus, $\operatorname{range} T$ is finite-dimensional. To prove our main result, we need to show that $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n$ is a basis of $\operatorname{range} T$. We already proved it spanned $\operatorname{range} T$, now suppose

$$b_1 T v_1 + \cdots + b_n T v_n = 0$$

$$\implies T(b_1 v_1 + \cdots + b_n v_n) = 0$$

Thus, $b_1 v_1 + \cdots + b_n v_n \in \operatorname{null} T$ and we can write it as $b_1 v_1 + \cdots + b_n v_n = a_1 u_1 + \cdots + a_m u_m$. Since, $u_1, \ldots, u_m, v_1, \ldots, v_n$ is a basis vector we can say that $b_i = a_i = 0$. Thus, we have proved that $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n$ is a basis of $\operatorname{range} T$ and our theorem follows. $\square$

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose V and W are both finite-dimensional vector spaces such that $\dim V > \dim W$. Then, there exists no **injective** linear map from V to W.*

Proof. We know that, for a $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$,

$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

Since $\operatorname{range} T$ is a subspace of W, $\dim W \geq \dim \operatorname{range} T$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \operatorname{null} T &= \dim V - \dim \operatorname{range} T \\ &\geq \dim V - \dim W \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\operatorname{null} T$ has more than one vector, so T it's not injective by **Proposition 1.5**. $\square$

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose V and W are both finite-dimensional vector spaces such that $\dim V < \dim W$. Then, there exists no **surjective** linear map from V to W.*

Proof. Similar to the proof above. $\square$

Definition 1.7. Define $T : \mathbf{F}^n \to \mathbf{F}^m$ as

$$T(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{1,k} x_k, \ldots, \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{m,k} x_k \right)$$

Definition 1.8. A homogeneous system of linear equations defined is as

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{1,k} x_k, \ldots, \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{m,k} x_k \right) = (0, \ldots, 0)$$

And a Inhomogeneous system of linear equation is defined as

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{1,k} x_k, \ldots, \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{m,k} x_k \right) = (c_1, \ldots, c_m)$$

where not all c_i are zero.

Proposition 1.7. A homogeneous system of linear equations with more variables than equations has nonzero solutions.

Proof. Use **Theorem 1.3** and **Theorem 1.4**. $\square$

Proposition 1.8. An inhomogeneous system of linear equations with more equations than variables has no solution for some choice of the constant terms.

Proof. Use **Theorem 1.3** and **Theorem 1.4**. $\square$

1.2.4 Excercise

Problem : Give an example of a linear map T such that $\dim \operatorname{null} T = 3$ and $\dim \operatorname{range} T = 2$.

Solution : $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1, x_2)$.

Problem : Suppose $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ are such that $\operatorname{range} S \subseteq \operatorname{null} T$. Prove that $(ST)^2 = 0$.

Solution : Since $\operatorname{range} S \subseteq \operatorname{null} T$, $T(Sv) = 0$. Thus,

$$(ST)^2 = (ST)(ST) = S(T(S(Tv))) = S(0) = 0$$

Problem : Suppose $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ is a list of vector in V. Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^m, V)$ by

$$T(z_1, \ldots, z_m) = z_1 v_1 + \ldots + z_m v_m$$

   a. What property of T corresponds to $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ spanning V?

   b. What property of T corresponds to $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ being linearly independent on V?

Solution : If $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ spans V then $\operatorname{range} T = V$ thus T being surjective corresponds to $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ spanning V.
If $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ is linearly independent on V then $\operatorname{null} T = \{0\}$, thus T being injective corresponds to $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ being linearly independent on V.

Problem: Show that $\{T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^5, \mathbf{R}^4) : \dim \operatorname{null} T > 2\}$ is not a subspace of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^5, \mathbf{R}^4)$.

Solution : Let $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1, x_2, 0, 0)$ and $T'(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (0, 0, x_3, 0)$. Both of them are in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^5, \mathbf{R}^4)$. Also $\dim \operatorname{null} T = 3$, $\dim \operatorname{null} T' = 4$ but $T + T' = (x_1, x_2, x_3, 0) \implies \dim \operatorname{null}(T + T') = 2 \not> 2$.

Problem : Give an example of $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^4)$ such that $\operatorname{range} T = \operatorname{null} T$.

Solution : $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (0, 0, x_1, x_2)$.

Problem : Prove that there doesn't exists a $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^5)$ such that $\operatorname{range} T = \operatorname{null} T$.

Solution : Suppose there exists such T, then $\dim \operatorname{range} T = \dim \operatorname{null} T$ but from the fundamental theorem of linear maps we have

$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{range} T + \dim \operatorname{null} T$$

$$\implies \dim \operatorname{range} T = \dim \operatorname{null} T = \frac{5}{2}$$

which is impossible.

Problem : Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional with $2 \leq \dim V \leq \dim W$. Show that $\{T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W) \mid T \text{ is not injective}\}$ is not a subspace of $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$.

Solution : Let $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ be the basis of V and $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ be the basis of W. Then, define $T_i(a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_m v_m) = a_i w_i$. One can check that this is not injective thus

$$T(a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_m v_m) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} T_i\right)(a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_m v_m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i w_i$$

Now, suppose $T(v) = T(v')$ then

$$a_1 w_1 + \cdots + a_m w_m = a_1' w_1 + \cdots + a_m' w_m$$

$$\implies b_1 = b_1' \quad \text{(because of linear independence)}$$

Thus, $v = v'$.

Problem : Suppose V is finite-dimensional and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Prove that there exists a subspace U and V such that

$$U \cap \operatorname{null} T = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{range} T = \{Tu \mid u \in U\}$$

Solution : We know that $\operatorname{null} T$ is the subspace of V. Thus, there exists a U such that $V = U \oplus \operatorname{null} T$ and since it is a direct sum $U \cap \operatorname{null} T = \{0\}$. Now, for the range of T

$$\operatorname{range} T = \{Tv \mid v \in V\}$$

$$\implies \{T(u + z) \mid u \in U, z \in \operatorname{null} T\} = \{Tu \mid u \in U\}$$

Problem :   Suppose T is a linear map from $\mathbf{F}^4$ to $\mathbf{F}^2$ such that
$$\operatorname{null} T = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbf{F}^4 \mid x_1 = 5x_2 \text{ and } x_3 = 7x_4\}$$
Prove that T is a surjective linear map.

Solution : We can write T as
$$\operatorname{null} T = \{(5x_2, x_2, 7x_4, x_4) \mid x_2, x_4 \in \mathbf{F}\}$$
Now, since $(5x_2, x_2, 7x_4, x_4) = x_2(5, 1, 0, 0) + x_4(0, 0, 7, 1) \implies \dim \operatorname{null} T = 2$. Thus,
$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$
$$\implies 4 = 2 + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$
$$\implies \dim \operatorname{range} T = 2$$
Since, $\dim \mathbf{F}^2 = 2 = \dim \operatorname{range} T \implies \operatorname{range} T = \mathbf{F}^2$. Thus, T is surjective.

Problem :   Suppose U is three-dimensional subspace of $\mathbf{R}^8$ and that T is a linear map from $\mathbf{R}^8$ to $\mathbf{R}^5$ such that $\operatorname{null} T = U$. Prove that T is surjective.

Solution : Since, $\operatorname{null} T = U \implies \dim \operatorname{null} T = 3$. Thus,
$$\dim \mathbf{R}^8 = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$
$$\implies \dim \operatorname{range} T = 5$$
Since, $\operatorname{range} T$ is a subspace of $\mathbf{R}^5$ and $\dim \operatorname{range} T = \dim \mathbf{R}^5$, $\mathbf{R}^5 = \operatorname{range} T$. Thus, T is surjective.

Problem :   Prove that there does not exist a linear map from $\mathbf{F}^5$ to $\mathbf{F}^2$ whose null space doesn't equals $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \in \mathbf{F}^5 \mid x_1 = 3x_2 \text{ and } x_3 = x_4 = x_5\}$.

Solution : Suppose there does exist such a T. Then the null space can be written as
$$\operatorname{null} T = \{(3x_2, x_2, k, k, k) \mid x_2, k \in \mathbf{F}\}$$
One can check that $\dim \operatorname{null} T = 2$ but
$$\dim \mathbf{F}^5 = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$
$$\implies \dim \operatorname{range} T = 3$$
But $2 = \dim \mathbf{F}^2 \geq \dim \operatorname{range} T = 3$ which is false.

Problem :   Suppose there exists a linear map on V such that the null space and range of T is finite dimensional. Prove that V is finite-dimensional.

Solution : Since, the range of T is finite-dimensional it must have a basis. Suppose $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_m$ is the basis then
$$T(x) = \lambda_1 Tv_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m Tv_m$$
$$\implies T(x - \lambda_1 v_1 - \cdots - \lambda_m v_m) = 0$$
Since, the null space is also finite-dimensional
$$x - \lambda_1 v_1 - \cdots - \lambda_m v_m = \lambda_1' v_1' + \cdots + \lambda_n' v_n'$$
where $v_1', \ldots, v_n'$ is the basis of the null space. Thus,
$$V = \operatorname{span}(v_1, \ldots, v_m, v_1' \ldots, v_n')$$

Problem :   Suppose V and W are both finite-dimensional. Prove that there exists an injective linear map from V to W if and only if $\dim V \leq \dim W$.

Solution : Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is an injective map, then

$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

$$\implies \dim V = \dim \operatorname{range} T \leq \dim W$$

Now suppose $\dim V \leq \dim W$ then we can construct a injective map from V to W.

$$T(a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_n v_n) = a_1 w_1 + \cdots + a_n w_n$$

where $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ is the basis of V and $w_1, \ldots, w_m$ is the basis of W. One can check this is a linear map and suppose $T(x) = T(y)$ and let $x = a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_n v_n$ and $y = b_1 v_1 + \cdots + b_n v_n$ then

$$T(x) = T(y)$$

$$\implies (a_1 - b_1) w_1 + \cdots + (a_n - b_n) w_n = 0$$

$$\implies a_i = b_i$$

Thus, $x = y$.

Problem :   Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional vector spaces and U is a subspace of V. Prove that there exists $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ such that $\operatorname{null} T = U$ if and only if $\dim U \geq \dim V - \dim W$.

Solution : Suppose $\operatorname{null} T = U$ then

$$\dim V - \dim \operatorname{range} T = \dim U$$

$$\implies \dim V - \dim W \leq \dim V - \dim \operatorname{range} T = \dim U$$

Now, suppose $\dim U \geq \dim V - \dim W$ then let $u_1, \ldots, u_k$ be the basis of U and

$$u_1, \ldots, u_k, v_1, \ldots, v_m$$

be the extended basis of V. Let $w_1, \ldots, w_j$ be the basis of W. From our condition, we know $k \geq k + m - j \implies j \geq m$. Thus we define

$$T(a_1 u_1 + \cdots a_k u_k + b_1 v_1 + \cdots b_m v_m) = b_1 w_1 + \cdots b_m w_m$$

Here, $\operatorname{null} T = U$.

Problem :   Suppose V is finite-dimensional, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, and U is a subspace of W. Prove that $X = \{v \in V \mid Tv \in U\}$ is a subspace of V and

$$\dim X = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim(U \cap \operatorname{range} T)$$

Solution : The subspace part is pretty simple. Let $S : X \to U$ be a map such that $S(v) = T(v)$. Here, $\operatorname{range} S = U \cap \operatorname{range} T$ and $\operatorname{null} S = \operatorname{null} T$.

Problem : Suppose U and V are finite-dimensional vector spaces and $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$. Prove that

$$\dim \operatorname{null} ST \leq \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{null} S$$

Solution : One can find that $\operatorname{null} ST = \operatorname{null} T \cup \{x \in U \mid S(T(x)) = 0, T(x) \neq 0\}$.

Note : It seems that these exercises are taking way too long to do. I'll however come back to it and finish

1.3   Matrices

1.3.1   Representing a Linear Map by a Matrix

Definition 1.9. Suppose m and n are two non-negative integers. A $m \times n$ matrix is A is a rectangular array of elements in $\mathbf{F}$ with m rows and n columns

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{m,1} & \cdots & A_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

The $A_{i,j}$ represents the entry in i-th row and j-th column.

Definition 1.10. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ is the basis of V and $w_1, \ldots, w_m$ is a basis of W. The matrix of T with respect to these bases is the $m \times n$ matrix $\mathcal{M}(T)$ whose entries $A_{i,j}$ are defined by

$$Tv_k = A_{1,k}w_1 + \cdots A_{m,k}w_m$$

Examples :

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^2, \mathbf{F}^3)$ is defined by

$$T(x, y) = (x + 3y, 2x + 5y, 7x + 9y)$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{M}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 7 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

As $T(1, 0) = 1(1, 0, 0) + 2(0, 1, 0) + 7(0, 0, 1)$ and $T(0, 1) = 3(1, 0, 0) + 5(0, 1, 0) + 9(0, 0, 1)$.

1.3.2   Addition and Scalar Multiplication of Matrices

Definition 1.11. The sum of two matrices of same size is obtained by adding corresponding entries in the matrices i.e

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{m,1} & \cdots & A_{m,n} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_{1,1} & \cdots & B_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ B_{m,1} & \cdots & B_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} + B_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1,n} + B_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{m,1} + B_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{m,n} + B_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition 1.9. Suppose $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then $\mathcal{M}(S + T) = \mathcal{M}(S) + \mathcal{M}(T)$.

Proof. Follows from the definition. $\square$

Definition 1.12. The product of a scalar and a matrix is obtained by multiplying each entry by the scalar i.e

$$\lambda \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{m,1} & \cdots & A_{m,n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda A_{1,1} & \cdots & \lambda A_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \lambda A_{m,1} & \cdots & \lambda A_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition 1.10. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ then $\lambda \mathcal{M}(T) = \mathcal{M}(\lambda T)$.

Proof. Again, just use the definitions.   □

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose $\mathbf{F}^{m,n}$ be the set of all the matrices with entries in $\mathbf{F}$. Then, with addition and scalar multiplication defined above $\mathbf{F}^{m,n}$ is a vector space and $\dim \mathbf{F}^{m,n} = mn$.*

Proof. Proving it is a vector space is pretty easy. To verify $\dim \mathbf{F}^{m,n} = mn$ define

$$
X_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & 1 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}
$$

where every entry is 0 expect the $A_{i,j}$ entry which is equal to 1. Now, its easy to see that every $Z \in \mathbf{F}^{m,n}$ can be written as some linear combination of $X_{i,j}$'s. Thus, $F^{m,n} = \text{span}\{X_{i,j}\}$ where i, j vary with $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Also, every matrix with only 0 as its entry can only be written as linear combination of $X_{i,j}$ with all of its scalars equal to 0. Since, there are mn entries the dimension of $\mathbf{F}^{m,n}$ is equal to mn.   □

1.3.3   Matrix Multiplication

Definition 1.13. Suppose A is a $m \times n$ matrix and B is $n \times p$ matrix. Then AB is defined as to be an $m \times p$ matrix whose entry in row j and column k is given by

$$
(AB)_{j,k} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{j,r} B_{k,r}
$$

Remark. The motivation for us to define the product like this comes from questioning, does $\mathcal{M}(ST) = \mathcal{M}(S)\mathcal{M}(T)$? Suppose $v_1, \cdots, v_n$ is a basis of V and $w_1, \cdots, w_m$ is the basis of W. Suppose $u_1, \cdots, u_k$ is the basis of U then consider the map $T : U \to V$ and $S : V \to W$. Suppose $\mathcal{M}(S) = A$ and $\mathcal{T} = B$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(ST)u_k &= S\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} B_{r,k} v_r\right) \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{n} B_{r,k} S v_r \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{n} B_{r,k} \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{j,r} w_j \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{j,r} B_{r,k}\right) w_j
\end{aligned}
$$

That is how we define $M(ST)$ and that is why **Definition 1.13.** makes sense.

Proposition 1.11. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, then

$$
\mathcal{M}(ST) = \mathcal{M}(S)\,\mathcal{M}(T)
$$

Proof. It follows from our remark and how we defined the product of the matrix. □

Definition 1.14. Suppose A is a $m \times n$ matrix then

1. If $1 \leq j \leq m$ then $A_{j,\cdot}$ denotes the $1 \times n$ matrix consisting of row j of A.

2. If $1 \leq j \leq n$ then $A_{\cdot,j}$ denotes $m \times 1$ matrix consisting of column j of A.

Example :

Suppose $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$A_{1,\cdot} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{\cdot,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose A is a $m \times n$ matrix and B is a $n \times p$ matrix. Then*

$$(AB)_{j,k} = A_{j,\cdot} B_{\cdot,k}$$

where $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $1 \leq k \leq p$.

Proof. The definition of matrix multiplication states that

$$(AB)_{j,k} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{j,r} B_{r,k}$$
$$= A_{j,1} B_{1,k} + \cdots + A_{j,n} B_{n,k}$$

Now, if you take $A_{j,\cdot}$ and $B_{\cdot,k}$ and multiply it out you'll get the same thing. □

Theorem 1.7. *Suppose A is a $m \times n$ matrix and B is a $n \times p$ matrix. Then*

$$(AB)_{\cdot,k} = AB_{\cdot,k}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq p$.

Proof. Both of the matrix have size $m \times 1$. The j-th row of $(AB)_{\cdot,k}$ has the element $(AB)_{j,k}$ and the j-th row of $AB_{\cdot,k}$ has element $A_{j,1} B_{1,1} + A_{j,2} B_{2,1} + \cdots A_{j,n} B_{n,1}$. Thus, from our previous theorem they're equal. □

Remark. The row version of this is

$$(AB)_{k,\cdot} = A_{k,\cdot} B$$

Theorem 1.8. *Suppose A is a $m \times n$ matrix and $b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix}$ is a $n \times 1$ matrix. Then,*

$$Ab = b_1 A_{\cdot,1} + \cdots + b_n A_{\cdot,n}$$

Proof. They both have same size and the entries of of Ab is the same as of the right side. □

Theorem 1.9. *Suppose C is an $m \times c$ matrix and R is a $c \times n$ matrix*

1. *The column k of CR is the linear combination of the columns of C, with coefficients of this linear combination coming from column k of R.*

2. *Then row j of CR is a linear combination of the rows of R, with the coefficients of this linear combination coming from row j of C.*

Proof. Use **Theorem 1.7.** and **Theorem 1.8.** for 1. and we'll prove 2. in the exercise section. $\qquad\square$

1.3.4 Column-Row Factorization and Rank of a Matrix

Definition 1.15. Suppose A is a $m \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbf{F}$.

1. The **column rank** of A is the dimension of the span of columns of A in $\mathbf{F}^{1,m}$.

2. The **row rank** of A is the dimension of the span of rows of A in $\mathbf{F}^{n,1}$.

Example : Suppose
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$
then the column rank is the dimension of
$$\operatorname{span}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$
and the row rank is the dimension of
$$\operatorname{span}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Definition 1.16. The *transpose* of a $m \times n$ matrix A, denoted by A^t, is the $n \times m$ matrix whose entries are given by
$$(A^t)_{i,j} = A_{j,i}$$

Theorem 1.10. *Suppose A is an $m \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbf{F}$ and column rank $c \geq 1$. Then there exists a $m \times c$ matrix C and $c \times n$ matrix R, both with entries in F, such that $A = CR$.*

Proof. The list $A_{\cdot,1}, \ldots, A_{\cdot,n}$ of columns of A can be reduced to a basis of the span of the columns of A. This basis has length c by definition of column rank. The c columns can be put together to form $m \times c$.
Now, each column k of A is a linear combination of columns of C. Make the coefficients of this linear combination column k of R. This matrix R has size $c \times n$. Thus, $A = CR$ follows form **Theorem 1.9.**(a). $\qquad\square$

Theorem 1.11. *Suppose $A \in \mathbf{F}^{m,n}$ then the column rank of A equals row rank of A.*

Proof. Let c be the column rank of A. Then $A = CR$ by the previous theorem where C and R are the matrix whose size are $m \times c$ and $c \times n$ respectively. Now, from textbfTheorem 1.9. (b) each row of A is a linear combination of rows of R. Since, R has c columns this implies that
$$\operatorname{rowrank} A \leq c = \operatorname{columnrank} A$$

Now applying the same thing to A^t we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{columnrank } A &= \text{rowrank } A^t \\
&\leq \text{columnrank } A^t \\
&= \text{rowrank } A
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we're done. $\qquad\square$

Remark. From now on, we'll limit our use our terminology of "row rank" and "column rank" to just "rank".

1.3.5   Exercise

Problem : Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Show that with respect to each choice of basis of V and W, the matrix of T has at least $\dim \operatorname{range} T$ nonzero entries.

Solution : Let $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ be the the basis of V and $w_1, \ldots, w_m$ be the basis of W then suppose k of those vectors are 0 under T and let those vectors be $v_1, \ldots, v_k$. Thus,

$$\operatorname{range} T = \operatorname{span}\{Tv_{k+1}, \ldots, Tv_n\}$$

Thus, $\dim \operatorname{range} T \leq n - k$. But since $T(v_j) \neq 0$ for each $k+1 \leq j \leq n$, there must be one entry thats not 0 for each $T(v_j)$. Since, the number of $T(v_j) \neq 0$ are exactly $n - k$ and $n - k \geq \dim \operatorname{range} T$ this means there is at least $\dim \operatorname{range} T$ nonzero entries in matrix of T.

Problem : Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Prove that $\dim \operatorname{range} T = 1$ if and only if there exist a basis of V and a basis of W such that with respect to these bases, all the entries of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ is 1.

Solution : Let us first prove ($\Leftarrow$). Suppose $A_{i,j} = 1$ for all i, j. That means, $T(v_i) = \sum w_j$ where $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ is the basis of V and $w_1, \ldots, w_m$ is the basis of W. Thus, $Tv_1 = Tv_2 = \cdots = Tv_n = k$ and $\operatorname{range} T = \operatorname{span}\{Tv_1, \cdots, Tv_n\} = \operatorname{span}\{k\} \implies \dim \operatorname{range} T = 1$. Now, for the ($\Rightarrow$) we use the Fundamental theorem of linear maps,

$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

$$\implies \dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + 1$$

Now, suppose $v_2, \ldots, v_n$ be that basis of $\operatorname{null} T$. Then extend this basis to V, suppose $v_2, \ldots, v_n, v$ then

$$T(v_2) = T(v_3) = \cdots = T(v_n) = 0$$

$$\implies T(v) = T(v_2 + v) = \cdots = T(v_n + v)$$

One can check that $v_2 + v, \ldots, v$ is a basis of V (as its linearly independent and has length n). Now, since $\dim \operatorname{range} T = 1$ we have $T(x) = \lambda T(v)$ and we choose $T(v), w_2, \ldots, w_m$ as our basis for W. Now, we use a clever trick and set $w_1 = T(v) - w_2 - w_3 - \cdots - w_m$ and notice that $w_1, \cdots, w_m$ is a basis of W. Thus,

$$T(v_i) = T(v) = \sum w_j$$

Thus, we're done.

Problem : Suppose that $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbf{R}), \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbf{R}))$ is the differentiation map defined by $Dp = p'$. Find a basis of $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbf{R})$ and a basis of $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbf{R})$ such that the matrix of D with respect to these bases is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solution : Take the the basis of $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbf{R})$ to be $z, \frac{z^2}{2}, \frac{z^3}{3}, 1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbf{R})$ to be $1, z, z^2$.

Problem : Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Prove that there exist a basis of V and a basis of W such that with respect to these bases, all entries of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ are 0 except that the entries in row k, column k, equal 1 if $1 \leq k \leq \dim \operatorname{range} T$.

Solution : Let $\dim V = n$ and $\dim \operatorname{range} T = m$. Now, let $v_{m+1}, \cdots, v_n$ be the basis of $\dim \operatorname{null} T$. Now, extend these basis such in the following way

$$(v_1, \ldots, v_m, v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_n)$$

Here, $T(v_i) \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Now, since $(v_1, \cdots, v_m, v_{m+1}, \cdots, v_n)$ spans V the list $(Tv_1, , \ldots, Tv_m)$ must span $\operatorname{range} T$ and in fact it is the basis of $\operatorname{range} T$ (one can check that its linearly independent). We can now extend this basis to the basis of W. Suppose $(Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_m, w_1, \ldots, w_k)$ is the basis of W. Then,

$$T(v_i) = 0 \cdot T(v_1) + \cdots + 1 \cdot T(v_i) + \cdots + 0 \cdot w_k$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m = \dim \operatorname{range} T$. But for $i > \dim \operatorname{range} T$ we have

$$0 = T(v_i) = 0 \cdot T(v_1) + 0 \cdot T(v_2) + \cdots + 0 \cdot w_k$$

Problem : Suppose $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_m$ is a basis of V and W is finite-dimensional. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Prove that there exists a basis $w_1, ..., w_n$ of W such that all entries in the first column of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ [with respect to the bases $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_m$ and $w_1, ..., w_n$] are 0 except for possibly a 1 in the first row, first column.

Solution : We know that $\operatorname{range} T = \operatorname{span}\{T(\sigma_1), T(\sigma_2), \cdots, T(\sigma_m)\}$. Thus, we can make this span a basis. If $T(\sigma_1) = 0$ then we're done but if not then the basis of $\operatorname{range} T$ would be

$$(T(\sigma_1), z_2, \ldots, z_k)$$

Now, we can extend this basis to the basis of W, suppose its

$$(T(\sigma_1), z_2, \ldots, z_k, s_{k+1}, \ldots, s_m)$$

then

$$T(\sigma_1) = 1 \cdot T(\sigma_1) + 0 \cdot z_2 + \cdots + 0 \cdot s_m$$

Problem : Suppose $w_1, ..., w_n$ is a basis of W and V is finite-dimensional. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Prove that there exists a basis $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_m$ of V such that all entries in the first row of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ [with respect to the bases $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_m$ and $w_1, ..., w_n$] are 0 except for possibly a 1 in the first row, first column.

Solution : Take any basis $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ of V. Then, suppose

$$T(v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} {}_i\lambda_j w_j$$

$$= {}_i\lambda_1 w_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n} {}_i\lambda_j w_j$$

Now, if all the $T(v_i)$ has 0 as the coefficient of w_1 then we're done. If not then take a v_k for which $_k\lambda_1 \neq 0$ then swap it with v_1. Then, define

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{v_1}{_1\lambda_1}$$

$$\sigma_i := v_i - \frac{_i\lambda_1}{_1\lambda_1}v_1 \quad \text{for } i \geq 2$$

Now, one can check that $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m)$ is a basis and

$$T(\sigma_i) = T(v_i) - \frac{_i\lambda_1}{_1\lambda_1}T(v_k)$$

$$= 0 \cdot w_1 + \sum b_j w_j$$

Problem : Give an example of 2×2 matrices A and B such that $AB \neq BA$.

Solution :

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$$

Problem : Prove that the distributive property holds for matrix addition and matrix multiplication. In other words, suppose A, B, C, D, E, F are matrices whose sizes are such that $A(B + C)$ and $(D + E)F$ make sense. Explain why $AB + AC$ and $DF + EF$ both make sense and prove that

$$A(B + C) = AB + AC \quad \text{and} \quad (D + E)F = DF + EF.$$

Solution : If $A(B + C)$ and $(D + E)F$ makes sense then B and C must be of the same size and D and E must be of the same size. Also, the number of columns in A must be same as the number of rows in B and C. Let A be a $n \times p$ matrix and $X = B + C$ then

$$(AX)_{i,j} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{i,r}X_{r,j}$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{i,r}(B_{r,j} + C_{r,j})$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{i,r}B_{r,j} + \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{i,r}C_{r,j}$$

$$= (AB)_{i,j} + (AC)_{i,j}$$

Thus, $A(B + C) = AB + AC$. Similar proof works for $(D + E)F$.

Problem : Prove that matrix multiplication is associative. In other words, suppose A, B, C are matrices whose sizes are such that $(AB)C$ makes sense. Explain why $(AB)C$ makes sense and prove that

$$(AB)C = A(BC).$$

Solution : To make $(AB)C$ sense, we need A to have same number of columns as the number of rows in B. Also, we need B to have same number of columns as number of rows in C. To prove the associativity, you can just definition of matrix multiplication.

Problem :   Suppose A is an $n \times n$ matrix and $1 \le j, k \le n$. Show that the entry in row j, column k, of A^3 (which is defined to mean AAA) is

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{j,r} A_{r,i} A_{i,k}.$$

Problem :   Suppose m and n are positive integers. Prove that the function $A \mapsto A^t$ is a linear map from $\mathbf{F}^{m,n}$ to $\mathbf{F}^{n,m}$.

Problem :   Prove that if A is an $m \times n$ matrix and C is an $n \times p$ matrix, then

$$(AC)^t = C^t A^t.$$

Problem :   Suppose A is an $m \times n$ matrix with $A \ne 0$. Prove that the rank of A is 1 if and only if there exist $(c_1, \ldots, c_m) \in \mathbf{F}^m$ and $(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \in \mathbf{F}^n$ such that

$$A_{j,k} = c_j d_k \quad \text{for every } j = 1, \ldots, m \text{ and every } k = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Problem :   Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ are bases of V. Prove that the following are equivalent:

(a) T is injective.

(b) The columns of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ are linearly independent in $\mathbf{F}^{n,1}$.

(c) The columns of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ span $\mathbf{F}^{n,1}$.

(d) The rows of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ span $\mathbf{F}^{1,n}$.

(e) The rows of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ are linearly independent in $\mathbf{F}^{1,n}$.

Here $\mathcal{M}(T)$ means $\mathcal{M}(T, (u_1, \ldots, u_n), (v_1, \ldots, v_n))$.