# Linear Algebra Notes

Basu Dev Karki

# Content

1	Line	ear Ma	aps	<b>2</b>
	1.1	Vector	r Spaces of Linear Maps	2
		1.1.1	Definition and Examples of Linear Maps	2
		1.1.2	Algebraic Operation on $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$	3
		1.1.3	Exercises	4
	1.2	Null S	Spaces and Ranges	8
		1.2.1	Null Space and Injectivity	8
		1.2.2	Range and Surjectivity	8
		1.2.3	Fundamental Theorem of Linear Maps	9
		1.2.4	Excercise	10
	1.3	Matrio	ces	15
		1.3.1	Representing a Linear Map by a Matrix	15
		1.3.2	Addition and Scalar Multiplication of Matrices	15
		1.3.3	Matrix Multiplication	16
		1.3.4	Column-Row Factorization and Rank of a Matrix	18
		1.3.5	Exercise	20
	1.4	Invert	ibility and Isomorphism	25
		1.4.1	Invertible Linear Maps	25
		1.4.2	Isomorphic Vector Spaces	26
		1.4.3	Linear Map Thought of as Matrix Multiplication	27
		1.4.4	Change of Basis	28
		1.4.5	Exercise	30
	1.5	Produ	act and Quotients of Vector Spaces	33
		1.5.1	Products of Vector Spaces	33

# 1 Linear Maps

# 1.1 Vector Spaces of Linear Maps

#### 1.1.1 Definiton and Examples of Linear Maps

**Definition 1.1.** A linear map from V to W is a function  $T: V \to W$  with the following properties.

- 1. (Additivity) T(u+w) = T(u) + T(w) for all  $u, v \in V$
- 2. (Homogeneity)  $T(\lambda u) = \lambda T(u)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$  and for all  $u \in V$

**Remark.** Some mathematicians use the phrase *linear transformation*, which means the same as linear map.

#### **Definition 1.2.** (Notation)

- 1. The set of linear maps from  $V \to W$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .
- 2. The set of linear maps from  $V \to V$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{L}(V, V) = \mathcal{L}(V)$ .

#### Examples:

#### zero

We will let the symbol 0 denote the liner map that takes every element of some vector space to additive identity of some another vector space. Thus,  $0 \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is defined by

$$0(v) = 0$$

#### identity operator

Let  $I \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  be defined by

$$I(v) = v$$

#### differentiation

Let  $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}))$  be defined by

$$D(p) = p'$$

#### integration

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}), \mathbf{R})$  be defined by

$$T(p) = \int_0^1 p$$

#### composition

Fix a polynomial  $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}))$  be defined by

$$T(p) = (p \circ q)$$

**Remark.** We'll limit the Notation of T(v) to just Tv for convenience.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  is a basis of V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in W$ . Then, there exists a unique linear map  $T: V \to W$  such that

$$Tv_k = w_k$$

for 
$$i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n$$
.

*Proof.* First we show the existence of such map. Define  $T: V \to W$  by

$$T(c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n) = c_1w_1 + \dots + c_nw_n$$

where  $c_i \in \mathbf{F}$ . Since,  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  is a basis of V, it maps every element of V to W, thus it is a function.

Now, set  $c_k = 1$  and all other c's to be 0 to show that  $Tv_k = w_k$ . From, here one can show that T is indeed a linear map. To show the uniqueness, suppose  $T' \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $T'v_k = w_k$ . Using the properties of linear map,

$$T'(c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n) = c_1w_1 + \dots + c_nw_n$$

Thus, T and T' agree on every input, thus T = T'.

# 1.1.2 Algebraic Operation on $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$

**Definition 1.3.** Suppose  $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$  then the *sum* and the *product* of the linear maps from V to W is defined by

$$(S+T)(v) = Sv + Tv$$
 and  $(\lambda T)(v) = \lambda (Tv)$ 

for all  $v \in V$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** With the operations defined above, the set  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is a vector space.

*Proof.* The additive identity for  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is the zero linear map 0(v) = 0. The inverse for T is ((-1)T)v = -(Tv). And the rest of the axioms are left for readers (future me) to verify.

**Definition 1.4.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  then the product  $ST \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$  is defined by

$$(ST)(u) = S(Tu)$$

for all  $u \in U$ .

**Remark.** Be careful about the domains of S and T. Here, the domain of S must be the co-domain of T.

**Proposition 1.2.** For the product of linear maps, the following holds

- 1. (associativity)  $(T_1T_2)T_3 = T_1(T_2T_3)$  whenever the product makes sense(i.e  $T_3$  must map to domain of  $T_2$  and  $T_2$  must map to the domain of  $T_1$ ).
- 2. (**identity**)  $TI_{W,V} = I_{V,W}T$  whenever  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V,W)$ . Here  $I_{V,W}, I_{W,V}$  are the identity linear maps from V to W and W to V. We'll just limit he notation to TI = IT.
- 3. (distributivity)  $(S_1+S_2)T = S_1T+S_2T$  and  $S(T_1+T_2) = ST_1+ST_2$  for  $T, T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$  and  $S, S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .

**Proposition 1.3.** Suppose T is a linear map from V to W. Then, T(0) = 0.

*Proof.* From the definition of linear map we have,

$$T(0) = T(0+0) = T(0) + T(0) \implies T(0) = 0$$

#### 1.1.3 Exercises

**Problem :** Suppose  $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  by

$$T(x, y, z) = (2x - 4y + 3z, 6x + cxyz)$$

Show that T is a linear map if and only if b = c = 0.

Solution: ( $\Leftarrow$ ) is pretty simple as you just have to verify the two axioms. For ( $\Rightarrow$ ), we know that if it is a linear map then  $T(0) = 0 \implies b = 0$ . Also, using the first axiom we get

$$T((x, y, z) + (1, 0, 0)) = T((x, y, z)) + T((1, 0, 0)) \implies c = 0$$

**Problem:** Suppose  $b, c \in \mathbf{R}$ . Define  $T : \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathbf{R}^2$  by

$$Tp = \left(3p(4) + 5p'(6) + bp(1)p(2), \int_{-1}^{2} x^{3}p(x)dx + c\sin(p(0))\right)$$

Show that T is a linear map if and only if b = c = 0.

Solution:  $(\Leftarrow)$  is pretty simple. For  $(\Rightarrow)$ , we can use the first axiom

$$T(p+q) = Tp + Tq$$

we'll just look at the first component first,

$$\implies 3(p+q)(4) + 5(p+q)'(6) + b(p+q)(1)(p+q)(2) = 3p(4) + 5p'(6) + bp(1)p(2) + 3q(4) + 5q'(6) + bq(1)q(2)$$

Since, (p+q)(4) = p(4) + q(4) and (p+q)'(6) = p'(6) + q'(6), we can simplify is down to,

$$b(p(1)q(2) + p(2)q(1)) = 0$$

Now, if you choose polynomials p,q>0 for x>0 then b=0. A similar argument works for c=0.

**Problem:** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  is a list of vectors in V such that  $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_m$  is a linearly independent list in W. Prove that  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  is linearly independent.

Solution: Suppose on the contrary that  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  are not linearly independent in V, then there exists  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$  not all zero such that

$$\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_m v_m = 0$$

But  $T(\lambda_1 v_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m v_m) = \lambda_1 T(v_1) + \cdots + \lambda_m T(v_m)$  which implies

$$\lambda_1 T(v_1) + \cdots + \lambda_m T(v_m) = 0 \implies \lambda_i = 0$$

a contradiction.

**Problem :** Show that every linear map from a one-dimensional vector space to itself is multiplication by some scalar. More precisely, prove that if dim V = 1 and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , then there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$  such that  $Tv = \lambda v$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Solution: Since dim V=1 there exists a  $v \in V$  s.t every  $v_i \in V$  can be written as  $\lambda_i v$  for some  $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{F}$ . Thus,  $v_i = \lambda_i v \implies T(v_i) = \lambda_i T(v)$ . Since,  $T(v) = v_j \in V$  for some j. Thus,  $T(v_i) = \lambda_i \lambda_j v = \lambda_j v_i$ .

**Problem:** Give an example of a function  $\varphi: \mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\varphi(av) = a\varphi(v)$$

for all  $a \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $v \in \mathbf{R}^2$  but  $\varphi$  is not linear.

Solution : 
$$\varphi(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^3}{x^2 + y^2} & \text{if } (x,y) \neq (0,0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x,y) = (0,0) \end{cases}$$

**Problem:** Give an example of a function  $\varphi: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\varphi(v+w) = \varphi(v) + \varphi(w)$$

for all  $v, w \in \mathbf{C}$  and but  $\varphi$  is not homogeneous.

Solution:  $\varphi(x) = \operatorname{Re}(x)$ .

**Problem :** Prove or give a counter example: Fix a polynomial  $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ . Let  $T : \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$  be defined by  $Tp = q \circ p$  then T is a linear map.

Solution: Assume it was a linear map then T(0) = q(0) = 0. Just pick  $q(0) \neq 0$ . Example: q(x) = x + 1.

**Problem:** Suppose V is finite-dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Prove that T is a scalar multiple of identity if and only if ST = TS for every  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ .

Solution: I really tried but it seems very hard to prove  $(\Rightarrow)$  but will come back later.

**Problem :** Suppose U is a subspace of V with  $U \neq V$ . Suppose  $S \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$  and  $Su \neq 0$  for some  $u \in U$ . Define  $T: V \to W$  by

$$Tv = \begin{cases} Sv & \text{if } v \in U \\ 0 & \text{if } v \in V \text{ and } v \notin U \end{cases}$$

Prove that  $T \notin \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .

Solution: Suppose it is a linear map, then T(u+v)=Tu+Tv where  $u\in U$  and  $v\in V$  and  $v\not\in U$ . One can check that  $v+u\in V$  but  $v+u\not\in U$ . Thus, 0=Tu=Su, but just take u s.t  $Su\neq 0$ .

**Problem:** Suppose V is finite-dimensional. Prove that every linear map on a subspace of U can be extended to a linear map on V. In other words, let U be a subspace of V and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$ , then there exists a  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  such that Tu = Su for all  $u \in U$ .

Solution: Let  $u_1, \ldots, u_m$  be the basis of U and let  $u_1, \ldots, u_m, v_1, \ldots, v_k$  be the extended basis of V. Let  $x = a_1u_1 + \cdots + a_mu_m + b_1v_1 + \cdots + b_kv_k$  and define

$$T(x) = a_1 S u_1 + \cdots + a_m S u_m + b_1 v_1 + \cdots + b_k v_k$$

From here its easy to see that Tu = Su for all  $u \in U$ . We just have to prove this is a linear map on V. Let  $y = x = c_1u_1 + \cdots + c_mu_m + d_1v_1 + \cdots + d_kv_k$  then

$$T(x+y) = (a_1 + c_1)Su_1 + \dots + (a_m + c_m) + (b_1 + d_1)v_1 + \dots + (b_k + d_k)v_k$$

$$\implies T(x+y) = a_1 S u_1 + \dots + a_m S u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_k v_k + c_1 S u_1 + \dots + c_m S u_m + d_1 v_1 + \dots + d_k v_k$$

$$\implies T(x+y) = Tx + Ty$$

Similarly one can prove  $T(\lambda x) = \lambda T(x)$ .

**Problem:** Suppose V is finite-dimensional with dim V > 0 and suppose W is infinite-dimensional. Prove that  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is infinite-dimensional.

Solution: Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  be basis of V. Suppose  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is finite-dimensional then every  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  can be written as

$$T(x) = \lambda_1 T_1(x) + \lambda_2 T_2(x) + \dots + \lambda_k T_k(x)$$

for some fixed  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{F}$ .

Since, W is infinite-dimensional,  $\exists w \in W \text{ s.t } w \notin \text{span}\{T_1(x), T_2(x), \dots, T_k(x)\}$  for some fixed  $x \in V$ .

Now, set  $x = v_i$  then  $w \neq \lambda_1 T_1(v_i) + \lambda_2 T_2(v_i) + \cdots + \lambda_k T_k(v_i)$ . One can find  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  such that  $T(v_i) = w$  thus  $T(v_i) \neq \lambda_1 T_1(v_i) + \lambda_2 T_2(v_i) + \cdots + \lambda_k T_k(v_i)$  contradicting our assumption.

**Problem :** Let V be finite-dimensional and let  $\dim V > 1$ . Prove that there exists  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  such that  $ST \neq TS$ .

Solution: Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  be the basis of V and let  $x = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_mv_m$ . Define  $S(x) = a_1v_1$  and  $T(x) = a_1v_2 + a_2v_3 + \cdots + a_{m-1}v_m + a_mv_1$ . So,  $T(S(x)) = a_1v_2$  and  $S(T(x)) = a_mv_1$ , thus

$$ST = TS \iff a_1 = a_m$$

but one can always choose x s.t  $a_1 \neq a_m$ .

**Problem :** Suppose V is finite-dimensional. Show that the only two-sided ideas of  $\mathcal{L}(V)$  are  $\{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ . A subspace  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\mathcal{L}(V)$  is called a two-sided ideal if for every  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ ,  $TE \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $ET \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Solution: Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ . If  $\mathcal{E} = \{0\}$  we are done. Otherwise pick a nonzero operator  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ . Choose  $v \in V$  with  $Av \neq 0$ . Fix any  $y, z \in V$ . Choose  $R \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  with R(z) = v and choose  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  with S(Av) = y. Then

$$T := SAR \in \mathcal{E}$$

(since  $\mathcal{E}$  is a two-sided ideal), and

$$T(z) = S(A(R(z))) = S(A(v)) = y.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{E}$  contains, for every pair y, z, an operator that sends z to y. Taking a basis  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  of V and the operators  $E_{ij}$  defined by  $E_{ij}(u_j) = u_i$  and  $E_{ij}(u_k) = 0$  for  $k \neq j$ , we see each  $E_{ij}$  lies in  $\mathcal{E}$ . The set  $\{E_{ij}\}$  spans  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ , so  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Hence the only two-sided ideals are  $\{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ .  $\square$ 

# 1.2 Null Spaces and Ranges

#### 1.2.1 Null Space and Injectivity

**Definition 1.5.** let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ , the null space of T, written as null T is the following set

$$\operatorname{null} T = \{ v \in V \mid Tv = 0 \}$$

#### Examples

- 1. The zero map from V to W has a null space V as everything gets mapped to 0.
- 2. Let  $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}))$  be the differentiation map defined by Dp = p'. The only functions whose derivative is equal to 0 are the constant function. Thus, null D is the set of constant functions.
- 3. Let  $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}))$  be the multiplication by  $x^2$  map i.e  $Dp = x^2p$ . The only polynomial such that  $x^2p = 0$  is p = 0. Thus, null  $D = \{0\}$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Then, null T is a subspace of V.

**Proposition 1.5.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}(V, W)$ . Then T is injective  $\iff$  null  $T = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose T is injective. Since it is a linear map T(0) = 0, thus by injectivity the only thing that gets map to 0 is 0. Thus, null  $T = \{0\}$ . Suppose T is such that null  $T = \{0\}$  then

$$T(v) = T(w) \implies T(v) + (-1)T(w) = 0$$
  
 $\implies T(v) + T(-w) = 0 \implies T(v - w) = 0 \implies v = w$ 

Thus, the map is injective.

#### 1.2.2 Range and Surjectivity

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ , the range of T is the following set,

$$range T = \{ Tv \mid v \in V \}$$

#### Examples

- 1. If T is the zero map then the range of T is  $\{0\}$ .
- 2. Suppose  $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}))$  be the differentiation map. Since, for every polynomial q there exists a polynomial p such that p' = q, the range is  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ .

**Proposition 1.6.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  then range T is a subspace of W.

Proof. Since,  $0 \in V$  we know  $T(0) = 0 \in \operatorname{range} T$ . Now, suppose  $x, y \in \operatorname{range} T$  then x = Tv and y = Tw. Since,  $v + w \in V$ ,  $T(v + w) \in \operatorname{range} T \implies Tv + Tw \in \operatorname{range} T$  which mean  $x + y \in \operatorname{range} T$ . And  $x \in \operatorname{range} T \implies Tv \in \operatorname{range} T$  which means  $T(\lambda v) \in \operatorname{range} T$  as  $\lambda v \in V$ . Thus,  $\lambda x = \lambda T(v) = T(\lambda v) \in \operatorname{range} T$ .

#### 1.2.3 Fundamental Theorem of Linear Maps

**Theorem 1.2.** Suppose V is finite-dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Then range T is finite-dimensional and

$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

*Proof.* We know that null T is a subspace of V then since V is finite-dimensional it has a basis. Let  $u_1, \ldots, u_m$  be the basis of null T. Then we can extend this basis to a basis of V. Let  $u_1, \ldots, u_m, v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be the basis of V. Then,

$$x = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_n v_n$$

$$\implies Tx = b_1 T v_1 + \dots + b_n T v_n$$

Thus, every Tv can be written as a linear combination of  $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n$ . Thus, range T is finite-dimensional. To prove our main result, we need to show that  $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n$  is a basis of range T. We already proved it spanned range T, now suppose

$$b_1 T v_1 + \dots + b_n T v_n = 0$$

$$\Longrightarrow T(b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_n v_n) = 0$$

Thus,  $b_1v_1+\cdots+b_nv_n \in \text{null } T$  and we can write it as  $b_1v_1+\cdots+b_nv_n = a_1u_1+\cdots+a_mu_m$ . Since,  $u_1,\ldots,u_m,v_1,\ldots,v_n$  is a basis vector we can say that  $b_i=a_i=0$ . Thus, we have proved that  $Tv_1,\ldots,Tv_n$  is a basis of range T and our theorem follows.

**Theorem 1.3.** Suppose V and W are both finite-dimensional vector spaces such that  $\dim V > \dim W$ . Then, there exists no **injective** linear map from V to W.

*Proof.* We know that, for a  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ ,

$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

Since range T is a subspace of W,  $\dim W \geq \dim \operatorname{range} T$ . Thus,

$$\dim \operatorname{null} T = \dim V - \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

$$\geq \dim V - \dim W$$

$$> 0$$

Thus, null T has more than one vector, so T it's not injective by **Proposition 1.5**.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 1.4.** Suppose V and W are both finite-dimensional vector spaces such that  $\dim V < \dim W$ . Then, there exists no **surjective** linear map from V to W.

*Proof.* Similar to the proof above.

**Definition 1.7.** Define  $T: \mathbf{F}^n \to \mathbf{F}^m$  as

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n A_{1,k} x_k, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^n A_{m,k} x_k\right)$$

**Definition 1.8.** A homogeneous system of linear equations defined is as

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{1,k} x_k, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{m,k} x_k\right) = (0, \dots, 0)$$

And a Inhomogeneous system of linear equation is defined as

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{1,k} x_k, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{m,k} x_k\right) = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$$

where not all  $c_i$  are zero.

**Proposition 1.7.** A homogeneous system of linear equations with more variables than equations has nonzero solutions.

*Proof.* Use **Theorem 1.3** and **Theorem 1.4**.

**Proposition 1.8.** An inhomogeneous system of linear equations with more equations than variables has no solution for some choice of the constant terms.

*Proof.* Use **Theorem 1.3** and **Theorem 1.4**.

#### 1.2.4 Excercise

**Problem :** Give an example of a linear map T such that dim null T=3 and dim range T=2.

Solution:  $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1, x_2).$ 

**Problem :** Suppose  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  are such that range  $S \subseteq \text{null } T$ . Prove that  $(ST)^2 = 0$ .

Solution: Since range  $S \subseteq \text{null } T$ , T(Sv) = 0. Thus,

$$(ST)^2 = (ST)(ST) = S(T(S(Tv))) = S(0) = 0$$

**Problem:** Suppose  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  is a list of vector in V. Define  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^m, V)$  by

$$T(z_1,\ldots,z_m)=z_1v_1+\ldots+z_mv_m$$

- a. What property of T corresponds to  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  spanning V?
- b. What property of T corresponds to  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  being linearly independent on V?

Solution: If  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  spans V then range T = V thus T being surjective corresponds to  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  spanning V.

If  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  is linearly independent on V then null  $T = \{0\}$ , thus T being injective corresponds to  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  being linearly independent on V.

**Problem:** Show that  $\{T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^5, \mathbf{R}^4) : \dim \operatorname{null} T > 2\}$  is not a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^5, \mathbf{R}^4)$ .

Solution: Let  $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1, x_2, 0, 0)$  and  $T'(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (0, 0, x_3, 0)$ . Both of them are in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^5, \mathbf{R}^4)$ . Also dim null T = 3, dim null T' = 4 but  $T + T' = (x_1, x_2, x_3, 0) \implies \dim \text{null}(T + T') = 2 \not> 2$ .

**Problem:** Give an example of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^4)$  such that range T = null T.

Solution:  $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (0, 0, x_1, x_2).$ 

**Problem:** Prove that there doesn't exists a  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^5)$  such that range T = null T.

Solution: Suppose there exists such T, then  $\dim \operatorname{range} T = \dim \operatorname{null} T$  but from the fundamental theorem of linear maps we have

$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{range} T + \dim \operatorname{null} T$$

$$\implies$$
 dim range  $T = \dim \text{null } T = \frac{5}{2}$ 

which is impossible.

**Problem:** Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional with  $2 \leq \dim V \leq \dim W$ . Show that  $\{T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W) \mid T \text{ is not injective}\}$  is not a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .

Solution: Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  be the basis of V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  be the basis of W. Then, define  $T_i(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_mv_m) = a_iw_i$ . One can check that this is not injective thus

$$T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m T_i\right)(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_iw_i$$

Now, suppose T(v) = T(v') then

$$a_1w_1 + \dots + a_mw_m = a'_1w_1 + \dots + a'_mw_m$$

$$\implies b_1 = b'_1 \quad (because \ of \ linear \ independence)$$

Thus, v = v'.

**Problem :** Suppose V is finite-dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Prove that there exists a subspace U and V such that

$$U \cap \text{null } T = \{0\} \text{ and } \text{range } T = \{Tu \mid u \in U\}$$

Solution: We know that null T is the subspace of V. Thus, there exists a U such that  $V = U \oplus \text{null } T$  and since it is a direct sum  $U \cap \text{null } T = \{0\}$ . Now, for the range of T

$$\operatorname{range} T = \{ Tv \mid v \in V \}$$
 
$$\implies \{ T(u+z) \mid u \in U, z \in \operatorname{null} T \} = \{ Tu \mid u \in U \}$$

**Problem:** Suppose T is a linear map from  $\mathbf{F}^4$  to  $\mathbf{F}^2$  such that

null 
$$T = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbf{F}^4 \mid x_1 = 5x_2 \text{ and } x_3 = 7x_4\}$$

Prove that T is a surjective linear map.

Solution: We can write T as

$$\operatorname{null} T = \{ (5x_2, x_2, 7x_4, x_4) \mid x_2, x_4 \in \mathbf{F} \}$$

Now, since  $(5x_2, x_2, 7x_4, x_4) = x_2(5, 1, 0, 0) + x_4(0, 0, 7, 1) \implies \dim \text{null } T = 2$ . Thus,

$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

$$\implies 4 = 2 + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

$$\implies$$
 dim range  $T=2$ 

Since, dim  $\mathbf{F}^2 = 2 = \dim \operatorname{range} T \implies \operatorname{range} T = \mathbf{F}^2$ . Thus, T is surjective.

**Problem:** Suppose U is three-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^8$  and that T is a linear map from  $\mathbb{R}^8$  to  $\mathbb{R}^5$  such that null T = U. Prove that T is surjective.

Solution: Since, null  $T = U \implies \dim \text{null } T = 3$ . Thus,

$$\dim \mathbf{R}^8 = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

$$\implies$$
 dim range  $T=5$ 

Since, range T is a subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^5$  and dim range  $T=\dim \mathbf{R}^5$ ,  $\mathbf{R}^5=\mathrm{range}\,T$ . Thus, T is surjective.

**Problem:** Prove that there does not exist a linear map from  $\mathbf{F}^5$  to  $\mathbf{F}^2$  whose null space doesn't equals  $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \in \mathbf{F}^5 \mid x_1 = 3x_2 \text{ and } x_3 = x_4 = x_5\}.$ 

Solution: Suppose there does exist such a T. Then the null space can be written as

$$\operatorname{null} T = \{ (3x_2, x_2, k, k, k) \mid x_2, k \in \mathbf{F} \}$$

One can check that  $\dim \operatorname{null} T = 2$  but

$$\dim \mathbf{F}^5 = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

$$\implies$$
 dim range  $T=3$ 

But  $2 = \dim \mathbf{F}^2 \ge \dim \operatorname{range} T = 3$  which is false.

**Problem:** Suppose there exists a linear map on V such that the null space and range of T is finite dimensional. Prove that V is finite-dimensional.

Solution: Since, the range of T is finite-dimensional it must have a basis. Suppose  $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_m$  is the basis then

$$T(x) = \lambda_1 T v_1 + \dots + \lambda_m T v_m$$

$$\implies T(x - \lambda_1 v_1 - \dots - \lambda_m v_m) = 0$$

Since, the null space is also finite-dimensional

$$x - \lambda_1 v_1 - \dots - \lambda_m v_m = \lambda_1' v_1' + \dots + \lambda_n' v_n'$$

where  $v'_1, \ldots, v'_n$  is the basis of the null space. Thus,

$$V = \operatorname{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m, v_1' \dots, v_n')$$

**Problem:** Suppose V and W are both finite-dimensional. Prove that there exists an injective linear map from V to W if and only if  $\dim V \leq \dim W$ .

Solution: Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is an injective map, then

$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

$$\implies$$
 dim  $V = \dim \operatorname{range} T \leq \dim W$ 

Now suppose dim  $V \leq \dim W$  then we can construct a injective map from V to W.

$$T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = a_1w_1 + \dots + a_nw_n$$

where  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  is the basis of V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_m$  is the basis of W. One can check this is a linear map and suppose T(x) = T(y) and let  $x = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n$  and  $y = b_1v_1 + \cdots + b_nv_n$  then

$$T(x) = T(y)$$

$$\implies (a_1 - b_1)w_1 + \dots + (a_n - b_n)w_n = 0$$

$$\implies a_i = b_i$$

Thus, x = y.

**Problem:** Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional vector spaces and U is a subspace of V. Prove that there exists  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  such that  $\operatorname{null} T = U$  if and only if  $\dim U \ge \dim V - \dim W$ .

Solution: Suppose  $\operatorname{null} T = U$  then

$$\dim V - \dim \operatorname{range} T = \dim U$$

$$\implies \dim V - \dim W \le \dim V - \dim \operatorname{range} T = \dim U$$

Now, suppose dim  $U \ge \dim V - \dim W$  then let  $u_1, \ldots, u_k$  be the basis of U and

$$u_1,\ldots,u_k,v_1,\ldots,v_m$$

be the extended basis of V. Let  $w_1, \ldots, w_j$  be the basis of W. From our condition, we know  $k \geq k + m - j \implies j \geq m$ . Thus we define

$$T(a_1u_1 + \cdots + a_ku_k + b_1v_1 + \cdots + b_mv_m) = b_1w_1 + \cdots + b_mw_m$$

Here,  $\operatorname{null} T = U$ .

**Problem :** Suppose V is finite-dimensional,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ , and U is a subspace of W. Prove that  $X = \{v \in V \mid Tv \in U\}$  is a subspace of V and

$$\dim X = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim(U \cap \operatorname{range} T)$$

Solution: The subspace part is pretty simple. Let  $S: X \to U$  be a map such that S(v) = T(v). Here, range  $S = U \cap \text{range } T$  and null S = null T.

**Problem :** Suppose U and V are finite-dimensional vector spaces and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$ . Prove that

 $\dim\operatorname{null} ST \leq \dim\operatorname{null} T + \dim\operatorname{null} S$ 

Solution : One can find that null  $ST = \operatorname{null} T \cup \{x \in U \mid S(T(x)) = 0, T(x) \neq 0\}.$ 

**Note:** It seems that these exercises are taking way too long to do. I'll however come back to it and finish

#### 1.3 Matrices

#### 1.3.1 Representing a Linear Map by a Matrix

**Definition 1.9.** Suppose m and n are two non-negative integers. A  $m \times n$  matrix is A is a rectangular array of elements in  $\mathbf{F}$  with m rows and n columns

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{m,1} & \cdots & A_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

The  $A_{i,j}$  represents the entry in *i*-th row and *j*-th column.

**Definition 1.10.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  is the basis of V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_m$  is a basis of W. The matrix of T with respect to these bases is the  $m \times n$  matrix  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  whose entries  $A_{i,j}$  are defined by

$$Tv_k = A_{1,k}w_1 + \cdots + A_{m,k}w_m$$

#### Examples:

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^2, \mathbf{F}^3)$  is defined by

$$T(x,y) = (x+3y, 2x+5y, 7x+9y)$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{M}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 7 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

As T(1,0) = 1(1,0,0) + 2(0,1,0) + 7(0,0,1) and T(0,1) = 3(1,0,0) + 5(0,1,0) + 9(0,0,1).

#### 1.3.2 Addition and Scalar Multiplication of Matrices

**Definition 1.11.** The sum of two matrices of same size is obtained by adding corresponding entries in the matrices i.e

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{m,1} & \cdots & A_{m,n} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_{1,1} & \cdots & B_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ B_{m,1} & \cdots & B_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} + B_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1,n} + B_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{m,1} + B_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{m,n} + B_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

**Proposition 1.9.** Suppose  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}(S + T) = \mathcal{M}(S) + \mathcal{M}(T)$ .

*Proof.* Follows from the definition.

**Definition 1.12.** The product of a scalar and a matrix is obtained by multiplying each entry by the scalar i.e

$$\lambda \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{m,1} & \cdots & A_{m,n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda A_{1,1} & \cdots & \lambda A_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \lambda A_{m,1} & \cdots & \lambda A_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

**Proposition 1.10.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$  then  $\lambda \mathcal{M}(T) = \mathcal{M}(\lambda T)$ .

*Proof.* Again, just use the definitions.

**Theorem 1.5.** Suppose  $\mathbf{F}^{m,n}$  be the set of all the matrices with entries in  $\mathbf{F}$ . Then, with addition and scalar multiplication defined above  $\mathbf{F}^{m,n}$  is a vector space and dim  $\mathbf{F}^{m,n} = mn$ .

*Proof.* Proving it is a vector space is pretty easy. To verify dim  $\mathbf{F}^{m,n} = mn$  define

$$X_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & 1 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

where every entry is 0 expect the  $A_{i,j}$  entry which is equal to 1. Now, its easy to see that every  $Z \in \mathbf{F}^{m,n}$  can be written as some linear combination of  $X_{i,j}$ 's. Thus,  $F^{m,n} = \operatorname{span}\{X_{i,j}\}$  where i,j vary with  $i = 1, \ldots, m$  and  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ . Also, every matrix with only 0 as its entry can only be written as linear combination of  $X_{i,j}$  with all of its scalars equal to 0. Since, there are mn entries the dimension of  $\mathbf{F}^{m,n}$  is equal to mn.  $\square$ 

#### 1.3.3 Matrix Multiplication

**Definition 1.13.** Suppose A is a  $m \times n$  matrix and B is  $n \times p$  matrix. Then AB is defined as to be an  $m \times p$  matrix whose entry in row j and column k is given by

$$(AB)_{j,k} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{j,r} B_{k,r}$$

**Remark.** The motivation for us to define the product like this comes from questioning, does  $\mathcal{M}(ST) = \mathcal{M}(S)\mathcal{M}(T)$ ? Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is a basis of V and  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  is the basis of W. Suppose  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  is the basis of U then consider the map  $T: U \to V$  and  $S: V \to W$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{M}(S) = A$  and  $\mathcal{T} = B$ . Then

$$(ST)u_k = S\left(\sum_{r=1}^n B_{r,k}v_r\right)$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^n B_{r,k}Sv_r$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^n B_{r,k}\sum_{j=1}^m A_{j,r}w_j$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{r=1}^n A_{j,r}B_{r,k}\right)w_j$$

That is how we define M(ST) and that is why **Definition 1.13.** makes sense.

**Proposition 1.11.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ , then

$$\mathcal{M}(ST) = \mathcal{M}(S)\,\mathcal{M}(T)$$

*Proof.* It follows from our remark and how we defined the product of the matrix.

**Definition 1.14.** Suppose A is a  $m \times n$  matrix then

- 1. If  $1 \le j \le m$  then  $A_j$ , denotes the  $1 \times n$  matrix consisting of row j of A.
- 2. If  $1 \le j \le n$  then  $A_{\cdot,j}$  denotes  $m \times 1$  matrix consisting of column j of A.

#### Example:

Suppose  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$  then

$$A_{1,\cdot} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{\cdot,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Theorem 1.6.** Suppose A is a  $m \times n$  matrix and B is a  $n \times p$  matrix. Then

$$(AB)_{i,k} = A_{i,\cdot}B_{\cdot,k}$$

where  $1 \le j \le m$  and  $1 \le k \le p$ .

*Proof.* The definition of matrix multiplication states that

$$(AB)_{j,k} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{j,r} B_{r,k}$$
$$= A_{j,1} B_{1,k} + \dots + A_{j,n} B_{n,k}$$

Now, if you take  $A_{j,\cdot}$  and  $B_{\cdot,k}$  and multiply it out you'll get the same thing.

**Theorem 1.7.** Suppose A is a  $m \times n$  matrix and B is a  $n \times p$  matrix. Then

$$(AB)_{\cdot,k} = AB_{\cdot,k}$$

for  $1 \le k \le p$ .

*Proof.* Both of the matrix have size  $m \times 1$ . The j-th row of  $(AB)_{\cdot,k}$  has the element  $(AB)_{j,k}$  and the j-th row of  $AB_{\cdot,k}$  has element  $A_{j,1}B_{1,1} + A_{j,2}B_{2,1} + \cdots + A_{j,n}B_{n,1}$ . Thus, from our previous theorem they're equal.

Remark. The row version of this is

$$(AB)_{k,\cdot} = A_{k,\cdot}B$$

**Theorem 1.8.** Suppose A is a  $m \times n$  matrix and  $b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix}$  is a  $n \times 1$  matrix. Then,

$$Ab = b_1 A_{\cdot,1} + \dots + b_n A_{\cdot,n}$$

*Proof.* They both have same size and the entries of of Ab is the same as of the right side.

#### **Theorem 1.9.** Suppose C is an $m \times c$ matrix and R is a $c \times n$ matrix

1. The column k of CR is the linear combination of the columns of C, with coefficients of this linear combination coming from column k of R.

2. Then row j of CR is a linear combination of the rows of R, with the coefficients of this linear combination coming from row j of C.

*Proof.* Use **Theorem 1.7.** and **Theorem 1.8.** for 1. and we'll prove 2. in the exercise section.  $\Box$ 

#### 1.3.4 Column-Row Factorization and Rank of a Matrix

**Definition 1.15.** Suppose A is a  $m \times n$  matrix with entries in **F**.

- 1. The **column rank** of A is the dimension of the span of columns of A in  $\mathbf{F}^{1,m}$ .
- 2. The **row rank** of A is the dimension of the span of rows of A in  $\mathbf{F}^{n,1}$ .

Example: Suppose

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

then the column rank is the dimension of

$$\operatorname{span}\left(\begin{pmatrix}1\\4\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}2\\5\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}3\\6\end{pmatrix}\right)$$

and the row rank is the dimension of

$$\operatorname{span}\left(\begin{pmatrix}1 & 2 & 3\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}4 & 5 & 6\end{pmatrix}\right)$$

**Definition 1.16.** The *transpose* of a  $m \times n$  matrix A, denoted by  $A^t$ , is the  $n \times m$  matrix whose entries are given by

$$(A^t)_{i,j} = A_{j,i}$$

**Theorem 1.10.** Suppose A is an  $m \times n$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbf{F}$  and column rank  $c \geq 1$ . Then there exists a  $m \times c$  matrix C and  $c \times n$  matrix R, both with entries in F, such that A = CR.

*Proof.* The list  $A_{.,1}, \ldots, A_{.,n}$  of columns of A can be reduced to a basis of the span of the columns of A. This basis has length c by definition of column rank. The c columns can be put together to form  $m \times c$ .

Now, each column k of A is a linear combination of columns of C. Make the coefficients of this linear combination column k of R. This matrix R has size  $c \times n$ . Thus, A = CR follows form **Theorem 1.9.**(a).

**Theorem 1.11.** Suppose  $A \in \mathbf{F}^{m,n}$  then the column rank of A equals row rank of A.

*Proof.* Let c be the column rank of A. Then A = CR by the previous theorem where C and R are the matrix whose size are  $m \times c$  and  $c \times n$  respectively. Now, from textbfTheorem 1.9. (b) each row of A is a linear combination of rows of R. Since, R has c columns this implies that

rowrank 
$$A \le c = \text{columnrank } A$$

Now applying the same thing to  $A^t$  we get

 $\begin{aligned} \operatorname{columnrank} A &= \operatorname{rowrank} A^t \\ &\leq \operatorname{columnrank} A^t \\ &= \operatorname{rowrank} A \end{aligned}$ 

Thus, we're done.  $\Box$ 

**Remark.** From now on, we'll limit our use our terminology of "row rank" and "column rank" to just "rank".

#### 1.3.5 Exercise

**Problem :** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Show that with respect to each choice of basis of V and W, the matrix of T has at least dim range T nonzero entries.

Solution: Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be the basis of V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_m$  be the basis of W then suppose k of those vectors are 0 under T and let those vectors be  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ . Thus,

range 
$$T = \operatorname{span}\{Tv_{k+1}, \dots, Tv_n\}$$

Thus, dim range  $T \leq n-k$ . But since  $T(v_j) \neq 0$  for each  $k+1 \leq j \leq n$ , there must be one entry thats not 0 for each  $T(v_j)$ . Since, the number of  $T(v_j) \neq 0$  are exactly n-k and  $n-k \geq \dim \operatorname{range} T$  this means there is at least dim range T nonzero entries in matrix of T.

**Problem:** Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Prove that dim range T = 1 if and only if there exist a basis of V and a basis of W such that with respect to these bases, all the entries of  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  is 1.

Solution: Let us first prove ( $\Leftarrow$ ). Suppose  $A_{i,j}=1$  for all i,j. That means,  $T(v_i)=\sum w_j$  where  $v_1,\ldots,v_n$  is the basis of V and  $w_1,\ldots,w_m$  is the basis of W. Thus,  $Tv_1=Tv_2=\cdots=Tv_n=k$  and range  $T=\operatorname{span}\{Tv_1,\cdots,Tv_n\}=\operatorname{span}\{k\}\implies \dim\operatorname{range} T=1$ . Now, for the ( $\Rightarrow$ ) we use the Fundamental theorem of linear maps,

$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

$$\implies \dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + 1$$

Now, suppose  $v_2, \ldots, v_n$  be that basis of null T. Then extend this basis to V, suppose  $v_2, \ldots, v_n, v$  then

$$T(v_2) = T(v_3) = \dots = T(v_n) = 0$$
  
$$\implies T(v) = T(v_2 + v) = \dots = T(v_n + v)$$

One can check that  $v_2 + v, \ldots, v$  is a basis of V (as its linearly independent and has length n). Now, since dim range T = 1 we have  $T(x) = \lambda T(v)$  and we choose  $T(v), w_2, \ldots, w_m$  as our basis for W. Now, we use a clever trick and set  $w_1 = T(v) - w_2 - w_3 - \cdots - w_m$  and notice that  $w_1, \cdots, w_m$  is a basis of W. Thus,

$$T(v_i) = T(v) = \sum w_j$$

Thus, we're done.

**Problem:** Suppose that  $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbf{R}), \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbf{R}))$  is the differentiation map defined by Dp = p'. Find a basis of  $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbf{R})$  and a basis of  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbf{R})$  such that the matrix of D with respect to these bases is

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)$$

Solution: Take the basis of  $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbf{R})$  to be  $z, \frac{z^2}{2}, \frac{z^3}{3}, 1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbf{R})$  to be  $1, z, z^2$ .

**Problem :** Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Prove that there exist a basis of V and a basis of W such that with respect to these bases, all entries of  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  are 0 except that the entries in row k, column k, equal 1 if  $1 \le k \le \dim$  range T.

Solution: Let dim V = n and dim range T = m. Now, let  $v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n$  be the basis of dim null T. Now, extend these basis such in the following way

$$(v_1,\ldots,v_m,v_{m+1},\ldots,v_n)$$

Here,  $T(v_i) \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Now, since  $(v_1, \dots, v_m, v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n)$  spans V the list  $(Tv_1, \dots, Tv_m)$  must span range T and in fact it is the basis of range T (one can check that its linearly independent). We can now extend this basis to the basis of W. Suppose  $(Tv_1, \dots, Tv_m, w_1, \dots, w_k)$  is the basis of W. Then,

$$T(v_i) = 0 \cdot T(v_1) + \dots + 1 \cdot T(v_i) + \dots + 0 \cdot w_k$$

for  $1 \le i \le m = \dim \operatorname{range} T$ . But for  $i > \dim \operatorname{range} T$  we have

$$0 = T(v_i) = 0 \cdot T(v_1) + 0 \cdot T(v_2) + \dots + 0 \cdot w_k$$

**Problem :** Suppose  $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_m$  is a basis of V and W is finite-dimensional. Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Prove that there exists a basis  $w_1, ..., w_n$  of W such that all entries in the first column of  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  [with respect to the bases  $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_m$  and  $w_1, ..., w_n$ ] are 0 except for possibly a 1 in the first row, first column.

Solution: We know that range  $T = \text{span}\{T(\sigma_1), T(\sigma_2), \dots, T(\sigma_m)\}$ . Thus, we can make this span a basis. If  $T(\sigma_1) = 0$  then we're done but if not then the basis of range T would be

$$(T(\sigma_1), z_2, \ldots, z_k)$$

Now, we can extend this basis to the basis of W, suppose its

$$(T(\sigma_1), z_2, \ldots, z_k, s_{k+1}, \ldots, s_m)$$

then

$$T(\sigma_1) = 1 \cdot T(\sigma_1) + 0 \cdot z_2 + \dots + 0 \cdot s_m$$

**Problem :** Suppose  $w_1, ..., w_n$  is a basis of W and V is finite-dimensional. Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Prove that there exists a basis  $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_m$  of V such that all entries in the first row of  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  [with respect to the bases  $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_m$  and  $w_1, ..., w_n$ ] are 0 except for possibly a 1 in the first row, first column.

Solution: Take any basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  of V. Then, suppose

$$T(v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} {}_{i}\lambda_j w_j$$
$$= {}_{i}\lambda_1 w_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n} {}_{i}\lambda_j w_j$$

Now, if all the  $T(v_i)$  has 0 as the coefficient of  $w_1$  then we're done. If not then take a  $v_k$  for which  $k\lambda_1 \neq 0$  then swap it with  $v_1$ . Then, define

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{v_1}{1\lambda_1}$$

$$\sigma_i := v_i - \frac{i\lambda_1}{1\lambda_1}v_1 \quad \text{for } i \ge 2$$

Now, one can check that  $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m)$  is a basis and

$$T(\sigma_i) = T(v_i) - \frac{i\lambda_1}{i\lambda_1}T(v_k)$$
$$= 0 \cdot w_1 + \sum_{i} b_i w_i$$

**Problem :** Give an example of  $2 \times 2$  matrices A and B such that  $AB \neq BA$ .

Solution:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Problem :** Prove that the distributive property holds for matrix addition and matrix multiplication. In other words, suppose A, B, C, D, E, F are matrices whose sizes are such that A(B+C) and (D+E)F make sense. Explain why AB+AC and DF+EF both make sense and prove that

$$A(B+C) = AB + AC$$
 and  $(D+E)F = DF + EF$ .

Solution: If A(B+C) and (D+E)F makes sense then B and C must be of the same size and D and E must be of the same size. Also, the number of columns in A must be same as the number of rows in B and C. Let A be a  $n \times p$  matrix and X = B + C then

$$(AX)_{i,j} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{i,r} X_{r,j}$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{i,r} (B_{r,j} + C_{r,j})$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{i,r} B_{r,j} + \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{i,r} C_{r,j}$$

$$= (AB)_{i,j} + (AC)_{i,j}$$

Thus, A(B+C) = AB + AC. Similar proof works for (D+E)F.

**Problem :** Prove that matrix multiplication is associative. In other words, suppose A, B, C are matrices whose sizes are such that (AB)C makes sense. Explain why (AB)C makes sense and prove that

$$(AB)C = A(BC).$$

Solution: To make (AB)C sense, we need A to have same number of columns as the number of rows in B. Also, we need B to have same number of columns as number of rows in C. To prove the associativity, you can just definition of matrix multiplication.

**Problem:** Suppose A is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $1 \le j, k \le n$ . Show that the entry in row j, column k, of  $A^3$  (which is defined to mean AAA) is

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{j,r} A_{r,i} A_{i,k}.$$

Solution: It follows directly from the definition of matrix multiplication.

**Problem :** Suppose m and n are positive integers. Prove that the function  $A \mapsto A^t$  is a linear map from  $\mathbf{F}^{m,n}$  to  $\mathbf{F}^{n,m}$ .

Solution: Define  $T: \mathbf{F}^{m,n} \to \mathbf{F}^{n,m}$  by  $T(A) = A^t$ . We must show that T is linear, i.e.

$$T(A+B) = T(A) + T(B)$$
 and  $T(\lambda A) = \lambda T(A)$ ,

for all  $A, B \in \mathbf{F}^{m,n}$  and all scalars  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ .

By definition of the transpose,

$$(A^t)_{ij} = A_{ji}, \qquad (1 \le i \le n, \ 1 \le j \le m).$$

Now let  $A, B \in \mathbf{F}^{m,n}$ . Then for each i, j,

$$((A+B)^t)_{ij} = (A+B)_{ji} = A_{ji} + B_{ji} = (A^t)_{ij} + (B^t)_{ij} = (A^t+B^t)_{ij}.$$

Hence  $(A+B)^t = A^t + B^t$ .

Similarly, for  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ ,

$$((\lambda A)^t)_{ij} = (\lambda A)_{ji} = \lambda A_{ji} = \lambda (A^t)_{ij} = (\lambda A^t)_{ij}$$

So  $(\lambda A)^t = \lambda A^t$ .

Therefore T preserves both addition and scalar multiplication. Thus T is a linear map from  $\mathbf{F}^{m,n}$  to  $\mathbf{F}^{n,m}$ .

**Problem:** Prove that if A is an  $m \times n$  matrix and C is an  $n \times p$  matrix, then

$$(AC)^t = C^t A^t.$$

Solution:

**Solution**: Let  $A \in \mathbf{F}^{m,n}$  and  $C \in \mathbf{F}^{n,p}$ . By definition of matrix multiplication, the (i,j)-entry of AC is

$$(AC)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{ik} C_{kj}, \qquad (1 \le i \le m, \ 1 \le j \le p).$$

Taking the transpose, we get

$$((AC)^t)_{ij} = (AC)_{ji} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{jk} C_{ki}.$$

On the other hand, consider the product  $C^tA^t$ . Here  $C^t$  is  $p \times n$  and  $A^t$  is  $n \times m$ , so  $C^tA^t$  is  $p \times m$ . Its (i, j)-entry is

$$(C^t A^t)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n (C^t)_{ik} (A^t)_{kj}.$$

By definition of the transpose,

$$(C^t)_{ik} = C_{ki}, \qquad (A^t)_{kj} = A_{jk}.$$

Hence

$$(C^t A^t)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n C_{ki} A_{jk}.$$

But scalar multiplication in **F** is commutative, so

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{ki} A_{jk} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{jk} C_{ki}.$$

Therefore,

$$(C^t A^t)_{ij} = ((AC)^t)_{ij}, \qquad (1 \le i \le p, \ 1 \le j \le m).$$

Since all entries are equal, we conclude

$$(AC)^t = C^t A^t.$$

**Problem:** Suppose A is an  $m \times n$  matrix with  $A \neq 0$ . Prove that the rank of A is 1 if and only if there exist  $(c_1, \ldots, c_m) \in \mathbf{F}^m$  and  $(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \in \mathbf{F}^n$  such that

$$A_{j,k} = c_j d_k$$
 for every  $j = 1, ..., m$  and every  $k = 1, ..., n$ .

Solution: For  $(\Leftarrow)$ , Use **Theorem 1.10.** then use the definition of matrix multiplication. For  $(\Rightarrow)$ , the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_m \end{pmatrix}$$

can produce any column thus the rank is 1.

**Problem :** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , and  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  and  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  are bases of V. Prove that the following are equivalent:

- (a) T is injective.
- (b) The columns of  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  are linearly independent in  $\mathbf{F}^{n,1}$ .
- (c) The columns of  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  span  $\mathbf{F}^{n,1}$ .
- (d) The rows of  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  span  $\mathbf{F}^{1,n}$ .
- (e) The rows of  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  are linearly independent in  $\mathbf{F}^{1,n}$ .

Here  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  means  $\mathcal{M}(T,(u_1,\ldots,u_n),(v_1,\ldots,v_n))$ .

Solution: Will do later.

# 1.4 Invertibility and Isomorphism

### 1.4.1 Invertible Linear Maps

**Definition 1.17.** A linear map  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is called *invertible* if there exists a linear map  $S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$  such that ST equals identity operator on V and TS equals identity operator on W.

**Definition 1.18.** A linear map  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  satisfying ST = I and TS = I is called an inverse of T.

**Proposition 1.12.** An invertible map has an unique inverse.

*Proof.* Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be its inverses then

$$S_1 = S_1 I = S_1 (TS_2) = (S_1 T) S_2 = IS_2 = S_2$$

**Remark.** Since inverses are unique for a invertible map T, we will denote it by  $T^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 1.13.** A linear map is invertible if and only if it is injective and surjective.

*Proof.* Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is an invertible map and suppose T(v) = T(w) then

$$u = T^{-1}(Tu) = T^{-1}(Tv) = v$$

Hence, T is injective. To prove surjectivity, notice that

$$w = T^{-1}(Tw)$$

which proves T is surjective.

Now, suppose T is injective and surjective. Then, there exists a unique element S(w) such that

$$T(S(w)) = w$$

the uniqueness is due to the injectivity of T. Let us show that,  $S \in \mathcal{L}(W,V)$ 

$$T(S(w_1) + S(w_2)) = T(S(w_1)) + T(S(w_2))$$
  
=  $w_1 + w_2$   
=  $T(S(w_1 + w_2))$ 

Thus,  $S(w_1) + S(w_2) = S(w_1 + w_2)$ . Also,

$$T(\lambda S(w)) = \lambda T(S(w))$$
$$= \lambda w$$
$$= T(S(\lambda w))$$

Thus,  $\lambda S(w) = S(\lambda w)$ .

Now, by how we defined S, it implies that TS = I on W. Also,

$$T(ST)v = (TS)(T)v = Tv$$
  
 $\implies (ST)v = v$ 

Thus, ST is an identity operator on V.

**Proposition 1.14.** Suppose that V and W are finite-dimensional vector spaces, such that, dim  $W = \dim V$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Then

T is invertible  $\iff$  T is injective  $\iff$  T is surjective

*Proof.* From the Fundamental theorem of linear maps,

$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

If T is injective then null  $T = \{0\}$ . Thus

$$\dim V = \dim W = \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

$$\implies$$
 range  $T = W$ 

Now, if T is surjective then range T = W. Thus

$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim W$$

$$\implies$$
 dim range  $T = 0$ 

$$\implies$$
 range  $T = \{0\}$ 

Thus, T is injective  $\iff$  T is surjective. From **Proposition 1.13.** we get our final result.

**Proposition 1.15.** Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional vector spaces of the same dimension,  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ , and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Then,  $ST = I \iff TS = I$ .

*Proof.* First ST = I then take  $v \in \text{null } T$ . Thus,

$$v = STv = S(0) = 0$$

Thus, null  $T = \{0\}$  and T is injective. Since dim  $V = \dim W$ , this implies T is invertible. Thus, there exists a  $T^{-1}$ . Now,

$$T^{-1} = (ST)(T^{-1}) = S$$

We can now apply the same idea for  $(\Leftarrow)$  of the proof. We just need to swap V with W, and T with S.

#### 1.4.2 Isomorphic Vector Spaces

**Definition 1.19.** An *isomorphism* is an invertible linear map and two vector spaces are isomorphic if there is an isomorphism between them.

**Proposition 1.16.** Two finite-dimensional vector spaces over **F** are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension.

*Proof.* Suppose V and W are isomorphic. Then there exists a injective and surjective map T from V to W. Thus, null  $T = \{0\}$ . Then

$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

Also, since T is surjective range T = W. Then

$$\dim V = \dim W$$

Now, suppose dim  $W = \dim V$ . Define  $T: V \to W$  as

$$T(c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n) = c_1w_1 + \dots + c_nw_n$$

where  $v_i$ 's and  $w_i$ 's are the basis of V and W respectively. One can check this is a linear map. Now, this map is surjective as  $\sum c_i w_i$  covers W. Also, null  $T = \{0\}$  as

$$\dim W = \dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

$$= \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

$$= \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim W$$

Thus, T is injective and surjective which means that V and W are isomorphic.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 1.17.** Suppose  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be the basis of V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_m$  be the basis of W. Then  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  is a isomorphism between  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  to  $\mathbf{F}^{m,n}$ 

*Proof.* We know that  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  is a linear map as

$$\mathcal{M}(T+S) = \mathcal{M}(T) + \mathcal{M}(S)$$
 and  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda T) = \lambda \mathcal{M}(T)$ 

We need to prove that  $\mathcal{M}$  is injective and surjective. We know that  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  is injective  $\iff$  null  $\mathcal{M}(T) = \{0\}$ . And we know  $\mathcal{M}(T) = 0 \iff T(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in V$ . Thus, T = 0.

To prove  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  is surjective. We know that there exists a  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  such that

$$T(v_k) = \sum_{i=1}^m A_{i,j} w_j$$

which proves the surjectivity of  $\mathcal{M}(T)$ .

**Proposition 1.18.** Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional. Then  $\mathcal{L}(V,W)$  is finite-dimensional and

$$\dim \mathcal{L}(V, W) = (\dim V)(\dim W)$$

Proof. Use Proposition 1.17. and Proposition 1.16. and

$$\dim \mathcal{L}(V, W) = mn = (\dim V)(\dim W)$$

#### 1.4.3 Linear Map Thought of as Matrix Multiplication

**Definition 1.20.** Suppose  $v \in V$  and  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  is the basis of V. The matrix of v with respect to the basis is the n matrix

$$\mathcal{M}(v) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $b_1, \ldots, b_n$  are scalar such that  $v = b_1 v_1 + \cdots + b_n v_n$ .

**Proposition 1.19.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  is a basis of V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  is a basis of W. Let  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then,

$$\mathcal{M}(T)_{\cdot,k} = \mathcal{M}(Tv_k)$$

*Proof.* Immediate from the definition of  $\mathcal{M}(Tv_k)$ .

**Proposition 1.20.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $v \in V$ . Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be the basis of V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_m$  be the basis of W. Then

$$\mathcal{M}(Tv) = \mathcal{M}(T)\,\mathcal{M}(v)$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $v = b_1 v_1 + \cdots + b_n v_n$ . Then,

$$Tv = b_1 T v_1 + \dots + b_n T v_n$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{M}(Tv) = b_1 \,\mathcal{M}(Tv_1) + \dots + b_n \,\mathcal{M}(Tv_n) \qquad \qquad (Linearity of \,\mathcal{M})$$

$$= b_1 \,\mathcal{M}(T)_{\cdot,1} + \dots + b_n \,\mathcal{M}(T)_{\cdot,n} \qquad (Proposition 1.19.1)$$

$$= \mathcal{M}(T) \,\mathcal{M}(v) \qquad (Theorem 1.8.)$$

**Proposition 1.21.** Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Then dim range T equals the column rank of  $\mathcal{M}(T)$ .

Proof. Suppose  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be the basis of V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_m$  be the basis of W. Now, define  $\varphi: W \to \mathbf{F}^{m,1}$  as  $\varphi(w) = \mathcal{M}(w)$ . One can prove that this is an isomorphism. If we restrict our domain to just range T we see that our co-domain is going to be  $\mathcal{O} = \text{span}\{\mathcal{M}(Tv_1), \ldots, \mathcal{M}(Tv_m)\}$ . Also,

$$\varphi \mid_{\operatorname{range} T} : \operatorname{range} T \to \mathcal{O}$$

is a isomorphism and since isomorphism preserves dimension. We have

$$\dim \operatorname{range} T = \dim \mathcal{O} = \operatorname{column} \operatorname{rank} \operatorname{of} T$$

# 1.4.4 Change of Basis

**Definition 1.21.** We define the  $n \times n$  matrix, called *identity matrix* by

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

The identity matrix is denoted by I.

**Definition 1.22.** A square matrix is called *invertible* if there is a square matrix B of the same size such that

$$AB = BA = I$$

we call the matrix B the *inverse* of A.

**Remark.** The inverse of a square matrix A is unique and therefore will be denoted by  $A^{-1}$ . Here, is a short proof of the uniqueness of the inverse. Suppose A has two inverses  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . Thus,

$$B_1 = IB_1 = (B_2A)B_1 = B_2(AB_1) = B_2I = B_2$$

Also,  $(A^{-1})^{-1} = I$  and  $(AC)^{-1} = C^{-1}A^{-1}$ . You can verify these.

**Definition 1.23.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . If  $u_1, \ldots, u_m$  is a basis of U,  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  is a basis of V, and  $w_1, \ldots, w_p$  is the basis of W then

$$\mathcal{M}(ST, (u_1, \dots, u_m), (w_1, \dots, w_p)) = \mathcal{M}(S, (v_1, \dots, v_n), (w_1, \dots, w_p))$$
$$\mathcal{M}(T, (u_1, \dots, u_m), (v_1, \dots, v_n))$$

This is just the matrix multiplication which we had defined earlier but with respect to the basis. See **Proposition 1.11.** 

**Proposition 1.22.** Suppose  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  and  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  are the basis of V. Then the matrices

$$\mathcal{M}(I,(u_1,\ldots,u_n),(v_1,\ldots,v_n))$$
 and  $\mathcal{M}(I,(v_1,\ldots,v_n),(u_1,\ldots,u_n))$ 

are inverses of each other. Here, I is the identity operator.

*Proof.* Use **Definition 1.23.** and replace  $w_k$  with  $u_k$ . And replace S, T with the identity operator. Then

$$I = \mathcal{M}(I, (v_1, \dots, v_n), (u_1, \dots, u_n)) \mathcal{M}(I, (u_1, \dots, u_n), (v_1, \dots, v_n))$$

Now interchange the roles of u's and v's to get

$$I = \mathcal{M}(I, (u_1, \dots, u_n), (v_1, \dots, v_n)) \, \mathcal{M}(I, (v_1, \dots, v_n), (u_1, \dots, u_n))$$

Remark. For convenience, we'll write

$$\mathcal{M}(T, (u_1, \dots, u_n), (u_1, \dots, u_n)) = \mathcal{M}(T, (u_1, \dots, u_n))$$

**Proposition 1.23.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Let  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  and  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be the basis of V. Let

$$A = \mathcal{M}(T, (u_1, \dots, u_n))$$
 and  $B = \mathcal{M}(T, (v_1, \dots, v_n))$ 

and  $C = \mathcal{M}(I, (u_1, ..., u_n), (v_1, ..., v_n))$ . Then,

$$A = C^{-1}BC$$

*Proof.* Use **Definition 1.23.** and replace  $w_k$  with  $u_k$  and S with I. Then, use **Proposition 1.22.** to get

$$A = C^{-1} \mathcal{M}(T, (u_1, \dots, u_n), (v_1, \dots, v_n))$$
(1)

Now, again use the definition and this time replace  $w_k$  with  $v_k$ . Then

$$\mathcal{M}(T,(u_1,\ldots,u_n),(v_1,\ldots,v_n))=BC$$

We can now substitute this equation in equation (1) to get

$$A = C^{-1}BC$$

**Proposition 1.24.** Suppose that  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  is the basis of V and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is invertible. Then,  $\mathcal{M}(T^{-1}) = (\mathcal{M}(T))^{-1}$ , where both matrices are with respect to basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ .

#### 1.4.5 Exercise

**Problem:** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is invertible. Show that  $T^{-1}$  is invertible and

$$(T^{-1})^{-1} = T$$

Solution: Since, T is invertible, we have

$$TT^{-1} = I$$
 and  $T^{-1}T = I$ 

If we switch our perspective from T to  $T^{-1}$ , we get that T is invertible from **Definition 1.17.** and from **Proposition 1.12.** we have

$$(T^{-1})^{-1} = T$$

**Problem :** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  are both invertible linear maps. Prove that  $ST \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$  is invertible and that  $(ST)^{-1} = T^{-1}S^{-1}$ .

Solution: Since, S and T are both invertible then  $S^{-1}$  and  $T^{-1}$  both exist. Also,  $T^{-1}S^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(W,U)$ . Thus,

$$(ST)(T^{-1}S^{-1}) = S(TT^{-1})S^{-1}$$
  
=  $S(I)S^{-1}$   
=  $SS^{-1}$   
=  $I$ 

One can do the same thing for  $(T^{-1}S^{-1})(ST)$ . Thus, ST is invertible and from the above calculation so we can say  $(ST)^{-1} = T^{-1}S^{-1}$ .

**Problem:** Suppose V is finite-dimensional and  $V \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Prove that the following are equivalent.

- (a) T is invertible
- (b)  $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n$  is a basis of V for every basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  of V.
- (c)  $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n$  is a basis of V for some basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  of V.

Solution: Suppose T is invertible then it is also injective and surjective. Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be a basis of V. Then, we know that span $\{Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n\} = V$  because of the surjectivity. Also, if

$$a_1Tv_1 + \dots + a_nTv_n = 0$$

$$\implies T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = 0$$

$$\implies a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n = 0$$

$$\implies a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = 0$$

The last line is from injectivity of T. Thus,  $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n$  is a basis of V for any basis of V.

Now, suppose  $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n$  is a basis of V for every basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  of V. Then, (c) automatically holds. Also,

$$a_1Tv_1 + \ldots + a_nTv_n = 0$$

$$\implies a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_n = 0$$

Thus, null  $T = \{0\}$  which implies T is injective. Now, since  $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n$  is a basis, every element of V can be written as some combination of V. Thus,

$$a_1Tv_1 + \dots + a_nTv_n = y$$
  
 $\implies T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = y$ 

Thus, for every  $y \in V$  there exists some element which gets mapped to y. Thus, T is surjective. Thus, from **Proposition 1.13.** we get that T is invertible.

Now, suppose  $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n$  is a basis of V for some basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  of V. Then we can apply the same argument as we did for above to get to T is invertible. Since, T is invertible we get (b).

**Problem:** Suppose V is finite-dimensional and  $\dim V > 1$ . Prove that the set of non-invertible linear maps from V to itself is not a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ .

Solution: We can construct two non-invertible linear maps which form a invertible map when added. Suppose  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  is a basis of V.

$$T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n$$
$$S(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = a_1v_1$$

One can check that both of them are linear maps and both of them lack injectivity property so they're not invertible. But

$$(S+T)(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n$$
  
 $(S+T)(x) = I(x)$ 

which is a invertible linear map. Thus, set of non-invertible linear maps from V to itself is not a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ .

**Remark.** We used the dim V > 1 when we defined T and S.

**Problem:** Suppose V is finite-dimensional, U is a subspace of V, and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$ . Prove that there exists a invertible linear map T from V to itself such that Tu = Su for every  $u \in U$  if and only if S is injective.

Solution: For  $(\Rightarrow)$ , if S(x) = S(y) then T(x) = T(y) which implies x = y because T is invertible. Now, for  $(\Leftarrow)$  choose a basis of U and extend it to the basis of V say  $\mathcal{B} = (u_1, \ldots, u_k, v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n)$ , here  $n = \dim V$ . Now since S is injective, the list  $(Su_1, \ldots, Su_k)$  is linearly independent and can be extended to a basis of V. Let

$$\mathcal{C} = \{Su_1, \dots, Su_k, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n\}$$

be the basis of V. Define  $T:V\to V$  as following

$$T(u_i) = S(u_i)$$
 for  $1 \le i \le k$  and  $T(v_j) = w_j$  for  $k + 1 \le j \le n$ 

One can check this is a invertible linear map.

**Problem :** Suppose W is finite-dimensional and  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Prove that null S = null T if and only if there exists an invertible  $E \in \mathcal{L}(W)$  such that S = ET.

Solution: For  $(\Leftarrow)$ , take  $x \in \text{null } T$  then S(x) = E(T(x)) = 0 thus  $x \in \text{null } S$ . Now, if  $x \in \text{null } S$  then  $0 = S(x) = ET(x) \implies T(x) = 0$  thus  $x \in \text{null } T$ . Thus, null T = null S. I'll do the  $\Leftarrow$  later.

# 1.5 Product and Quotients of Vector Spaces

#### 1.5.1 Products of Vector Spaces

**Definition 1.24.** Suppose  $V_1, \ldots, V_m$  are vector spaces over  $\mathbf{F}$ .

• The product  $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m$  is defined by

$$V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m = \{(v_1, \dots, v_m) : v_1 \in V, \dots, v_m \in V\}$$

• Addition on  $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m$  is defined by

$$(u_1,\ldots,u_m)+(v_1,\ldots,v_m)=(u_1+v_1,\ldots,u_m+v_m)$$

• Scalar Multiplication on  $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m$  is defined by

$$\lambda(v_1,\ldots,v_m)=(\lambda v_1,\ldots,\lambda v_m)$$

**Proposition 1.25.** Suppose  $V_1, \ldots, V_m$  are vector spaces over  $\mathbf{F}$ . Then  $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m$  is a vector space over  $\mathbf{F}$ .

*Proof.* Just check the vector axioms.

**Proposition 1.26.** Suppose  $V_1, \ldots, V_m$  are finite-dimensional vector spaces. Then  $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m$  is finite-dimensional and

$$\dim(V_1 \times \cdots V_m) = \dim V_1 + \cdots + \dim V_m$$

*Proof.* Choose a basis of  $V_k$  and consider every element of  $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_k$  that equals a element from the basis of the vector  $V_k$  in the k-th sloth and 0 in others. The list of vector spans  $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m$  and is linearly independent. Thus, it is the basis of  $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m$ . The length of the basis is  $\dim V_1 + \cdots + \dim V_m$ .

**Proposition 1.27.** Suppose that  $V_1, \ldots, V_m$  are subspaces of V. Define a linear map  $\Gamma: V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m \to V_1 + \cdots + V_m$  by

$$\Gamma(v_1, \cdots, v_m) = v_1 + \cdots + v_m$$

Then  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$  is a direct sum if and only if  $\Gamma$  is injective.

*Proof.* If  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$  is a direct sum then the only way we can write 0 is by choosing 0 from each  $V_i$ . Thus,

$$\Gamma(v_1,\ldots,v_m)=0 \iff v_1=v_2=\cdots=v_m=0$$

Thus, null  $\Gamma = \{0\}$  which implies  $\Gamma$  is injective.

Now, suppose  $\Gamma$  is injective then null  $\Gamma = \{0\}$ , which means that the only element that gets mapped to 0 is  $(0, \ldots, 0)$ . Thus, the only way to write 0 is by choosing 0 from each  $V_i$ . Thus,  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$  is a direct sum.

**Proposition 1.28.** Suppose V is finite-dimensional and  $V_1, \ldots, V_m$  are subspaces of V. Then  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$  is direct sum if and only if

$$\dim(V_1 + \cdots + V_m) = \dim V_1 + \cdots \dim V_m$$

*Proof.* The map  $\Gamma$  is surjective. And  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$  is a direct sum

$$\iff \Gamma$$
 is injective

$$\iff$$
 null  $\Gamma = \{0\}$ 

$$\iff \dim(V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m) = \dim(V_1 + \cdots + V_m)$$

Combining the result of **Proposition 1.26.** we get our desired result.