

Animation Vectorization and Compression

Bassam Helal

September 2020



Abstract

Table of Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 2 | Background | 2 |
| 2.1 | Raster Graphics | |
| 2.2 | Vector Graphics | |
| 2.3 | Image Compression | |
| 2.4 | Video Graphics | |
| 2.5 | Toolchain | |
| 3 | Related Work | 4 |
| 3.1 | Current Research | |
| 3.2 | Current Applications | |
| 3.3 | Limitations | |
| 4 | Implementation | 5 |
| 4.1 | Pipeline | |
| 4.1.1 | Input | |
| 4.1.2 | Frame Extraction | |
| 4.1.3 | Vectorization | |
| 4.1.4 | Frame Merging | |
| 4.1.5 | Output | |
| 4.2 | Vectorization Methods | |
| 4.2.1 | Color Quantization Approach | |
| 4.2.2 | Connected Component Labelling (CCL) | |
| 4.2.3 | Edge Based CCL Approach | |
| 4.2.4 | Pixel Based CCL Approach | |
| 4.3 | Limitations and Drawbacks | |
| 5 | Software Engineering | 6 |
| 5.1 | Methodology | |
| 5.2 | Schedule (Gantt Chart) | |
| 5.3 | Risks | |
| 5.4 | Testing | |
| 6 | Evaluation | 7 |
| 6.1 | Accuracy | |
| 6.2 | Compression | |
| 7 | Results and Findings | 8 |
| 7.1 | Results | |
| 7.2 | Findings | |

| | | |
|----|-------------|----|
| 8 | Challenges | 9 |
| 9 | Reflection | 10 |
| 10 | Future Work | 11 |
| 11 | Conclusion | 12 |

1 Introduction

A paragraph or so for each of:

2 Background

This document makes no assumption of the reader's knowledge in computer graphics and its related fields, thus, this section will introduce the reader to some of the required knowledge needed for understanding this project. Firstly, we will introduce raster graphics, ie, the current common standard for graphics data representation. We will then introduce its counterpart vector graphics, a different way to store graphics data that is growing in popularity and has its own advantages and disadvantages. We will then give a brief primer on image compression and some common methods of reducing the overall size of an image. Finally, we will explain how this all ties into video graphics and describe some of the few differences between image graphics and video graphics.

2.1 Raster Graphics

Raster graphics is the current most popular way of representing and storing graphics data. This representation format stores the image data as a grid of pixels, a pixel (picture element) being the color of the image at a particular point in the grid. Colors can be represented in a variety of ways but the most common is RGB with RGBA also being found in some formats. Each channel has a bit depth which details the number of possible colors that the channel can contain with 8 bits per channel being the current most common but higher values exist as well such as 10 and 12 bits per channel. Thus the image data is a 2 dimensional array of pixels which could be 3 or 4 channels of 8 bit values, thus an image can also be represented as a 3 dimensional array with the last dimension being 3 or 4 values in size representing the 3 or 4 channels of that pixel. The resolution of an image is the number of pixels it contains, which is the image's width multiplied by its height, higher resolution images have more detail but are also more expensive to store as there is more data. Raster graphics are the most popular form of image and generally graphics representation, they allow for easy representation and computationally cheap as well since all the data is stored with no extra work needed. The main disadvantages of raster graphics have to do with image resolution and detail, namely that images will show pixelation artifacts when they are displayed at a higher resolution than they are actually stored at, thus the image appears to show the raw pixels. To compensate for this, higher and higher resolution images are needed but those come at the cost of size.

2.2 Vector Graphics

2.3 Image Compression

2.4 Video Graphics

2.5 Toolchain

This project uses a variety of popular tools and libraries to achieve its aims and objectives both efficiently and quickly, this section will briefly go over the most important tools used. This project uses Node.js as its runtime platform, Node.js is a cross-platform runtime environment that executes JavaScript code on a machine instead of the usual JavaScript runtime, the browser. The choice for Node.js was made paradoxically because of both a familiarity with the runtime and the JavaScript ecosystem as well as a strong desire to further enhance our knowledge and experience in both the platform and ecosystem. Node.js allows us to access the ever-growing JavaScript ecosystem which includes very powerful libraries and frameworks for both front-end designs and back-end computationally heavy workloads. Node.js uses JavaScript as its runtime language, while JavaScript is a powerful and excellent language for rapid-prototyping, it is also a very error-prone language as it has no typing checks in place. TypeScript is a programming language that is a strict superset of JavaScript that adds typing features onto JavaScript and transpiles to performant, cross-platform compatible JavaScript by means of a TypeScript compiler. TypeScript was chosen as the programming language of choice for the project for its many benefits not limited to its added type safety. The JavaScript ecosystem provides us with some powerful libraries and frameworks for application development, this project makes use of some excellent noteworthy tools such as Electron, GPU.js and OpenCV among other tools. Electron allows developers to write desktop applications using web technologies, it essentially is a Chromium browser with two processes, a front-end process(es) called the "renderer" which is similar to a Chromium web page that displays HTML, and a back-end process called the main process which is a Node.js process. Electron combines Node.js and Chromium to allow a developer to write a native desktop application using the same technologies used on the web, this has its own advantages and disadvantages but in our case, it means we can quickly develop a GUI desktop application that can access our Node.js code without resorting to web servers and other more complicated means. GPU.js is a JavaScript library that allows developers to run code on the host machine's GPU making use of the GPGPU (General-Purpose computing on Graphics Processing Units) paradigm. General-purpose computing on graphics processing units. This can allow for very large performance improvements and speedups for computationally expensive mathematical computations. In summary, the project uses the Node.js runtime for its large ecosystem, the TypeScript programming language for its added type safety benefits, Electron to easily display a GUI and GPU.js to run code on the GPU for additional performance when needed.

3 Related Work


3.1 Current Research

3.2 Current Applications

3.3 Limitations

4 Implementation

4.1 Pipeline



4.1.1 Input

4.1.2 Frame Extraction

4.1.3 Vectorization

4.1.4 Frame Merging

4.1.5 Output

4.2 Vectorization Methods

4.2.1 Color Quantization Approach

4.2.2 Connected Component Labelling (CCL)

4.2.3 Edge Based CCL Approach

4.2.4 Pixel Based CCL Approach

4.3 Limitations and Drawbacks

5 Software Engineering

5.1 Methodology

5.2 Schedule (Gantt Chart)

5.3 Risks

5.4 Testing

6 Evaluation

6.1 Accuracy

6.2 Compression

7 Results and Findings

7.1 Results

7.2 Findings

8 Challenges

9 Reflection

10 Future Work

11 Conclusion