Research ID:	

To be filled by RMC

GRANT EVALUATION FORM (1/2020)



Incomplete form will be rejected

Text in italics is explanatory and should be deleted in completed documents.

A.	RESEARCH IDENTIFICATION									
i.	Title									
ii.	Pro	posed	Budget (RM)							
iii.	Duration of this Research (Maximum 24 months)									
	a.	Durat	ion (Months)							
	b.	Start ((Month/Year)							
	C.	End (Month/Year)							
В.	DE	TAILS	OF RESEARCH	ERS						
i.	Pri	ncipal	Researcher							
	a.	Staff I	D							
	b. Name									
	c. Faculty/Department									
	d. MyGRANTS ID									
ii.	Otl	ner Res	searchers							
No	o Staff ID Na			ne	Faculty/ Department	Signature	MyGRANTS ID			
1										
2										
3										
4										
5										
6										

C.	C. EVALUATION (Please tick (√) where appropriate)									
Ti	Title of Proposed Research									
*	* Accurate/relevant to the proposed project * Fundamental in nature * Viability of research									
	Unsatisfactory Satisfactory Good Excellent									
T	eam Members/Expe	ienc	e							
* * *	Experience in subjections and a Postgraduate supe	availa	ability							
	Unsatisfactory		Satisfactory		Good		Excellent			
E	xecutive Summary									
*	Contain backgroun	d, pr	oblem statement, objecti	ves,	methods, outo	ome	and significance			
	Unsatisfactory		Satisfactory		Good		Excellent			
* *	ackground/Literatur Justification and be Latest references		view s of the proposed resear	ch						
	Unsatisfactory		Satisfactory		Good		Excellent			
P	roblem Statement Significant and imp	actfu	ıl							
	Unsatisfactory		Satisfactory		Good		Excellent			
O	bjectives Research objective	s sh	all be attainable within th	ie st	ipulated duration	on				
	Unsatisfactory		Satisfactory		Good		Excellent			
* *	ethodology Includes equipmen Relevant activities	t use	ed							
	Unsatisfactory		Satisfactory		Good		Excellent			
* * *	* Publications									
	Unsatisfactory		Satisfactory		Good		Excellent			
L	Location of Research / Equipment Availability									
* Appropriate location										
	Unsatisfactory		Satisfactory		Good		Excellent			
Н	Hypothesis/Research Questions									
	Unsatisfactory		Satisfactory		Good		Excellent			
С	ontribution		,							
	Unsatisfactory		Satisfactory		Good		Excellent			

Expected Res	sults							
Unsatisfac	ctory	Satisfactory		Good	Excellent			
References	1							
	Unsatisfactory Satisfactory Good Excellent							
Schedule * Appro	priateness	of major activities involved	d in th	ne proposed re	esearch			
Unsatisfactory		Satisfactory			Excellent			
D. BUDGET	(Please tic	k ($$) where appropriate)						
Appropriaten	ess of Co	st Estimates / Accuracy a	nd D	etails				
Unsatisfac	ctory	Satisfactory		Good	Excellent			
	Budg	get Details		Red	commended Funding			
Vote 11000	For Gra	nd wages aduate Research Assis PhD: RM700/ MSc: RM500						
Vote 21000	(Max 409) Data coll country,	g expenses and subsistence %) ection and meeting within the Conference presentation, food, flight and taxi fares.						
Vote 24000	Rental	equipment, transport, etc.						
Vote 27000		h materials and supplies ournals, papers, chemicals, etc						
Vote 28000	Maintena	ance and minor repair servi	ices					
Vote 29000		onal services, hospitality, rvices including printing (
Vote 35000	Small/su accessor Special e recorder)	um, consultation, training pplementary equipment an ries (Max 40%) equipment (e.g. camera, ta), upgrading of existing nt, computer, printer						
TOTAL AMO	UNT OF RE	ECOMMENDED FUNDING	ì					

E. EVALUATION CRITERIA (Please tick (√) where appropriate)							
	oe of Criteria e research appli	ed c	an produce a new i	idea /	theory / concept / r	method / model / proce	SS.
	Idea		Theory		Concept	•	
	Method		New process				
Cor	mments on relev	vand	e to criteria (from p	ropo	sal)		
F.	RESEARCH C Choose one o		TRIBUTION e following section	ns I, I	II or III		
l: Ir	nprovement of	Exi	sting Policy, Meth	od o	r Model (Please tic	k ($$) where appropriate))
	Improve Police	су			Improve Method	Improve Model	
Cor	nments on relev	vanc	e to Criteria I (from	prop			
II: Increase Humanitarian and Social Value of Life							
Cor	mments on relev	vanc	e to Criteria II (fron	n prop	posal)		
III:	Contribute to t	he C	Country's Strategic	c Age	enda		
			e to Criteria III (fror				

G. SUMMARY (Please tick (√)									
Overall Evaluation									
Unsatisfactory	Sa	atisfactory	Good		Excellent				
Overall Recommendat	Overall Recommendations								
Not Recommende	d	Recommende	н	Highly Recommended					
Name (with Title)			· ·						
Area of Expertise									
Affiliation									
Signature									
Signature									