

Abstract Algebra by Pinter, Chapter 23, question B3

Amir Taaki

Abstract

Chapter 23 on Number Theory

Contents

1	Proof	1
1.1	Initial Question	1
1.2	Simultaneous Solution for Three Elements	1
1.3	Generalizing to $k + 1$ through induction	3
1.4	Relation between gcd and lcm operators	3
1.5	Proving equivalency holds under gcd for $k + 1$	3
1.6	Generalizing lcm to Multiple Arguments	3
1.7	Solution $c \equiv c_i$ is also a Solution in the lcm of the gcds	4
1.8	There is a Common Solution for c and c_{k+1}	4

1 Proof

1.1 Initial Question

We are given k congruences

$$x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1} \quad x \equiv c_2 \pmod{m_2} \quad \cdots \quad x \equiv c_k \pmod{m_k}$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$$c_i \equiv c_j \pmod{d_{ij}}$$

where $d_{ij} = \gcd(m_i, m_j)$.

Prove there is an x satisfying all k congruences simultaneously, and the solution is of the form

$$x \equiv c \pmod{t}$$

where $t = \text{lcm}(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k)$.

1.2 Simultaneous Solution for Three Elements

We will proceed to prove these statements through induction, first starting with the case of proving there is a simultaneous solution for c_1, c_2 and c_3 .

It has been shown earlier in theorem 3 that there is a solution for two equations $x \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and $x \equiv b \pmod{m}$, only exists if

$$a \equiv b \pmod{d}$$

$$d = \gcd(m, n)$$

For the first two equations, there is therefore a simultaneous solution because

$$c_1 \equiv c_2 \pmod{d_{12}}$$

Earlier in theorem 4, it was shown that if $x \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and $x \equiv b \pmod{m}$ have a simultaneous solution, it is of the form

$$x \equiv c \pmod{t}$$

$$t = \text{lcm}(m, n)$$

So therefore the solution of $x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}$ and $x \equiv c_2 \pmod{m_2}$ is

$$x \equiv c \pmod{t}$$

$$t = \text{lcm}(m_1, m_2)$$

We want to know if there is a solution x for $x \equiv c \pmod{t}$ and $x \equiv c_3 \pmod{m_3}$. That is whether the statement

$$c_3 \equiv c \pmod{\text{gcd}(t, m_3)}$$

is true.

But we know that $\text{gcd}(a, \text{lcm}(b, c)) = \text{lcm}(\text{gcd}(a, b), \text{gcd}(a, c))$ so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gcd}(t = \text{lcm}(m_1, m_2), m_3) &= \text{lcm}(\text{gcd}(m_1, m_3), \text{gcd}(m_2, m_3)) \\ &= \text{lcm}(d_{13}, d_{23}) \end{aligned}$$

So we want to know whether this statement is true

$$\begin{aligned} c_3 &\equiv c \pmod{\text{gcd}(t, m_3)} \\ &\equiv c \pmod{\text{lcm}(d_{13}, d_{23})} \end{aligned}$$

At the start it was stated that $c_3 \equiv c_1 \pmod{d_{13}}$, and we also we know that

$$\begin{aligned} c &\equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1} \implies c \equiv c_1 \pmod{d_{13}} \\ \therefore c &\equiv c_3 \pmod{d_{13}} \end{aligned}$$

Likewise $c_3 \equiv c_2 \pmod{d_{23}} \implies c \equiv c_3 \pmod{d_{23}}$

Now from the last part of theorem 4, we note that

$$m \mid (x - c) \text{ and } n \mid (x - c) \iff t \mid (x - c)$$

or

$$x \equiv c \pmod{m} \text{ and } x \equiv c \pmod{n} \iff x \equiv c \pmod{t}$$

Note that

$$d_{13} \mid (c - c_3) \text{ and } d_{23} \mid (c - c_3) \iff \text{lcm}(d_{13}, d_{23}) \mid (c - c_3)$$

or

$$c \equiv c_3 \pmod{d_{13}} \text{ and } c \equiv c_3 \pmod{d_{23}} \iff c \equiv c_3 \pmod{\text{lcm}(d_{13}, d_{23})}$$

That is we can state that

$$c_3 \equiv c \pmod{\text{lcm}(d_{13}, d_{23})}$$

But $\text{lcm}(d_{13}, d_{23}) = \text{gcd}(t, m_3)$. So by theorem 3 because

$$c_3 \equiv c \pmod{\text{gcd}(t, m_3)}$$

there is a simultaneous solution of

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv c \pmod{t} \\ x &\equiv c_3 \pmod{m_3} \end{aligned}$$

And this is also the solution for

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1} \\ x &\equiv c_2 \pmod{m_2} \end{aligned}$$

1.3 Generalizing to $k + 1$ through induction

Now we will generalize this using induction on $k+1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ terms where we assume S_k is true, proving the statement S_{k+1} is true, and therefore it is true for all integers.

Assume there is a solution of k congruences

$$x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1} \quad \dots \quad x \equiv c_k \pmod{m_k}$$

of the form

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv c \pmod{t} \\ t &= \text{lcm}(m_1, \dots, m_k) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$$\begin{aligned} c_i &\equiv c_j \pmod{d_{ij}} \\ d_{ij} &= \text{gcd}(m_i, m_j) \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$c_{k+1} = c_i \pmod{d_{k+1,i}}$$

We want to know if there an $x \pmod{t'}$ which is the solution for $x \equiv c \pmod{t}$ and $x \equiv c_{k+1} \pmod{m_{k+1}}$. That is whether the statement

$$c_{k+1} \equiv c \pmod{\text{gcd}(t, m_{k+1})}$$

is true or not.

1.4 Relation between gcd and lcm operators

From chapter 22, exercise H4, let $a \star b = \text{gcd}(a, b)$ and $a \circ b = \text{lcm}(a, b)$ then it is trivial to show that

$$a \star (b \circ c) = (a \star b) \circ (a \star c)$$

and we know that the lcm operation is associative.

$$m_1 \circ m_2 \circ \dots \circ m_k = m_1 \circ (m_2 \circ (\dots \circ m_k))$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} m_{k+1} \star (m_1 \circ m_2 \circ \dots \circ m_k) &= (m_{k+1} \star m_1) \circ (m_{k+1} \star (m_2 \circ \dots \circ m_k)) \\ &= (m_{k+1} \star m_1) \circ (m_{k+1} \star m_2) \circ (m_{k+1} \star (m_3 \circ \dots \circ m_k)) \\ &= (m_{k+1} \star m_1) \circ \dots \circ (m_{k+1} \star m_k) \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\text{gcd}(\text{lcm}(m_1, \dots, m_k), m_{k+1}) = \text{lcm}(\text{gcd}(m_1, m_{k+1}), \dots, \text{gcd}(m_k, m_{k+1}))$$

1.5 Proving equivalency holds under gcd for $k + 1$

At the beginning it was stated that $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$$c_{k+1} \equiv c_i \pmod{d_{k+1,i}}$$

and we also know that

$$\begin{aligned} c &\equiv c_i \pmod{m_i} \\ c - c_i &= qm_i = q(sd_{k+1,i}) \\ \implies c &\equiv c_i \pmod{d_{k+1,i}} \end{aligned}$$

1.6 Generalizing lcm to Multiple Arguments

The lcm is defined as if $c = \text{lcm}(a, b)$ then

1. $a \mid c$ and $b \mid c$
2. For any x if $a \mid x$ and $b \mid x \implies c \mid x$

This can be generalized for any number of arguments in the lcm by noting that since $c = \text{lcm}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ then $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ then 1. $x_i \mid c$ for 2., note that the common multiples of $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ form an ideal of \mathbb{Z} by $\langle c \rangle = \langle x \rangle \cap \dots \cap \langle x_n \rangle$, and so every common multiple is a multiple of c .

\therefore any v such that $\forall x_i \in X : x_i \mid v \implies c \mid v$.

1.7 Solution $c \equiv c_i$ is also a Solution in the lcm of the gcds

From theorem 4, we generalize that

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 \mid x, \dots, m_n \mid x &\implies t \mid x \\ m_1 \mid (x - c), \dots, m_n \mid (x - c) &\implies t \mid (x - c) \\ x \equiv c \pmod{m_1} \quad \dots \quad x \equiv c \pmod{m_n} &\implies x \equiv c \pmod{t} \end{aligned}$$

where $t = \text{lcm}(m_1, \dots, m_n)$

Now note that

$$d_{k+1,1} \mid (c - c_i) \quad \dots \quad d_{k+1,k} \mid (c - c_i) \implies \text{lcm}(d_{k+1,1}, \dots, d_{k+1,k}) \mid (c - c_i)$$

or

$$c \equiv c_i \pmod{d_{k+1,1}} \quad \dots \quad c \equiv c_i \pmod{d_{k+1,k}} \implies c \equiv c_i \pmod{\text{lcm}(d_{k+1,1}, \dots, d_{k+1,k})}$$

1.8 There is a Common Solution for c and c_{k+1}

So,

$$c \equiv c_i \pmod{\text{lcm}(\text{gcd}(m_{k+1}, m_1), \dots, \text{gcd}(m_{k+1}, m_k))}$$

But we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lcm}(\text{gcd}(m_{k+1}, m_1), \dots, \text{gcd}(m_{k+1}, m_k)) &= \text{gcd}(\text{lcm}(m_1, \dots, m_k), m_{k+1}) \\ &\implies c \equiv c_i \pmod{\text{gcd}(t, m_{k+1})} \end{aligned}$$

where $t = \text{lcm}(m_1, \dots, m_k)$

And because of this, by theorem 3, because $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, c \equiv c_i \pmod{\text{gcd}(t, m_{k+1})}$, there is an x such that

$$x \equiv c \pmod{t}$$

$$x \equiv c_{k+1} \pmod{m_{k+1}}$$

which because $x \equiv c \pmod{t}$, this is also the solution for

$$x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}$$

...

$$x \equiv c_k \pmod{m_k}$$

Furthermore this solution takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv c' \pmod{\text{lcm}(t, m_{k+1})} \\ &\equiv c' \pmod{t'} \end{aligned}$$

where $t' = \text{lcm}(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k)$