- $\mathcal{S}_{+}^{d \times d}$: Space of d-dimensional positive semi-definite matrices.
- $S^{d-1} = \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^d : ||v||_2 = 1 \}.$
- X is a d-dimensional zero-mean random vector with covariance matrix $\Sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{+}^{d \times d}$.
- Wlog, we assume $\gamma_1(\Sigma) \geq \gamma_2(\Sigma) \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_d(\Sigma) \geq 0$.
- PCA: Along which $v \in S^{d-1}$ is the variance of $\langle v, X \rangle$ maximised? First Principal Component Direction
- $v^* = \arg\max_{v \in S^{d-1}} Var[\langle v, X \rangle] \stackrel{??}{=} \arg\max_{v \in S^{d-1}} E[\langle v, X \rangle^2] \stackrel{??}{=} \arg\max_{v \in S^{d-1}} \langle v, \Sigma v \rangle.$
- Hence, the top $r \leq d$ principal components are formed by the orthonormal matrix $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$ such that $\mathcal{V} = \arg\max_{V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}: V \text{ orthonormal}} E\left[||V^TX||_2^2\right] = \arg\max_{V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}: V \text{ orthonormal}} \sum_{j=1}^r E\left[\langle v_j, X \rangle^2\right]$ where $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ are the orthonormal columns of V.
- What we have is a finite collection of samples $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ each i.i.d. drawn from an (unknown) underlying zero-mean distribution P.
- The sample covariance matrix $\hat{\Sigma} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes x_i$.
- So, effectively using "plug-in" principle, we replace the unknown Σ with the known $\hat{\Sigma}$ and solve problems like $\hat{v} = \arg\max_{v \in S^{d-1}} \langle v, \hat{\Sigma}v \rangle$.
- Key Question: How are the eigenstructures of Σ and $\hat{\Sigma}$ related i.e. when do the second one provide a "good" approximation to the first one?
- PCA as Matrix Approximation:
 - Given some unitarity invariant matrix norm $|||\cdot|||$, the problem of finding the best rank-r approximation to Σ is to find $Z^* = \arg\min_{Z: \operatorname{rank}(Z) \le r} |||\Sigma Z|||^2$.
 - Eckart-Young-Minsky (EYM) Theorem: For any symmetric matrix (Σ is so), Z^* above exists and takes the following form of truncated eigendecomposition in terms of top r eigenvectors i.e. $Z^* = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \gamma_i(\Sigma) v_i \otimes v_i$ where $\{v_1, \ldots, v_d\}$ are the orthonormal eigenbasis of Σ .
 - Note that EYM Theorem is a generalisation of SVD Theorem in that for SVD $||| \cdot ||| = || \cdot ||_F$. Why?
 - Hence the error for $||\cdot||_F$ is $||Z^* \Sigma||_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=r+1}^d \gamma_i^2(\sigma)}$.
- PCA as Data Compression:
 - Given a zero-mean random vector $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with covariance matrix Σ , a simple way to compress it is to project it to a lower-dimensional subspace V via a projection operator $\Pi_V(\cdot)$.
 - Given a fixed dimension r < d, the criterion might be the choice $V^* \in \arg\min_{\dim(V)=r} E\left[||X \Pi_V(X)||_2^2\right]$ as the optimal subspace need not be unique.
 - Note that $\Pi_V(\cdot) \stackrel{def}{=} V_r \otimes V_r$ where $V_r \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$ is an orthonormal matrix with columns $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ of eigenvectors corresponding to the top r eigenvalues $\gamma_1(\Sigma) \geq \dots \geq \gamma_r(\Sigma)$.
 - Using this optimal projection, the reconstruction error as defined above ased on this r-rank projection is $E[||X \Pi_{V^*}(X)||_2^2] = \gamma_{r+1}^2(\Sigma)$.
- Eigenstructure Perturbation:
 - Given a symmetric matrix R, how does its eigenstructure relate to the perturbed matrix Q = R + P where P is a symmetric matrix of perturbation?

- For change in eigenvalues, we have

$$\gamma_1(Q) = \max_{v \in S^{d-1}} \langle v, (R+P)v \rangle \stackrel{??}{\leq} \max_{v \in S^{d-1}} \langle v, Rv \rangle + \max_{v \in S^{d-1}} \langle v, Pv \rangle \stackrel{??}{\leq} \gamma_1(R) + ||P||_2.$$

- With Q and R role-reversed similar results hold implying $|\gamma_1(Q) \gamma(R)| \le ||P||_2 = ||Q R||_2$.
- Weyl's Inequality: As we know, in general, $\max_{j=1,2,\ldots,d} |\gamma_j(Q) \gamma_j(R)| \leq ||Q R||_2$.
- Sensitivity of Eigenvectors:
 - * Given a perturbation parameter $\epsilon \in [0,1]$, consider the family of symmetric matrices $Q_{\epsilon} \stackrel{def}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & 1.01 \end{pmatrix} = Q_0 + \epsilon P$ where $Q_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.01 \end{pmatrix}$ and $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.
 - * What is $||P||_2$?
 - * Hence, the magnitude of the perturbation is controlled only by ϵ .
 - * Putting a = 1.01, we have $\gamma(Q_0) \in \{1, a\}$ and

$$\gamma(Q_{\epsilon}) \stackrel{??}{\in} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(a+1) + \sqrt{(a-1)^2 + 4\epsilon^2} \right], \frac{1}{2} \left[(a+1) - \sqrt{(a-1)^2 + 4\epsilon^2} \right] \right\}.$$

- * Thus we find that $\max_{j=1,2} |\gamma_j(Q_0) \gamma_j(Q_\epsilon)| \stackrel{??}{=} \frac{1}{2} \left[(a-1) \sqrt{(a-1)^2 + 4\epsilon^2} \right] \le \epsilon$ validating Weyl's Inequality and showing stability of eigenvalues under perturbations.
- * For $\epsilon = 0$, Q_0 has the unique maximal eigenvector $v_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.
- * Now if we set ϵ only slightly higher than 0 namely $\epsilon = 0.01$, then the maximal eigenvector v_{ϵ} of Q_{ϵ} is $v_{\epsilon} \stackrel{??}{\approx} \begin{pmatrix} 0.53 \\ 0.85 \end{pmatrix}$ implying $||v_0 v_{\epsilon}||_2 >> \epsilon$ showing extreme sensitivity of eigenvectors under perturbations.
- * What is the problem here? Look at the eigengap $\nu \equiv \gamma_1(Q_0) \gamma_2(Q_0)$!!!
- * Small eigengap implies "mixing" of the eigenspaces corresponding to the top and second eigenvalues under even a small perturbation of the matrix which does not happen when eigengap is large guaranteeing stability.
- Again, given Σ with $\gamma_j(\Sigma)$'s as before let us assume that the maximal eigenvector $\theta^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is unique.
- Consider the perturbation $\hat{\Sigma} = \Sigma + P$.
- Note, in our case, Σ is the (unknown) population covariance and $\hat{\Sigma}$ is the (known) sample covariance but the results are far more generic than just for our case.
- Important: As just above, we shall need to deal with the eigengap $\nu = \gamma_1(\Sigma) \gamma_2(\Sigma) > 0$ (assumed in our case).
- Given the orthonormal eigenmatrix U of Σ let us define the transformed perturbation matrix $\tilde{P} \stackrel{def}{=} U^T P U = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{p}_{11} & \tilde{p}^T \\ \tilde{p} & \tilde{P}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ where $\tilde{p}_{11} \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\tilde{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and $\tilde{P}_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{(d-1) \times (d-1)}$.
- Theorem: Given $\Sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{+}^{d \times d}$ with a maximum eigenvector $\theta^* \in S^{d-1}$ and eigengap $\nu = \gamma_1(\Sigma) \gamma_2(\Sigma) > 0$ and any $P \in \mathcal{S}^{d \times d}$ with $||P||_2 < \frac{\nu}{2}$, the perturbed matrix $\hat{\Sigma} \stackrel{def}{=} \Sigma + P$ has a unique maximal eigenvector $\hat{\theta}$ such that $||\hat{\theta} \theta^*||_2 \le \frac{2||\hat{p}||_2}{\nu 2||P||_2}$.
 - Note that this bound is sharp as there are situations when $||P||_2 < \frac{\nu}{2}$ cannot be loosened. Consider $\Sigma = \text{diag}\{2,1\}$. Given $P = \text{diag}\{\pm \frac{1}{2}\}$.

- Proof:
 - * Define the error vector $\hat{\Delta} \stackrel{def}{=} \hat{\theta} \theta^*$ and the function $\Psi(\Delta, P) \stackrel{def}{=} \langle \Delta, P(\Delta + 2\theta^*) \rangle$.
 - * Given any subset $C \subseteq S^{d-1}$ let $\theta^* \equiv \arg \max_{C \in S^{d-1}} \langle \theta, \Sigma \theta \rangle$ and $\hat{\theta} \equiv \arg \max_{C \in S^{d-1}} \langle \theta, \hat{\Sigma} \theta \rangle$.
 - * Our choice involves $C = S^{d-1}$ and define $\varrho \equiv \langle \hat{\theta}, \theta^* \rangle$.
 - * Also, $\hat{\theta} \stackrel{??}{=} \varrho \theta^* + \sqrt{1 \varrho^2} z$, $\mathbb{R}^d \ni z \perp \theta^*$.
 - * PCA Basic Inequality: Given a matrix Σ with eigengap $\nu > 0$, $\hat{\Delta}$ is bounded as $\nu \left(1 \langle \hat{\theta}, \theta^* \rangle^2 \right) \le |\Psi(\hat{\Delta}, P)|$.
 - * Proof of PCA Basic Inequality:
 - $\cdot \langle \theta^*, \hat{\Sigma}\theta^* \rangle \stackrel{??}{\leq} \langle \hat{\theta}, \hat{\Sigma}\hat{\theta} \rangle.$
 - · Hence, when $P \equiv \hat{\Sigma} \Sigma$, we have $\langle \langle \Sigma, \theta^* \otimes \theta^* \hat{\theta} \otimes \hat{\theta} \rangle \rangle \leq \langle \langle P, \theta^* \otimes \theta^* \hat{\theta} \otimes \hat{\theta} \rangle \rangle \stackrel{??}{=} \Psi(\hat{\Delta}, P)$.
 - · Define $\Gamma = \Sigma \gamma_1(\Sigma)\theta^* \otimes \theta^*$.
 - · Consequently,

$$\langle \langle \Sigma, \theta^* \otimes \theta^* - \hat{\theta} \otimes \hat{\theta} \rangle \rangle = \gamma_1(\Sigma) \langle \langle \theta^* \otimes \theta^*, \theta^* \otimes \theta^* - \hat{\theta} \otimes \hat{\theta} \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle \Gamma, \theta^* \otimes \theta^* - \hat{\theta} \otimes \hat{\theta} \rangle \rangle$$

$$\stackrel{??}{=} (1 - \rho^2) (\gamma_1(\Sigma) - \langle \langle \Gamma, z \otimes z \rangle \rangle).$$

- · Also, $|\langle \langle \Gamma, z \otimes z \rangle \rangle| \stackrel{??}{\leq} \gamma_2(\Sigma)$.
- · Hence we have $\langle \langle \Sigma, \theta^* \otimes \theta^* \hat{\theta} \otimes \hat{\theta} \rangle \rangle \geq \nu (1 \varrho^2) \stackrel{??}{\Rightarrow} \nu \left(1 \langle \hat{\theta}, \theta^* \rangle^2 \right) \leq |\Psi(\hat{\Delta}, P)|.$
- * To continue, we get $\Psi(\hat{\Delta}, P) = \langle U^T \hat{\Delta}, \tilde{P} U^T (\hat{\Delta} + 2\theta^*) \rangle$ since $P = U \tilde{P} U^T$.
- * Note that $U^T \theta^* \stackrel{??}{=} e_1$.
- * Defining U_2 as the sub-matrix formed by the smaller d-1 eigenvectors and $\tilde{z} = U_2^T z \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, we can write $U^T \hat{\Delta} = \begin{pmatrix} (\varrho 1) \\ (1 \varrho^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{z} \end{pmatrix}$.
- * Thus we have

$$\begin{split} \Psi(\hat{\Delta}, P) &= (\varrho - 1)^2 \tilde{p}_{11} + 2(\varrho - 1)\sqrt{1 - \varrho^2} \langle \tilde{z}, \tilde{p} \rangle + (1 - \varrho^2) \langle \tilde{z}, \tilde{P}_{22} \tilde{z} \rangle + 2(\varrho - 1) \tilde{p}_{11} + 2\sqrt{1 - \varrho^2} \langle \tilde{z}, \tilde{p} \rangle \\ &= (\varrho^2 - 1) \tilde{p}_{11} + 2\varrho \sqrt{1 - \varrho^2} \langle \tilde{z}, \tilde{p} \rangle + (1 - \varrho^2) \langle \tilde{z}, \tilde{P}_{22} \tilde{z} \rangle. \end{split}$$

- * Since $||\tilde{z}||_2 \le 1$ and $|\tilde{p}_{11}| \le ||\tilde{P}||_2$, we have $\nu (1 \varrho^2) \stackrel{??}{\le} |\Psi(\hat{\Delta}, P)| \stackrel{??}{\le} 2(1 \varrho^2)||\tilde{P}||_2 + 2\varrho\sqrt{1 \varrho^2}||\tilde{p}||_2$.
- * Now $\nu > 2||P||_2 \Rightarrow \sqrt{1-\varrho^2} \leq \frac{2\varrho||\tilde{p}||_2}{\nu-2||P||_2}$
- * Since $||\hat{\Delta}||_2 = \sqrt{2(1-\varrho)}$, we conclude that $||\hat{\Delta}||_2 \stackrel{??}{\leq} \frac{2||\tilde{p}||_2}{\nu-2||\tilde{P}||_2}$.
- Application to PCA for Spiked Covariance Matrices:
 - Consider n i.i.d. samples $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^d$ from a zero-mean random d-dimensional vector with covariance Σ .
 - Given any $\nu > 0$, a sample data point $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ from a Spiked Covariance Ensemble is of the form: $x_i \stackrel{d}{\sim} \sqrt{\nu} \xi_i \theta^* + w_i$ where ξ_i is a zero-mean r.v. with unit variance, $\xi_i \perp w_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is zero-mean random vector with identity covariance implying that $\Sigma \equiv \nu \theta^* \otimes \theta^* + I_{d \times d}$.
 - What is the maximal eigenvector of Σ ? Is it unique? What is the corresponding eigenvalue $\gamma_1(\Sigma)$? What is the eigengap?
 - We say that x_i is sub-Gaussian if both ξ_i and w_i are sub-Gaussian with parameter at most one.

– Corollary of Theorem above: Given n>d i.i.d. sub-Gaussian samples $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ from the spiked ensemble as above, let it hold that $\sqrt{\frac{\nu+1}{\nu^2}}\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}} \le \frac{1}{128}$. Then there exists an unique maximal eigenvector $\hat{\theta}$ of $\hat{\Sigma}$ such that

$$P\left[||\hat{\theta} - \theta^*||_2 \le c_0 \sqrt{\frac{\nu + 1}{\nu^2}} \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}} + \delta\right] \ge 1 - c_1 e^{-c_2 n \min\{\sqrt{\nu}\delta, \nu\delta^2\}}, \ \delta > 0.$$

- - * Let, as usual, $P \equiv \hat{\Sigma} \Sigma$ and $\tilde{w} \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i w_i$.
 - * Then we can write $P \stackrel{??}{=} P_1 + P_2 + P_3$ where

$$P_{1} = \nu \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2} - 1\right) \theta^{*} \otimes \theta^{*},$$

$$P_{2} = \sqrt{\nu} \left(\tilde{w} \otimes \theta^{*} + \theta^{*} \otimes \tilde{w}\right),$$

$$P_{3} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \otimes w_{i} - I_{d \times d}.$$

- * Thus we have that $||P||_2 \stackrel{??}{\leq} \nu|_n^1 \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 1| + 2\sqrt{\nu}||\tilde{w}||_2 + ||\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \otimes w_i I_{d \times d}||_2$. * Claim: For $\delta_1 > 0$, $P\left[|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 1| \geq \delta_1\right] \leq 2e^{-c_2 n \min\{\delta_1, \delta_1^2\}}$.
- * Claim: For $\delta_2 > 0$, $P\left[||\tilde{w}||_2 \ge 2\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}} + \delta_2\right] \le 2e^{-c_2 n \min\{\delta_2, \delta_2^2\}}$.
- * Claim: For $\delta_3 > 0$, $P\left[|| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \otimes w_i I_{d \times d}||_2 \ge c_3 \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}} + \delta_3 \right] \le 2e^{-c_2 n \min\{\delta_3, \delta_3^3\}}$.
- * We have $\tilde{p} \stackrel{??}{=} U_2^T P \theta^*$ and $U_2^T \theta^* \stackrel{??}{=} 0$.
- * Hence, $\tilde{p} \stackrel{??}{=} \sqrt{\nu} U_2^T \tilde{w} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_2^T w_i \langle w_i, \theta^* \rangle$.
- * Note $||U_2^T \tilde{w}||_2 \stackrel{??}{\leq} ||\tilde{w}||_2$ and $||\sum_{i=1}^n U_2^T w_i \langle w_i, \theta^* \rangle||_2 \stackrel{??}{\leq} ||\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \otimes w_i I_{d \times d}||_2$.
- * Thus we have $||\tilde{p}||_2 \stackrel{??}{\leq} \sqrt{\nu} ||\tilde{w}||_2 + [||\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \otimes w_i I_{d \times d}||_2.$
- * Define $\phi(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) \stackrel{def}{=} 2e^{-c_2 n \min\{\delta_1, \delta_1^2\}} + 2e^{-c_2 n \min\{\delta_2, \delta_2^2\}} + 2e^{-c_2 n \min\{\delta_3, \delta_3^3\}}$ be the probability that at least one of the above bounds is violated.
- * Now using the above inequality bound on $||P||_2$ and the corresponding probability bounds as given above with $\delta_1 = \frac{1}{16}, \delta_2 = \frac{\delta}{4\sqrt{\nu}}, \delta_3 = \frac{\delta}{16} \in (0,1)$ we have

$$P\left[||P||_2 \stackrel{??}{\leq} \frac{\nu}{16} + 16\sqrt{\frac{d(\nu+1)}{n}} + \delta\right] \stackrel{??}{\geq} 1 - \phi\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{\delta}{3\sqrt{\nu}}, \frac{\delta}{16}\right).$$

- * Hence $P[||P||_2 < \frac{\nu}{4}] \ge 1 \phi(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{\delta}{3\sqrt{\nu}}, \frac{\delta}{16}), \ \forall \delta \in (0, \frac{1}{16}).$ Why?
- * Also, with previous choices of $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$, $P\left[||\tilde{p}||_2 \stackrel{??}{\leq} 4\sqrt{\frac{d(\nu+1)}{n}} + \delta\right] \stackrel{??}{\geq} 1 \phi\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{\delta}{3\sqrt{\nu}}, \frac{\delta}{16}\right)$.
- * The result follows. How?