# Final Report — Optimization of Subgraph Isomorphism Algorithm

by Nelson Batista, Max Inciong, Francesca Truncale

Senior Project II

Professor Jianting Zhang

Fall 2017

# Contents

1	Intr	Introduction				
	1.1	Background	1			
	1.2	Motivation	1			
	1.3	Data Set	2			
2	Ullmann Algorithm					
	2.1	Naive Approach	2			
	2.2	"Refine M" Procedure	3			
3	Alternative Algorithms					
	3.1	VF2 Algorithm	4			
	3.2	RI Algorithm	6			
4	Implementation of the Algorithm					
	4.1	Python Implementation	7			
	4.2	Initial C++ Implementation	8			
	4.3	Improved C++ Implementation	8			
	4.4	Options for Parallelization	8			
		4.4.1 CUDA	8			
		4.4.2 MPI	9			
		4.4.3 SIMD	9			
		4.4.4 OpenMP	9			
	4.5	Optimizations through OpenMP				
	4.6	Sample Run	10			
5	Performance					
	5.1	Python Implementation	11			
	5.2	C++ Implementation	11			
	5.3	OpenMP Optimization	11			
6	Cor	Conclusion 1				
7	Appendix 1					
	7.1	Python Code	12			

References					
7.4	Code	Used to Check Performance of C++ code	48		
	7.3.1	Subgraph Isomorphism Algorithm			
7.3	Openl	MP Code	40		
	7.2.3	Main	32		
	7.2.2	Subgraph Isomorphism Algorithm	25		
	7.2.1	Graph Class	21		
7.2	C++	Code	21		
	7.1.3	Main	17		
	7.1.2	Subgraph Isomorphism Algorithm	14		
	7.1.1	Graph Class	12		

## 1 Introduction

The goal of this project is to understand and optimize an algorithm for solving the NP-complete problem of subgraph isomorphism.

## 1.1 Background

An isomorphism between two graphs is a mapping from the vertices of one graph, say G, to another graph, say H, such that any two vertices which are adjacent in G are mapped to vertices which are adjacent in H. The subgraph isomorphism problem, in turn, is the problem of determining whether an isomorphism exists between a graph G and any of the subgraphs of a larger search graph, H. The issue that makes the subgraph isomorphism problem so difficult to solve for a particular pair of graphs is cycling through the main graph and expanding each node within the graph and checking if each of the generated subgraphs formed from each node is isomorphic to the control graph.

Fortunately, many attempts exist to solve the subgraph isomorphism problem. Among the earliest of these is the famous *Ullmann Algorithm*, proposed by Julian R. Ullmann in his 1976 paper, *An Algorithm for Subgraph Isomorphism*. He first describes a basic, naive approach to finding a mapping from the vertices of a graph to a subgraph of a larger graph. He goes on to define a "refine" procedure to dramatically reduce the number of possible mappings that must be checked.[6] These will be covered in greater detail in the next section.

The goal of our project was to take this algorithm and improve its performance. Subgraph isomorphism is an expensive problem to solve, and finding multiple possible isomorphisms from one graph to subgraphs of another is even more expensive, so even slight improvements in the algorithm's performance is likely to lead to large performance improvements in any large-scale program which needs to use it often.

#### 1.2 Motivation

Our reasoning for choosing this topic for our project is an apparent lack of especially efficient algorithms for solving the subgraph isomorphism problem. Solutions to the subgraph isomorphism problem are often used to detect similarities in chemical compounds, [6] which may shed light on some of their properties. If this process needs to be done, for example, on a very large database of chemical compounds, or less frequently for several very large compounds, the process may take a very long time to complete. It would be very beneficial to improve the performance of this algorithm, even if only slightly, so that these sorts of use cases can still be handled in a more reasonable amount of time.

#### 1.3 Data Set

The primary graph used to test the algorithm and its performance is an undirected graph consisting of "friends lists" from the social media website, Facebook. Each node of the graph represents a unique user, and, if two nodes are adjacent, then their corresponding users are friends on Facebook. [4]

The graph contains 4,039 nodes and 88,234 edges. The relatively large size of the graph makes it well-suited to use for collection of performance data. As we shall see, the Ullmann algorithm takes a rather long time to complete with a graph of this size, allowing any optimizations and/or parallelizations to be readily apparent.

## 2 Ullmann Algorithm

## 2.1 Naive Approach

The paper begins, as many do, with a definition of terms that will be used throughout. We have repeated them here for the sake of clarity later on. We begin by noting that the algorithm models the search for a possible mapping by having all possible mappings as nodes on a tree, on which a depth-first search is performed to find a solution.

The subgraph isomorphism problem is defined as the problem of finding all isomorphisms between a graph  $G_{\alpha} = (V_{\alpha}, E_{\alpha})$  and subgraphs of another graph  $G_{\beta} = (V_{\beta}, E_{\beta})$ , with  $(V_{\alpha}, E_{\alpha})$  and  $(V_{\beta}, E_{\beta})$  being the set of vertices and edges of  $G_{\alpha}$  and  $G_{\beta}$ , respectively. The number of vertices and edges of  $G_{\alpha}$  and  $G_{\beta}$ , respectively, are  $(p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha})$  and  $(p_{\beta}, q_{\beta})$ .

The adjacency matrix for graph  $G_{\alpha}$ ,  $[a_{ij}]$ , is defined by:

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i, j \text{ share an edge} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The adjacency matrix for graph  $G_{\beta}$ ,  $[b_{ij}]$ , is defined equivalently.

Notably, we have the  $p_{\alpha} \times p_{\beta}$  matrix M, which is a binary matrix of assignments (mappings) from  $V_{\alpha}$  to  $V_{\beta}$ . That is, if  $m_{ij}$  is 1, then vertex i in graph  $G_{\alpha}$  could possibly be mapped to vertex j in  $G_{\beta}$ . Otherwise  $m_{ij}$  is 0. M has a few interesting properties as a result, which will soon be apparent.

Finally, we come to d, which is simply algorithm's current depth in the search tree. The algorithm starts at d=0, and terminates when  $d=p_{\alpha}$ . The matrix M at a particular depth d is denoted as  $M_d$ , with solutions to the working instance of the subgraph isomorphism problem being the set of assignments from  $V_{\alpha}$  to  $V_{\beta}$ , contained within matrices  $M_{p_{\alpha}}$ .  $M_0$  is generated by the following formula:

$$m_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if degree}(j \in G_{\beta}) \ge \text{ degree}(i \in G_{\alpha}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Each step of the computation consists of setting all but one of the values of one of the rows of M to 0, and then checking whether exactly one 1 exists in each row. If it does, we have found an isomorphism, since each vertex of  $G_{\alpha}$  has been mapped to exactly one vertex of  $G_{\beta}$ . If it does not, then we continue onto another row of M and check again. If we have reached the point where we cannot perform the operation on a row of M without violating the condition that each column of M contains at most a single 1 (a vertex of  $G_{\beta}$  cannot be mapped from multiple vertices of  $G_{\alpha}$ ), then we backtrack to a previous version of M and try to change the column that we set to 1 in the current row. After exhausting all columns, we conclude there cannot be an isomorphism, since at least one vertex of  $G_{\alpha}$  cannot be mapped to any vertex of  $G_{\beta}$ .[6]

#### 2.2 "Refine M" Procedure

In the most basic algorithm, the entire tree is searched, including branches which cannot possibly contain a solution at any depth. This is a large number of M matrices to evaluate, so Ullmann introduces a "refine M" procedure to reduce the number that must be checked by eliminating nodes of the tree which cannot contain a solution.

The procedure is actually quite simple. We iterate through each 1  $m_{ij}$  in M and check whether, for each neighbor of the vertex in  $G_{\alpha}$  corresponding to i, there exists at least one possible assignment from it to a neighbor of the vertex in  $G_{\beta}$  corresponding to j. If this condition does not hold, the 1 is changed to a 0. Since doing so may render other 1s invalid, we repeat the refine procedure on the modified M until running the procedure does not change it any further, indicating that the refinements do not produce any new invalid 1s. This obviously involves several layers of nested loops, adding considerable overhead to the overall algorithm. However, this overhead is very little considering the number of possibilities that are subsequently eliminated, making it a very worthwhile operation to perform regardless.

This refine M procedure is run both on the initial M as well as each of  $M_d$ , greatly reducing how many iterations we must perform to see that a particular mapping is invalid.

## 3 Alternative Algorithms

## 3.1 VF2 Algorithm

One algorithm that we considered using was the VF2 Algorithm, which was developed by Cordella, Foggia, Sansone, and Vento.

Given two graphs  $G_1(n_1, b_1)$  and  $G_2(n_2, b_2)$  and Mapping  $M: n_1xn_2$ , a subset mapping solution M(s) takes two subgraphs  $G_1(s)$  and  $G_2(s)$ , obtained by selecting from  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  only the nodes included in the components of M(s), and the branches connecting them The following algorithm is defined by the paper to implement VF2.

```
PROCEDURE Match(s)

INPUT: an intermediate state s; the initial state s_0 has M(s_0) = \emptyset

OUTPUT: the mappings between the two graphs

IF M(s) covers all the nodes of G_2 THEN

OUTPUT M(s)

ELSE

Compute the set P(s) of the pairs candidate for inclusion in M(s)

FOREACH p in P(s)

IF the feasibility rules succeed for the inclusion of p in M(s) THEN

Compute the state s obtained by adding p to M(s)

CALL Match(s)

END IF

END FOREACH

Restore data structures

END IF
```

Figure 1: Description of the VF2 Algorithm.

For each mapping (m, n), VF2 applies five feasibility rules to the current state and the pair to be added. These rules are denoted as:  $R_{pred}$ ,  $R_{succ}$ ,  $R_{in}$ ,  $R_{out}$ , and  $R_{new}$ .

Only if all feasibility rules are satisfied is (n, m) added to the set of mappings M(s).

The general form of the feasibility function is defined as:

```
F_{syn}(s, n, m) = R_{pred} \wedge R_{succ} \wedge R_{in} \wedge R_{out} \wedge R_{new}
```

 $R_{pred}$  and  $R_{succ}$  represent the predecessors and successors respectively of a given node n in a graph.

The other three feasibilty rules are meant to prune the tree  $R_{in}$  and  $R_{out}$  are both 1 step ahead look ahead while  $R_{new}$  is a 2 step look ahead.

Look ahead" refers to the k-look-ahead rules defined in the paper. They are used to reduce the number of states generated, by checking in advance if a consistent state s has no consistent successors after

k steps.

The feasibility rules are defined as the following:

$$R_{\text{pred}}(s, n, m) \iff (\forall n' \in M_1(s) \cap \text{Pred}(G_1, n) \exists m' \in \text{Pred}(G_2, m) \mid (n', m') \in M(s)) \land (\forall m' \in M_2(s) \cap \text{Pred}(G_2, m) \exists n' \in \text{Pred}(G_1, n) \mid (n', m') \in M(s)),$$

$$R_{\text{succ}}(s, n, m) \iff (\forall n' \in M_1(s) \cap \text{Succ}(G_1, n) \exists m' \in \text{Succ}(G_2, m) \mid (n', m') \in M(s)) \land (\forall m' \in M_2(s) \cap \text{Succ}(G_2, m) \exists n' \in \text{Succ}(G_1, n) \mid (n', m') \in M(s)),$$

$$R_{\text{in}}(s, n, m) \iff$$

$$(\operatorname{Card}(\operatorname{Succ}(G_1, n) \cap T_1^{\text{in}}(s)) \ge \operatorname{Card}(\operatorname{Succ}(G_2, m) \cap T_2^{\text{in}}(s))) \land (\operatorname{Card}(\operatorname{Pred}(G_1, n) \cap T_1^{\text{in}}(s)) \ge \operatorname{Card}(\operatorname{Pred}(G_2, m) \cap T_2^{\text{in}}(s))),$$

$$R_{\text{out}}(s, n, m) \iff$$

$$(\operatorname{Card}(\operatorname{Succ}(G_1, n) \cap T_1^{\text{out}}(s)) \ge \operatorname{Card}(\operatorname{Succ}(G_2, m) \cap T_2^{\text{out}}(s))) \land (\operatorname{Card}(\operatorname{Pred}(G_1, n) \cap T_1^{\text{out}}(s)) \ge \operatorname{Card}(\operatorname{Pred}(G_2, m) \cap T_2^{\text{out}}(s))),$$

$$R_{\text{new}}(s, n, m) \iff$$
 $\operatorname{Card}(\tilde{N}_1(s) \cap \operatorname{Pred}(G_1, n)) \geq \operatorname{Card}(\tilde{N}_2(s) \cap \operatorname{Pred}(G_2, n)) \wedge$ 
 $\operatorname{Card}(\tilde{N}_1(s) \cap \operatorname{Succ}(G_1, n)) \geq \operatorname{Card}(\tilde{N}_2(s) \cap \operatorname{Succ}(G_2, n)).$ 

Figure 2: Description of the creation of feasiblity rules.

In comparison to the Ullman algorithm, it appears that when working with greater than 200 nodes, VF2 becomes more efficient than the it.

The time complexity of VF2 is  $O(n^2)$  at best, O(n!n) at worst. Ullman's algorithm ranges from  $O(n^3)$ 

to  $O(n!n^2)$ . [3]

## 3.2 RI Algorithm

For this algorithm, we need to generate all possible maps between two graphs, then check if any of those maps is a subgraph isomorphism.

The maps can be represented using a search space tree, which has a dummy root. Each node represents a possible match between a vertex in graph G (which is referred to as the pattern graph) in the target graph G'.

Unlike other algorithms, RI orders the vertices of the pattern graph in a way that maximizes the chance that a partial path will be removed. The idea is to introduce edge constraints as early as possible, and are modeled only on the pattern graph.

RI is also modeled for directed graphs, which allows for more pruning since (u, v) does not imply (v, u) (where u, v are vertices).

The majority of the work is performed in a greedy algorithm called GreatestConstraintFirst, which is used to find a good sequence of vertices based on the number of neighbors a vertex has.

Using the results of GreatestConstraintFirst, the following isomorphism rules remove unfeasible paths:

- 1. Neither  $u_i$  nor  $M(u_i)$  is already matched in the current path.
- 2. The matched vertices are compatible, i.e.,  $lab(u_i) \equiv lab(M(u_i))$ .
- 3. The number of edges connected to  $M(u_i)$  in V' is greater than or equal to the number of edges connected to  $u_i$  in V. That is  $|\{(v', M(u_i)) \in E'\}| \ge |\{(w, u_i) \in E\}| \text{ and } |\{(M(u_i), v') \in E'\}| \ge |\{(u_i, w) \in E\}|.$  In the case of undirected graphs the it verifies that  $|\langle M(u_i), v' \rangle \in E'\}| \ge |\langle u_i, w \rangle \in E\}|.$
- 4. The constraints deriving from the topology of the pattern graph up to this point in the path are met,  $\forall u_i, u_j \in V$  where  $0 \le j \le i \ (u_i, u_j) \in E \Rightarrow (M(u_i), M(u_j)) \in E'$  If edges are labeled, then  $\beta$  is defined, we would also verify the compatibility of the edge labels.

The algorithm does not have a dedicated pruning function, which is another factor for the increase in performance when compared against other algorithms (such as VF2); instead, pruning is done through the

matching process.[1]

## 4 Implementation of the Algorithm

The full source code for all versions can be found in the appendix.

## 4.1 Python Implementation

The Python code is based largely on an implementation of the Ullmann algorithm provided by an answer on the website *StackOverflow*.[2] It would not run in its original form, so a few adjustments were needed.

Listing 1: Function used to determine whether an isomorphism exists.

The main function is find\_isomorphism, which is depicted above. It calls the function search, which performs the actual work of finding an isomorphism.

The search function runs the update\_possible\_assignments function. This particular function has been the subject of refining over the course of the project. At the time of the python implementation, it was the most significant bottleneck.

This was mostly due to the usage of the has\_edge method of the graph class, shown below.

```
def has_edge(self, vert1, vert2):
    """ Checks if edge connecting vert1 and vert2 is in the graph
    """
    #if adjacent, there's an edge
    return ({vert1, vert2} in self.adjacencies)
```

Listing 2: Code for the has\_edge method of our graph class.

This method calls the built-in in function of Python lists, which has a runtime complexity of O(n).[5] Thus, the has\_edge method of the Python implementation is O(n).

## 4.2 Initial C++ Implementation

The initial C++ implementation was based entirely on the Python implementation. Indeed, it represented our best attempt to "translate" the Python code to C++. As one might imagine, it was riddled with compilation errors. That said, we did manage to get it to run, and analysis indicated it performed little better than the Python equivalent. We suspected this was because it retained the same issue that the Python implementation had: calling has\_edge, an O(n) method, countless times. This motivated a significant improvement.

## 4.3 Improved C++ Implementation

The improved C++ implementation represents a significant milestone in our project, as it not only improved upon the clarity of the isomorphism algorithm, but also resulted in a much cleaner graph class, with edges implemented as an adjacency matrix. This makes the has\_edge function O(1), as it consists of simply looking up whether the given vertices share an edge by checking the matrix. This makes its repeated use in refine\_possible\_assignments much more acceptable, a fact which is reflected in the performance.

We also have stored vertices in such a way that each vertex is also stored along with its degree, allowing quick and easy lookup of a vertex's degree.

The improved C++ implementation follows a bit of a loose interpretation of Ullmann's description of the algorithm. A version which used the goto command with labels was considered, to more closely match said description, but was scrapped in favor of a more conventional approach

## 4.4 Options for Parallelization

#### 4.4.1 CUDA

CUDA is a proprietary API made specifically for NVIDIA hardware. It has the to use more than the 4 threads we ended up using. This is because it uses the GPU of a computer. The main difference between using CUDA and OpenMP is that CUDA has access to more threads. OpenMP relies on using the cores on a machine to do parallel work, so work is sped up by approximately a factor of 2 to 8, depending on how many cores a computer has. CUDA on the other hand can have anywhere from hundreds and even thousands of threads in a block thanks to the NVIDIA GPU

#### 4.4.2 MPI

MPI stands for Message Passing Interface. This utilizes multiple machines in order to parallelize the work. Unfortunately there is a huge difference between parallelization using threading and MPI. With MPI, the function runs multiple times on each machine. This means that in order to increase effectiveness, the workload must be balanced among the machines, otherwise some machines might do much more work than other machines, reducing the effective time saved, as a program running in parallel is only as fast as its slowest processor. One cannot simply throw MPI onto a program and expect it to go faster by some factor. In the case of graph and subgraph isomorphism, we would have to split the work based on which sections of the graph take longer.

Theoretically it is possible to combine MPI with OpenMP in order to increase the efficiency. However, our team did not have a system of machines in order to fully utilize MPI. If we did, the time it would take to find an isomorphism would decrease by at most a factor based on the number of processors used multiplied by the number of cores in each processor capable of using OpenMP

#### 4.4.3 SIMD

SIMD stands for single instruction multiple data. This system uses a series of data types found in specific systems. Examples of SIMD include AVX, SSE, and ARM-NEON vector instructions. These instructions allow for a certain datatype that could hold multiple values and perform operations on multiple pieces of data at once. These operations can either be between two vectors or between values within the vectors. We would have to drastically alter the data structures we currently have in place to incorporate SIMD datatypes.

#### 4.4.4 OpenMP

## 4.5 Optimizations through OpenMP

OpenMP utilizes the multiple cores of a computer's processor in order implement multithreading to run related tasks in parallel. We intend to use it where the bottlenecks in our algorithm are to improve the algorithm's performance. The default number of cores for many computers is four. We intend to place the parallelisms where there tend to be a large number iterations, specifically in loops. This means that, theoretically, the program should run four times faster than without multithreading, using our earlier assumption of four processor cores allotted to the algorithm. It is more likely that it will be approximately 3 to 3.5 times faster, since we may not be able to completely parallelize the bottlenecks as intended. Additionally, using OpenMP, as is the case with any parallelization method, comes with a certain amount of overhead in separating the work to be done. There is also the issue of load balancing: making sure that each thread is

performing approximately the same amount of computation so that no thread is idling.

The most important place to place the OpenMP is in the refine\_possible\_assignments function. This function is where the majority of the algorithm's computation takes place, as it has several layers of nested loops, each iterating through vectors which may be very large, depending on the sizes of the graphs being examined. Ideally, we want to balance the loads such that each processor core does an equal amount of work, so as to maximize the amount of parallelization done. However, while we may simply parallelize every iterative process, that might not be efficient enough. Ideally, we would further parallelize in nodes of the search tree which have many possible assignments.

## 4.6 Sample Run

For visualization purposes, we have provided a sample run of the algorithm. For simplicity, only the C++ implementation is seen here. The graphs used are very simple, as this is only for demonstration. The following is the list of edges defining the search graph:

1 2

2 3

Listing 3: Search graph used for walkthrough.

And the following is the subgraph we will be searching for an instance of in that graph:

10 20

Listing 4: Subgraph being searched for in search graph.

## 5 Performance

For all of our measurements, we used the worst-case input: the Facebook graph mentioned previously as the search graph, and that same graph (or, equivalently, any isomorphic graph of the same size) as the "subgraph." This would take the longest of the graphs available to us, since it requires the algorithm to assign the greatest number of vertices (all of them) before returning, and, since the graphs are indeed isomorphic, there is no chance of refine\_possible\_assignments returning false, which would allow us to skip a large amount of work.

## 5.1 Python Implementation

The Python implementation was very inefficient, but it was necessary for us to understand what we had to do for a C++ implementation. It took between 13 and 16 seconds to determine if an isomorphism existed.

We used the Python cProfile library to measure the performance of our Python implementation. The documentation is available at https://docs.python.org/3.5/library/profile.html. A typical run of the find\_isomorphism function timed by cProfile produces the following output:

```
Ordered by: standard name
                                     percall filename:lineno(function)
ncalls
        tottime
                 percall
                           cumtime
          0.000
                    0.000
                            15.085
                                      15.085 <string>:1(<module>)
   492
          0.000
                    0.000
                             0.001
                                       0.000 graph.py:22(<lambda>)
117612
         13.864
                    0.000
                            13.864
                                      0.000 graph.py:69(has_edge)
                                             isomorphism.py:43(find_isomorphism)
          0.000
                    0.000
                            15.085
                                      15.085
          1.218
                    1.218
                            15.085
                                      15.085 isomorphism.py:63(update_possible_assignments)
          0.000
                    0.000
                            15.085
                                      15.085 isomorphism.py:7(search)
   492
          0.000
                    0.000
                             0.000
                                       0.000
                                             {len}
          0.000
                    0.000
                             0.000
                                       0.000
                                             {method 'disable' of '_lsprof.Profiler' objects}
     1
                                             {method 'remove' of 'list' objects}
   242
          0.000
                    0.000
                             0.000
                                       0.000
   488
          0.002
                    0.000
                             0.002
                                       0.000
                                             {range}
```

Figure 3: Sample run of the Python implementation of subgraph isomorphism.

## 5.2 C++ Implementation

The source code used to test the performance of the C++ implementations can be found in the appendix. We used Linux's perf\_event\_open system call, which allows for high-precision performance monitoring. The only part of the program which is timed is the call to find\_isomorphism.

The C++ implementation, due to the improvement of has\_edge's runtime, runs significantly more quickly than the Python implementation; it runs in about 8 seconds, compared to about 16 seconds for the Python implementation. This is about twice as fast, even without any parallelisms. However, we found it was generally more precise to measure the number of CPU clock cycles taken for the algorithm to complete, so we measured that instead. The output of the program used to measure this is below:

#### 5.3 OpenMP Optimization

The OpenMP code performs very well compared to the non-parallelized version.

## 6 Conclusion

Over the coming two weeks, we should have completed the OpenMP parallelizations and thoroughly tested the performance of the resulting program. We will also be much more in-depth in the explanation of the Ullmann algorithm. We also hope to use a more precise method of getting performance information for the C++ implementation, as our current method is rather volatile.

# 7 Appendix

## 7.1 Python Code

#### 7.1.1 Graph Class

```
11 11 11
Graph module
only has a class
.....
class Vertex:
    11 11 11
    Vertex Class
    def __init__(self, label = "", data="", visited=False):
         self.label = label
        self.data = data
         self.visited = visited
class Graph:
    и и и
    Graph class
    11 11 11
    def __init__(self, vertices=None, edges=None, adjacencies=None):
        if vertices is None:
             self.vertices = []
```

```
self.n_vertices = lambda : len(self.vertices)
    else:
        self.vertices = vertices
    if edges is None:
        self.edges = []
    else:
        self.edges = edges
    if adjacencies is None:
        self.adjacencies = []
    else:
        self.adjacencies = adjacencies
    self.__update_adjacencies()
#Private, don't want anyone calling this by accident.
def __update_adjacencies(self):
    for edge in self.edges:
        self.adjacencies.append({edge[0], edge[1]})
def add_vertex(self, vertex=Vertex()):
    """ Add vertex to graph
    self.vertices.append(vertex)
def remove_vertex(self, vertex):
    """ Remove vertex from graph
        along with edges which contain it
    11 11 11
    self.vertices.remove(vertex)
    # list comprehension
    # TLDR remove all elements in self.edges which contain vertex
    # we need this since edges is a list of tuples, not of single elements
```

```
self.edges.remove([edge for edge in self.edges if vertex in edge])
    # see above
    self.adjacencies.remove([adj for adj in self.adjacencies if vertex in adj])
def add_edge(self, source, dest, weight):
    """ Add edge connecting source and dest
   along with weight
    .....
    if (source or dest) not in self.vertices:
        self.vertices.append(source)
        self.vertices.append(dest)
    self.edges.append([source, dest, weight])
    self.adjacencies.append({source, dest})
def has_edge(self, vert1, vert2):
    """ Checks if edge conecting vert1 and vert2 is in the graph
    #if adjacent, there's an edge
    return ({vert1, vert2} in self.adjacencies)
# for debugging
def print_graph(self):
    """ Prints information about the graph
    print("Graph (vertices, edges, adjacencies):")
   print(self.vertices)
   print(self.edges)
   print (self.adjacencies)
```

Listing 5: Python graph class.

#### 7.1.2 Subgraph Isomorphism Algorithm

```
""" Subgraph Isomorphism
CPU Implementation
.....
import copy as cp # for deepcopy
def search(graph, subgraph, assignments, possible_assignments):
    """ Uses DFS to find instance of subgraph within larger graph
    11 11 11
    update_possible_assignments(graph, subgraph, possible_assignments)
    i = len(assignments)
    # Make sure that every edge between assigned vertices in the subgraph
    # is also an edge in the graph.
    # this loop calls graph.has_edge once for every edge in the subgraph
    for edge in subgraph.edges:
        if edge[0] < i and edge[1] < i:</pre>
            if not graph.has_edge(assignments[edge[0]], assignments[edge[1]]):
                return False
    # If all the vertices in the subgraph are assigned, then we are done.
    if i == subgraph.n_vertices():
        return True
    for j in possible_assignments[i]:
        if j not in assignments:
            assignments.append(j)
            # Create a new set of possible assignments, where graph node j
```

```
# is the only possibility for the assignment of subgraph node i.
            new_possible_assignments = cp.deepcopy(possible_assignments)
            new_possible_assignments[i] = [j]
            if search(graph, subgraph, assignments, new_possible_assignments):
                return True
            assignments.pop()
        possible_assignments[i].remove(j)
        update_possible_assignments(graph, subgraph, possible_assignments)
def find_isomorphism(graph, subgraph):
    assignments = []
    possible_assignments = [[True]*graph.n_vertices() \
                            for i in range(subgraph.n_vertices())]
    if search(graph, subgraph, assignments, possible_assignments):
        return True
def update_possible_assignments(graph, subgraph, possible_assignments):
    """ docstring to suppress the warning
    \pi^{-}\pi^{-}\pi^{-}
    any_changes = True
    while any_changes:
        any_changes = False
        for i in range(0, subgraph.n_vertices()):
            for j in possible_assignments[i]:
                for adj in subgraph.adjacencies(i):
                    match = False
                    for vert in range(0, graph.n_vertices()):
                         # graph.has_edge gets called once
```

Listing 6: Python algorithm to find isomorphism.

#### 7.1.3 Main

```
""" Main
"""
import sys #args
import getopt
from graph import Graph
from isomorphism import find_isomorphism
import cProfile

def main(argv):
    """ Main function
    """
    argc = len(argv)

    interactive, debug = handle_args(argc, argv)
```

```
print("Enter the graph as a space-separated adjacency list of the form\
                node1 node2 [edge_weight]:")
        filename = sys.stdin
    else:
        filename = argv[1]
    graph = import_data(filename, debug)
    if debug:
        graph.print_graph()
    if interactive:
        print("Enter the subgraph using the same format:")
       sub = sys.stdin
    else:
        sub = argv[2]
    subgraph = import_data(sub, debug)
    if debug:
        subgraph.print_graph()
    cProfile.runctx('find_isomorphism(graph, subgraph)', globals(), locals())
def import_data(filename, debug):
    """ Takes graph adjacency list written in file fd
        creates and returns graph object representing
        the graph in the file
        Note that edge weights are all 0 unless otherwise specified.
    ....
    if filename == sys.stdin:
        fdesc = filename
```

```
else:
    try:
        fdesc = open(filename, 'r')
    except IOError as err:
        sys.stderr.write("Error: Could not open file '%s'. Aborting.\n%s\n" \
                % (filename, err))
        sys.exit(2)
if debug:
   print(fdesc)
graph = Graph()
if debug:
    print("Reading file")
for line in fdesc:
    vals = line.split()
    if len(vals) == 3:
        edge_weight = int(vals[2])
    elif len(vals) > 2:
        sys.stderr.write("Error reading file '%s'. Data should be in form \
                'node1 node2 [edge_weight]', but line was %s\n" % line)
        sys.exit(3)
    else:
        edge_weight = 0
    graph.add_edge(int(vals[0]), int(vals[1]), edge_weight)
if filename != sys.stdin:
    fdesc.close()
return graph
```

```
def usage(name, err):
    """ Prints usage info
    11 11 11
   usg = """usage: %s [FILE] [OPTION]
   Options and arguments:
   FILE:
                        Space-separated file containing graph adjacency list. \
            If edge weights are not specified, all weights will be assumed 0.
                       Print this help message and exit.
    -h, --help:
    -i, --interactive: Get space-separated graph adjacency list from stdin.
    -d, --debug: Print debugging information.\n"""
    if err:
        sys.stderr.write(usg % name)
        return
    sys.stdout.write(usg % name)
def handle_args(argc, argv):
    """ get command line arguments, set variables accordingly
    # TODO change to False for final deployment
    debug = True
    interactive = False
    # arguments should be either 2 files or the two files and some args
    # unless -i is specified in which case just the args
    if argc < 2:</pre>
       usage(argv[0], True)
       sys.exit(1)
    else:
        try:
            # using _ as a variable name is sort of the conventional way of saying
```

```
# "we don't need or use this variable but i'm assigning it because
            # the method or api or whatever i'm using requires it"
            _, args = getopt.getopt(argv, "hid", ["help", "interactive", "debug"])
        except getopt.GetoptError:
            # print usage info and quit
            usage(argv[0], True)
            sys.exit(1)
        # handle args
        for arg in args:
            if arg in ("-h", "--help"):
                usage(argv[0], False)
                sys.exit()
            elif arg in ("-i", "--interactive"):
                interactive = True
                args.remove(arg)
            elif arg in ("-d", "--debug"):
                debug = True
                args.remove(arg)
    return interactive, debug
if __name__ == "__main__":
   main(sys.argv)
```

Listing 7: Python main function to process arguments and run the ismorphism algorithm.

## 7.2 C++ Code

#### 7.2.1 Graph Class

```
#include <algorithm> //Replace, remove, find
#include <iostream>
#include "graph.h"
```

```
using std::remove;
using std::find;
using std::get;
using std::cout;
using std::map;
using std::unordered_set;
struct CompareFirst
  CompareFirst(int val) : val_(val) {}
 bool operator()(const std::pair<int,int>& elem) const {
   return val_ == elem.first;
 private:
   int val_;
};
// edges[i][j] = weight of edge connecting i and j
// -1 if no such edge
Graph::Graph () {
  this->vertices = vector<pair<int, int> >();
  this->edges = vector<vector<int> >();
  this->adjacencies = vector<unordered_set<int> >();
  this->vertex_indices = map<int, int>();
  this->vertex_vals = map<int, int>();
}
void Graph::add_vertex(pair<int, int> vertex) {
  if (this->get_index(vertex.first) < 0) {</pre>
    this->vertices.push_back(vertex);
    // add mappings
```

```
//vertex_indices[vertex.first] = vertices.size()-1;
    this->vertex_indices.insert(pair<int, int>(vertex.first, vertices.size()-1));
    //vertex_vals[vertices.size()-1] = vertex.first;
    this->vertex_vals.insert(pair<int, int>(vertices.size()-1, vertex.first));
    // add row with edges.size()+1 -1s
    this->edges.push_back(vector<int>(edges.size()+1,-1));
    // add a set for its neighbors
   this->adjacencies.push_back(unordered_set<int>());
  }
}
void Graph::add_edge(int source, int dest, int weight) {
  // WEIGHT SHOULD BE NON-NEGATIVE
  this->add_vertex(pair<int, int>(source, 0));
  this->add_vertex(pair<int, int>(dest, 0));
  int sourceind = this->get_index(source);
  int destind = this->get_index(dest);
  // increase vertex degree
  this->vertices[this->get_index(source)].second++;
  this->vertices[this->get_index(dest)].second++;
  // source -> dest
  if (sourceind < destind) {</pre>
    this->edges[destind][sourceind] = weight;
  }
```

```
else {
    this->edges[sourceind][destind] = weight;
  // TODO: get adjacency set of source and dest (or create them if not in graph)
  // add dest/source to that set
  // once again, consider directed graphs
  this->add_neighbor(source, dest);
  this->add_neighbor(dest, source);
}
bool Graph::has_edge(int vert1, int vert2){
  // just got this bad boy down to O(1)
  // you're welcome
 return (edges[vert1][vert2] >= 0);
}
int Graph::get_index(int value) {
  if (this->vertex_indices.find(value) != this->vertex_indices.end()) {
   return this->vertex_indices[value];
  }
 return -1;
}
int Graph::get_value(int index) {
  if (this->vertex_vals.find(index) != this->vertex_vals.end()) {
    return this->vertex_vals[index];
  }
 return -1;
}
```

```
unordered_set<int> Graph::neighbors(int vertex) {
 return this->adjacencies[this->get_index(vertex)];
}
void Graph::add_neighbor (int vert, int neighbor) {
  this->neighbors(vert).insert(this->vertices[this->get_index(neighbor)].first);
}
// basically obselete now
//void Graph::update_adjacencies() {
// for (size_t i = 0; i < edges.size(); i++){</pre>
    unordered_set<int> edge ({get<0>(edges[i]), get<1>(edges[i])});
// adjacencies.push_back(edge);
// }
//}
// void Graph::print_graph() {
// cout << "Begin graph" << std::endl;</pre>
    cout << "----\n";
    cout << "Vertices: " << vertices << std::endl;</pre>
    cout << "Edges: " << edges << std::endl;</pre>
// cout << "----\n";
// cout << "End graph" << std::endl;</pre>
// }
```

Listing 8: C++ graph class.

## 7.2.2 Subgraph Isomorphism Algorithm

```
#include <vector>
#include <utility>
#include <unordered_set>
```

```
#include <algorithm> //for std::find
#include "isomorphism.h"
using std::find;
vector <int> find_isomorphism (Graph &sub, Graph &graph) {
 vector < vector<bool> > possible_assignments = create_possible_assignments(sub, graph);
  vector <vector <bool> > > assignments_tree;
  // we need a way to keep track of which columns we've already assigned for each row
  // cols_used[i] == true if column i has already been used
  vector <bool> cols_used = vector<bool>(possible_assignments[0].size(), false);
  // keep track of which column was used at which depth
  // col_depth[i-1] == k iff column k was used at depth i
  vector <size_t> col_depth = vector<size_t> (possible_assignments.size(), 0);
  size_t depth = 1;
  if (search(sub, graph, assignments_tree, possible_assignments, cols_used, col_depth, dep-
   vector<vector<bool> > assignments = assignments_tree[depth-2];
   vector<int> isomorphism;
    for (size_t i = 0; i < assignments.size(); i++) {</pre>
      //fprintf(stderr, "Row %lu\n", i);
      for (size_t j = 0; j < assignments[i].size(); j++) {</pre>
        //fprintf(stderr, "%d\n", assignments[i][j] ? 1 : 0);
        if (assignments[i][j]) {
         isomorphism.push_back(j);
         // go to next row
          // no need to waste iterations
         break;
        }
```

```
}
   return isomorphism;
  }
 return vector<int>(0);
}
bool search (Graph &sub, Graph &graph,
     vector<vector<bool> > &assignments_tree,
     vector<vector<bool> > &possible_assignments,
     vector<bool> &cols_used,
     vector<size_t> &col_depth,
      size_t &depth) {
  // if refine fails, no possible isomorphism
  if (!refine_possible_assignments(sub, graph, possible_assignments)) {
   return false;
  }
  do {
    size_t numrows = possible_assignments.size(), numcols = possible_assignments[0].size()
    for (col = col_depth[depth-1]; col < numcols; col++) {</pre>
      // find first column we havent already used
      // col is index of vertex in search graph we're trying to assign
      // we start searching from col_depth[depth-1]+1 since
      // that's the col after the one we attempted previously and
      // we gotta find the next one
      if (possible_assignments[depth-1][col] && !cols_used[col]) {
        break;
```

```
}
}
if (col == numcols) {
  // we couldn't find a valid column.
  // if we're on depth 1, there's no level to go back up to
 // no possible isomorphism
  if (depth == 1) {
   return false;
  }
 // otherwise, go up to the previous depth and try to assign that
  // row to another column
 else {
   depth--;
   possible_assignments = assignments_tree[depth-1];
   return false;
  }
}
// we found a valid column
// set all other possible assignments in this col to 0
// if we're assigning this vertex to the subgraph vertex
// we can't have any other subgraph vertex also assigned to it
size_t i;
for (i = 0; i < numrows; i++) {</pre>
 if (i != depth-1) {
   possible_assignments[i][col] = false;
 }
}
// set all other 1s in this row to 0
```

```
// we can only assign each subgraph vertex to a single column
for (i = 0; i < numcols; i++) {</pre>
 if (i != col) {
   possible_assignments[depth-1][i] = false;
 }
}
// now go to the next row and repeat
// we used this column
cols_used[col] = true;
// and we used it at this depth
col_depth[depth-1] = col;
// save a copy of possible assignments
assignments_tree.push_back(possible_assignments);
// increment depth
depth++;
if (depth == possible_assignments.size()) {
 // we've assigned every row to a column
 // isomorphism found
 return true;
}
// if we get all the way to the bottom by doing this repeatedly,
// we'll have found an isomorphism
if (search(sub, graph, assignments_tree, possible_assignments, cols_used, col_depth, do
 return true;
}
else {
 // that didn't work. find a new column.
 cols_used[col] = false;
}
```

```
// this is fine since we only get here if we need to find a new column
  } while (true);
}
vector < vector<bool> > create_possible_assignments(Graph &sub, Graph &graph) {
  // possible_assignments[i][j] == true iff a possible assignment exists from i in sub
  // to j in search graph
  vector < vector<bool> > possible_assignments (sub.vertices.size(),
    vector<bool>(graph.vertices.size(), false));
  // at first, every vertex in search graph with rank >= i is a possible assignment
  // this will be refined later
  // see refine_possible_assignments
  size_t i, j;
  size_t s_n = sub.vertices.size();
  size_t g_n = graph.vertices.size();
  for (i = 0; i < s_n; i++) {</pre>
    for (j = 0; j < g_n; j++) {
      if (graph.vertices[j].second >= sub.vertices[i].second) {
        possible_assignments[i][j] = true;
      }
  }
  return possible_assignments;
}
bool refine_possible_assignments(Graph &sub, Graph &graph, vector < vector <bool> > &possible_assignments
  size_t i, j;
  size_t pa_n = possible_assignments.size();
  size_t pb_n = possible_assignments[0].size();
  bool changes_made = true;
```

```
while (changes_made) {
  changes_made = false;
  for (i = 0; i < pa_n; i++) {</pre>
   // check if this row contains no 1s
   bool no_one = true;
    for (j = 0; j < pb_n; j++) {</pre>
      if (possible_assignments[i][j]) {
       no_one = false;
       // check if all of i's neighbors have a possible assignment to a neighbor of j
        // iterate through all neighbors of i
        unordered_set<int> neighbors_i = sub.neighbors(sub.get_value(i));
        unordered_set<int>::iterator n_i = neighbors_i.begin();
        for (; n_i != neighbors_i.end(); n_i++) {
          // for each neighbor of i, iterate through all its possible assignments
          size_t k;
          bool has_corresponding_neighbor = false;
          for (k = 0; k < pb_n; k++) {
            if (possible_assignments[sub.get_index(*(n_i))][k]) {
              // for each possible assignment from i's neighbor to graph, check if it is
              if (graph.has_edge(graph.get_value(j), graph.get_value(k))) {
                // if so, then check the next neighbor
                has_corresponding_neighbor = true;
                break;
             }
            }
          }
          // if this neighbor has no corresponding neighbor, then j is an invalid match.
          // move on to the next possible assignment
          if (!has_corresponding_neighbor) {
```

```
possible_assignments[i][j] = 0;
              changes_made = true;
              break;
        }
      }
     // we never found a 1 in this row, so there's no point in continuing
     // there's at least one vertex in subgraph that cannot be mapped to any
     // vertex in the search graph, so we know that
      // possible_assignments cannot specify any isomorphism
     if (no_one) {
       return false;
      }
   }
 }
 // M was successfully refined without creating any rows with all 0s. return true.
 return true;
}
```

Listing 9: C++ algorithm to find isomorphism.

#### 7.2.3 Main

```
// use stderr to print debug info since stderr is unbuffered
// i.e. anything sent to stderr will be printed immediately
// instead of put into a buffer and printed when the buffer
// gets flushed
#include <cstdio>
#include <cstdlib>
#include <cstring>
```

```
#include <iostream> //debugging
#include <vector>
#include <tuple>
#include <getopt.h>
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <sys/stat.h>
#include <unistd.h>
#include <fcntl.h>
#include <errno.h>
#include "graph.h"
#include "isomorphism.h"
#include <unordered_set>
#include <cstring>
using std::string;
const char* program_name;
vector<string> handle_args(int argc, char **argv);
void usage (FILE* stream, int exit_code);
Graph import_data(const char *filename, const int debug);
int main(int argc, char **argv) {
 program_name = argv[0];
  vector<string> args = handle_args(argc, argv);
  int interactive = atoi(args[0].c_str());
  int debug = atoi(args[1].c_str());
  char filename_default[] = "stdin";
```

```
const char *graph_filename = (!interactive) ? args[2].c_str()
                                             : filename_default;
const char *sub_filename = (!interactive) ? args[3].c_str()
                                             : filename_default;
if (debug) {
  fprintf(stderr, "inter = %d, debug = %d\n", interactive, debug);
  fprintf(stderr, "Graph file %s, subgraph file %s\n", graph_filename, sub_filename);
}
Graph graph = import_data(graph_filename, debug);
if (debug) {
  fprintf(stderr, "Successfully imported graph from file %s.\n",
      graph_filename);
}
Graph subgraph = import_data(sub_filename, debug);
if (debug) {
  fprintf(stderr, "Successfully imported subgraph from file %s.\n",
      sub_filename);
}
if (debug) {
  fprintf(stderr, "Running find_isomorphism....\n");
}
vector<int> isomorphism = find_isomorphism(subgraph, graph);
if (debug) {
```

```
fprintf(stderr, "find_isomorphism terminated.\n");
  }
  if (isomorphism.size() == 0) {
   printf("No isomorphism found.\n");
  }
  else{
    printf("Isomorphism found! Assignments are:\n");
    size_t num_assignments = isomorphism.size();
    for (size_t i = 0; i < num_assignments; i++) {</pre>
      int subgraph_vert = subgraph.get_value(i);
      int graph_vert = graph.get_value(isomorphism[i]);
      printf("Vertex %d maps to vertex %d\n", subgraph_vert, graph_vert);
    }
  }
  return 0;
}
Graph import_data(const char *filename, const int debug) {
 FILE *fd;
  if (strcmp(filename, "stdin") == 0) {
    fd = stdin;
  }
  else {
    fd = fopen(filename, "r");
    if (fd == NULL) {
      fprintf(stderr, "Could not open file %s for reading.\n", filename);
      perror("Error calling fopen()");
```

```
exit (errno);
  }
}
char *line = NULL;
size_t n = 0;
Graph g;
if (debug) {
  fprintf(stderr, "Reading file %s...\n", filename);
}
char *tempa, *tempb, *tempweight;
char tempweight_default[] = "0";
ssize_t bytes_read;
// getline returns -1 on failure to read a line (including EOF)
bytes_read = getline(&line, &n, fd);
while (bytes_read != -1) {
  tempa = strtok(line, " ");
  // strtok returns NULL if the token (space in this case) was not found in the str
  // otherwise return the string up to the token (exclusive)
  if (tempa == NULL) {
    fprintf(stderr, "Error parsing file %s. Adjacency list must be of form 'node1 node2
        but line was %s\n", filename, line);
   exit(-1);
  // if arg is null after a call to strtok, it'll keep reading after the position of the
  tempb = strtok(NULL, " ");
  if (tempb == NULL) {
    fprintf(stderr, "Error parsing file %s. Adjacency list must be of form 'nodel node2
```

```
but line was %s\n", filename, line);
   exit(-1);
  }
  tempweight = strtok(NULL, " ");
 if (tempweight == NULL) {
   tempweight = tempweight_default;
 int atempa = atoi(tempa);
  int atempb = atoi(tempb);
  int atempweight = atoi(tempweight);
 //printf("Edge is %d %d %d\n", atempa, atempb, atempweight);
 // this will also add the vertices if they don't exist
 // add_vertex is called from within add_edge if no vertex with the given value exists
 g.add_edge(atempa, atempb, atempweight);
 bytes_read = getline(&line, &n, fd);
}
if (fd != stdin) {
 int stat = fclose(fd);
 if (stat != 0) {
   perror("Error closing file");
   // we never wrote to the file so we probably don't even need to check for errors in
   // but just kill the program if there's an error closing it
   exit (errno);
 }
}
// don't free line since it's null now
```

```
return g;
}
vector<string> handle_args(int argc, char **argv) {
  // for getopt
  const char* const short_options = "hid";
  const struct option long_options[] = {
    { "help", 0, NULL, 'h' },
    { "interactive", 0, NULL, 'i'},
    { "debug", 0, NULL, 'd'},
    { NULL, 0, NULL, 0 }
  } ;
  vector<string> returnargs;
  returnargs.resize(4);
  int interactive = 0;
  // TODO change to 0 for final deployment
  int debug = 1;
  if (argc < 2) {</pre>
   usage(stderr, 1);
  int next_option;
  do {
    next_option = getopt_long(argc, argv, short_options,
                              long_options, NULL);
    switch(next_option) {
      case 'h':
        usage(stdout, 0);
        // calling usage quits the program anyway but whatever
        // we'll put a break here anyway
```

```
break;
    case 'i':
      interactive = 1;
     break;
   case 'd':
     debug = 1;
     break;
   case '?': // invalid option
     usage(stderr, 1);
     break;
   case -1: // no more options
     break;
   default: // something went very wrong if we got here
      abort();
  }
}
while (next_option != -1);
// now that we've gone through all the options, OPIND points to first
// nonoption argument. which is hopefully the first filename. unless
// interactive was specified, in which case yell at the user.
if (!interactive) {
 returnargs[2] = string(argv[optind]);
 returnargs[3] = string(argv[optind+1]);
}
```

```
if (debug) {
    fprintf(stderr, "Interactive set to %d. Debug is %d.\n", interactive, debug);
   if (!interactive) {
      fprintf(stderr, "Using file %s for graph and %s for subgraph.\n", argv[optind], argv[optind]
    }
  }
  // put what we want to return in their places.
  returnargs[0] = std::to_string(interactive);
  returnargs[1] = std::to_string(debug);
  return returnargs;
}
void usage (FILE* stream, int exit_code) {
  fprintf(stream, "usage: %s [FILE] [OPTION] \n", program_name);
  fprintf(stream,
    "Options and arguments: \n"
    "FILE:
                         Space-separated file containing graph adjacency list. If edge weight
    "-h, --help:
                       Print this help message and exit.\n"
    "-i, --interactive: Get space-separated graph adjacency list from stdin.\n"
    "-d, --debug:
                    Print debugging information.\n");
  exit(exit_code);
}
```

Listing 10: C++ main function to process arguments and run the ismorphism algorithm.

## 7.3 OpenMP Code

**Note:** Since the OpenMP version of our algorithm uses the same graph class and main.cpp, we have omitted them from this section.

## 7.3.1 Subgraph Isomorphism Algorithm

```
#include <vector>
#include <utility>
#include <unordered_set>
#include <algorithm> //for std::find
#include "isomorphism.h"
#include "omp.h"
int THREADS = omp_get_max_threads();
using std::find;
vector <int> find_isomorphism (Graph &sub, Graph &graph) {
 vector < vector<bool> > possible_assignments = create_possible_assignments(sub, graph);
 vector <vector <bool> > > assignments_tree;
  // we need a way to keep track of which columns we've already assigned for each row
  // cols_used[i] == true if column i has already been used
  vector <bool> cols_used = vector<bool>(possible_assignments[0].size(), false);
  // keep track of which column was used at which depth
  // col_depth[i-1] == k iff column k was used at depth i
  vector <size_t> col_depth = vector<size_t> (possible_assignments.size(), 0);
  size_t depth = 1;
  if (search(sub, graph, assignments_tree, possible_assignments, cols_used, col_depth, dep
   vector<vector<bool> > assignments = assignments_tree[depth-2];
   vector<int> isomorphism;
    for (size_t i = 0; i < assignments.size(); i++) {</pre>
     //fprintf(stderr, "Row %lu\n", i);
      for (size_t j = 0; j < assignments[i].size(); j++) {</pre>
```

```
//fprintf(stderr, "%d\n", assignments[i][j] ? 1 : 0);
        if (assignments[i][j]) {
          isomorphism.push_back(j);
          // go to next row
          // no need to waste iterations
          break;
       }
      }
   return isomorphism;
  }
 return vector<int>(0);
}
bool search (Graph &sub, Graph &graph,
      vector<vector<bool> > > &assignments_tree,
      vector<vector<bool> > &possible_assignments,
      vector<bool> &cols_used,
      vector<size_t> &col_depth,
      size_t &depth) {
  // if refine fails, no possible isomorphism
  if (!refine_possible_assignments(sub, graph, possible_assignments)) {
    return false;
  }
  do {
    size_t numrows = possible_assignments.size(), numcols = possible_assignments[0].size()
    for (col = col_depth[depth-1]; col < numcols; col++) {</pre>
```

```
// find first column we havent already used
  // col is index of vertex in search graph we're trying to assign
  // we start searching from col_depth[depth-1]+1 since
  // that's the col after the one we attempted previously and
  // we gotta find the next one
  if (possible_assignments[depth-1][col] && !cols_used[col]) {
   break;
  }
}
if (col == numcols) {
  // we couldn't find a valid column.
  // if we're on depth 1, there's no level to go back up to
  // no possible isomorphism
  if (depth == 1) {
  return false;
  }
  // otherwise, go up to the previous depth and try to assign that
  // row to another column
 else {
    depth--;
   possible_assignments = assignments_tree[depth-1];
   return false;
 }
}
// we found a valid column
// set all other possible assignments in this col to 0
// if we're assigning this vertex to the subgraph vertex
// we can't have any other subgraph vertex also assigned to it
size_t i;
```

```
for (i = 0; i < numrows; i++) {</pre>
 if (i != depth-1) {
   possible_assignments[i][col] = false;
  }
}
// set all other 1s in this row to 0
// we can only assign each subgraph vertex to a single column
for (i = 0; i < numcols; i++) {</pre>
 if (i != col) {
   possible_assignments[depth-1][i] = false;
 }
}
// now go to the next row and repeat
// we used this column
cols_used[col] = true;
// and we used it at this depth
col_depth[depth-1] = col;
// save a copy of possible assignments
assignments_tree.push_back(possible_assignments);
// increment depth
depth++;
if (depth == possible_assignments.size()) {
 // we've assigned every row to a column
 // isomorphism found
 return true;
}
// if we get all the way to the bottom by doing this repeatedly,
// we'll have found an isomorphism
```

```
if (search(sub, graph, assignments_tree, possible_assignments, cols_used, col_depth, do
     return true;
    }
    else {
     // that didn't work. find a new column.
     cols_used[col] = false;
    // this is fine since we only get here if we need to find a new column
  } while (true);
}
vector < vector<bool> > create_possible_assignments(Graph &sub, Graph &graph) {
  // possible_assignments[i][j] == true iff a possible assignment exists from i in sub
  // to j in search graph
  vector < vector<bool> > possible_assignments (sub.vertices.size(),
   vector<bool>(graph.vertices.size(), false));
  // at first, every vertex in search graph with rank >= i is a possible assignment
  // this will be refined later
  // see refine_possible_assignments
  size_t i, j;
  size_t s_n = sub.vertices.size();
  size_t g_n = graph.vertices.size();
  for (i = 0; i < s_n; i++) {</pre>
   for (j = 0; j < g_n; j++) {
      if (graph.vertices[j].second >= sub.vertices[i].second) {
        possible_assignments[i][j] = true;
      }
  }
  return possible_assignments;
```

```
}
bool refine_possible_assignments(Graph &sub, Graph &graph, vector < vector<bool> > &possib.
  size_t i, j;
  size_t pa_n = possible_assignments.size();
  size_t pb_n = possible_assignments[0].size();
 bool changes_made = true;
  while (changes_made) {
    changes_made = false;
   bool no_one = true;
   for (i = 0; i < pa_n; i++) {</pre>
      // check if this row contains no 1s
     no_one = true;
    #pragma omp parallel num_threads(THREADS)
      for (j = 0; j < pb_n; j++) {
        if (possible_assignments[i][j]) {
          no_one = false;
          // check if all of i's neighbors have a possible assignment to a neighbor of j
          // iterate through all neighbors of i
          unordered_set<int> neighbors_i = sub.neighbors(sub.get_value(i));
          unordered_set<int>::iterator n_i = neighbors_i.begin();
          for (; n_i != neighbors_i.end(); n_i++) {
            // for each neighbor of i, iterate through all its possible assignments
            size_t k;
            bool has_corresponding_neighbor = false;
            for (k = 0; k < pb_n; k++) {
              if (possible_assignments[sub.get_index(*(n_i))][k]) {
                // for each possible assignment from i's neighbor to graph, check if it is
                if (graph.has_edge(graph.get_value(j), graph.get_value(k))) {
                  // if so, then check the next neighbor
```

```
has_corresponding_neighbor = true;
                  break;
              }
            }
            // if this neighbor has no corresponding neighbor, then j is an invalid match.
            // move on to the next possible assignment
            if (!has_corresponding_neighbor) {
              possible_assignments[i][j] = 0;
              changes_made = true;
              break;
      }
     // we never found a 1 in this row, so there's no point in continuing
     // there's at least one vertex in subgraph that cannot be mapped to any
      // vertex in the search graph, so we know that
      // possible_assignments cannot specify any isomorphism
      if (no_one) {
       return false;
      }
   }
 }
 // M was successfully refined without creating any rows with all Os. return true.
 return true;
}
```

Listing 11: C++ algorithm to find isomorphism, with parallelisms through OpenMP.

## 7.4 Code Used to Check Performance of C++ code

```
#include <cstdio>
#include <cstdlib>
#include <cstring>
#include <getopt.h>
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <sys/stat.h>
#include <unistd.h>
#include <fcntl.h>
#include <errno.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <unistd.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <sys/ioctl.h>
#include <linux/perf_event.h>
#include <asm/unistd.h>
#include <cstring>
#include <vector>
#include <string>
#include "isomorphism.h"
const char* program_name;
using std::string;
using std::vector;
void set_up_graphs(int argc, char **argv, Graph &sub, Graph &graph);
vector<string> handle_args(int argc, char **argv);
void usage (FILE* stream, int exit_code);
Graph import_data(const char *filename);
```

```
static long perf_event_open(struct perf_event_attr *hw_event, pid_t pid,
    int cpu, int group_fd, unsigned long flags) {
 int ret;
  ret = syscall(__NR_perf_event_open, hw_event, pid, cpu,
      group_fd, flags);
  return ret;
}
int main(int argc, char **argv) {
  struct perf_event_attr pe;
 long long count;
 int fd;
  memset(&pe, 0, sizeof(struct perf_event_attr));
 pe.type = PERF_TYPE_HARDWARE;
  pe.size = sizeof(struct perf_event_attr);
  pe.config = PERF_COUNT_HW_CPU_CYCLES;
  pe.disabled = 1;
 pe.exclude_kernel = 1;
 pe.exclude_hv = 1;
  fd = perf_event_open(\&pe, 0, -1, -1, 0);
  if (fd == -1) {
   fprintf(stderr, "Error opening leader %llx\n", pe.config);
   exit(EXIT_FAILURE);
  }
  Graph subgraph, graph;
```

```
set_up_graphs(argc, argv, subgraph, graph);
  ioctl(fd, PERF_EVENT_IOC_RESET, 0);
  ioctl(fd, PERF_EVENT_IOC_ENABLE, 0);
  vector<int> isomorphism = find_isomorphism(subgraph, graph);
  ioctl(fd, PERF_EVENT_IOC_DISABLE, 0);
  read(fd, &count, sizeof(long long));
  if (isomorphism.size() == 0) {
   printf("No isomorphism found.\n");
  }
  else {
   printf("Isomorphism found! Assignments omitted, since we're just checking"
        " performance.\n");
  }
 printf("Searching for isomorphism took %lld CPU cycles\n", count);
  close(fd);
}
void set_up_graphs(int argc, char **argv, Graph &sub, Graph &graph) {
 program_name = argv[0];
 vector<string> args = handle_args(argc, argv);
  int interactive = atoi(args[0].c_str());
```

```
char filename_default[] = "stdin";
  const char *graph_filename = (!interactive) ? args[2].c_str()
                                              : filename_default;
  const char *sub_filename = (!interactive) ? args[3].c_str()
                                               : filename_default;
  graph = import_data(graph_filename);
  sub = import_data(sub_filename);
 return;
}
vector<string> handle_args(int argc, char **argv) {
 // for getopt
  const char* const short_options = "hid";
  const struct option long_options[] = {
    { "help", 0, NULL, 'h' },
    { "interactive", 0, NULL, 'i'},
    { "debug", 0, NULL, 'd'},
   { NULL, 0, NULL, 0 }
  };
  vector<string> returnargs;
  returnargs.resize(4);
  int interactive = 0;
  int debug = 0;
  if (argc < 2) {</pre>
    usage(stderr, 1);
```

```
}
int next_option;
do {
  next_option = getopt_long(argc, argv, short_options,
                            long_options, NULL);
  switch(next_option) {
   case 'h':
      usage(stdout, 0);
      // calling usage quits the program anyway but whatever
      // we'll put a break here anyway
      break;
   case 'i':
      interactive = 1;
     break;
   case 'd':
     debug = 1;
      break;
    case '?': // invalid option
     usage(stderr, 1);
      break;
    case -1: // no more options
     break;
   default: // something went very wrong if we got here
      abort();
  }
}
```

```
while (next_option != -1);
  // now that we've gone through all the options, OPIND points to first
  // nonoption argument. which is hopefully the first filename. unless
  // interactive was specified, in which case yell at the user.
  if (!interactive) {
   returnargs[2] = string(argv[optind]);
   returnargs[3] = string(argv[optind+1]);
  }
 if (debug) {
    fprintf(stderr, "Interactive set to %d. Debug is %d.\n", interactive, debug);
   if (!interactive) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Using file %s for graph and %s for subgraph.\n", argv[optind], argv[optind]
    }
  }
  // put what we want to return in their places.
  returnargs[0] = std::to_string(interactive);
  returnargs[1] = std::to_string(debug);
 return returnargs;
}
void usage (FILE* stream, int exit_code) {
  fprintf(stream, "usage: %s [FILE] [OPTION]\n", program_name);
  fprintf(stream,
    "Options and arguments: \n"
                        Space-separated file containing graph adjacency list. If edge weigh
    "FILE:
    "-h, --help: Print this help message and exit.\n"
```

```
"-i, --interactive: Get space-separated graph adjacency list from stdin.\n"
    "-d, --debug:
                   Print debugging information.\n");
  exit(exit_code);
}
Graph import_data(const char *filename) {
  FILE *fd;
  if (strcmp(filename, "stdin") == 0) {
   fd = stdin;
  }
  else {
    fd = fopen(filename, "r");
   if (fd == NULL) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Could not open file %s for reading.\n", filename);
     perror("Error calling fopen()");
     exit (errno);
  }
  char *line = NULL;
  size_t n = 0;
  Graph g;
  char *tempa, *tempb, *tempweight;
  char tempweight_default[] = "0";
  ssize_t bytes_read;
  // getline returns -1 on failure to read a line (including EOF)
  bytes_read = getline(&line, &n, fd);
```

```
while (bytes_read != -1) {
  tempa = strtok(line, " ");
  // strtok returns NULL if the token (space in this case) was not found in the str
  // otherwise return the string up to the token (exclusive)
 if (tempa == NULL) {
   fprintf(stderr, "Error parsing file %s. Adjacency list must be of form 'node1 node2
       but line was %s\n", filename, line);
   exit(-1);
  // if arg is null after a call to strtok, it'll keep reading after the position of the
  tempb = strtok(NULL, " ");
  if (tempb == NULL) {
    fprintf(stderr, "Error parsing file %s. Adjacency list must be of form 'nodel node2
        but line was %s\n", filename, line);
   exit(-1);
  }
  tempweight = strtok(NULL, " ");
  if (tempweight == NULL) {
   tempweight = tempweight_default;
  int atempa = atoi(tempa);
  int atempb = atoi(tempb);
  int atempweight = atoi(tempweight);
  //printf("Edge is %d %d %d\n", atempa, atempb, atempweight);
  // this will also add the vertices if they don't exist
  // add_vertex is called from within add_edge if no vertex with the given value exists
  g.add_edge(atempa, atempb, atempweight);
  bytes_read = getline(&line, &n, fd);
```

Listing 12: Code used to check performance of C++ code

## References

- [1] Bonnici et al. "An Algorithm for Subgraph Isomorphism". In: *BMC Bioinformatics* 14(Suppl 7).13 (Apr. 2013). DOI: 10.1186/1471-2105-14-S7-S13.
- [2] Vaughn Cato. How to partially compare two graphs. Ed. by Spiros Eliopoulos. Nov. 24, 2012. URL: https://stackoverflow.com/a/13537776 (visited on 11/26/2017).
- [3] Luigi P. Cordella et al. "A (Sub)Graph Isomorphism Algorithm for Matching Large Graphs". In: *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis & Machine Intelligence* 26.10 (Oct. 2004). DOI: 10.1109/TPAMI. 2004.75.
- [4] J. McAuley and J. Leskovec. "Learning to Discover Social Circles in Ego Networks". In: NIPS (2012).

  URL: https://snap.stanford.edu/data/egonets-Facebook.html (visited on 11/26/2017).
- [5] TimeComplexity. URL: https://wiki.python.org/moin/TimeComplexity (visited on 11/26/2017).

[6] Julian R. Ullmann. "An Algorithm for Subgraph Isomorphism". In: Journal of the ACM 23.1 (Jan. 1976), pp. 31–42. DOI: 10.1145/321921.321925.