

CS536 Science of Programming - Assignment 1

name: Batkhishig Dulamsurankhor

CWID: A20543498

- HW 1
- name: Batkhishig Dulamsurankhor
CWID: A20543498
- (1) (a) no, because semantic equality doesn't always have to imply syntactic equality. In other words, two expressions can have the same value, but the syntax can be different.
- (b) yes, syntactical equality is always have the same syntactically equal expressions will always have the same value (meaning). If two expressions are not syntactically equal, it will always be syntactically different.
- (2) (a) If you wash the boss's car, then you might be promoted.
- (b) If there are winds from south, then it's (means run (spring that))
- (c) If Willy (cheats), then he will get caught.
- (d) If you begin your climb early, then you will reach the summit.
- (e) If you don't pay a subscription fee, then you can't access (other possib.)
- (3) $p \wedge \neg (q \vee r) \Rightarrow q \vee r (\Rightarrow \neg p \wedge \neg q))$

(8)

$$p \wedge \neg(q \vee r) \rightarrow q \vee r \rightarrow \neg p$$

p	q	r	$\neg p$	$q \vee r$	$\neg(q \vee r)$	$p \wedge \neg(q \vee r)$	$q \vee r \rightarrow \neg p$	$p \wedge \neg(q \vee r) \rightarrow q \vee r \rightarrow \neg p$
F	F	F	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	F	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	F	F	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	F	F	F	T
T	T	F	F	T	F	F	F	T
T	T	T	F	F	T	F	F	T

This tautology, because the truth table
is always true.

- (4) (a) $p \wedge \neg r \vee s \rightarrow \neg q \wedge r \rightarrow \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg s \rightarrow t$
- $p \wedge (\neg r) \vee s \rightarrow (\neg q) \wedge r \rightarrow (\neg p) \leftrightarrow (\neg s) \rightarrow t$
- $((p \wedge (\neg r)) \vee s) \rightarrow ((\neg q) \wedge r) \rightarrow (\neg p) \leftrightarrow ((\neg s) \rightarrow t)$
- $((p \wedge (\neg r)) \vee s) \rightarrow ((\neg q) \wedge r) \rightarrow (\neg p) \leftrightarrow ((\neg s) \rightarrow t)$
- $(((p \wedge (\neg r)) \vee s) \rightarrow ((\neg q) \wedge r) \rightarrow (\neg p)) \leftrightarrow ((\neg s) \rightarrow t)$
- $(((p \wedge (\neg r)) \vee s) \rightarrow ((\neg q) \wedge r) \rightarrow (\neg p)) \leftrightarrow ((\neg s) \rightarrow t)$
- (b) $\forall m. 0 < m < n \rightarrow \exists 0 \leq j < m. b[0] \leq b[j] \wedge b[j] \leq b[m]$
- $(\forall m. ((0 < m < n) \rightarrow (\exists 0 \leq j < m. (b[0] \leq b[j]) \wedge (b[j] \leq b[m]))))$

(5) (a) $\neg p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r \Leftrightarrow (p \vee r)$
 $\neg p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r \Leftrightarrow q \rightarrow (p \vee r)$.

$\neg p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r \Leftrightarrow \neg p \rightarrow (\neg q \vee r)$ Definition of \rightarrow

$\Leftrightarrow \neg \neg p \vee (\neg q \vee r)$ Definition of \neg

$\Leftrightarrow p \vee (\neg q \vee r)$ Double negation

$\Leftrightarrow (p \vee \neg q) \vee r$ Associativity of \vee

$\Leftrightarrow (\neg q \vee p) \vee r$ Commutativity of \vee

$\Leftrightarrow \neg q \vee (p \vee r)$ Associativity of \vee

$\Leftrightarrow q \rightarrow (p \vee r)$ Definition of \rightarrow

(b) $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg p \rightarrow r) \Leftrightarrow p \rightarrow q \wedge r$

$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg p \rightarrow r)$

$\Leftrightarrow (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg \neg p \vee r)$ Definition of \rightarrow

$\Leftrightarrow (q \vee \neg p) \wedge (r \vee \neg p)$ Commutativity of \vee

$\Leftrightarrow (q \wedge r) \vee \neg p$ Reverse Distributivity of \vee

$\Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee (q \wedge r)$ Commutativity of \vee

$\Leftrightarrow p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$ Definition of \rightarrow

$\Leftrightarrow p \rightarrow q \vee r$ removed parenthesis

(6)

(a) The sum of two negative integers will always be negative.

$$r < p < q$$

~~Big~~

$$\leftarrow \forall x. x < 0 \rightarrow \forall y. y < 0 \rightarrow (x+y) < 0 \Leftrightarrow q \vdash r \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\leftarrow \forall x < 0. \forall y < 0. (x+y) < 0 \vee p \vdash r \vee q \vdash r \Leftrightarrow$$

(b) For each positive integer, there doesn't exist any negative integer that has the same value as it.

$$\leftarrow \forall x. x > 0 \rightarrow \exists y. y < 0 \wedge x = y \quad (q \vee p) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\leftarrow \forall x > 0. \neg \exists y < 0. x = y. \quad (q \vee p) \vee p \vdash \Leftrightarrow$$

(c) For any two integers, there is always another integer equals to their (the first two integers') product.

$$r \wedge p < q \Leftrightarrow (r < q) \wedge (p < q) \quad (d)$$

$$\leftarrow \forall x. \forall y. \exists z. x * y = z \quad (r < q) \wedge (p < q)$$

$$\leftarrow \text{to non-inidc} \quad (r \vee p) \wedge (q \vee q) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\leftarrow \text{to non-inidc} \quad (q - v \wedge r) \wedge (q - v \wedge p) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\leftarrow \text{to non-inidc} \quad q - v \wedge (r \wedge p) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\leftarrow \text{to non-inidc} \quad (r \wedge p) \vee q \Leftrightarrow$$

$$(F) (a) \exists x. x < 0 \rightarrow \forall y. y < 0 \rightarrow (x+y) < 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x. \neg(x < 0 \rightarrow \forall y. y < 0 \rightarrow (x+y) < 0)$$

DeMorgan's law
in predicate logic

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x. x < 0 \vee \forall y. y < 0 \rightarrow (x+y) < 0$$

Negation of implication

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x. x < 0 \wedge \exists y. \neg(y < 0 \rightarrow (x+y) < 0)$$

DeMorgan's law
in predicate logic

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x. x < 0 \wedge \exists y. y < 0 \wedge \neg((x+y) < 0)$$

Negation of implication

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x. x < 0 \wedge \exists y. y < 0 \wedge x+y \geq 0$$

$$(b) \exists x. x > 0 \rightarrow \neg \exists y. y < 0 \wedge x = y$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x. \neg(x > 0 \rightarrow \neg \exists y. y < 0 \wedge x = y)$$

DeMorgan's law
in predicate logic

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x. x > 0 \wedge \neg(\neg \exists y. y < 0 \wedge x = y)$$

Negation of implication

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x. x > 0 \wedge \exists y. y < 0 \wedge x = y$$

Double negation

$$(c) \neg(\exists x. \forall y. \exists z. x * y = z)$$

$$\exists x. \neg(\forall y. \exists z. x * y = z)$$

DeMorgan's law
in predicate logic

$$\exists x. \forall y. \neg(\exists z. x * y = z)$$

DeMorgan's law
in predicate logic

$$\exists x. \forall y. \forall z. \neg(x * y = z)$$

DeMorgan's law
in predicate logic

$$\exists x. \forall y. \forall z. x * y \neq z$$

DeMorgan's law
in predicate logic

$$\textcircled{8} \quad (a) \quad \sigma = \{p = T\}, \quad e = (r \rightarrow p) \wedge (\neg r \rightarrow p)$$

proper? \therefore it is sound (theorem)

$$\vdash (r \rightarrow p) \wedge (\neg r \rightarrow p) \quad \text{S.C.} = d, \bar{e} = x, f = y \quad (b)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\neg r \vee p) \wedge (\neg \neg r \vee p) \quad \text{Definition of } \rightarrow$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\neg r \vee p) \wedge (r \vee p) \quad \text{Double negation}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\neg r \wedge r) \vee p \quad \text{Distributivity of } \vee$$

$$\Leftrightarrow F \vee p \quad \neg = E = \text{contradiction}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow p \quad \text{N.T. (True)} \quad \# \text{Identity}$$

$$\therefore \sigma(e) = \sigma(p) = T \quad \forall x, T = q = \sigma \quad (c)$$

$\sigma \models e$. (satisfies).

$$(b) \quad \tau = \{p = F, q = T\}, \quad \sigma = \tau \cup \{r = F\}, \quad e = p \wedge q,$$

proper? \therefore $R = \{p \leftrightarrow q\} =$

$$\sigma(e) = (\sigma(p \wedge q)) \quad (d) \therefore \leftrightarrow T =$$

$$= \sigma(p) \wedge \sigma(q) \quad \therefore \leftrightarrow T =$$

$$= F \wedge T \quad (e) \therefore \leftrightarrow T =$$

$$= F$$

(counterexample) $\therefore \sigma \not\models e$ (doesn't satisfy).

$$(8) \quad (c) \quad \mathcal{G} = \{b = 5, i = 0, x = 6\}, \quad e \equiv x > b[i] \quad (a)$$

improper, b has to be an array

$$(d) \quad \mathcal{G} = \{x = 5, b = (5, 8)\}, \quad e \equiv x + 1 = b[0]$$

proper

$$(q \vee \top \wedge \perp) \wedge (q \vee \perp) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\text{Now } \mathcal{G}(e) = (\mathcal{G}(x + 1 = b[0]))$$

$$= (\mathcal{G}(x + 1) = \mathcal{G}(b[0]))$$

$$\text{to min } \mathcal{G}(x) + \mathcal{G}(1) = \mathcal{G}(b)(\mathcal{G}(0))$$

$$= (5 + 1 = \mathcal{G}(b)(0))$$

$$\text{not satis} \mathcal{G}(6 = 5) = F$$

$$q \vee \perp \Leftrightarrow$$

~~but~~ $\mathcal{G} \models e$ (doesn't satisfy)

$$(e) \quad \mathcal{G} = \{p = T, b = \alpha\}, \text{ where } \alpha = (2, 0, 4), \quad e \equiv p \leftrightarrow b[b[1]] = 2$$

proper.

$$\begin{aligned} q \vee \perp \in \mathcal{G}(e) &= (\mathcal{G}(p \leftrightarrow b[b[1]] = 2)) \\ &= (\mathcal{G}(p) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{G}(b[b[1]] = 2)) \\ &= (T \leftrightarrow \mathcal{G}(b[b[1]]) = \mathcal{G}(2)) \\ &= (T \leftrightarrow \mathcal{G}(b)(\mathcal{G}(b)(\mathcal{G}(1))) = 2) \\ &= (T \leftrightarrow \mathcal{G}(b)(\mathcal{G}(b)(\alpha(1))) = 2) \\ &= (T \leftrightarrow \mathcal{G}(b)(\alpha(0)) = 2) \\ &= (T \leftrightarrow \alpha(0) = 2) \\ &= (T \leftrightarrow 2 = 2) \\ &= (T \leftrightarrow T) = T \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{G} \models e$ (satisfies)

~~(but it's not good)~~

$$q \rightarrow \neg r \vee p \leftarrow (\neg r \vee p) \wedge q$$

Ex

Ex

$$q \rightarrow \neg r \vee p \leftarrow (\neg r \vee p) \wedge q$$

$$(g) \quad G \models p \rightarrow q \leftrightarrow r.$$

p	q	r	$q \rightarrow r$	$p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r$
F	F	F	T	F
F	F	T	F	T
F	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	F
T	F	F	T	T
T	F	T	F	T
T	T	F	F	T
T	T	T	T	T
I	T	T	T	T

$$G = \{p=F, q=F, r=T\} \quad p \rightarrow \neg r \vee p \leftarrow (\neg r \vee p) \wedge p$$

either one of them is T.

$$G = \{p=F, q=T, r=F\} \quad p \rightarrow \neg r \vee p \leftarrow (\neg r \vee p) \wedge p$$

either one of them is T.

$$G = \{p=T, q=F, r=F\} \quad p \rightarrow \neg r \vee p \leftarrow (\neg r \vee p) \wedge p$$

either one of them is T.

$$G = \{p=T, q=T, r=T\} \quad p \rightarrow \neg r \vee p \leftarrow (\neg r \vee p) \wedge p$$

or all of them is T.

(10) (a) $\text{isGreater}(b, m, x) \equiv$
 $\equiv \forall 0 \leq i < m. m \leq \text{size}(b) \wedge b[i] < x$

(b) $\text{wasGreater}(a, b) \equiv$
 $\equiv \forall 0 \leq i < \text{size}(a). \exists 0 \leq j < \text{size}(b). a[i] > b[j]$