# CS536 Science of Programming - Assignment 3

## Batkhishig Dulamsurankhor - A20543498

February 27, 2024

# Problem 1

#### **Solution:**

a) Let  $S \equiv \mathbf{if} \ x > y \to x \coloneqq x - 1 \square x > y \to y \coloneqq y + 1 \square x + y = 4 \to x \coloneqq y/x \square x + y = 4 \to x \coloneqq x/y \ \mathbf{fi}$ , and let  $\sigma = \{x = 3, y = 1\}$ . Calculate  $M(S, \sigma)$ .

```
 \langle S,\sigma\rangle = \langle \ \mathbf{if} \ x>y \to x \coloneqq x-1 \ \Box \ x>y \to y \coloneqq y+1 \ \Box \ x+y=4 \to x \coloneqq y/x \ \Box \ x+y=4 \to x \coloneqq x/y \ \mathbf{fi} \ , \sigma\rangle \\ = \langle \ \mathbf{if} \ x>y \to x \coloneqq x-1 \ \Box \ x>y \to y \coloneqq y+1 \ \Box \ x+y=4 \to x \coloneqq y/x \ \Box \ x+y=4 \to x \coloneqq x/y \ \mathbf{fi} \ , \{x=3,y=1\}\rangle \\ \to^* \langle \ \mathbf{if} \ T\to x \coloneqq x-1 \ \Box \ T\to y \coloneqq y+1 \ \Box \ T\to x \coloneqq y/x \ \Box \ T\to x \coloneqq x/y \ \mathbf{fi} \ , \{x=3,y=1\}\rangle \\ \langle S,\sigma\rangle \to \langle x \coloneqq x-1, \{x=3,y=1\}\rangle \to^* \langle E, \{x=2,y=1\}\rangle \\ \langle S,\sigma\rangle \to \langle y \coloneqq y+1, \{x=3,y=1\}\rangle \to^* \langle E, \{x=3,y=2\}\rangle \\ \langle S,\sigma\rangle \to \langle x \coloneqq y/x, \{x=3,y=1\}\rangle \to^* \langle E, \{x=0.33,y=1\}\rangle \\ \langle S,\sigma\rangle \to \langle x \coloneqq x/y, \{x=3,y=1\}\rangle \to^* \langle E, \{x=3,y=1\}\rangle \\ M(S,\sigma) = \{\{x=2,y=1\}, \{x=3,y=2\}, \{x=0.33,y=1\}, \{x=3,y=1\}\}
```

**b)** Let  $W \equiv \operatorname{do} x > y \to x \coloneqq x - 1 \square x > y \to y \coloneqq y + 1 \square x + y = 4 \to x \coloneqq y/x \square x + y = 4 \to x \coloneqq x/y \text{ od}$ , and let  $\sigma = \{x = 3, y = 1\}$ . Calculate  $M(W, \sigma)$ .

- After the first iteration, we have the following states as we calculated above:  $\{\{x=2,y=1\},\{x=3,y=2\},\{x=0.33,y=1\},\{x=3,y=1\}\}$
- After the second iteration, from state  $\{x=2,y=1\}$  we have:  $\{\{x=1,y=1\},\{x=2,y=2\}\}$ , from state  $\{x=3,y=2\}$  we have:  $\{\{x=2,y=2\},\{x=3,y=3\}\}$ , state  $\{x=0.33,y=1\}$  doesn't satisfy any conditions, and state  $\{x=3,y=1\}$  appears again so it diverges  $\perp_d$ .
- After the third iteration, state  $\{x=1,y=1\}$  and state  $\{x=3,y=3\}$  doesn't satisfy any conditions, from state  $\{x=2,y=2\}$  we have:  $\{x=1,y=2\}$ .
- Thus,  $M(W, \sigma) = \{\{x = 0.33, y = 1\}, \bot_d, \{x = 1, y = 1\}, \{x = 3, y = 3\}, \{x = 1, y = 2\}\}$

### Problem 2

### **Solution:**

If we look at Jason's program, it terminates when  $b[k_1] = 1$  and  $b[k_2] = 2$  because there is no guard that handles this case. In this case, we should increase both pointers meaning we have paired a 1 with a 2.

```
MAJORITY \equiv
k_1 := 0; k_2 := 0;
\mathbf{do} \ b[k_1] = 2 \to k_1 := k_1 + 1
\square \ b[k_2] = 1 \to k_2 := k_2 + 1
\square \ b[k_1] = 1 \land b[k_2] = 2 \to k_1 := k_1 + 1; k_2 := k_2 + 1 \ \mathbf{od}
```

It is possible that  $\perp_e \in M(MAJORITY, \sigma)$ . Let's handle the out of bound exception:

```
\begin{split} MAJORITY &\equiv \\ k_1 &\coloneqq 0; k_2 \coloneqq 0; \\ \mathbf{do} \ k_1 < n \land b[k_1] = 2 \to k_1 \coloneqq k_1 + 1 \\ &\square \ k_2 < n \land b[k_2] = 1 \to k_2 \coloneqq k_2 + 1 \\ &\square \ k_1 < n \land k_2 < n \land b[k_1] = 1 \land b[k_2] = 2 \to k_1 \coloneqq k_1 + 1; k_2 \coloneqq k_2 + 1 \ \mathbf{od} \end{split}
```

# Problem 3

#### Solution:

- a) True. Because the nature of nondeterminism, we can end up with more than one state.
- b) False. Because a state  $\sigma$  doesn't have to satisfy the precondition p and the correctness triple can still be true since we are not running S with  $\sigma$ .
- c) False. Because  $\sigma$  satisfies the precondition and there exist some  $\tau$  that is either terminated in error or doesn't satisfy the postcondition.
  - d) False. Partial correctness can end with error.
  - e) True. Without pseudo states, the resulting state after S should satisfy the inverse of the postcondition.

# Problem 4

#### **Solution:**

- a) Valid since it's according to Backward Assignment Rule.
- b) Not valid. Because postcondition is stronger.
- c) Valid. No state can satisfy the precondition, thus the precondition is always F and the triple is correct.
- d) Valid because the precondition and the postcondition are semantically equal, and running s := s + 1 doesn't affect the postcondition. Therefore, as long as a state satisfies the precondition, the resulting state will satisfy the postcondition.
  - e) Vaild since it's according to Backward Assignment Rule.

### Problem 5

### Solution:

- a) All integers except even numbers. If x is even number including 0, loop terminates eventually x := 0 and it doesn't satisfy the post condition,  $x = 0 \nvDash x < 0$ . For odd numbers and negative numbers, the program will diverge because x never becomes 0. Thus the triple is partially correct.
  - a) No possible values. There doesn't exist value of  $\sigma(x)$  that satisfies the triple.

### Problem 6

#### Solution:

- a) True. Strengthening precondition will still satisfy the postcondition. So  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  both will be satisfied.
- b) False. Weakining precondition will not guarantee that the postcondition is satisfied. So  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  may or may not be satisfied.

# Problem 7

#### Solution:

- a) False.
- b) False.

# Problem 8

#### Solution:

- a) True. According to the weakest precondition.
- b) True. Since w is the weakest precondition, making it stronger will satisfy the postcondition.
- c) False.
- d) True.
- e) False. Pseudo state can exist.

### Problem 9

### Solution:

- a) wlp(S,q)  $\equiv wlp(y := y/x, sqrt(y) > x)$  $\equiv sqrt(y/x) > x$  // backward assignment rule
- **b)**  $wp(S,q) \equiv D(S) \wedge wlp(S,q) \wedge D(wlp(S,q))$
- $D(S) \equiv D(y/x) \equiv x \neq 0$
- $wlp(S,q) \equiv sqrt(y/x) > x$
- $D(wlp(S,q)) \equiv D(sqrt(y/x) > x) \equiv y/x \ge 0 \land x \ne 0$
- $wp(S,q) \equiv x \neq 0 \land sqrt(y/x) > x \land y/x \ge 0 \land x \ne 0$  $\Leftrightarrow x \neq 0 \land sqrt(y/x) > x \land y/x \ge 0$

# Problem 10

### Solution:

- a)  $wlp(S,q) \equiv wlp(\mathbf{if}\ y \ge 0\ \mathbf{then}\ x \coloneqq -y/x\ \mathbf{else}\ x \coloneqq x/y\ \mathbf{fi}, r < x \le y)$   $\equiv (y \ge 0 \to wlp(x \coloneqq -y/x, r < x \le y)) \land (\neg(y \ge 0) \to wlp(x \coloneqq x/y, r < x \le y))$   $\equiv (y \ge 0 \to r < -y/x \le y) \land (y < 0 \to r < x/y \le y)$
- **b)**  $wp(S,q) \equiv D(S) \wedge wlp(S,q) \wedge D(wlp(S,q))$
- $D(S) \equiv \mathbf{if} \ y \ge 0 \ \mathbf{then} \ x \coloneqq -y/x \ \mathbf{else} \ x \coloneqq x/y \ \mathbf{fi} \equiv x \ne 0 \land y \ne 0$   $\equiv D(y \ge 0) \land (y \ge 0 \to D(x \coloneqq -y/x)) \land (y < 0 \to D(x \coloneqq x/y))$   $\equiv T \land (y \ge 0 \to x \ne 0) \land (y < 0 \to y \ne 0)$   $\equiv (y < 0 \lor x \ne 0) \land T$   $\equiv y < 0 \lor x \ne 0$
- $wlp(S,q) \equiv (y \ge 0 \rightarrow r < -y/x \le y) \land (y < 0 \rightarrow r < x/y \le y)$
- $D(wlp(S,q)) \equiv D((y \ge 0 \to r < -y/x \le y) \land (y < 0 \to r < x/y \le y))$
- $wp(S,q) \equiv y < 0 \lor x \neq 0 \land (y \ge 0 \to r < -y/x \le y) \land (y < 0 \to r < x/y \le y) \land D((y \ge 0 \to r < -y/x \le y) \land (y < 0 \to r < x/y \le y))$