Prep 11 quiz: Recursive Sorting Algorithms

Due Nov 27 at 10am **Points** 5 **Questions** 5

Available Nov 22 at 9am - Nov 27 at 10am 5 days Time Limit None

Allowed Attempts Unlimited

Take the Quiz Again

Attempt History

	Attempt	Time	Score
LATEST	Attempt 1	10 minutes	5 out of 5

(!) Correct answers are hidden.

Score for this attempt: **5** out of 5 Submitted Nov 26 at 3:07pm This attempt took 10 minutes.

Question 1	1 / 1 pts
The two main iterative sorting algorithms we study in CSC108 are sort and insertion sort . Select the correct worst-case running till algorithms from the options below (<i>n</i> represents the length of the be sorted).	me of these
O(n)	
O(n log n)	
O(n^2)	
O(n^3)	
O(log n)	

ve'll study in this course oth <i>divide-and-conquer</i> algorithms. -conquer sorting approach in the
Split the input into two or mc
Recurse on each part separat
Combine the results of the pr

Question 3	1 / 1 pts
Select all of true statements about the interface of _merge (the main the mergesort algorithm).	n helper of
_merge will work as long as at least one of its input lists to be sorted.	
☐ _merge requires that all of its input lists have the same length.	
□ _merge may mutate its inputs.	
□ _merge takes any number of lists as inputs.	

_merge requires that all of the elements of the first input list are <= all of the elements in the second input list.

Question 4	1 / 1 pts
Select all of true statements about the interface ofpartition (the helper of the quicksort algorithm).	main
☐ _partition returns two lists of equal length.	
☑ _partition returns two lists whose elements are from its input list.	
□ _partition takes two lists as its arguments.	
	ry
☑ _partition takes a list and object as its arguments.	
□ _partition mutates its input list.	

Question 5 1 / 1 pts

The versions of mergesort and quicksort that we've provided in the readings are non-mutating, and return a new list that's the sorted version of the original.

Below is a small modification of our mergesort algorithm to make it mutate its input list. Does this implementation succeed, and why or why not?

```
def mergesort(lst: list) -> None:
    """MUTATE <lst> so that it is sorted.
    """
    if len(lst) < 2:
        # Do nothing</pre>
```

```
pass
 else:
     # Divide the list into two parts, and sort them recursively.
     mid = len(lst) // 2
     left = lst[:mid]
     right = lst[mid:]
     mergesort(left)
     mergesort(right)
     # Merge the two sorted halves.
     # Assume _merge has the exact same behaviour as before.
     lst = _merge(left, right)
This version DOES satisfy its docstring, because left and right are
correctly sorted, and the last line merges them together and stores the result in
the input list.
This version DOES NOT satisfy its docstring, because the two recursive calls
mergesort(left) and (mergesort(right)) need to return something in order to
have an effect.
This version DOES satisfy its docstring, but the last line doesn't need to assign
to 1st). Since 1eft and right are aliases of the left and right halves of the
input list, calling (_merge(left, right)) already sorts (lst), and so the
assignment is unnecessary.
This version DOES NOT satisfy its docstring, because assigning to (1st) in the
last line only changes what a local variable refers to, and not the contents of
input list itself.
```

Quiz Score: 5 out of 5

4 of 5 11/26/2018, 3:15 PM