

## **Feedback**

### **P53**

What was the second common correlation with a phenotype?

Good clear speaking during the presentation

Good introduction to protein and general properties

Where are the variants located on the structure?

it's be more interesting to have more mutation changes information

The topic was well structured and presented clearly.

### **VW Factor**

Good historical info

well structured, freely spoken, nice painting (maybe study arts?), very informative

What happens to the protein that leads to the type 3 disease?

Nice drawing with a good explanation

Presented freely and clearly.

Nice pictures

Drawing on white board really nice presentation technique

Function slide could have bigger font

Detailed information given -> good

Nice that sources are given on slides. But would be better to just give author (et al.)

+ journal + year

Good job mapping onto structure

the presentaion is very clear and fluent

The presentation covered the topic in great detail.

### **SOD**

Did they only use X-Ray?

very informative, looked at the screen a bit to often, maybe look at the computer next time. Reactions and structure well explained

Nice presentation

Presented freely and clearly.

Nice pictures

Very informative

Which domain or interaction site do the mutations reside on?

the presenation is short and interactive

Apart from beeing a great presentation, it was nice to have the x-ray diffraction mechanism explained.

### **CFTR**

Mechanisms well illustrated, freely spoken, nice to listen to. Different variants explained well

Where are most of the damaging mutations located?

Presented freely and clearly.

Nice pictures

Working mechanism well explained

Good biological background

Good mapping onto structure

The slides of the presentation were arranged well.

## **TTR**

freely spoken, a bit too quiet, biological functions and locations well explained, mutations explained well  
Voice a little bit low  
Clearly structured presentation  
Nice layout and pictures  
Not much structure information  
maybe more interaction is needed (with audience or with the voice)  
Well structured presentation.

## **Arylsulfatase A**

spoken well and freely, presentation structured well, structure and function explained in good detail  
Maybe do the drawing next time on the slide itself, because drawing takes time. It is clear, but might be nicer to have it in the slide.  
Clearly spoken  
Presented freely and clearly  
Use of whiteboard -> good  
Very good background information / how it works  
Mapping onto structure - good  
the presentation can be better with more fluency. Also he can draw the mechanism on the slide instead on the board to save time.

## **HRas:**

Informative presentation, good structure, freely spoken in first part, second part could improve here  
Try to look less at the text on the paper  
First part presented clearly and freely  
Nice layout  
Second part read too much from notes  
Findings slide could have bigger font  
The focus could be more on Ras structure and not on other effectors that bind to it.  
The presentation is easy to understand but maybe would be better if the presenter didn't read out from the paper  
The topic was presented in a good tempo.

## **General:**

Try more to look at the whole audience, instead of only to the teacher.  
Explain color coding to your audience  
I think all in all everybody did a decent job. I think the balance between written text and graphical depictions could be more balanced, as one can complement spoken words with pictures, but not so much with written text (besides citations, which didn't really pop up that much). Everybody spoke fluently more or less, and was able to convey the content of their presentation. I liked the historical comment from the vWF group, in retrospect we should have done that as well!