



BibNet standards

CRedit (Contributor Roles Taxonomy)

1. Hosseini: Contributor Role Taxonomy (CRedit) https://zenodo.org/records/7640720(slides)
2. McGonagle-O'Connell: Greater Transparency: Contributor Roles Taxonomy (CRedit) https://www.slideshare.net/BaltimoreNISO/mcgonagleoconnell-greater-transparency-contributor-roles-taxonomy-credit(slides)
3. Allen, Brand, Scott, Altman, Hlava: Credit where credit is due http://www.nature.com/polopoly_fs/1.150331/menu/main/topColumns/topLeftColumn/pdf/508312a.pdf(PDF)
4. Brand, Allen, Altman, Hlava, Scott: Beyond authorship attribution, contribution, collaboration, and credit https://doi.org/10.1087/20150211
5. Hosseini et al: A systematic scoping review of the ethics of Contributor Role Ontologies and Taxonomies https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/08989621.2022.2161049
6. NISO/CRedit [https://credit.niso.org/], z39104-2022-credit [https://www.niso.org/publications/z39104-2022-credit], ANSI-NISO-Z39.104-2022 https://groups.niso.org/higherlogic/ws/public/download/26466/ANSI-NISO-Z39.104-2022.pdf(PDF)
7. CASRAI [https://casrai.org/], CASRAI/CRedit https://github.com/casrai-credit
8. Elsevier [https://www.elsevier.com/researcher/author/policies-and-guidelines/credit-author-statement], Wiley [https://authorservices.wiley.com/author-resources/Journal-Authors/open-access/credit.html], Sage [https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/credit], Taylor & Francis: JATS: Author Contributions and CRedit [https://jats.taylorandfrancis.com/jats-guide/topics/author-contributions-credit/]
9. Alpi, Akers: CRedit for authors of articles published in the Journal of the Medical Library Association [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8485955/]
10. University College London [https://www.ucl.ac.uk/library/research-support/open-access/credit-taxonomy], University of Kent [https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/credit-contributor-roles-taxonomy]
11. Hosseini et al: Evolution and adoption of contributor role ontologies and taxonomies [https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1002/leap.1496]
12. Next steps for CRedit - an interview with the co-chairs [https://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2022/05/24/next-steps-for-credit-an-interview-with-the-co-chairs/]
13. CRedit with icons [https://training-datalab.com/credit/]
14. Gadd: CRedit Check - Should we welcome tools to differentiate the contributions made to academic papers? https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/impactofsocialsciences/2020/01/20/credit-check-should-we-welcome-tools-to-differentiate-the-contributions-made-to-academic-papers/(blog)
15. Strinzel et al: Ten ways to improve academic CVs for fairer research assessment [https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-021-00929-0]
16. Datacredit [https://www.wsl.ch/datacredit/]
17. Twitter/contrib roles [https://twitter.com/contrib_roles?lang=en]

Leiden Manifesto for Research Metrics

1. Leiden Manifesto for Research Metrics [http://www.leidenmanifesto.org/], Blog [http://www.leidenmanifesto.org/blog]
2. Hicks, Wouters, Waltman, de Rijcke, Rafols: Bibliometrics: The Leiden Manifesto for research metrics [https://www.nature.com/articles/520429a]
3. Wikipedia: Leiden Manifesto [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Leiden_Manifesto]
4. Coombs, Peters: The Leiden Manifesto Under Review: What Libraries Can Learn From It [https://libereurope.eu/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/DLP-Paper.pdf]
5. Tanuj Kanchan & Kewal Krishan: The Leiden Manifesto and Research Assessment https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11948-017-0012-2(Letter)

San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA)

1. Wikipedia: San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA) [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/San_Francisco_Declaration_on_Research_Assessment]
2. Cagan: The San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment [https://journals.biologists.com/dmm/article/6/4/869/3386/The-San-Francisco-Declaration-on-Research]
3. DORA https://sf.dora.org/(site) Journal articles [https://sf.dora.org/resource-library/?resource_type=journal-articles]
4. EU: The Commission signs the Agreement on Reforming Research Assessment and endorses the San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment [https://research-and-innovation.ec.europa.eu/news/all-research-and-innovation-news/commission-signs-agreement-reforming-research-assessment-and-endorses-san-francisco-declaration-2022-11-08_en]
5. Curry: Hacking the Status Quo to Pieces [https://www.socialsciencespace.com/2022/10/hacking-the-status-quo-to-pieces-stephen-curry-on-the-san-francisco-declaration-on-research-assessment-at-age-10/]

The metric tide

1. The metric tide: review of metrics in research assessment [https://www.ukri.org/publications/review-of-metrics-in-research-assessment-and-management/]
2. The Metric Tide Revisited [https://responsiblemetrics.org/2022/08/11/the-metric-tide-revisited/], Harnessing the Metric Tide [https://wonkhe.com/blogs/holding-data-providers-to-account-for-responsible-research-assessment/], PDF [https://rori.figshare.com/ndownloader/files/38515103]
3. Biagioli, Lippman: Gaming the Metrics: Misconduct and Manipulation in Academic Research [https://www.academia.edu/41745663/Gaming_the_Metrics_Misconduct_and_Manipulation_in_Academic_Research]
4. Glänzel, Moed, Schmoch, Thelwall: Springer Handbook of Science and Technology Indicators [https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-030-02511-3]
5. Responsible metrics [https://intranet.birmingham.ac.uk/as/libraryservices/library/research/influential-researcher/responsible-metrics.aspx]
6. Wikipedia: Goodhart's law [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Goodhart%27s_law]
7. Chin: The Four Flavors of Goodhart's Law [https://www.holistics.io/blog/four-types-goodharts-law/]
8. Kantipudi: Metrics in SDLC: Let the Truth Prevail [https://testsigma.com/blog/metrics-in-sdlc-let-the-truth-prevail/]
9. Michael F. Stumborg, Timothy D. Blasius, Steven J. Full, Christine A. Hughes: Goodhart's Law: Recognizing and Mitigating the Manipulation of Measures in Analysis [https://www.cna.org/reports/2022/09/goodharts-law]