

Orange County Official Results
 MEMBER OF CONGRESS - 18th CD (Vote for One)
 General Election - 11/6/18

| DISTRICT | | | | | | | | | | | | | Blank |
|-----------------------|---------|--------------|---------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------|----|-------|
| | TOTAL | VOTER | % | DEM | REP | CON | WOR | IND | WEP | REF | | | |
| | TURNOUT | REGISTRATION | TURNOUT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Sean Patrick Maloney | James O'Donnell | James O'Donnell | Sean Patrick Maloney | Sean Patrick Maloney | Sean Patrick Maloney | James O'Donnell | Write-in | | |
| Middletown - Ward 1-1 | 257 | 564 | 45.57% | 159 | 67 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 11 | |
| Middletown - Ward 1-2 | 120 | 275 | 43.64% | 79 | 34 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | |
| Middletown - Ward 1-3 | 235 | 435 | 54.02% | 158 | 47 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 15 | |
| Middletown - Ward 1-4 | 61 | 205 | 29.76% | 36 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | |
| Middletown - Ward 1-5 | 216 | 467 | 46.25% | 139 | 53 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | |
| Middletown - Ward 1-6 | 176 | 419 | 42.00% | 112 | 41 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | |
| Middletown - Ward 2-1 | 209 | 381 | 54.86% | 133 | 53 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | |
| Middletown - Ward 2-2 | 185 | 484 | 38.22% | 110 | 48 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | |
| Middletown - Ward 2-3 | 251 | 443 | 56.66% | 163 | 63 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 10 | |
| Middletown - Ward 2-4 | 290 | 611 | 47.46% | 213 | 50 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | |
| Middletown - Ward 2-5 | 190 | 334 | 56.89% | 123 | 50 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | |
| Middletown - Ward 2-6 | 196 | 316 | 62.03% | 132 | 48 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | |
| Middletown - Ward 2-7 | 141 | 212 | 66.51% | 94 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | |
| Middletown - Ward 3-1 | 320 | 647 | 49.46% | 188 | 91 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 16 | |
| Middletown - Ward 3-2 | 242 | 464 | 52.16% | 144 | 62 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 9 | |
| Middletown - Ward 3-3 | 144 | 331 | 43.50% | 94 | 30 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | |
| Middletown - Ward 3-4 | 330 | 724 | 45.58% | 219 | 72 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | |
| Middletown - Ward 3-5 | 236 | 531 | 44.44% | 174 | 38 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 14 | |
| Middletown - Ward 3-6 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/o! | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Middletown - Ward 4-1 | 377 | 825 | 45.70% | 250 | 101 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 9 | |
| Middletown - Ward 4-2 | 339 | 655 | 51.76% | 243 | 63 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 17 | |
| Middletown - Ward 4-3 | 160 | 364 | 43.96% | 113 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | |
| Middletown - Ward 4-4 | 375 | 714 | 52.52% | 251 | 85 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 15 | |
| Middletown - Ward 4-5 | 373 | 682 | 54.69% | 241 | 90 | 18 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 13 | |
| Middletown - Ward 4-6 | 522 | 1082 | 48.24% | 360 | 105 | 13 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 25 | |
| Middletown - Ward 4-7 | 362 | 745 | 48.59% | 291 | 45 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 11 | |
| Middletown - Ward 4-8 | 27 | 51 | 52.94% | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| City of Newburgh 1-1 | 197 | 624 | 31.57% | 158 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22 | |
| City of Newburgh 1-2 | 382 | 936 | 40.81% | 319 | 18 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 27 | |
| City of Newburgh 1-3 | 261 | 572 | 45.63% | 197 | 22 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 31 | |
| City of Newburgh 1-4 | 409 | 657 | 62.25% | 267 | 89 | 7 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 17 | |
| City of Newburgh 2-1 | 404 | 998 | 40.48% | 311 | 35 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 37 | |
| City of Newburgh 2-2 | 477 | 1132 | 42.14% | 383 | 36 | 2 | 20 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 28 | |
| City of Newburgh 3-1 | 419 | 778 | 53.86% | 253 | 109 | 16 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 24 | |
| City of Newburgh 3-2 | 455 | 989 | 46.01% | 317 | 91 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 14 | |
| City of Newburgh 3-3 | 453 | 1056 | 42.90% | 345 | 38 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 53 | |
| City of Newburgh 3-4 | 282 | 550 | 51.27% | 172 | 85 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | |
| City of Newburgh 4-1 | 55 | 152 | 36.18% | 44 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | |
| City of Newburgh 4-2 | 248 | 484 | 51.24% | 186 | 31 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | |
| City of Newburgh 4-3 | 356 | 694 | 51.30% | 258 | 65 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 13 | |
| City of Newburgh 4-4 | 369 | 665 | 55.49% | 250 | 79 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 12 | |
| City of Newburgh 4-5 | 158 | 471 | 33.55% | 117 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | |

Orange County Official Results
MEMBER OF CONGRESS - 18th CD (Vote for One)
General Election - 11/6/18

| DISTRICT | TOTAL TURNOUT | VOTER REGISTRATION | % TURNOUT | DEM | REP | CON | WOR | IND | WEP | REF | | |
|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|
| Port Jervis 1-1 | 410 | 758 | 54.09% | 202 | 152 | 18 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 22 |
| Port Jervis 1-2 | 65 | 168 | 38.69% | 34 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Port Jervis 2-1 | 293 | 621 | 47.18% | 119 | 141 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 17 |
| Port Jervis 2-2 | 234 | 636 | 36.79% | 103 | 93 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11 |
| Port Jervis 3-1 | 330 | 630 | 52.38% | 140 | 151 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| Port Jervis 3-2 | 344 | 710 | 48.45% | 142 | 157 | 13 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Port Jervis 4-1 | 264 | 646 | 40.87% | 140 | 90 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 9 |
| Port Jervis 4-2 | 298 | 663 | 44.95% | 140 | 113 | 13 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Blooming Grove 1-1 | 213 | 325 | 65.54% | 117 | 64 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 13 |
| Blooming Grove 1-2 | 500 | 915 | 54.64% | 249 | 183 | 21 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 19 |
| Blooming Grove 1-3 | 427 | 728 | 58.65% | 211 | 150 | 22 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 24 |
| Blooming Grove 1-4 | 61 | 97 | 62.89% | 24 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Blooming Grove 2-1 | 194 | 337 | 57.57% | 87 | 73 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 |
| Blooming Grove 2-2 | 457 | 800 | 57.13% | 202 | 189 | 32 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 19 |
| Blooming Grove 2-3 | 383 | 707 | 54.17% | 160 | 165 | 23 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 17 |
| Blooming Grove 3-1 | 408 | 646 | 63.16% | 159 | 180 | 32 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 13 |
| Blooming Grove 3-2 | 289 | 544 | 53.13% | 136 | 105 | 19 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 14 |
| Blooming Grove 3-3 | 292 | 506 | 57.71% | 123 | 111 | 21 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 15 |
| Blooming Grove 3-4 | 30 | 51 | 58.82% | 20 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Blooming Grove 4-1 | 280 | 559 | 50.09% | 93 | 110 | 16 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 31 |
| Blooming Grove 4-2 | 346 | 599 | 57.76% | 152 | 128 | 22 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 20 |
| Blooming Grove 4-3 | 277 | 513 | 54.00% | 107 | 101 | 21 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 16 |
| Blooming Grove 5-1 | 406 | 623 | 65.17% | 153 | 171 | 48 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 17 |
| Blooming Grove 5-2 | 52 | 90 | 57.78% | 13 | 25 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| Blooming Grove 5-3 | 422 | 709 | 59.52% | 161 | 191 | 29 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 10 |
| Blooming Grove 5-4 | 340 | 542 | 62.73% | 138 | 151 | 28 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 14 |
| Blooming Grove 5-5 | 60 | 105 | 57.14% | 27 | 17 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Blooming Grove 6-1 | 325 | 528 | 61.55% | 137 | 148 | 23 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Blooming Grove 6-2 | 418 | 715 | 58.46% | 160 | 191 | 31 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 9 |
| Blooming Grove 6-3 | 506 | 818 | 61.86% | 197 | 215 | 52 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 11 |
| Blooming Grove 6-4 | 32 | 51 | 62.75% | 11 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Chester 1 | 543 | 941 | 57.70% | 256 | 195 | 32 | 12 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 26 |
| Chester 2 | 332 | 530 | 62.64% | 160 | 126 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 19 |
| Chester 3 | 550 | 869 | 63.29% | 225 | 250 | 29 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 20 |
| Chester 4 | 574 | 881 | 65.15% | 249 | 244 | 41 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 21 |
| Chester 5 | 489 | 686 | 71.28% | 165 | 216 | 55 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 28 |
| Chester 6 | 330 | 498 | 66.27% | 159 | 121 | 29 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 13 |
| Chester 7 | 544 | 874 | 62.24% | 244 | 231 | 22 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 21 |
| Chester 8 | 395 | 695 | 56.83% | 226 | 116 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 24 |
| Chester 9 | 204 | 349 | 58.45% | 120 | 70 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| Chester 10 | 358 | 597 | 59.97% | 165 | 138 | 27 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 11 |
| Chester 11 | 310 | 474 | 65.40% | 129 | 140 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 15 |

Orange County Official Results
MEMBER OF CONGRESS - 18th CD (Vote for One)
General Election - 11/6/18

| DISTRICT | TOTAL TURNOUT | VOTER REGISTRATION | % TURNOUT | Sean Patrick Maloney | James O'Donnell | James O'Donnell | Sean Patrick Maloney | Sean Patrick Maloney | Sean Patrick Maloney | James O'Donnell | Write-in | Blank |
|-------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------|-------|
| | | | | DEM | REP | CON | WOR | IND | WEP | REF | | |
| Chester 12 | 483 | 736 | 65.63% | 198 | 218 | 36 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 16 |
| Cornwall 1 | 478 | 676 | 70.71% | 259 | 146 | 24 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Cornwall 2 | 342 | 557 | 61.40% | 172 | 132 | 16 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| Cornwall 3 | 432 | 673 | 64.19% | 217 | 155 | 27 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 12 |
| Cornwall 4 | 522 | 789 | 66.16% | 248 | 188 | 39 | 16 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| Cornwall 5 | 339 | 592 | 57.26% | 135 | 167 | 13 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Cornwall 6 | 429 | 664 | 64.61% | 207 | 160 | 33 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| Cornwall 7 | 272 | 461 | 59.00% | 108 | 127 | 16 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| Cornwall 8 | 583 | 842 | 69.24% | 291 | 206 | 41 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 23 |
| Cornwall 9 | 374 | 578 | 64.71% | 191 | 130 | 19 | 13 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| Cornwall 10 | 339 | 566 | 59.89% | 138 | 161 | 20 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| Cornwall 11 | 552 | 861 | 64.11% | 241 | 234 | 27 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 25 |
| Cornwall 12 | 428 | 633 | 67.61% | 221 | 161 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Cornwall 13 | 294 | 488 | 60.25% | 145 | 98 | 13 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 16 |
| Cornwall 14 | 428 | 660 | 64.85% | 179 | 184 | 27 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Crawford 1 | 409 | 681 | 60.06% | 150 | 209 | 23 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| Crawford 2 | 365 | 617 | 59.16% | 122 | 206 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 |
| Crawford 3 | 568 | 933 | 60.88% | 194 | 297 | 48 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 9 |
| Crawford 4 | 438 | 752 | 58.24% | 138 | 238 | 30 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| Crawford 5 | 437 | 688 | 63.52% | 147 | 213 | 50 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 11 |
| Crawford 6 | 553 | 908 | 60.90% | 181 | 279 | 48 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 23 |
| Crawford 7 | 419 | 669 | 62.63% | 166 | 195 | 37 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Crawford 8 | 354 | 545 | 64.95% | 137 | 172 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
| Deerpark 1 | 309 | 592 | 52.20% | 95 | 162 | 30 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| Deerpark 2 | 343 | 647 | 53.01% | 105 | 192 | 23 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| Deerpark 3 | 375 | 740 | 50.68% | 134 | 198 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Deerpark 4 | 411 | 757 | 54.29% | 131 | 216 | 40 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 15 |
| Deerpark 5 | 406 | 746 | 54.42% | 96 | 252 | 33 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 13 |
| Deerpark 6 | 238 | 561 | 42.42% | 87 | 113 | 20 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Deerpark 7 | 389 | 684 | 56.87% | 90 | 251 | 17 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 12 |
| Goshen 1 | 424 | 681 | 62.26% | 195 | 174 | 23 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 14 |
| Goshen 2 | 217 | 380 | 57.11% | 124 | 62 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| Goshen 3 | 445 | 683 | 65.15% | 207 | 181 | 29 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 9 |
| Goshen 4 | 632 | 1052 | 60.08% | 278 | 276 | 35 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 17 |
| Goshen 5 | 543 | 896 | 60.60% | 227 | 256 | 30 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 13 |
| Goshen 6 | 351 | 551 | 63.70% | 138 | 173 | 23 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 7 |
| Goshen 7 | 550 | 963 | 57.11% | 217 | 271 | 31 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 11 |
| Goshen 8 | 380 | 730 | 52.05% | 136 | 191 | 28 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| Goshen 9 | 595 | 928 | 64.12% | 250 | 255 | 55 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 |
| Goshen 10 | 486 | 765 | 63.53% | 198 | 224 | 39 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 |
| Goshen 11 | 411 | 698 | 58.88% | 219 | 140 | 26 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Goshen 12 | 302 | 524 | 57.63% | 124 | 148 | 19 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 |

Orange County Official Results
MEMBER OF CONGRESS - 18th CD (Vote for One)
General Election - 11/6/18

| DISTRICT | TOTAL TURNOUT | VOTER REGISTRATION | % TURNOUT | DEM | REP | CON | WOR | IND | WEP | REF | | |
|----------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Goshen 13 | 45 | 70 | 64.29% | 23 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Greenville 1 | 444 | 726 | 61.16% | 122 | 262 | 37 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 |
| Greenville 2 | 541 | 973 | 55.60% | 187 | 277 | 31 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Greenville 3 | 346 | 625 | 55.36% | 103 | 198 | 24 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 10 |
| Greenville 4 | 429 | 702 | 61.11% | 155 | 220 | 39 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| Hamptonburgh 1 | 445 | 785 | 56.69% | 186 | 213 | 26 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 13 |
| Hamptonburgh 2 | 554 | 889 | 62.32% | 197 | 302 | 29 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 8 |
| Hamptonburgh 3 | 443 | 718 | 61.70% | 173 | 206 | 36 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 12 |
| Hamptonburgh 4 | 391 | 622 | 62.86% | 167 | 178 | 16 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Hamptonburgh 5 | 458 | 752 | 60.90% | 150 | 239 | 34 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 11 |
| Highlands 1 | 422 | 727 | 58.05% | 214 | 154 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 27 |
| Highlands 2 | 275 | 548 | 50.18% | 174 | 52 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 14 |
| Highlands 3 | 353 | 743 | 47.51% | 188 | 118 | 11 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
| Highlands 4 | 398 | 648 | 61.42% | 170 | 172 | 25 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| Highlands 5 | 271 | 485 | 55.88% | 123 | 114 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Highlands 6 | 311 | 488 | 63.73% | 146 | 124 | 19 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| Minisink 1 | 468 | 786 | 59.54% | 133 | 282 | 36 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| Minisink 2 | 490 | 840 | 58.33% | 152 | 257 | 38 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 15 |
| Minisink 3 | 328 | 535 | 61.31% | 107 | 178 | 26 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Minisink 4 | 396 | 705 | 56.17% | 157 | 188 | 20 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Monroe 1 | 532 | 892 | 59.64% | 245 | 221 | 28 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 18 |
| Monroe 2 | 459 | 688 | 66.72% | 198 | 201 | 25 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 22 |
| Monroe 3 | 363 | 572 | 63.46% | 167 | 141 | 21 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 20 |
| Monroe 4 | 491 | 898 | 54.68% | 219 | 193 | 19 | 10 | 12 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 30 |
| Monroe 5 | 440 | 762 | 57.74% | 212 | 185 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 21 |
| Monroe 6 | 384 | 593 | 64.76% | 161 | 162 | 21 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 21 |
| Monroe 7 | 539 | 902 | 59.76% | 223 | 240 | 32 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 21 |
| Monroe 8 | 289 | 551 | 52.45% | 141 | 107 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 15 |
| Monroe 9 | 259 | 510 | 50.78% | 141 | 81 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| Monroe 10 | 483 | 881 | 54.82% | 198 | 207 | 35 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 22 |
| Monroe 11 | 359 | 561 | 63.99% | 131 | 186 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
| Monroe 12 | 435 | 694 | 62.68% | 173 | 197 | 32 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 13 |
| Monroe 13 | 372 | 639 | 58.22% | 183 | 142 | 24 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| Monroe 14 | 368 | 587 | 62.69% | 138 | 170 | 26 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 14 |
| Monroe 15 | 604 | 997 | 60.58% | 296 | 216 | 37 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 29 |
| Monroe 16 | 331 | 484 | 68.39% | 139 | 148 | 17 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 17 |
| Monroe 17 | 415 | 659 | 62.97% | 172 | 170 | 32 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 21 |
| Monroe 18 | 78 | 260 | 30.00% | 18 | 29 | 3 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| Monroe 19 | 223 | 396 | 56.31% | 132 | 72 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Monroe 20 | 0 | 1 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monroe 21 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/o! | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Montgomery 1 | 467 | 780 | 59.87% | 165 | 229 | 43 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 13 |

Orange County Official Results
MEMBER OF CONGRESS - 18th CD (Vote for One)
General Election - 11/6/18

| DISTRICT | TOTAL TURNOUT | VOTER REGISTRATION | % TURNOUT | DEM | REP | CON | WOR | IND | WEP | REF | | |
|----------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|
| Montgomery 2 | 606 | 984 | 61.59% | 307 | 228 | 28 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 20 |
| Montgomery 3 | 582 | 1024 | 56.84% | 304 | 211 | 23 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| Montgomery 4 | 423 | 738 | 57.32% | 140 | 224 | 30 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| Montgomery 5 | 478 | 857 | 55.78% | 215 | 193 | 25 | 6 | 13 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 15 |
| Montgomery 6 | 370 | 682 | 54.25% | 178 | 149 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 8 |
| Montgomery 7 | 441 | 791 | 55.75% | 194 | 179 | 31 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 13 |
| Montgomery 8 | 381 | 703 | 54.20% | 220 | 107 | 19 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Montgomery 9 | 375 | 651 | 57.60% | 140 | 180 | 29 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 13 |
| Montgomery 10 | 396 | 658 | 60.18% | 129 | 205 | 34 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 12 |
| Montgomery 11 | 394 | 663 | 59.43% | 140 | 206 | 24 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| Montgomery 12 | 402 | 805 | 49.94% | 217 | 132 | 27 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| Montgomery 13 | 529 | 823 | 64.28% | 197 | 261 | 43 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 11 |
| Montgomery 14 | 316 | 567 | 55.73% | 115 | 148 | 26 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Montgomery 15 | 475 | 815 | 58.28% | 220 | 189 | 25 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 13 |
| Montgomery 16 | 502 | 818 | 61.37% | 213 | 223 | 32 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 14 |
| Montgomery 17 | 447 | 792 | 56.44% | 202 | 194 | 20 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| Montgomery 18 | 605 | 954 | 63.42% | 214 | 310 | 39 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 24 |
| Mount Hope 1 | 362 | 665 | 54.44% | 152 | 156 | 19 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
| Mount Hope 2 | 533 | 919 | 58.00% | 201 | 243 | 47 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 20 |
| Mount Hope 3 | 559 | 941 | 59.40% | 193 | 264 | 43 | 9 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 27 |
| Mount Hope 4 | 547 | 923 | 59.26% | 187 | 272 | 35 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 30 |
| New Windsor 1 | 279 | 457 | 61.05% | 150 | 94 | 14 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| New Windsor 2 | 303 | 573 | 52.88% | 163 | 94 | 21 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| New Windsor 3 | 312 | 510 | 61.18% | 140 | 132 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| New Windsor 4 | 387 | 667 | 58.02% | 191 | 142 | 23 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| New Windsor 5 | 596 | 1005 | 59.30% | 225 | 283 | 34 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 18 |
| New Windsor 6 | 369 | 667 | 55.32% | 210 | 116 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 17 |
| New Windsor 7 | 295 | 475 | 62.11% | 142 | 119 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| New Windsor 8 | 427 | 766 | 55.74% | 210 | 176 | 16 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| New Windsor 9 | 286 | 463 | 61.77% | 156 | 102 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| New Windsor 10 | 568 | 978 | 58.08% | 339 | 163 | 25 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 18 |
| New Windsor 11 | 463 | 760 | 60.92% | 237 | 171 | 25 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 18 |
| New Windsor 12 | 296 | 623 | 47.51% | 185 | 79 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 |
| New Windsor 13 | 448 | 811 | 55.24% | 238 | 144 | 20 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 26 |
| New Windsor 14 | 471 | 850 | 55.41% | 239 | 170 | 23 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 22 |
| New Windsor 15 | 621 | 1126 | 55.15% | 342 | 216 | 23 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 23 |
| New Windsor 16 | 737 | 1220 | 60.41% | 307 | 338 | 46 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 20 |
| New Windsor 17 | 233 | 466 | 50.00% | 136 | 66 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 14 |
| New Windsor 18 | 532 | 873 | 60.94% | 293 | 171 | 20 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 28 |
| New Windsor 19 | 614 | 1067 | 57.54% | 380 | 164 | 31 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 14 |
| New Windsor 20 | 390 | 660 | 59.09% | 157 | 151 | 41 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 16 |
| New Windsor 21 | 421 | 627 | 67.15% | 159 | 207 | 30 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 |

Orange County Official Results
MEMBER OF CONGRESS - 18th CD (Vote for One)
General Election - 11/6/18

| DISTRICT | TOTAL TURNOUT | VOTER REGISTRATION | % TURNOUT | DEM | REP | CON | WOR | IND | WEP | REF | | |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|
| New Windsor 22 | 208 | 323 | 64.40% | 103 | 77 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Newburgh Town 1 | 301 | 475 | 63.37% | 165 | 107 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Newburgh Town 2 | 360 | 541 | 66.54% | 184 | 148 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Newburgh Town 3 | 447 | 766 | 58.36% | 214 | 173 | 26 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| Newburgh Town 4 | 520 | 951 | 54.68% | 310 | 156 | 17 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 14 |
| Newburgh Town 5 | 493 | 756 | 65.21% | 224 | 207 | 32 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| Newburgh Town 6 | 287 | 458 | 62.66% | 178 | 73 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 |
| Newburgh Town 7 | 318 | 492 | 64.63% | 136 | 138 | 24 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| Newburgh Town 8 | 461 | 734 | 62.81% | 188 | 216 | 26 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Newburgh Town 9 | 436 | 739 | 59.00% | 236 | 136 | 26 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 17 |
| Newburgh Town 10 | 348 | 551 | 63.16% | 185 | 122 | 19 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Newburgh Town 11 | 428 | 769 | 55.66% | 200 | 173 | 23 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| Newburgh Town 12 | 424 | 813 | 52.15% | 190 | 172 | 28 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 16 |
| Newburgh Town 13 | 551 | 950 | 58.00% | 299 | 195 | 23 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 |
| Newburgh Town 14 | 530 | 866 | 61.20% | 228 | 238 | 33 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 15 |
| Newburgh Town 15 | 571 | 1002 | 56.99% | 315 | 190 | 19 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 25 |
| Newburgh Town 16 | 451 | 824 | 54.73% | 194 | 182 | 37 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Newburgh Town 17 | 607 | 995 | 61.01% | 247 | 288 | 39 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 16 |
| Newburgh Town 18 | 501 | 861 | 58.19% | 220 | 211 | 34 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 22 |
| Newburgh Town 19 | 581 | 959 | 60.58% | 228 | 276 | 35 | 12 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 13 |
| Newburgh Town 20 | 217 | 376 | 57.71% | 117 | 76 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Newburgh Town 21 | 562 | 979 | 57.41% | 208 | 285 | 32 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 18 |
| Newburgh Town 22 | 396 | 650 | 60.92% | 186 | 157 | 19 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 19 |
| Newburgh Town 23 | 580 | 920 | 63.04% | 404 | 114 | 19 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 22 |
| Newburgh Town 24 | 451 | 803 | 56.16% | 200 | 193 | 22 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 16 |
| Newburgh Town 25 | 597 | 1010 | 59.11% | 331 | 189 | 26 | 12 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 22 |
| Newburgh Town 26 | 270 | 424 | 63.68% | 98 | 134 | 17 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Newburgh Town 27 | 202 | 332 | 60.84% | 154 | 34 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Palm Tree 1 | 190 | 388 | 48.97% | 4 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Palm Tree 2 | 528 | 900 | 58.67% | 2 | 26 | 5 | 0 | 455 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 39 |
| Palm Tree 3 | 173 | 288 | 60.07% | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Palm Tree 4 | 263 | 566 | 46.47% | 3 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| Palm Tree 5 | 320 | 640 | 50.00% | 5 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 239 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| Palm Tree 6 | 81 | 320 | 25.31% | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Palm Tree 7 | 325 | 584 | 55.65% | 8 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 262 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| Palm Tree 8 | 395 | 743 | 53.16% | 3 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 301 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| Palm Tree 9 | 216 | 532 | 40.60% | 4 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| Palm Tree 10 | 270 | 574 | 47.04% | 4 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| Palm Tree 11 | 239 | 490 | 48.78% | 3 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 57 |
| Palm Tree 12 | 244 | 442 | 55.20% | 2 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| Palm Tree 13 | 385 | 790 | 48.73% | 9 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 |
| Palm Tree 14 | 302 | 650 | 46.46% | 10 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 77 |

Orange County Official Results
MEMBER OF CONGRESS - 18th CD (Vote for One)
General Election - 11/6/18

| DISTRICT | TOTAL TURNOUT | VOTER REGISTRATION | % TURNOUT | DEM | REP | CON | WOR | IND | WEP | REF | | |
|--------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|
| Palm Tree 15 | 352 | 759 | 46.38% | 6 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 70 |
| Palm Tree 16 | 270 | 570 | 47.37% | 8 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| Palm Tree 17 | 503 | 842 | 59.74% | 4 | 34 | 5 | 0 | 414 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 44 |
| Palm Tree 18 | 21 | 66 | 31.82% | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Palm Tree 19 | 10 | 20 | 50.00% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Tuxedo 1 | 313 | 550 | 56.91% | 146 | 126 | 9 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 12 |
| Tuxedo 2 | 436 | 675 | 64.59% | 202 | 186 | 21 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 13 |
| Tuxedo 3 | 323 | 569 | 56.77% | 172 | 120 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Tuxedo 4 | 504 | 772 | 65.28% | 213 | 240 | 29 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 12 |
| Wallkill 1-1 | 372 | 683 | 54.47% | 160 | 141 | 29 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 25 |
| Wallkill 1-2 | 512 | 861 | 59.47% | 203 | 213 | 44 | 8 | 16 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 19 |
| Wallkill 1-3 | 299 | 577 | 51.82% | 202 | 57 | 10 | 12 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| Wallkill 1-4 | 242 | 441 | 54.88% | 115 | 94 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| Wallkill 1-5 | 163 | 293 | 55.63% | 57 | 86 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| Wallkill 1-6 | 343 | 758 | 45.25% | 226 | 67 | 16 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| Wallkill 1-7 | 245 | 416 | 58.89% | 91 | 110 | 18 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Wallkill 2-1 | 313 | 565 | 55.40% | 159 | 117 | 15 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| Wallkill 2-2 | 331 | 618 | 53.56% | 179 | 115 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 15 |
| Wallkill 2-3 | 222 | 445 | 49.89% | 116 | 75 | 14 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| Wallkill 2-4 | 351 | 670 | 52.39% | 257 | 65 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Wallkill 2-5 | 265 | 565 | 46.90% | 175 | 56 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Wallkill 2-6 | 244 | 415 | 58.80% | 130 | 73 | 17 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| Wallkill 2-7 | 216 | 373 | 57.91% | 124 | 70 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| Wallkill 3-1 | 496 | 831 | 59.69% | 296 | 152 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Wallkill 3-2 | 381 | 766 | 49.74% | 233 | 113 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Wallkill 3-3 | 668 | 997 | 67.00% | 424 | 171 | 27 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| Wallkill 3-4 | 379 | 815 | 46.50% | 254 | 82 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Wallkill 3-5 | 354 | 678 | 52.21% | 197 | 104 | 13 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 26 |
| Wallkill 3-6 | 543 | 1037 | 52.36% | 301 | 175 | 27 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 21 |
| Wallkill 3-7 | 569 | 1065 | 53.43% | 396 | 116 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Wallkill 4-1 | 459 | 811 | 56.60% | 174 | 221 | 28 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 15 |
| Wallkill 4-2 | 216 | 400 | 54.00% | 103 | 76 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Wallkill 4-3 | 274 | 516 | 53.10% | 154 | 84 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Wallkill 4-4 | 505 | 881 | 57.32% | 223 | 211 | 38 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 14 |
| Wallkill 4-5 | 451 | 823 | 54.80% | 171 | 215 | 31 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 |
| Warwick 1 | 377 | 683 | 55.20% | 160 | 173 | 16 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| Warwick 2 | 516 | 805 | 64.10% | 187 | 258 | 40 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 19 |
| Warwick 3 | 397 | 646 | 61.46% | 201 | 146 | 17 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 19 |
| Warwick 4 | 590 | 889 | 66.37% | 280 | 216 | 46 | 19 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 19 |
| Warwick 5 | 423 | 695 | 60.86% | 228 | 134 | 29 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 17 |
| Warwick 6 | 322 | 586 | 54.95% | 143 | 127 | 27 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| Warwick 7 | 364 | 561 | 64.88% | 141 | 166 | 29 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 14 |

Orange County Official Results
MEMBER OF CONGRESS - 18th CD (Vote for One)
General Election - 11/6/18

| DISTRICT | TOTAL | TURNOUT | VOTER REGISTRATION | % TURNOUT | Sean Patrick Maloney | James O'Donnell | James O'Donnell | Sean Patrick Maloney | Sean Patrick Maloney | Sean Patrick Maloney | James O'Donnell | Write-in | Blank |
|-------------|--------|---------|--------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------|-------|
| | | | | DEM | REP | CON | WOR | IND | WEP | REF | | | |
| Warwick 8 | 550 | 911 | 60.37% | 310 | 179 | 18 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 28 | |
| Warwick 9 | 483 | 759 | 63.64% | 211 | 218 | 30 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 9 | |
| Warwick 10 | 433 | 732 | 59.15% | 150 | 199 | 26 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 42 | |
| Warwick 11 | 428 | 754 | 56.76% | 167 | 187 | 28 | 7 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 19 | |
| Warwick 12 | 470 | 781 | 60.18% | 203 | 208 | 31 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | |
| Warwick 13 | 433 | 746 | 58.04% | 218 | 166 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 17 | |
| Warwick 14 | 398 | 672 | 59.23% | 156 | 176 | 24 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 20 | |
| Warwick 15 | 336 | 528 | 63.64% | 137 | 150 | 21 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | |
| Warwick 16 | 523 | 814 | 64.25% | 205 | 233 | 42 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 21 | |
| Warwick 17 | 379 | 642 | 59.03% | 166 | 167 | 24 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | |
| Warwick 18 | 353 | 595 | 59.33% | 148 | 154 | 26 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 | |
| Warwick 19 | 469 | 847 | 55.37% | 198 | 207 | 37 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | |
| Warwick 20 | 520 | 816 | 63.73% | 213 | 225 | 39 | 12 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 14 | |
| Warwick 21 | 624 | 905 | 68.95% | 309 | 241 | 50 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | |
| Warwick 22 | 422 | 668 | 63.17% | 261 | 117 | 19 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 | |
| Warwick 23 | 511 | 834 | 61.27% | 226 | 202 | 53 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 12 | |
| Warwick 24 | 543 | 843 | 64.41% | 219 | 242 | 38 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 21 | |
| Warwick 25 | 408 | 669 | 60.99% | 193 | 148 | 21 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 16 | |
| Warwick 26 | 510 | 832 | 61.30% | 248 | 198 | 38 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 9 | |
| Warwick 27 | 513 | 839 | 61.14% | 208 | 220 | 44 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 13 | |
| Warwick 28 | 438 | 756 | 57.94% | 191 | 179 | 31 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 21 | |
| Warwick 29 | 381 | 659 | 57.81% | 290 | 61 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | |
| Warwick 30 | 299 | 489 | 61.15% | 102 | 155 | 19 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 12 | |
| Warwick 31 | 257 | 417 | 61.63% | 107 | 126 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | |
| Wawayanda 1 | 496 | 853 | 58.15% | 172 | 253 | 43 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 10 | |
| Wawayanda 2 | 484 | 856 | 56.54% | 239 | 174 | 21 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 26 | |
| Wawayanda 3 | 474 | 857 | 55.31% | 190 | 218 | 34 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 16 | |
| Wawayanda 4 | 410 | 703 | 58.32% | 176 | 189 | 25 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 7 | |
| Wawayanda 5 | 403 | 687 | 58.66% | 138 | 214 | 21 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 14 | |
| Wawayanda 6 | 570 | 939 | 60.70% | 207 | 293 | 28 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 26 | |
| Woodbury 1 | 380 | 600 | 63.33% | 153 | 147 | 32 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 28 | |
| Woodbury 2 | 417 | 702 | 59.40% | 195 | 162 | 22 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 20 | |
| Woodbury 3 | 463 | 792 | 58.46% | 234 | 170 | 16 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 24 | |
| Woodbury 4 | 351 | 633 | 55.45% | 168 | 129 | 14 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 22 | |
| Woodbury 5 | 591 | 922 | 64.10% | 312 | 196 | 22 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 41 | |
| Woodbury 6 | 474 | 920 | 51.52% | 202 | 191 | 27 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 34 | |
| Woodbury 7 | 359 | 554 | 64.80% | 192 | 117 | 13 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 15 | |
| Woodbury 8 | 437 | 706 | 61.90% | 175 | 211 | 27 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 13 | |
| Woodbury 9 | 185 | 337 | 54.90% | 129 | 36 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 | |
| Woodbury 10 | 627 | 980 | 63.98% | 321 | 216 | 36 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 23 | |
| GRAND TOTAL | 125924 | 220454 | 57.12% | 57413 | 47444 | 6728 | 1691 | 5549 | 707 | 774 | 39 | 5579 | |

**Orange County Certified Write In's
General Election - 11/6/18**

| Representative in Congress 18th CD | | | |
|---|-------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Town | Dist | Write In | Vote(s) |
| MID | 3-2 | Zephyr Teachout | 1 |
| MID | 3-2 | Deidre Sanchez | 1 |
| MID | 3-2 | Matt Decker | 1 |
| MID | 3-4 | VOID | 1 |
| MID | 4-1 | Naomi Levin | 1 |
| MID | 4-6 | VOID | 2 |
| NBG | 1-4 | VOID | 1 |
| PJ | 2-2 | VOID | 1 |
| BG | 1-4 | VOID | 1 |
| BG | 5-3 | VOID | 1 |
| CW | 3 | VOID | 1 |
| CR | 1 | Michael Dolan | 1 |
| GSN | 13 | Aaron Slotnick | 1 |
| HI | 2 | Kemar Jarrett | 1 |
| HI | 6 | Deborah Kopald | 1 |
| MRO | 7 | Carl Scheuering | 1 |
| MRO | 8 | VOID | 1 |
| MRO | 17 | Charlie Musselwiate | 1 |
| MGY | 10 | Drew Rhodes | 1 |
| MGY | 12 | David Graziano | 1 |
| MH | 4 | Kenneth Pinkela | 2 |
| MH | 4 | Jerry Cook | 1 |
| NW | 10 | VOID | 1 |
| NW | 12 | Antonio Delgado | 1 |
| NW | 18 | Antonio Delgado | 1 |
| NWT | 9 | Anthony DelGado | 1 |
| NWT | 12 | VOID | 1 |
| NWT | 21 | Stacy Adams | 1 |
| NWT | 23 | Torrance Harvey | 1 |
| PT | 14 | Jacob Ferencz | 1 |
| PT | 15 | VOID | 1 |
| WKL | 2-1 | VOID | 1 |
| WKL | 4-5 | Nicholas Sylvester | 1 |
| WAR | 3 | James P. Mahoney | 1 |
| WAR | 4 | Carl Lloyd | 1 |
| WAR | 7 | Cynthia Nixon | 1 |
| WAR | 18 | Hammer Zikopas | 1 |
| TOTAL VOTES | | | 39 |