



name: <unnamed>  
log: E:\16GBBACKUPUSB\BACKUP\_USB\_SEPTEMBER2014\May Baydoun\_folder\HANDLS\_PAPER64\_HCYDEPANXIETY\_LONG\OUTPU  
log type: smcl  
opened on: 21 Jun 2024, 07:05:37

```
1 .  
2 . use finaldata_imputed_FINAL,clear  
  
3 .  
4 . capture mi stset,clear  
  
5 .  
6 . capture drop failure  
  
7 . gen failure=CESDcut16  
   (30,492 missing values generated)  
  
8 .  
9 . save, replace  
   file finaldata_imputed_FINAL.dta saved  
  
10 .  
11 .  
12 . mi stset timew1w3w4, failure(failure) id(HNDID)
```

Survival-time data settings

ID variable: HNDID  
Failure event: failure!=0 & failure<.  
Observed time interval: (timew1w3w4[\_n-1], timew1w3w4]  
Exit on or before: failure

12,079	total observations	
3,720	event time missing (timew1w3w4>=.)	PROBABLE ERROR
6	multiple records at same instant (timew1w3w4[_n-1]==timew1w3w4)	PROBABLE ERROR
3,720	observations end on or before enter()	
1,936	observations begin on or after (first) failure	
2,697	observations remaining, representing	
1,766	subjects	
594	failures in single-failure-per-subject data	
12,771.4	total analysis time at risk and under observation	
	At risk from t =	0
	Earliest observed entry t =	0
	Last observed exit t =	12.5

```
13 .  
14 .
```

15 . \*\*Overall\*\*

16 . stcox c.Lnw1HCyscenter2p15 c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2

Failure \_d: failure

Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4

ID variable: HNDID

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12677.516

Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -12528.555

Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -12519.505

Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -12518.437

Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -12518.381

Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -12518.381

Refining estimates:

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12518.381

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 931

Number of obs = 8,807

No. of failures = 1,562

Time at risk = 39,387.9999

LR chi2(11) = 318.27

Prob &gt; chi2 = 0.0000

Log likelihood = -12518.381

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
Lnw1HCyscenter2p15	1.058304	.0936491	0.64	0.522	.8897905	1.258731
w1Agecent48	.989387	.0029401	-3.59	0.000	.9836413	.9951662
Sex	.7340245	.0410272	-5.53	0.000	.6578607	.8190063
Race	.7288534	.037919	-6.08	0.000	.6581971	.8070945
PovStat	1.106007	.0619529	1.80	0.072	.9910092	1.234348
w1edubr	.8263036	.0387423	-4.07	0.000	.7537546	.9058355
invmillsCES	.9934758	.0019047	-3.41	0.001	.9897496	.997216
w1smoke	1.37802	.0818846	5.40	0.000	1.226522	1.54823
w1currrdrugs	1.632248	.1061316	7.54	0.000	1.436943	1.854098
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.988594	.0024195	-4.69	0.000	.9838633	.9933475
w1BM1cent30	1.013228	.0035991	3.70	0.000	1.006198	1.020306

17 . stcox zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2010\_t

Failure \_d: failure

Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4

ID variable: HNDID

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12673.686

Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -12516.144

Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -12507.515

Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -12506.642

Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -12506.602

Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -12506.602

Refining estimates:

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12506.602

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 929

Number of obs = 8,796

No. of failures = 1,562

Time at risk = 39,292.2999

LR chi2(11) = 334.17

Prob &gt; chi2 = 0.0000

Log likelihood = -12506.602

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ	1.087348	.0280504	3.25	0.001	1.033737	1.14374
w1Agecent48	.9890892	.0028737	-3.78	0.000	.9834728	.9947376
Sex	.7282971	.0390539	-5.91	0.000	.6556381	.8090084
Race	.709945	.037121	-6.55	0.000	.6407932	.7865595
PovStat	1.124959	.0629258	2.11	0.035	1.008147	1.255306
w1edubr	.828973	.039039	-3.98	0.000	.755883	.9091305
invmillsCES	.9935502	.0019244	-3.34	0.001	.9897855	.9973292
w1smoke	1.383155	.0820241	5.47	0.000	1.231382	1.553635
w1currrdrugs	1.622475	.1053901	7.45	0.000	1.428522	1.84276
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9891805	.0024171	-4.45	0.000	.9844544	.9939293
w1BMICent30	1.012183	.0035885	3.42	0.001	1.005174	1.019241

18 .

19 . \*\*Women\*\*

20 . stcox c.Lnw1HCyscenter2p15 c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2

Failure \_d: failure  
 Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
 ID variable: HNDID

note: Sex omitted because of collinearity.

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -6890.0824

Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -6778.4991

Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -6761.9969

Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -6761.2099

Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -6761.183

Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -6761.183

Refining estimates:

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -6761.183

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 510

Number of obs = 4,871

No. of failures = 913

Time at risk = 21,428.3

LR chi2(10) = 257.80

Log likelihood = -6761.183

Prob &gt; chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
Lnw1HCyscenter2p15	1.119925	.1238786	1.02	0.306	.9016433	1.391051
w1Agecent48	.9840176	.0037324	-4.25	0.000	.9767294	.9913601
Sex	1 (omitted)					
Race	.6220264	.0425582	-6.94	0.000	.5439647	.7112903
PovStat	1.139795	.0835652	1.78	0.074	.9872343	1.315932
w1edubr	.8537024	.0521572	-2.59	0.010	.7573595	.962301
invmillsCES	.9934275	.0018495	-3.54	0.000	.9898091	.9970592
w1smoke	1.319749	.1029483	3.56	0.000	1.132641	1.537765
w1currrdrugs	2.037802	.1836153	7.90	0.000	1.70791	2.431415
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.987294	.0031477	-4.01	0.000	.9811438	.9934827
w1BMICent30	1.009503	.0044516	2.14	0.032	1.000816	1.018266

21 . stcox zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2010\_t

Failure \_d: failure  
Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
ID variable: HNDID

note: Sex omitted because of collinearity.  
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -6888.0326  
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -6771.1511  
Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -6752.7757  
Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -6752.2261  
Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -6752.215  
Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -6752.215  
Refining estimates:  
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -6752.215

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 509  
No. of failures = 913  
Time at risk = 21,381.8

Number of obs = 4,866

Log likelihood = -6752.215

LR chi2(10) = 271.64  
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ	1.137019	.0406737	3.59	0.000	1.06003	1.219599
w1Agecent48	.9846111	.0036585	-4.17	0.000	.9774665	.9918078
Sex	1	(omitted)				
Race	.6206071	.0423967	-6.98	0.000	.542834	.709523
PovStat	1.136742	.083859	1.74	0.082	.983711	1.313579
w1edubr	.8544562	.052653	-2.55	0.011	.7572467	.9641447
invmillsCES	.9934436	.001882	-3.47	0.001	.9897617	.9971392
w1smoke	1.31875	.1027659	3.55	0.000	1.131961	1.536363
w1currrdrugs	1.964998	.1786202	7.43	0.000	1.644323	2.348211
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9872693	.003129	-4.04	0.000	.9811555	.9934211
w1BMICent30	1.007201	.0044866	1.61	0.107	.9984458	1.016033

22 .

23 .

24 . \*\*Men\*\*

25 . stcox c.Lnw1HCyscenter2p15 c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2

Failure \_d: failure  
Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
ID variable: HNDID

note: Sex omitted because of collinearity.  
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -4724.9216  
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -4679.2907  
Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -4679.0866  
Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -4679.0866  
Refining estimates:  
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -4679.0866

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = **421**  
 No. of failures = **649**  
 Time at risk = **17,959.7**  
 Log likelihood = **-4679.0866**

Number of obs = **3,936**  
 LR chi2(10) = **91.67**  
 Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
Lnw1HCyscenter2p15	.8671769	.1317286	-0.94	0.348	.6438813	1.167911
w1Agecent48	.9998736	.0047987	-0.03	0.979	.9905125	1.009323
Sex	1 (omitted)					
Race	.9341736	.0773688	-0.82	0.411	.7942013	1.098815
PovStat	1.113929	.0988088	1.22	0.224	.9361667	1.325444
w1edubr	.751329	.0558687	-3.84	0.000	.6494339	.8692114
invmillsCES	1.021284	.0167478	1.28	0.199	.988981	1.054642
w1smoke	1.380993	.1300667	3.43	0.001	1.148213	1.660965
w1currrdrugs	1.304526	.1206305	2.87	0.004	1.088282	1.563738
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9915753	.0038461	-2.18	0.029	.9840656	.9991423
w1BMICent30	1.021222	.0062935	3.41	0.001	1.008962	1.033632

26 . stcox zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2010\_t

Failure \_d: failure  
 Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
 ID variable: HNDID

note: Sex omitted because of collinearity.  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = **-4723.2433**  
 Iteration 1: Log likelihood = **-4677.5043**  
 Iteration 2: Log likelihood = **-4677.2811**  
 Iteration 3: Log likelihood = **-4677.2811**  
 Refining estimates:  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = **-4677.2811**

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = **420**  
 No. of failures = **649**  
 Time at risk = **17,910.5**  
 Log likelihood = **-4677.2811**

Number of obs = **3,930**  
 LR chi2(10) = **91.92**  
 Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ	1.013456	.0389228	0.35	0.728	.9399689	1.092688
w1Agecent48	.9981638	.0047008	-0.39	0.696	.9889928	1.00742
Sex	1 (omitted)					
Race	.9092637	.0764476	-1.13	0.258	.7711235	1.072151
PovStat	1.136014	.1004374	1.44	0.149	.9552719	1.350953
w1edubr	.7549404	.0562241	-3.77	0.000	.6524083	.8735864
invmillsCES	1.01961	.0171258	1.16	0.248	.9865905	1.053734
w1smoke	1.392747	.1311154	3.52	0.000	1.15808	1.674964
w1currrdrugs	1.311762	.1212723	2.94	0.003	1.094363	1.572347
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.992328	.0038529	-1.98	0.047	.984805	.9999084
w1BMICent30	1.020486	.0062598	3.31	0.001	1.008291	1.032829

```

27 .
28 .
29 . **White**
30 . stcox c.lnw1HCyscenter2p15 c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currdrugs c.w1hei2

```

```
Failure _d: failure
Analysis time _t: timew1w3w4
ID variable: HNDID
```

note: **Race** omitted because of collinearity.

```
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -5128.5281
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -5113.2233
Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -5051.8615
Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -5040.4841
Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -5038.4478
Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -5038.2939
Iteration 6: Log likelihood = -5038.2924
Iteration 7: Log likelihood = -5038.2924
Refining estimates:
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -5038.2924
```

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 392  
No. of failures = 702  
Time at risk = 15,716.9

Number of obs = 3,636

```
LR chi2(10)    = 180.47
Prob > chi2    = 0.0000
```

	_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	Lnw1HCyscenter2p15	.5013428	.0744575	-4.65	0.000	.3747293	.6707365
	w1Agecent48	.9921146	.0044206	-1.78	0.076	.9834882	1.000817
	Sex	.6902015	.0578097	-4.43	0.000	.5857081	.813337
	Race	1	(omitted)				
	PovStat	1.346182	.1202437	3.33	0.001	1.129986	1.603744
	w1edubr	.7203771	.0488303	-4.84	0.000	.6307566	.8227313
	invmlsCES	.9933027	.0017652	-3.78	0.000	.989849	.9967685
	w1smoke	1.146247	.1097383	1.43	0.154	.9501382	1.382833
	w1curdrugs	1.53858	.1670805	3.97	0.000	1.243612	1.903512
	w1BM1cent30	.9950129	.0033822	-1.47	0.141	.988406	1.001664
		1.011792	.0057548	2.06	0.039	1.000575	1.023134

```
31 . stcox zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currdrugs c.w1hei2010 t
```

```
Failure _d: failure
Analysis time _t: timew1w3w4
ID variable: HNDID
```

note: **Race** omitted because of collinearity.

```
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -5123.9166
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -5108.5163
Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -5053.8093
Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -5043.348
Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -5041.5105
Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -5041.3807
Iteration 6: Log likelihood = -5041.3796
Iteration 7: Log likelihood = -5041.3796
Refining estimates:
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -5041.3796
```

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 390  
 No. of failures = 702  
 Time at risk = 15,621.2

Number of obs = 3,625

Log likelihood = -5041.3796

LR chi2(10) = 165.07  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ	1.025694	.0506369	0.51	0.607	.9310976	1.1299
w1Agecent48	.9873789	.0043415	-2.89	0.004	.9789062	.9959248
Sex	.6225719	.0497541	-5.93	0.000	.5323094	.7281402
Race	1 (omitted)					
PovStat	1.39637	.1240894	3.76	0.000	1.173162	1.662047
w1edubr	.7297261	.0491071	-4.68	0.000	.6395553	.8326101
invmillscES	.9938842	.0017736	-3.44	0.001	.9904141	.9973664
w1smoke	1.189726	.1120712	1.84	0.065	.9891552	1.430966
w1currrdrugs	1.512876	.1641731	3.82	0.000	1.223019	1.871429
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9968219	.0033987	-0.93	0.351	.9901829	1.003506
w1BMICent30	1.006145	.0057074	1.08	0.280	.9950209	1.017394

32 .

33 .

34 . \*\*AA\*\*

35 . stcox c.Lnw1HCyscenter2p15 c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillscES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2

Failure \_d: failure  
 Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
 ID variable: HNDID

note: Race omitted because of collinearity.

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -6473.6603

Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -6384.2712

Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -6381.8917

Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -6381.8906

Refining estimates:

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -6381.8906

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 539  
 No. of failures = 860  
 Time at risk = 23,671.0999

Number of obs = 5,171

Log likelihood = -6381.8906

LR chi2(10) = 183.54  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
Lnw1HCyscenter2p15	1.493995	.1443931	4.15	0.000	1.236179	1.805581
w1Agecent48	.9864788	.0040187	-3.34	0.001	.9786336	.9943869
Sex	.7549102	.0570126	-3.72	0.000	.6510443	.8753465
Race	1 (omitted)					
PovStat	1.003205	.0737178	0.04	0.965	.8686432	1.158612
w1edubr	.9769229	.0646138	-0.35	0.724	.8581471	1.112138
invmillscES	1.00946	.0076615	1.24	0.215	.994555	1.024588
w1smoke	1.438871	.1113537	4.70	0.000	1.236367	1.674542
w1currrdrugs	1.722828	.142107	6.59	0.000	1.465652	2.025131
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.981187	.0035132	-5.30	0.000	.9743255	.9880969
w1BMICent30	1.013922	.0047031	2.98	0.003	1.004745	1.023182

36 . stcox zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2010\_t

Failure \_d: failure  
Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
ID variable: HNDID

note: Race omitted because of collinearity.

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -6473.6603  
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -6387.8502  
Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -6385.5051  
Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -6385.5038  
Refining estimates:  
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -6385.5038

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 539  
No. of failures = 860  
Time at risk = 23,671.0999

Number of obs = 5,171

Log likelihood = -6385.5038

LR chi2(10) = 176.31  
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ	1.095636	.034184	2.93	0.003	1.030644	1.164726
w1Agecent48	.9896422	.0039314	-2.62	0.009	.9819667	.9973777
Sex	.792449	.0588229	-3.13	0.002	.6851526	.9165483
Race	1 (omitted)					
PovStat	1.00562	.0740525	0.08	0.939	.870468	1.161757
w1edubr	.9726839	.064486	-0.42	0.676	.8541608	1.107653
invmillsCES	1.010478	.007708	1.37	0.172	.9954828	1.025699
w1smoke	1.446252	.1118919	4.77	0.000	1.242765	1.683056
w1currrdrugs	1.711085	.1414618	6.50	0.000	1.455123	2.012072
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9812661	.0034954	-5.31	0.000	.9744391	.9881409
w1BMICent30	1.013936	.0047192	2.97	0.003	1.004728	1.023227

37 .

38 .

39 . \*\*Above Poverty\*\*

40 . stcox c.Lnw1HCyscenter2p15 c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2

Failure \_d: failure  
Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
ID variable: HNDID

note: PovStat omitted because of collinearity.

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -7368.8194  
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -7254.9382  
Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -7251.4086  
Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -7251.4005  
Refining estimates:  
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -7251.4005

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties



No. of subjects = **641**  
 No. of failures = **949**  
 Time at risk = **26,839.2**

Number of obs = **6,149**

Log likelihood = **-7251.4005**

LR chi2(10) = **234.84**  
 Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
Lnw1HCyscenter2p15	.7462954	.0926211	-2.36	0.018	.5851538	.9518127
w1Agecent48	.9846834	.0037018	-4.11	0.000	.9774547	.9919656
Sex	.7946566	.0578003	-3.16	0.002	.6890747	.9164161
Race	.7900857	.0526033	-3.54	0.000	.6934289	.9002156
PovStat	1 (omitted)					
w1edubr	.7946791	.0484974	-3.77	0.000	.7050906	.8956508
invmillsCES	1.006932	.0076663	0.91	0.364	.9920178	1.02207
w1smoke	1.477061	.1086847	5.30	0.000	1.278691	1.706206
w1currrdrugs	1.806366	.1549161	6.89	0.000	1.526883	2.137007
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9874025	.0029929	-4.18	0.000	.9815539	.993286
w1BMICent30	1.025256	.0047127	5.43	0.000	1.016061	1.034534

41 . stcox zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2010\_t

Failure \_d: failure  
 Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
 ID variable: HNDID

note: PovStat omitted because of collinearity.

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = **-7368.8194**

Iteration 1: Log likelihood = **-7257.6788**

Iteration 2: Log likelihood = **-7254.1302**

Iteration 3: Log likelihood = **-7254.1223**

Refining estimates:

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = **-7254.1223**

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = **641**  
 No. of failures = **949**  
 Time at risk = **26,839.2**

Number of obs = **6,149**

Log likelihood = **-7254.1223**

LR chi2(10) = **229.39**  
 Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ	1.019938	.0364692	0.55	0.581	.9509065	1.09398
w1Agecent48	.9822455	.0035798	-4.92	0.000	.9752543	.9892869
Sex	.7445073	.0511843	-4.29	0.000	.6506531	.8518995
Race	.7819123	.0525235	-3.66	0.000	.6854569	.8919406
PovStat	1 (omitted)					
w1edubr	.8051337	.0490041	-3.56	0.000	.7145951	.9071435
invmillsCES	1.007504	.0078077	0.96	0.335	.9923169	1.022924
w1smoke	1.481426	.1090532	5.34	0.000	1.282389	1.711355
w1currrdrugs	1.771917	.152017	6.67	0.000	1.497672	2.09638
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9882805	.0029975	-3.89	0.000	.982423	.9941729
w1BMICent30	1.024789	.0046741	5.37	0.000	1.015669	1.033991

42 .  
 43 .  
 44 . **\*\*Below Poverty\*\***  
 45 . stcox c.lnw1HCyscenter2p15 c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2010\_total\_scorecent43 w1BMICent30

Failure \_d: failure  
 Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
 ID variable: HNDID

note: **PovStat** omitted because of collinearity.

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = **-4250.9672**  
 Iteration 1: Log likelihood = **-4206.2509**  
 Iteration 2: Log likelihood = **-4190.3319**  
 Iteration 3: Log likelihood = **-4189.9994**  
 Iteration 4: Log likelihood = **-4189.994**  
 Iteration 5: Log likelihood = **-4189.994**  
 Refining estimates:  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = **-4189.994**

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = **290**  
 No. of failures = **613**  
 Time at risk = **12,548.8**

Number of obs = **2,658**

Log likelihood = **-4189.994**

LR chi2(10) = **121.95**  
 Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
lnw1HCyscenter2p15	<b>1.595719</b>	<b>.1971992</b>	<b>3.78</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>1.252463</b>	<b>2.03305</b>
w1Agecent48	<b>.997753</b>	<b>.0049777</b>	<b>-0.45</b>	<b>0.652</b>	<b>.9880444</b>	<b>1.007557</b>
Sex	<b>.6909353</b>	<b>.0613804</b>	<b>-4.16</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>.580523</b>	<b>.8223475</b>
Race	<b>.5568878</b>	<b>.0487212</b>	<b>-6.69</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>.4691346</b>	<b>.6610555</b>
PovStat	<b>1 (omitted)</b>					
w1edubr	<b>.8353832</b>	<b>.0604658</b>	<b>-2.48</b>	<b>0.013</b>	<b>.7248948</b>	<b>.9627123</b>
invmillsCES	<b>.9930499</b>	<b>.0018273</b>	<b>-3.79</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>.9894749</b>	<b>.9966379</b>
w1smoke	<b>1.113015</b>	<b>.1100497</b>	<b>1.08</b>	<b>0.279</b>	<b>.916934</b>	<b>1.351026</b>
w1currrdrugs	<b>1.532134</b>	<b>.1548689</b>	<b>4.22</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>1.256773</b>	<b>1.867827</b>
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	<b>.9937148</b>	<b>.0041775</b>	<b>-1.50</b>	<b>0.134</b>	<b>.9855607</b>	<b>1.001936</b>
w1BMICent30	<b>.9920346</b>	<b>.0056829</b>	<b>-1.40</b>	<b>0.163</b>	<b>.9809587</b>	<b>1.003236</b>

46 . stcox zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2010\_total\_scorecent43 w1BMICent30

Failure \_d: failure  
 Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
 ID variable: HNDID

note: **PovStat** omitted because of collinearity.

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = **-4246.3196**  
 Iteration 1: Log likelihood = **-4194.0144**  
 Iteration 2: Log likelihood = **-4177.8636**  
 Iteration 3: Log likelihood = **-4177.5684**  
 Iteration 4: Log likelihood = **-4177.5647**  
 Iteration 5: Log likelihood = **-4177.5647**  
 Refining estimates:  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = **-4177.5647**

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 288  
 No. of failures = 613  
 Time at risk = 12,453.1

Number of obs = 2,647

Log likelihood = -4177.5647

LR chi2(10) = 137.51  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ	1.216863	.0481893	4.96	0.000	1.125986	1.315075
w1Agecent48	.9990196	.004854	-0.20	0.840	.9895511	1.008579
Sex	.7156763	.0621599	-3.85	0.000	.6036506	.8484917
Race	.5227309	.0462382	-7.33	0.000	.4395265	.6216863
PovStat	1 (omitted)					
w1edubr	.8552293	.0625406	-2.14	0.032	.7410313	.987026
invmillsCES	.9927396	.0018445	-3.92	0.000	.989131	.9963613
w1smoke	1.148229	.1138076	1.39	0.163	.9454987	1.394428
w1currrdrugs	1.490764	.1505749	3.95	0.000	1.223019	1.817124
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9941851	.0041704	-1.39	0.164	.9860447	1.002393
w1BMICent30	.9876869	.0057903	-2.11	0.035	.9764031	.999101

47 .

48 .

49 . \*\*Below Median Anxiety score\*\*

50 . stcox c.Lnw1HCyscenter2p15 c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2

Failure \_d: failure  
 Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
 ID variable: HNDID

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -5618.421  
 Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -5578.4342  
 Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -5578.1714  
 Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -5578.1713  
 Refining estimates:  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -5578.1713

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 535  
 No. of failures = 736  
 Time at risk = 23,631.7

Number of obs = 5,201

Log likelihood = -5578.1713

LR chi2(11) = 80.50  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
Lnw1HCyscenter2p15	1.621813	.1774963	4.42	0.000	1.308708	2.009828
w1Agecent48	.9938877	.0044207	-1.38	0.168	.9852609	1.00259
Sex	.7859937	.0645428	-2.93	0.003	.6691471	.9232441
Race	.8774167	.0687035	-1.67	0.095	.7525841	1.022956
PovStat	1.003386	.0840511	0.04	0.968	.8514616	1.182418
w1edubr	.767592	.0536948	-3.78	0.000	.6692478	.8803877
invmillsCES	1.011513	.0093393	1.24	0.215	.9933731	1.029984
w1smoke	1.299405	.1097359	3.10	0.002	1.101184	1.533307
w1currrdrugs	1.227489	.124732	2.02	0.044	1.005825	1.498004
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9944456	.0035154	-1.58	0.115	.9875794	1.00136
w1BMICent30	1.01215	.0054487	2.24	0.025	1.001527	1.022886

51 . stcox zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2010\_t

Failure \_d: failure  
Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
ID variable: HNDID

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -5615.3762  
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -5580.245  
Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -5580.1068  
Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -5580.1068  
Refining estimates:  
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -5580.1068

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 533 Number of obs = 5,190  
No. of failures = 736  
Time at risk = 23,536  
Log likelihood = -5580.1068  
LR chi2(11) = 70.54  
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ	1.0963	.039202	2.57	0.010	1.022096	1.175891
w1Agecent48	.9979933	.0042428	-0.47	0.637	.9897121	1.006344
Sex	.8384555	.0671498	-2.20	0.028	.7166538	.9809585
Race	.850623	.0673484	-2.04	0.041	.7283548	.9934163
PovStat	1.027535	.0861714	0.32	0.746	.8717919	1.211101
w1edubr	.7763155	.0546093	-3.60	0.000	.6763339	.8910774
invmillsCES	1.010691	.0096022	1.12	0.263	.9920453	1.029687
w1smoke	1.304301	.1100999	3.15	0.002	1.105415	1.53897
w1currrdrugs	1.254056	.1272927	2.23	0.026	1.027817	1.530093
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9936823	.0034755	-1.81	0.070	.9868937	1.000518
w1BMICent30	1.011444	.0054928	2.10	0.036	1.000735	1.022267

52 .

53 .

54 . \*\*Above Median Anxiety score\*\*

55 . stcox c.Lnw1HCyscenter2p15 c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2

Failure \_d: failure  
Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
ID variable: HNDID

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -3474.9075  
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -3407.2325  
Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -3382.7146  
Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -3382.0281  
Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -3382.0134  
Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -3382.0134  
Refining estimates:  
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -3382.0134

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 233 Number of obs = 2,126  
No. of failures = 525  
Time at risk = 9,539.8  
Log likelihood = -3382.0134  
LR chi2(11) = 185.79  
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
Lnw1HCyscenter2p15	1.215412	.1715811	1.38	0.167	.9216335	1.602834
w1Agecent48	.9856477	.0053739	-2.65	0.008	.9751711	.9962368
Sex	.7390504	.0723592	-3.09	0.002	.6100063	.8953932
Race	.6564466	.0594746	-4.65	0.000	.549642	.7840052
PovStat	1.182163	.1221951	1.62	0.105	.9653666	1.447646
w1edubr	.8009562	.0682504	-2.60	0.009	.6777615	.9465437
inv millsCES	.9917061	.001715	-4.82	0.000	.9883505	.9950732
w1smoke	1.354763	.1442622	2.85	0.004	1.09957	1.669182
w1currrdrugs	1.829867	.2050581	5.39	0.000	1.469035	2.279327
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9823832	.0043267	-4.04	0.000	.9739395	.9909001
w1BMICent30	1.017126	.0063255	2.73	0.006	1.004804	1.0296

56 . stcox zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.inv millsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1hei2010\_t

Failure \_d: failure  
 Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
 ID variable: HNDID

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -3474.9075  
 Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -3407.0863  
 Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -3383.1417  
 Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -3382.4754  
 Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -3382.462  
 Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -3382.462  
 Refining estimates:  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -3382.462

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 233  
 No. of failures = 525  
 Time at risk = 9,539.8

Number of obs = 2,126

Log likelihood = -3382.462

LR chi2(11) = 184.89  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ	1.04634	.0481601	0.98	0.325	.9560803	1.14512
w1Agecent48	.9860646	.0053736	-2.58	0.010	.9755886	.996653
Sex	.7640022	.0721552	-2.85	0.004	.634898	.9193592
Race	.6583623	.0596614	-4.61	0.000	.5512242	.7863241
PovStat	1.158285	.1200065	1.42	0.156	.9454209	1.419077
w1edubr	.7889461	.0672214	-2.78	0.005	.667608	.9323375
inv millsCES	.9914784	.0017182	-4.94	0.000	.9881166	.9948517
w1smoke	1.356421	.1449663	2.85	0.004	1.100077	1.672499
w1currrdrugs	1.828568	.2057733	5.36	0.000	1.466639	2.279812
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9826381	.0043525	-3.95	0.000	.9741444	.9912059
w1BMICent30	1.017317	.0063474	2.75	0.006	1.004952	1.029834

57 .

58 . \*\*Interaction by sex\*\*

59 . stcox c.lnw1HCyscenter2p15##Sex c.w1Agecent48 Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1he

Failure \_d: failure  
 Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
 ID variable: HNDID

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12677.516  
 Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -12528.556  
 Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -12519.252  
 Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -12518.135  
 Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -12518.074  
 Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -12518.074  
 Refining estimates:  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12518.074

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 931  
 No. of failures = 1,562  
 Time at risk = 39,387.9999

Number of obs = 8,807

Log likelihood = -12518.074

LR chi2(12) = 318.88  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
Lnw1HCyscenter2p15	1.112325	.1201231	0.99	0.324	.9001361	1.374534
Sex						
Men	.7367546	.0411155	-5.47	0.000	.6604204	.8219118
Sex#c.lnw1HCyscenter2p15						
Men	.8681842	.1569328	-0.78	0.434	.6091846	1.2373
w1Agecent48	.9894383	.0029382	-3.58	0.000	.9836962	.9952139
Race	.7314986	.0382	-5.99	0.000	.6603322	.8103349
PovStat	1.102444	.0619031	1.74	0.082	.9875541	1.2307
w1edubr	.8258616	.0387033	-4.08	0.000	.753384	.9053117
invmillsCES	.9934951	.0019038	-3.41	0.001	.9897707	.9972335
w1smoke	1.376271	.0818193	5.37	0.000	1.224898	1.54635
w1currrdrugs	1.631585	.1060952	7.53	0.000	1.436348	1.85336
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9885768	.0024196	-4.69	0.000	.9838458	.9933305
w1BMIcent30	1.013152	.0036005	3.68	0.000	1.006119	1.020233

60 . stcox c.zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ##Sex c.w1Agecent48 Sex Race PovStat w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currrdrugs c.w1he

Failure \_d: failure  
 Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
 ID variable: HNDID

note: Sex omitted because of collinearity.  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12673.686  
 Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -12516.197  
 Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -12504.733  
 Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -12503.921  
 Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -12503.889  
 Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -12503.889  
 Refining estimates:  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12503.889

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 929  
 No. of failures = 1,562  
 Time at risk = 39,292.2999

Number of obs = 8,796

Log likelihood = -12503.889

LR chi2(12) = 339.60  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ	1.153852	.0403745	4.09	0.000	1.077372	1.235761
Sex						
Men	.7301737	.0389331	-5.90	0.000	.6577182	.8106109
Sex#c.zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ						
Men	.8865402	.045837	-2.33	0.020	.8011034	.9810888
w1Agecent48	.9891272	.0028729	-3.76	0.000	.9835124	.994774
Sex	1	(omitted)				
Race	.7212663	.037945	-6.21	0.000	.6506013	.7996066
PovStat	1.110517	.062487	1.86	0.062	.9945568	1.239998
w1edubr	.8226043	.0387824	-4.14	0.000	.7499984	.9022389
invmlsCES	.9934893	.0019305	-3.36	0.001	.9897127	.9972803
w1smoke	1.374803	.0817139	5.36	0.000	1.223623	1.544661
w1currdrugs	1.614129	.1050014	7.36	0.000	1.42091	1.833624
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9891152	.0024174	-4.48	0.000	.9843886	.9938645
w1BMICent30	1.011409	.0036134	3.18	0.001	1.004352	1.018516

61 .

62 .

63 . \*\*Interaction by Race\*\*

64 . stcox c.Lnw1HCyscenter2p15##Race Sex c.w1Agecent48

PovStat w1edubr c.invmlsCES w1smoke w1currdrugs c.w1h

Failure \_d: failure

Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4

ID variable: HNDID

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12677.516

Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -12506.72

Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -12498.139

Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -12497.187

Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -12497.141

Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -12497.141

Refining estimates:

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12497.141

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 931  
 No. of failures = 1,562  
 Time at risk = 39,387.9999

Number of obs = 8,807

Log likelihood = -12497.141

LR chi2(12) = 360.75  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
Lnw1HCyscenter2p15	.5029862	.072663	-4.76	0.000	.3789559	.6676109
Race						
AfrAm	.7498842	.0398047	-5.42	0.000	.6757894	.8321028
Race#c.Lnw1HCyscenter2p15						
AfrAm	2.99867	.5017163	6.56	0.000	2.160288	4.162418
Sex	.7410761	.0412655	-5.38	0.000	.6644544	.8265336
w1Agecent48	.9893998	.002934	-3.59	0.000	.9836659	.9951671
PovStat	1.121598	.0629749	2.04	0.041	1.004718	1.252074
w1edubr	.8219596	.0387564	-4.16	0.000	.7494028	.9015414
inv millsCES	.9930469	.0018969	-3.65	0.000	.989336	.9967718
w1smoke	1.353887	.0805918	5.09	0.000	1.204796	1.521428
w1curdrugs	1.639392	.1064519	7.61	0.000	1.443481	1.861892
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9881584	.0024168	-4.87	0.000	.9834329	.9929067
w1BMICent30	1.014982	.0036057	4.19	0.000	1.007939	1.022074

65 . stcox c.zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ##Race Sex c.w1Agecent48 Sex PovStat w1edubr c.inv millsCES w1smoke w1curdrugs c.w1h

Failure \_d: failure  
 Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
 ID variable: HNDID

note: Sex omitted because of collinearity.  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12673.686  
 Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -12515.433  
 Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -12506.645  
 Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -12505.763  
 Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -12505.724  
 Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -12505.723  
 Refining estimates:  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12505.723

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 929  
 No. of failures = 1,562  
 Time at risk = 39,292.2999

Number of obs = 8,796

Log likelihood = -12505.723

LR chi2(12) = 335.93  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ	1.032093	.0498747	0.65	0.513	.9388268	1.134624
Race						
AfrAm	.7113861	.0373619	-6.48	0.000	.641801	.7885156
Race#c.zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ						
AfrAm	1.07764	.0615276	1.31	0.190	.9635507	1.205238
Sex	.7237597	.0389703	-6.00	0.000	.6512716	.8043159
w1Agecent48	.9890439	.002876	-3.79	0.000	.9834231	.9946968
Sex	1 (omitted)					
PovStat	1.131113	.063402	2.20	0.028	1.01343	1.262462
w1edubr	.8302939	.0390982	-3.95	0.000	.7570929	.9105726
inv millsCES	.9935469	.0019136	-3.36	0.001	.9898033	.9973046



	w1smoke	1.383876	.0820012	5.48	0.000	1.232138	1.5543
	w1currdrugs	1.627162	.1057389	7.49	0.000	1.432573	1.848183
wlhei2010_total_scorecent43		.9892456	.0024159	-4.43	0.000	.9845219	.993992
	w1BMICent30	1.012597	.0036021	3.52	0.000	1.005561	1.019681

66 .

67 .

68 . \*\*Interaction by Poverty Status\*\*

69 . stcox c.Lnw1HCyscenter2p15##PovStat Race Sex c.w1Agecent48 w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currdrugs c.w1

Failure \_d: failure  
 Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
 ID variable: HNDID

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12677.516  
 Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -12519.322  
 Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -12509.802  
 Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -12508.762  
 Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -12508.709  
 Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -12508.709  
 Refining estimates:  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12508.709

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 931  
 No. of failures = 1,562  
 Time at risk = 39,387.9999

Number of obs = 8,807

Log likelihood = -12508.709

LR chi2(12) = 337.62  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

	_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]
	Lnw1HCyscenter2p15	.7660349	.0904589	-2.26	0.024	.6077607 .9655272
	PovStat Below	1.108514	.0621028	1.84	0.066	.9932397 1.237168
PovStat#c.Lnw1HCyscenter2p15	Below	2.082257	.3431549	4.45	0.000	1.507498 2.876152
	Race	.7355109	.0382788	-5.90	0.000	.6641855 .8144958
	Sex	.7486856	.0419037	-5.17	0.000	.6709003 .8354895
	w1Agecent48	.9900246	.0029452	-3.37	0.001	.9842688 .995814
	w1edubr	.8100801	.0381177	-4.48	0.000	.7387123 .8883429
	invmillsCES	.9937133	.0019197	-3.26	0.001	.9899578 .997483
	w1smoke	1.370394	.0814255	5.30	0.000	1.219745 1.539649
	w1currdrugs	1.643589	.1069652	7.63	0.000	1.446761 1.867195
wlhei2010_total_scorecent43		.988135	.0024186	-4.88	0.000	.9834061 .9928867
	w1BMICent30	1.013012	.0035964	3.64	0.000	1.005987 1.020085

70 . stcox c.zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ##PovStat Race Sex c.w1Agecent48 Sex wledubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1currdrugs c.w1

Failure \_d: failure  
 Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
 ID variable: HNDID

note: Sex omitted because of collinearity.  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12673.686  
 Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -12515.836  
 Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -12504.383  
 Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -12503.595  
 Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -12503.565  
 Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -12503.565  
 Refining estimates:  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -12503.565

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 929  
 No. of failures = 1,562  
 Time at risk = 39,292.2999

Number of obs = 8,796

Log likelihood = -12503.565

LR chi2(12) = 340.24  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ	1.030247	.0359673	0.85	0.393	.9621102	1.103209
PovStat Below	1.11526	.0626011	1.94	0.052	.9990727	1.24496
PovStat#c.zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ Below	1.137088	.0589504	2.48	0.013	1.027224	1.258703
Race	.7171975	.0375813	-6.34	0.000	.6471957	.7947707
Sex	.7333244	.0392989	-5.79	0.000	.6602071	.8145393
w1Agecent48	.9893117	.0028683	-3.71	0.000	.9837059	.9949494
Sex	1 (omitted)					
wledubr	.8249898	.0388942	-4.08	0.000	.7521745	.9048541
invmillsCES	.9934822	.0019378	-3.35	0.001	.9896913	.9972875
w1smoke	1.394613	.0827806	5.60	0.000	1.241449	1.566675
w1currdrugs	1.614075	.1049228	7.37	0.000	1.420991	1.833395
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9888817	.0024211	-4.57	0.000	.9841478	.9936384
w1BMIcent30	1.011433	.0036075	3.19	0.001	1.004387	1.018528

71 .

72 .

73 .

74 . \*\*Interaction by Anxiety score status\*\*

75 . stcox c.Lnw1HCyscenter2p15##w1ANXIETYbr PovStat Race Sex c.w1Agecent48

w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1cu

Failure \_d: failure  
 Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
 ID variable: HNDID

Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -10006.624  
 Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -9874.4033  
 Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -9835.9059  
 Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -9835.6054  
 Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -9835.6022  
 Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -9835.6022  
 Refining estimates:  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -9835.6022

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = 768  
 No. of failures = 1,261  
 Time at risk = 33,171.4999

Number of obs = 7,327

Log likelihood = -9835.6022

LR chi2(13) = 342.04  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
Lnw1HCyscenter2p15	1.630072	.1743639	4.57	0.000	1.321771	2.010283
2.w1ANXIETYbr	1.605829	.096152	7.91	0.000	1.428012	1.805787
w1ANXIETYbr#c.Lnw1HCyscenter2p15						
2	.7150982	.1189314	-2.02	0.044	.5161765	.9906793
PovStat	1.104296	.0694358	1.58	0.115	.9762563	1.249129
Race	.7776932	.0456078	-4.29	0.000	.6932496	.8724227
Sex	.7454688	.0461062	-4.75	0.000	.6603647	.8415407
w1Agecent48	.9908572	.0033563	-2.71	0.007	.9843008	.9974573
w1edubr	.7716371	.0411199	-4.86	0.000	.6951095	.8565898
invmillsCES	.9929487	.0017566	-4.00	0.000	.9895118	.9963976
w1smoke	1.323035	.0871206	4.25	0.000	1.162842	1.505297
w1currdugs	1.496644	.1090264	5.54	0.000	1.297511	1.72634
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	.9898747	.0027007	-3.73	0.000	.9845955	.9951823
w1BMICent30	1.013087	.0040009	3.29	0.001	1.005276	1.020959

76 . stcox c.zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ##w1ANXIETYbr PovStat Race Sex c.w1Agecent48 Sex

w1edubr c.invmillsCES w1smoke w1cu

Failure \_d: failure  
 Analysis time \_t: timew1w3w4  
 ID variable: HNDID

note: Sex omitted because of collinearity.  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -10002.981  
 Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -9873.0217  
 Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -9836.9925  
 Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -9836.6623  
 Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -9836.6588  
 Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -9836.6588  
 Refining estimates:  
 Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -9836.6588

Cox regression with Breslow method for ties

No. of subjects = **766**  
 No. of failures = **1,261**  
 Time at risk = **33,075.7999**  
 Log likelihood = **-9836.6588**

Number of obs = **7,316**  
 LR chi2(13) = **332.65**  
 Prob > chi2 = **0.0000**

_t	Haz. ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ	<b>1.091336</b>	<b>.0387904</b>	<b>2.46</b>	<b>0.014</b>	<b>1.017896</b>	<b>1.170074</b>
2.w1ANXIETYbr	<b>1.582383</b>	<b>.0942942</b>	<b>7.70</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>1.407954</b>	<b>1.778421</b>
w1ANXIETYbr#c.zw1w3w4HCysTRAJ						
2	<b>.9754033</b>	<b>.0551448</b>	<b>-0.44</b>	<b>0.660</b>	<b>.8730944</b>	<b>1.089701</b>
PovStat	<b>1.11033</b>	<b>.0700051</b>	<b>1.66</b>	<b>0.097</b>	<b>.9812616</b>	<b>1.256376</b>
Race	<b>.7640503</b>	<b>.0450938</b>	<b>-4.56</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>.6805884</b>	<b>.8577475</b>
Sex	<b>.7838003</b>	<b>.0470273</b>	<b>-4.06</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>.6968416</b>	<b>.8816106</b>
w1Agecent48	<b>.9934014</b>	<b>.0032826</b>	<b>-2.00</b>	<b>0.045</b>	<b>.9869884</b>	<b>.9998561</b>
Sex	<b>1</b>	(omitted)				
w1edubr	<b>.7771392</b>	<b>.0415171</b>	<b>-4.72</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>.6998824</b>	<b>.8629241</b>
invmillscES	<b>.9927035</b>	<b>.0017454</b>	<b>-4.17</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>.9892885</b>	<b>.9961303</b>
w1smoke	<b>1.329798</b>	<b>.0875331</b>	<b>4.33</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>1.168842</b>	<b>1.512918</b>
w1currdrugs	<b>1.513759</b>	<b>.1102755</b>	<b>5.69</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>1.312345</b>	<b>1.746087</b>
w1hei2010_total_scorecent43	<b>.9896848</b>	<b>.0026872</b>	<b>-3.82</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>.984432</b>	<b>.9949657</b>
w1BMICent30	<b>1.012534</b>	<b>.0040352</b>	<b>3.13</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>1.004656</b>	<b>1.020474</b>

77 .  
 78 .  
 79 .  
 80 .  
 81 . capture log close