Commentary: Measuring Advance Access Publication Date: 14 December 2021 excess mortality due to the COVID-19 pandemic: progress and persistent challenges



Stéphane Helleringer¹* and Bernardo Lanza Queiroz²

¹New York University—Abu Dhabi Campus, Division of Social Science, Program in Social Research and Public Policy, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates and ²Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Department of Demography and Centro de Desenvolvimento e Planejamento Regional (CEDEPLAR), Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil

*Corresponding author. New York University—Abu Dhabi Campus, Social Science Building (A5), Saadiyat Campus, PO Box 129188, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates United Arab Emirates, E-mail; sh199@nvu.edu

Received 15 October 2021: Editorial decision 23 November 2021: Accepted 30 November 2021

Since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, health authorities worldwide periodically report COVID-19 cases and deaths. These 'official' counts are aggregated primarily from data compiled by laboratories and hospitals, and they document, imperfectly, the effects of COVID-19 on population health.^{2–4} Case counts are partial due to limited testing⁵ and death counts might miss COVID deaths that occur outside of health facilities.⁶ These figures also exclude non-COVID deaths which are indirectly a result of the pandemic (e.g. because of disrupted health services).

The total effects of the pandemic are more reliably captured by the concept of 'excess mortality'. This is the difference between the number of deaths (from any cause) that occur during the pandemic and the number of deaths that would have occurred in the absence of the pandemic. Many analysts consider excess mortality as 'the most objective possible indicator of the COVID-19 death toll'.8

Four recent papers in the International Journal of Epidemiology used this counterfactual approach to paint a nuanced picture of the impact of COVID-19.9-12 In 2020, countries like Japan¹² and Denmark¹⁰ experienced lower than expected mortality, whereas deaths have increased substantially in the USA, UK and other countries. 9-11 Excess mortality has affected older adults disproportionately, but increases in mortality among people of working age were observed in the USA and elsewhere. Gender differences in excess mortality have varied across countries. Excess deaths were also concentrated in socioeconomically disadvantaged groups. 11

Data from systems that continuously record all deaths, irrespective of their cause(s), testing status and the place where they occurred, are essential in investigations of excess mortality. The four recent International Journal of Epidemiology papers relied on civil registration—the administrative systems that aim to record all vital events. In Ecuador, excess deaths estimated from civil registration data were five times larger than the number of reported COVID-19 deaths. 11 Similar discrepancies between excess mortality estimates and official counts of COVID-19 deaths have been documented elsewhere. 13

International Journal of Epidemiology, 2022, 85-87

doi: 10.1093/iie/dvab260

Measuring excess mortality requires comparing weekly or monthly counts of deaths with the expected number of deaths in that same week or month. In some studies, this baseline value is computed as the average number of deaths in pre-pandemic years (e.g. 2015-19). 10,11 More refined models also account for the seasonality of deaths 10 and long-term trends in population size and mortality, 14 as well as other potential confounders. Onozuka et al. thus controlled for weather patterns and influenza activity that might have prompted short-term mortality fluctuations in Japan, independently of the COVID-19 pandemic. 12 Several research groups have used an ensemble approach that combines estimates from a large number of statistical models with different covariates and specifications. 15,16

International comparisons of excess mortality might be complicated by cross-country differences in population characteristics. Analysts frequently use P-scores (i.e. the percentage by which observed deaths exceed expected deaths) to account for differences in baseline mortality.¹⁴ Aburto and colleagues also elegantly controlled for differences in population age structures.9 They translated age patterns of excess mortality into changes in life expectancy at birth—the average lifespan a fictitious cohort would achieve if its members experienced the age-specific death rates in a given year through their entire (hypothetical) life course. Using this metric, they compared the magnitude of mortality shocks prompted by COVID-19 between disparate countries, and across historical periods.

Analyses of excess mortality have great potential to help understand what works in mitigating the effects of health crises. In Ecuador, public health and social measures (e.g. social distancing) preceded sharp declines in excess mortality. ¹¹ By comparison, these interventions were weakly associated with trends in official counts of COVID-19 deaths, possibly because increased testing led to improved case detection. Achilleos *et al.* found high excess mortality in countries that delayed COVID-19 control measures. ¹⁰ Conversely, countries with lower than expected mortality might provide lessons in COVID-19 control and in the prevention of conditions indirectly affected by the pandemic (e.g. respiratory diseases such as influenza).

Data limitations might affect excess mortality measures. Some deaths are only registered after delays, prompting repeated updates of excess mortality figures. Civil registration systems also miss some vital events. 17 In high-income countries, unrecorded deaths are few and do not affect most estimates. Elsewhere, this issue is more pervasive. In Ecuador, for example, only two-thirds of deaths might be registered. 18 Analysts often assume that excess mortality estimates are accurate despite such data gaps if the completeness of death registration stays constant over time. 11 This reasoning only applies to the ratio of recorded to expected mortality, not to the number of excess deaths. If civil registers are incomplete, the true number of excess deaths will be larger than observed by a factor equal to the inverse of the proportion of registered deaths. Accurate excess mortality estimates require careful assessments of the completeness of civil registration. 19,20

Data challenges are compounded when the completeness of registration varies between groups and/or over time. In Ecuador, death registration rates prior to COVID-19 varied from less than 30% to more than 90% across provinces. During the pandemic, some countries suspended registration services for extended periods. Where registration continued, mobility restrictions and fear of infection might have prevented visits to registration offices. Yet in other places, registration rates might have recently increased due to new reporting systems or greater public attention on death reporting. In many settings, fluctuations in the number of recorded deaths might thus reflect changes in the completeness of death registration, as well as excess mortality. In some cases, drops in registration rates might even hide the occurrence of excess deaths.

Several methods exist to adjust incomplete civil registration data. Unfortunately, commonly used death-distribution methods²⁴ require data that may not have been collected

recently in many countries (e.g. census data). They also make unrealistic assumptions about migration and other recent population dynamics. The recent Adair-Lopez method uses more widely available data inputs, and relaxes several implausible assumptions. However, this method relies largely on child mortality estimates to infer the completeness of death registration. Its assumptions might thus be less robust during a pandemic like COVID-19 that disproportionately affects mortality among older people. Sensitivity analyses and robustness tests should accompany estimates of excess mortality obtained from incomplete death records.

Some high-income and upper-middle-income countries are now accelerating the release of provisional data on allcause mortality, 26 but data on excess mortality are lacking for large parts of the world. Among 39 countries investigated in the recent International Journal of Epidemiology papers,^{2–4} more than 30 were in Europe versus four in Latin America, one in Africa and none in the Middle East or South Asia. Similar gaps exist in comprehensive excess mortality databases^{8,27} because civil registration systems in many low- and lower-middle-income countries (LLMICs) are too incomplete to produce vital statistics. ¹⁷ Instead, outdated snapshots of mortality in LLMICs are obtained every few years, when retrospective data are collected during household surveys or decennial censuses. This constrains the ability of LLMICs to document how health crises affect their populations and might sustain beliefs that they have been 'spared' by the COVID-19 pandemic, and thus require fewer resources (e.g. vaccines) than wealthier countries.²⁸

Improving the recording of deaths in civil registration systems is one of the indicators of progress towards the sustainable development goals. Obstacles to death registration in LLMICs include, for example, inadequate legal frameworks, insufficient operating budgets, difficulties in accessing registration offices, imperfect coordination between governmental agencies, and limited knowledge about death registration among families. 17 Recently, interventions that engage health workers and other communitybased agents and equip them with improved digital tools have been successful in rapidly increasing death reporting in several LLMIC communities.²⁹ Policies that jointly promote the availability of registration services and awareness about civil registration might also be well suited to further accelerating progress towards universal death registration.³⁰ Future global pandemic preparedness plans should include large investments to support and expand similar efforts to strengthen civil registration systems in LLMICs. 21,28

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the US National Institute of Aging (R03AG070660), the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National

Institute of Child Health and Human Development (R01HD088516) and the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq 303341/2018-1).

Author contributions

S.H. and B.L.Q. conceived of the paper, drafted and subsequently revised the text. Both authors approved the final version.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

References

- 1. Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020;**20**:533–34.
- Riffe T, Acosta E; COVerAGE-DB team. Data Resource Profile: COVerAGE-DB: a global demographic database of COVID-19 cases and deaths. *Int J Epidemiol* 2021;50:390–390f.
- 3. Kupek E. How many more? Under-reporting of the COVID-19 deaths in Brazil in 2020. *Trop Med Int Health* 2021;26:1019–28.
- 4. Garcia J, Torres C, Barbieri M *et al.* Differences in COVID-19 mortality: implications of imperfect and diverse data collection systems. *Population* 2021;76:35–72.
- Hasell J, Mathieu E, Beltekian D et al. A cross-country database of COVID-19 testing. Sci Data 2020;7:345.
- Pathak EB, Garcia RB, Menard JM, Salemi JL. Out-of-hospital COVID-19 deaths: consequences for quality of medical care and accuracy of cause of death coding. *Am J Public* 2021;111:S101–06.
- Labib PL, Aroori S. Expanding the definition of covid-19 deaths will show the true effect of the pandemic. BMJ 2020;369:m2153.
- 8. Karlinsky A, Kobak D. Tracking excess mortality across countries during the COVID-19 pandemic with the World Mortality Dataset. *eLife* 2021;10:e69336.
- Aburto JM, Schöley J, Kashnitsky I et al. Quantifying impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic through life-expectancy losses: a population-level study of 29 countries. Int J Epidemiol 2022;51:63–74.
- Achilleos S, Quattrocchi A, Gabel J et al. Excess all-cause mortality and COVID-19-related mortality: a temporal analysis in 22 countries, from January until August 2020. Int J Epidemiol 2022;51:35–53.
- 11. Cuéllar L, Torres I, Romero-Severson E *et al*. Excess deaths reveal the true spatial, temporal and demographic impact of COVID-19 on mortality in Ecuador. *Int J Epidemiol* 2022;51:54–62.
- 12. Onozuka D, Tanoue Y, Nomura S *et al.* Reduced mortality during the COVID-19 outbreak in Japan, 2020: a two-stage interrupted time-series design. *Int J Epidemiol* 2022;51:75–84.
- Dorrington RE, Moultrie TA, Laubscher R, Groenewald PJ, Bradshaw D. Rapid mortality surveillance using a national population register to monitor excess deaths during SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in South Africa. *Genus* 2021;77:19.

- 14. Lima EEC, Vilela EA, Peralta A *et al.* Investigating regional excess mortality during 2020 COVID-19 pandemic in selected Latin American countries. *Genus* 2021;77:30.
- 15. Kontis V, Bennett JE, Rashid T *et al.* Magnitude, demographics and dynamics of the effect of the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic on all-cause mortality in 21 industrialized countries. *Nat Med* 2020;26:1919–28.
- 16. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. Estimation of Total and Excess Mortality Due to COVID-19. 2021. http://www.healthdata.org/special-analysis/estimation-excess-mortality-due-covid-19-and-scalars-reported-covid-19-deaths (7 December 2021, date last accessed).
- 17. Mikkelsen L, Phillips DE, AbouZahr C *et al.* A global assessment of civil registration and vital statistics systems: monitoring data quality and progress. *Lancet* 2015;386:1395–406.
- 18. Peralta A, Benach J, Borrell C *et al.* Evaluation of the mortality registry in Ecuador (2001–2013) social and geographical inequalities in completeness and quality. *Popul Health Metr* 2019;17:3.
- 19. Queiroz BL, Gonzaga MR, Vasconcelos AMN, Lopes BT, Abreu DMX. Comparative analysis of completeness of death registration, adult mortality and life expectancy at birth in Brazil at the subnational level. *Popul Health Metr* 2020;18:11.
- 20. Aburto JM. The need for all-cause mortality data to aid our understanding of the COVID-19 pandemic in Latin America. *Am J Public Health* 2021;111:1721–22.
- 21. AbouZahr C, Bratschi MW, Cercone E *et al.* The COVID-19 pandemic: effects on civil registration of births and deaths and on availability and utility of vital events data. *Am J Public Health* 2021;111:1123–31.
- Niamba L. Civil Registration and Vital Statistics (CRVS) Systems in the Face of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Literature Review. Report No. 3. Ottawa, Canada: Centre of Excellence for CRVS systems, 2020, p. 33.
- 23. Jalloh MF, Kaiser R, Diop M *et al.* National reporting of deaths after enhanced Ebola surveillance in Sierra Leone. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2020;14:e0008624.
- 24. Hill K, You D, Choi Y. Death distribution methods for estimating adult mortality: sensitivity analysis with simulated data errors. *Demogr Res* 2009;21:235–54.
- 25. Adair T, Lopez AD. Estimating the completeness of death registration: an empirical method. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0197047.
- Leon DA, Shkolnikov VM, Smeeth L, Magnus P, Pechholdová M, Jarvis CI. COVID-19: a need for real-time monitoring of weekly excess deaths. *Lancet* 2020;395:e81.
- The Economist. Tracking Covid-19 Excess Deaths Across Countries.
 https://www.economist.com/graphic-detail/coronavirus-excess-deaths-tracker (7 December 2021, date last accessed).
- 28. Whittaker C, Walker PGT, Alhaffar M *et al.* Under-reporting of deaths limits our understanding of true burden of covid-19. *BMJ* 2021;375:n2239.
- 29. Adair T, Rajasekhar M, Bo KS *et al.* Where there is no hospital: improving the notification of community deaths. *BMC Med* 2020;**18**:65.
- Suthar AB, Khalifa A, Yin S et al. Evaluation of approaches to strengthen civil registration and vital statistics systems: a systematic review and synthesis of policies in 25 countries. PLoS Med 2019;16:e1002929.